

---

**Sent:** 21/04/2017 4:53:35 PM  
**Subject:** Online Submission

21/04/2017

MR Dominic Bauer  
73 Evans ST  
Freshwater NSW 2096

**RE: Mod2017/0063 - 80 Evans Street FRESHWATER NSW 2096**

I write this letter to oppose the Section 96(1a) amendment (Mod2017/0063)

As a builder myself I can not believe the amount of disregard Ganellen show to council and the greater community over the duration of this project. Whether it be continuously working past their allocated hours, blocking of roads and footpaths when ever they like, washing down the concrete pumps on the street and letting the slurry go strait down the drains with no sediment control or not controlling the dust pollution when they were excavating. I just can't believe that Council, the PCA and the EPA have not already shut this project down. All that has happened to date are a constant flow of \$6,000 fines issues when a ranger get here quick enough.

A massive part of building is community consultation, and when they receive complaints that should be investigated internally and addressed accordingly. I have the Foreman's, Junior PM's, Senior PM's and Managing Directors mobile numbers (which I contact when there is a problem) and to this day I have not received one piece of correspondence in regards to a complaint. They are more than happy to BS an excuse for why they are working 5 hours past their finish time over the phone but when it comes to follow it up to ensure it doesn't happen again, it is a non-existent side to their company.

In regards to the noise report prepared by Ben White from Acoustic Logic, this is an identical report to the one they submitted with their first attempt to extend their working hours. Section 8.1 of this report details a consultation process between the builders and affected parties (i.e the community) comprising of; inform and educate, increase understanding, identify concerns, complaint register which residents had access to and finally regular scheduled meetings where issues can be addressed and successful implementation of solutions are agreed by all parties. If Ganellen were at all serious about following the recommendations of this report, the above steps should have been in place from the day they put the site fences up.

Ganellen apply for out of hours permits to complete big concrete pours which are approved and i have no objection to, but works as described on these extensions do not include work on the crane and the extended use of the work zone or blocking the street. They constantly use the crane after 5pm to catch up on days lost to rain or wind which i quite frankly not our problem! The crane looks directly into my apartment so we close our blinds during the day but it would be nice to get out of the shower and not have a crane driver looking at me or my girlfriend at 7pm. This should really be enforced by council if they are going to give these temporary approvals.

Building a \$140,000,000+ development on one of the Northern Beaches most beautiful headlands was always going to run into problems and cause inconveniences to the local community but now it is about managing the impact on people that live in the area. At the moment the balance between building and living is in favour of Ganellen and by approving the

proposed changes it will tip the scales further the builders hands.

This is not an industrial area where working at 8pm is acceptable. People live here, travel to come to the beach, sit in the park and have fish and chips or head to the Gio's restaurant for a nice quiet dinner and we do not deserve listen to concrete trucks, saws, hammers and the like for any longer than we have to! Im not saying that it should not be build, I'm just saying that the current DA conditions should remain unchanged and the council should hold the builders accountable for any breaches to ensure that quality of life we enjoy in Freshwater remains.