

# Planning for Bushfire Protection



Ronald Coffey  
0408 220 443  
31 Collins Street North Narrabeen  
Sydney NSW 2101  
Email: [ron.coffey@bigpond.com](mailto:ron.coffey@bigpond.com)  
Web: [www.bushfireconsultants.com.au](http://www.bushfireconsultants.com.au)  
Reference: 1359  
19/09/2019

## Bushfire Risk Assessment Report

In relation to proposed development at:

No 41 Sir Thomas Mitchell Drive, Davidson

*In accordance with the requirements of 4.14 of the EP&A Act No 203 Part (1) [b] This Assessment has been prepared and Certified by: Ronald Coffey  
BPAD – A Certified Practitioner  
FPAA Cert. No: BPD-PA 09328*

Can this proposal comply with AS3959, 2009 + addendum to Appendix 3 of PBP?

**YES**

What is the recommended level of compliance AS3959, 2009?

**BAL 12.5**

Does this development comply with the requirements of PBP?

**YES**

Does this development comply with the Aims and objectives of PBP?

**YES**

Is referral to the NSW RFS required?

**NO**

*This assessment confirms that the proposal conforms to the specifications and requirements, that are relevant to the development, of the version (as prescribed by the regulations) of the document entitled Planning for Bushfire Protection prepared by the NSW Fire Service in co-operation with the NSW Department of Planning.*

## Contents

### Introduction

- 1) Location
- 2) Development Proposal and Building Classifications
- 3) Description of the Subject Property
- 4) Classification of the Vegetation on and surrounding the Site
- 5) Assessment of Effective Slope
- 6) Access and Egress
- 7) Water Supplies
- 8) Environment considerations
- 9) Bushfire Threat Assessment
- 10) Assessment of the extent to which the development proposal Conforms or Deviates with Chapter 4 of Planning for Bushfire Protection [PBP]
- 11) Assessment of the extent to which the development proposal Conforms or Deviates with the Aims and Objectives of PBP
- 12) Recommendations
- 13) Summary
- 14) References
- 15) Fences and Gates

## **Introduction**

The purpose of this report is to determine the category of bushfire attack and subsequent construction standard for the proposed development of alterations and additions at No 41 Sir Thomas Mitchell Drive, Davidson.

The site is identified as 'bush fire prone land' for the purposes of Section 146 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979* and the legislative requirements for building on bushfire prone lands are applicable.

The proposed development is an infill development as defined within Chapter 4.3.5 of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 and this report has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of Section 4.14 of the Environment Planning and Assessment Act.

This assessment includes an analysis of the hazard, threat and subsequent risk to the development proposal and provides recommendations that satisfy the Objectives and Performance requirements of the Building Code of Australia, Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 [PBP] and Australian Standard AS3959, 2009.

The site was inspected: 18/09/2019

## **Summary of Assessment**

- Building construction and design – AS3959, 2009 BAL 12.5
- Asset Protection zones - Conforms to the requirements of PBP
- Landscaping - Conforms to the requirements of PBP
- Access and egress arrangements – Conforms to the requirements of PBP
- Water supply and utilities - Conforms to the requirements of PBP
- Defendable space - Conforms to the requirements of PBP
- Emergency Risk Management – Has been discussed and recommended

### 1) Location

No 41 Sir Thomas Mitchel Drive,  
Davidson  
Lot 39, DP 244 404  
LGA – Northern Beaches Council



### 2) Development Proposal and Building Classifications

The proposal is for alterations and additions to an existing class 1A dwelling.


The addition includes the conversion of an existing detached building to a rumpus room, building classification 1a, new external stairs, new retaining wall, new paving and general landscaping, building classification 10b.

The proposal does not include alterations, additions or renovations to the existing dwelling and this report does not include recommendations for the upgrading of the existing dwelling.

### 3) Description of the Subject

#### Property

The development site is a residential lot facing north onto Sir Thomas Mitchell Drive. The following sections 4-8 describe in detail the vegetation, slope, access and egress, availability of water supplies and environmental considerations for the site. The adjacent image is the bushfire prone land map for the area.



