From: DYPXCPWEB@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

Sent: 1/07/2025 2:27:25 PM **To:** DA Submission Mailbox

Subject: TRIMMED: Online Submission

01/07/2025

MRS Edna Blanchard 230 McCarrs Creek Road ST Church Point NSW 2105

RE: DA2025/0279 - 237 McCarrs Creek Road CHURCH POINT NSW 2105

I'm writing to object to the current new development application for 237 McCarrs creek road Church point.

The removal of 21 mature native trees associated ground cover and under destroy which have biodiversity value and which occupy the majority of the site as noted in in the report cannot be accepted.

Pittwater LEP20 14 zones the site as terrestrial terrestrial biodiversity and hence should be low impact. The LEP also states that the development should retain and enhance riparian, vegetation and wildlife corridors. This application does the reverse of this. Therehas been no attempt to maintain any natural features or ensure biodiversity.

The landscape referral response expresses great concern great regarding the 60% landscape requirement, which does not appear on the plans. The report on native foreigner is incorrect and superficial. We who are residence know it is habitat for endangered glossy black cockatoos as well as for Liz echidna's wallaby's sacred thinking fishers... The list goes on the comment that " species will utilize the surrounding habitat" is an open invitation for any development anywhere in Pittwater because there is a surrounding habitat.

The site is highest category for landslide and bushfire. Recent rains over the last year, so runoff from the existing developments which flowed down into cicada Glen Creek and required council intervention as well as massive retaining, works to try and stop the deluge and pollution of the creek. These measures were only partially successful, and this development will only exacerbate the problem.

The site is located in the C4 environmental living zone requiring development to achieve. " scale, integrated with the landform and landscape and to minimize impact on the natural environment."," including the retention of natural landscape features." there is absolutely no evidence that this DA has made any attempt to comply with this.

It should be noted that these sites are totally unsuited to development and indirect opposition to the character and environment, which makes church point unique.

I urge you to reject this application.

Edna Blanchard