

---

**Sent:** 21/09/2021 12:15:55 PM  
**Subject:** Application Number: REV2021/0034

I was unable to submit this response to the above application yesterday as planned as I was unwell.

This application for a review of a rejected submission should be rejected. It proposes substantially the same redevelopment of the site as was rejected in 2020 and fails to comply with so many significant development controls. This kind of review strategy is called “applying lipstick to a pig”: the product is still a pig. A further laughable and cynical ploy is to depict the development as a “community centre”. Certainly it has resulted in an almost uniform community response: that it is not a suitable redevelopment of the site.

Most of us locals would welcome a redevelopment at 231 but we won't be fatigued by repeated attempts by the developer to wear us, and Council, down by tinkering at the edges of plans that are so unsuitable for that site and this beach. This is an attempt by a speculator to enrich himself at our expense. These plans should be rejected and new, complying plans should be submitted in their place.

Dorothy Kamaker  
158A Whale Beach Road  
Whale Beach.