- + Vegetation Category 1 (pub. 2017-08-10) ■
- + Vegetation Buffer (pub. 2017-08-10) 0
  
- + Vegetation Category 2 (pub. 2017-08-10) 2
- + Vegetation Buffer (pub. 2017-08-10) 0

#### 4) Classification of the Vegetation on and surrounding the Site

The site is developed and maintained and there is no threat from bushfire attack on the site.



Properties north, east and west of the subject site are developed and maintained and there is no threat of bushfire attack from these directions for more than 100m.

90m south of the proposed development is an area of bushland that is considered a threat from bushfire attack to the site. With reference to PBP and the bushfire prone land map for the area the classification of vegetation for this hazard is forest.

#### 5) Assessment of Effective Slope


Effective slope away from the development site:

North: No hazard for >100m

South: 20m fall over 100m – 10-15 degrees downslope

East: No hazard for >100m

West: No Hazard for >100m



## 6) Access and Egress

The site has direct access to Sir Thomas Mitchell Drive, which is a public road, and access and egress for emergency vehicles and evacuation is in opposite directions and appears adequate.

## 7) Adequacy of water supply

The area has reticulated water supply and hydrants are spaced at regular distances along Sir Thomas Mitchell Drive.

## 8) Environmental Considerations

The scope of this assessment has not been to provide an environmental assessment; however, the subject site is a small residential lot that has been developed for many years and it appears that the proposed development will have no adverse environmental effect.

## 9) Bushfire Risk Assessment

**Table 1;** Reference AS3959, 2009 Table 2.4.2

Determination of category of bushfire attack for the site and subsequent required building standards

Direction	Distance of APZ	Vegetation Classification	Assessment of Effective Slope	Anticipated Radiant heat	Bushfire Attack Level (BAL)
North	>140m	Developed sites	n/a	-	-
South	90m	Forest	10-15 degrees downslope	<12.5kw/m2	BAL 12.5
East	>140m	Developed sites	n/a	-	-
West	>140m	Developed sites	n/a	-	-

Summary: Based upon the relevant provisions of PBP the anticipated radiant heat attack for the site is <12.5kw/m2 and the subsequent minimum construction standard is BAL 12.5 AS3959, 2009.

## 10) Assessment of the extent to which the construction conforms or deviates from Chapter 4 of 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006'

Performance Criteria	Acceptable Solutions	Meets Performance Criteria
The intent may be achieved where:		
<u>In relation to APZ's:</u> - Defendable space is provided - An APZ is provided and maintained for the life of the building.	Defendable space is provided on all sides of the building Asset protection zones are provided partially on site and by adjoining development and public roads.	Yes
<u>In relation to siting and design:</u> Buildings are sited and designed to minimise the risk of bushfire attack.	The siting of the building has been previously determined in accordance with local council requirements and the proposed additions and alterations will not involve a re-siting of the building [no advantage could be gained by recommending a re-siting of the building].	Yes
<u>In relation to construction standards:</u> It is demonstrated that the proposed building can withstand bushfire attack in the form of wind, smoke, embers, radiant heat and flame contact.	Construction standards have been recommended in accordance with the requirements of PBP.	Yes
<u>In relation to access requirements:</u> Safe operational access is provided [and maintained] for emergency services personnel in suppressing a bushfire while residents are seeking to relocate, in advance of a bushfire.	The access and egress requirements have been designed to provide safe and effective evacuation from the subject site and appear to be adequate for fire brigade personnel and fire-fighting equipment.	Yes
<u>In relation to water and utility services:</u> - Adequate water and electricity services are provided for fire-fighting operations  - gas and electricity services are located so as to not contribute to the risk to a building.	The area has reticulated water supply and the nearest street hydrant is within the minimum required distance from the most distant point of the subject site in accordance with the requirements of PBP and AS2419.1 2005. This report shall recommend compliance with PBP 4.1.3 for services including electricity and gas.	Yes
<u>In relation to landscaping:</u> It is designed and managed to minimise flame contact and radiant heat to buildings, and the potential for wind driven embers to cause ignitions.	The site is landscaped and managed and no part of the site is shown on the bushfire prone land map as a hazard. The landscaping on the site complies with the principles of Appendix 5 of PBP.	Yes
<u>In relation to Emergency and Evacuation Planning:</u>	The need to formulate an emergency evacuation plan has been discussed and it is advised that the residents should complete a <i>Bush Fire Survival Plan</i> as formulated by the NSW Rural Fire Service. An emergency evacuation plan is not recommended as a condition of consent.	Yes

