

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

| Application Number: | Mod2019/0528 |
|------------------------------------|--|
| | |
| Responsible Officer: | Claire Ryan |
| Land to be developed (Address): | Lot 1 DP 30019, 79 Hilltop Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107 |
| Proposed Development: | Modification of Development Consent DA2017/1345 granted for construction of a new secondary dwelling above existing garage |
| Zoning: | E4 Environmental Living |
| Development Permissible: | Yes |
| Existing Use Rights: | No |
| Consent Authority: | Northern Beaches Council |
| Land and Environment Court Action: | No |
| Owner: | Thomas Paul Maria Haentjes |
| Applicant: | Thomas Paul Maria Haentjes |
| | |
| Application Lodged: | 30/10/2019 |
| Integrated Development: | No |
| Designated Development: | No |
| State Reporting Category: | Residential - Alterations and additions |
| Notified: | 14/11/2019 to 28/11/2019 |
| Advertised: | Not Advertised |
| Submissions Received: | 1 |
| Clause 4.6 Variation: | Nil |
| Recommendation: | Approval |

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The application seeks consent to modify Development Consent DA2017/1345, as follows:

- Deletion of Condition 15, which requires certification of the structural integrity of the existing garage structure, demonstrating it is capable of supporting the approved secondary dwelling above; and
- Demolition and reconstruction of existing garage structure, requiring minor additional excavation and structural shoring works.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

MOD2019/0528 Page 1 of 12



The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

There are no assessment issues.

SITE DESCRIPTION

| Property Description: | Lot 1 DP 30019 , 79 Hilltop Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Detailed Site Description: | The Assessing Officer carried out a site visit on 2 January 2020. |
| | The subject site consists of one allotment located on the south-eastern side of Hilltop Road. |
| | The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 18.5m along Hilltop Road and an average depth of 60.3m. The site has a surveyed area of 1,084m². |
| | The site is located within the E4 Environmental Living zone and accommodates a two-storey detached dwelling, and a detached garage. |
| | The site slopes approximately 22m from south east to north and is heavily vegetated with canopy trees. |
| | Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development |
| | Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by one- and two-storey detached dwellings. |

Map:

MOD2019/0528 Page 2 of 12





SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

- T0445/16 for Tree/Bushland Works was approved on 24 October 2016 under staff delegation.
- T0501/16 for Tree/Bushland Works was approved on 30 November 2016 under staff delegation.
- DA2017/1345 for Construction of a new secondary dwelling above existing garage was approved on 17 May 2018 under staff delegation.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2017/1345, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

MOD2019/0528 Page 3 of 12



The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

| Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications | Comments | |
|--|---|--|
| A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if: | | |
| (a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and | Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact. | |
| (b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and | The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2017/1345. | |
| (c) it has notified the application in accordance with:(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, | The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Pittwater Local Environment Plan 2014 and Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan 2014. | |
| (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and | | |
| (d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be. | See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report. | |

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

| Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration' | Comments |
|--|--|
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument | See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument | None applicable. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any | Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan 2014 applies to |

MOD2019/0528 Page 4 of 12



| Section 4.15 'Matters for | Comments |
|--|---|
| Consideration' | |
| development control plan | this proposal. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement | None applicable. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000) | <u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| | Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. A bushfire report was requested and provided by the Applicant. |
| | Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 143A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality | (i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan 2014 section in this report. |
| | (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental |

MOD2019/0528 Page 5 of 12



| Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration' | Comments |
|--|---|
| | social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. |
| | (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development | The site is considered suitable for the proposed development. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs | See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest | No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest. |

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is classified as bush fire prone land. Section 4.14 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 requires Council to be satisfied that the development conforms to the specifications and requirements of the version (as prescribed by the regulations) of the document entitled Planning for Bush Fire Protection.

A Bush Fire Report was submitted with the original application that included a certificate (prepared by Sydney Bushfire Consultants, dated 3 October 2017) stating that the development conforms to the relevant specifications and requirements within Planning for Bush Fire Protection. The recommendations of the Bush Fire Report were included as a condition of consent. The modification application is supported by a letter prepared by Sydney Bushfire Consultants dated 7 November 2019, stating that the previous recommendations remain suitable and applicable.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 1 submission/s from:

| Name: | Address: |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Mr Stephen Marris | 70 Hilltop Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107 |

The following issue was raised in the submission, and is addressed below:

Privacy

The objection raised concern about direct viewing from the secondary dwelling to the master bedroom

MOD2019/0528 Page 6 of 12



and rumpus room of 70 Hilltop Road, and made recommendations for design changes to retain privacy. Comment:

Under the original development application, two trees were approved to be removed. No further tree removal is proposed under this modification application. As such, the objection is not related to the works currently proposed. However, in consideration of the issue raised, the approved secondary dwelling is located in excess of 30m from the nearest window of 70 Hilltop Road, thereby providing suitable building separation, and minimising direct overlooking. No unreasonable privacy impact arises from the approved secondary dwelling.

