

Heritage Referral Response

Application Number:	DA2025/1020
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dual occupancy
Date:	19/08/2025
To:	Julie Edwards
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 2 SP 71076 , 2 / 84 Lauderdale Avenue FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094 Lot 2 SP 71076 , 2 / 84 Lauderdale Avenue FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094

Officer comments

HERITAGE COMMENTS		
Discussion of reason for referral		
This proposal has been referred to Heritage as the subject site is within the vicinity of two heritage items, being; I1 - Harbour Foreshores - Manly municipal area boundary adjacent to the Harbour. I49 - Esplanade Park and Fairlight Pool - Fairlight Foreshore, North Harbour.		
Details of heritage items affected		
I1 - Harbour Foreshore <u>Statement of Significance</u> Natural landscape type - aesthetic <u>Physical Description</u> Length of foreshore, including natural and built elements of the landscape. Rocky sandstone ledgers, beaches, mud flats and sandstone retaining walls and timber structures.		
I49 - Esplanade Park and Fairlight Pool <u>Statement of Significance</u> Part of driveway setting leading up to Fairlight House in the 1850s. Part of Manly's foreshore open space system. Aesthetic.		
Other relevant heritage listings		
SEPP (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021	No	
Australian Heritage Register	No	
NSW State Heritage Register	No	
National Trust of Aust (NSW) Register	No	
RAIA Register of 20th Century Buildings of Significance	No	
Other	No	
Consideration of Application		

The proposal seeks consent for alterations and additions to an existing dual occupancy dwelling, pertaining to Unit 2. The proposed works include the demolition of external walls to accommodate additional areas, the reconfiguration of internal spaces including the removal of some interior walls, the installation of new windows to new built areas and associated landscaping works. The subject site is located opposite two heritage items, being the harbour foreshore and Esplanade Park and Fairlight pool. The subject property is separated from the heritage items by a roadway and residential dwellings situated along the foreshore. Due to this physical separation, and the nature of the proposed works which sit within the footprint of the existing dwelling, the proposal is not considered to adversely impact the heritage items nor their significance.

As such, Heritage raises no concerns regarding the proposal and does not require any conditions.

Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of MLEP.

Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No

Has a CMP been provided? No

Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No

Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No

The proposal is therefore supported.

Note: Should you have any concerns with the referral comments above, please discuss these with the Responsible Officer.

Recommended Heritage Advisor Conditions:

Nil.