## 11) Assessment of the extent to which the construction conforms or deviates from the Aims and Objectives of ‘Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006’

Aim	Meets Criteria	Comment
All development on BFPL must satisfy the aim and objectives of PBP. The aim of PBP is to provide for the protection of human life and minimise impacts on property from the threat of bushfire, while having due regard to development potential, site characteristics and protection of the environment.	Yes	The threat assessment has determined that the category of bushfire attack for the site is not within the flame zone. Landscaping, defensible space, access and egress, emergency risk management and construction standards are in accordance with the requirements of PBP and the aims of PBP have been achieved
Objectives	Meets Criteria	Comment
Afford buildings and their occupants protection from exposure to a bushfire	Yes	The maximum exposure to a bushfire for the area where the development is proposed is <12.5kw/m2.
Provide a defensible space to be located around buildings	Yes	Defensible space is provided on all sides of the proposed development.
Provide appropriate separation between a hazard and buildings which, in combination with other measures, minimise material ignition.	Yes	The entire site is managed as an asset protection zone and appropriate separation has been provided by a combination of the onsite APZ and adjoining developed sites.
Ensure that appropriate operational access and egress for emergency service personnel and residents is available	Yes	The site has direct access to public roads, and access and egress for emergency vehicles and evacuation appears adequate.
Provide for ongoing management and maintenance of bushfire protection measures	Yes	The entire site where not built on is an APZ and there are no fuel loads to consider.
Ensure that utility services are adequate to meet the needs of firefighters	Yes	The area has reticulated water supply and combined with the availability of a large volume of water available from the existing inground pool, the needs of firefighters, in terms of water supplies for firefighting, appears adequate.

## 12) Recommendations

The following recommendations are made for the bushfire protection measures for the proposed residential development of the conversion of an existing detached building to a rumpus room, new external stairs, new retaining wall, new paving and general landscaping.

These recommendations are based upon the relevant provisions of the NSW Rural Fire Service guideline entitled *Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006*.

- 1) Construction Standard: The proposed development shall be constructed to a minimum standard of Section 3 [construction general] and Section 5 [BAL 12.5] of AS3959, 2009 '*Construction of Buildings in Bushfire Prone Areas*' and Section A3.7 of the NSW Rural Fire Service Addendum to Appendix 3 of '*Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006*'.
- 2) Construction Standard Class 10b: PBP 4.3.6 [f] At the planning stage, class 10b buildings in bushfire prone areas should be non-combustible. [Class 10b buildings include a retaining or free-standing wall, swimming pool or the like.]
- 3) Fences and Gates: All new fencing and gates shall be constructed in accordance with the NSW Rural Fire Service guideline: Fast Fact – *Fences or Gates in Bushfire Prone Areas*. [Refer Section 15 of this report]
- 4) Electricity and Gas Supplies: As far as practical, new electricity and gas supplies shall be installed in accordance with the requirements of 4.1.3 of PBP. Note: 4.1.3 of PBP requires that '*where practical, electrical transmission lines should be underground*' and '*the location of gas services will not lead to ignition of surrounding bushland or the fabric of the building*'.
- 5) Asset Protection Zones: At the commencement of building works and in perpetuity, the entire property shall be managed as an inner protection area as outlined within PBP and the NSW RFS document '*Standards for asset protection zones.*' Note: *Although the Asset Protection Zone requirements are listed as a recommendation, the site has been landscaped and is maintained to a standard that complies with the requirements of 'Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006' for Inner Protection Area Requirements.*
- 6) Emergency and Evacuation Planning: The need to formulate an emergency evacuation plan has been discussed and it is advised that the residents should complete a *Bush Fire Survival Plan* as formulated by the NSW Rural Fire Service.

An emergency evacuation plan is not recommended as a condition of consent.

- 7) Water Supplies: Reticulated water supply is located on the adjoining road at regular intervals and is easily accessible. No additional water supplies have been recommended.

### **13) Summary**

This report consists of a bushfire risk assessment for the proposed residential development of the conversion of an existing detached building to a rumpus room, new external stairs, new retaining wall, new paving and general landscaping at No 41 Sir Thomas Mitchell Drive, Davidson.