REFERRALS

| Internal Referral Body | Comments |
|--|--|
| Building Assessment - Fire and Disability upgrades | The application has been investigated with respects to aspects relevant to the Building Certification and Fire Safety Department. There are no objections to approval of the development subject to inclusion of the attached conditions of approval and consideration of the notes below. Note: The proposed development may not comply with some requirements of the BCA and the Premises Standards. Issues such as this however may be determined at Construction Certificate Stage. |
| | |
| NECC (Bushland and Biodiversity) | The proposed modification will not result in any additional impacts to existing biodiversity values. The development application complies with the controls 4.7 Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest - Endangered Ecological Community and B4.22 Preservation of Trees and Bushland Vegetation. |
| NECC (Development Engineering) | The proposed modification does not alter the previous assessment by Development Engineering. No objection to approval with no additional or modified conditions of consent recommended. |
| NECC (Riparian Lands and Creeks) | This application has been assessed under Pittwater 21 DCP B5.8 Water Quality Pittwater 21 DCP B8.2 Sediment and Erosion The modification proposed does not change impacts to water quality, as impervious area is not increased significantly over the existing |
| | area. Sediment and erosion controls must be installed prior to any disturbance of soil on the site and maintained until all work is complete and groundcover re-established. |
| Parks, reserves, beaches, foreshore | No issues with the proposal. |

| External Referral Body | Comments |
|------------------------|--|
| | The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended. |

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

MOD2019/0528 Page 7 of 12



All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate was submitted with the original development application (see Certificate No. 886935S dated 22 December 2017). A condition requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate was included in the original consent. No changes are made to the approved development that require an updated BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausarid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

MOD2019/0528 Page 8 of 12



SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

A very small portion of the south-east corner of the site is classified as land in proximity to littoral rainforest under the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018. Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

11 Development on land in proximity to coastal wetlands or littoral rainforest

- (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land identified as "proximity area for coastal wetlands" or "proximity area for littoral rainforest" on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development will not significantly impact on:
 - (a) the biophysical, hydrological or ecological integrity of the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest, or
 - (b) the quantity and quality of surface and ground water flows to and from the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest.

Comment:

The works are located in the front of the site, being a significant distance from the portion of land classified as in proximity to littoral rainforest. Therefore, the works are not anticipated to significantly impact on the matters above.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

As above, the works are located well away from the land in proximity to littoral rainforest. The works are not anticipated to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on any land.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

| Is the development permissible? | Yes | |
|--|-----|--|
| After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with: | | |
| aims of the LEP? | Yes | |
| zone objectives of the LEP? | Yes | |

Principal Development Standards

The proposed modifications do not alter the approved development in relation to principal development standards.

Compliance Assessment

| Clause | Compliance with Requirements |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 7.7 Geotechnical hazards | Yes |

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

MOD2019/0528 Page 9 of 12



Built Form Controls

The proposed modifications do not alter the approved development in relation to built form controls.

Compliance Assessment

| | _ | Consistency Aims/Objectives |
|--|-----|--------------------------------|
| B3.1 Landslip Hazard | Yes | Yes |
| B6.3 Off-Street Vehicle Parking Requirements | Yes | Yes |
| B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill | Yes | Yes |

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs

MOD2019/0528 Page 10 of 12



Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2019/0528 for Modification of Development Consent DA2017/1345 granted for construction of a new secondary dwelling above existing garage on land at Lot 1 DP 30019,79 Hilltop Road, AVALON BEACH, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

| Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp | | | | |
|---|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Drawing No. | Dated | Prepared By | | |
| T&I-DA 03 Proposed Plan | October 2019 | Robyn Jones Architect | | |
| T&I-DA 04 Proposed Sections | October 2019 | Robyn Jones Architect | | |
| T&I-DA 05 Proposed Elevations | October 2019 | Robyn Jones Architect | | |

| Engineering Plans | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Drawing No. | Dated | Prepared By | | |
| ARJA-1793 Structural Drawing | 29 June 2018 | Tihani Consulting Engineers | | |
| SW1 Stormwater Drawing 190704 | Unknown | Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers | | |
| SW2 Stormwater Drawing 190704 | Unknown | Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers | | |

| Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within: | | | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Report No. / Page No. / Section No. | Dated | Prepared By | |
| Geotechnical Risk Form 2B | 22 August 2019 | White Geotechnical Group | |
| Bushfire Safety Provisions Compliance Certificate 100B – 238 – 2 | 7 November 2019 | Sydney Bushfire Consultants | |

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

B. Delete Condition 15 Certification of Structures:

MOD2019/0528 Page 11 of 12



DELETED

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

cer

Claire Ryan, Principal Planner

The application is determined on 10/01/2020, under the delegated authority of:

Matthew Edmonds, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2019/0528 Page 12 of 12