The report concludes that the proposed development is on designated bushfire prone land and the legislative requirements for development in bushfire prone areas are applicable.

The proposed development will be constructed to the minimum standards required in accordance with the guidelines of *Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006*.

This report has considered all the elements of bushfire attack and provided the proposed development is constructed in accordance with the recommendations included in section 12 of this report, it is my considered opinion that the development satisfies the Objectives and Performance requirements of the *Building Code of Australia, Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 and Australian Standard AS3959, 2009*.

---

---

*Notwithstanding the precautions adopted, it should always be remembered that bushfires burn under a wide range of conditions and an element of risk, no matter how small always remains, and although the standard is designed to improve the performance of such buildings, there can be no guarantee, because of the variable nature of bushfires, that any one building will withstand bushfire attack on every occasion.*

*This Report is a Bush Fire Hazard Assessment that provides the required information to assist Local Council in determining compliance in accordance with Planning for Bushfire Protection and AS 3959, 2009. The Local Council is the Final Consenting Authority and the construction of the building must comply with the recommendations included in the Council's conditions of consent.*

---



Ron Coffey – Bushfire Safety Engineer  
Grad I Fire E [Institute of Fire Engineers - 1973]  
Grad Cert Fire Safety Eng. [UWS - 2003]  
Grad Dip Building in Bushfire Prone Areas [UWS – 2005]  
Ass Prof Cert in Expert Evidence in the Land & Environment Court [UTS – 2005]  
Member - Institute of Fire Engineers  
Corporate Member - Fire Protection Association Australia



*Planning for Bushfire Protection  
Fire Protection Association of Australia  
BPAD-A Certified Practitioner/Corporate Bronze Certified Business  
Certification No BPD-PA09328  
0408 220 443*

## **14) References**

### **Australian Building Codes Board**

Building Code of Australia  
Volumes 1&2  
Canprint

### **Australian Building Codes Board [2001]**

Fire Safety Engineering Guidelines  
Edition 2001  
ABCB Canberra

### **D. Drysdale D. [1998]**

Introduction to Fire Dynamics 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition  
John Wiley & Sons Ltd

### **NSW Government Environmental Planning and Assessment Act [1979]**

Part 79BA – Consultation and development Consent – Certain Bushfire Prone Land  
NSW Government Printer

### **Planning NSW [2006]**

Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006

A Guide for Councils, Planners, Fire Authorities, Developers and Home Owners

*This document provides the necessary planning considerations when developing areas for residential use in residential, rural residential, rural and urban areas when development sites are in close proximity to areas likely to be affected by bushfire events and replaces Planning for Bushfire Protection 2001.*

*[This document is essential reading: Download a copy from the RFS website or purchase a copy through the NSW Government Online Shop or phone 9228 6333](#)*

### **Ramsay C & Rudolph L [2003]**

Landscape and Building Design for Bushfire Prone Areas  
CSIRO Publishing

### **Standards Australia [2009]**

Australian Standards 3959  
Australian Building Code Board

## **15) Fences and Gates**

### **BAL 12.5 & BAL 19**

1. Where a timber fence does not connect to a dwelling and has a minimum of 1 metre separation from the dwelling then a fence may be constructed from hardwood, or non-combustible material.
2. Where a fence connects directly to or has less than 1 metre separation from a dwelling it should be constructed from non-combustible materials only.
3. In all cases where timber fences are proposed, care should be taken in the selection, location and maintenance of landscaping adjoining the fence. Unmanaged landscaping could promote fire activity due to ember, radiant heat and direct flame contact and then further impact timber fencing.

The above is based on the premise that construction for level 1 & 2 dwellings is sufficiently removed from the main fire front and won't be subjected to direct flame contact or extreme levels of radiant heat that may cause ignition of combustible materials. However, dwellings could still be exposed to significant levels of ember attack and relatively high levels of radiated heat that may cause fences to ignite.

### **BAL 29, BAL 40 &/or Flame Zone**

Dwellings assessed as requiring these construction levels shall have fencing constructed from non-combustible materials e.g. Sheet metal or masonry. This is due to the increased likelihood of direct flame contact causing ignition of combustible materials which may provide a fire path to the dwelling.