

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2020/1181
Responsible Officer:	Jordan Davies
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 55 DP 10974, 38 Mildred Avenue MANLY VALE NSW 2093
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dwelling house
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Sonia Sadiq Gandhi Sohum Gandhi
Applicant:	Sohum Gandhi

Application Lodged:	28/09/2020	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions	
Notified:	05/10/2020 to 19/10/2020	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	4.3 Height of buildings: 9.6%	
Recommendation:	Approval	

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposed development is for alterations and additions to a dwelling house. Specifically, the proposal consists of:

\$ 700,000.00

Externally the proposal encompasses:

- New side addition to the garage & section of drive to accommodate 2 vehicles
- New ground floor walls & deck to the front of the dwelling
- New side addition lining northern elevation walls
- New rear deck, spa & stairs

Estimated Cost of Works:

- New lower ground studio & stairs
- New 1st floor addition with rear deck



• New sheet metal roofs, skylights & solar panels

Internally the proposal encompasses:

- New lower ground studio office with 2 rooms, wet bar, bathroom & meeting room
- New ground floor reconfiguration & refurbishment of all rooms with new front entry & pantry off kitchen
- New internal stairs
- New 1st floor 3 bedrooms, ensuite, bath, storage & sitting room

Amended Plans

Amended plans were received with clarified the maximum building height, provided privacy screen to the northern elevation deck, privacy treatment to upper floor window 29 south elevation and reduced the extent of the rear retaining wall and fill adjoining Horning Parade. The application was not required to be renotified as this reduced the development.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 - 4.3 Height of buildings Warringah Development Control Plan - B1 Wall Heights Warringah Development Control Plan - B3 Side Boundary Envelope Warringah Development Control Plan - B7 Front Boundary Setbacks Warringah Development Control Plan - D7 Views

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 55 DP 10974 , 38 Mildred Avenue MANLY VALE NSW 2093
	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the eastern side of Mildred Avenue at the end of a col-du-sac. The site also has frontage to Horning Parade and a 4.5m pedestrian walkway along the southern boundary.



The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 19.545m along Mildred Avenue and a depth of 55.64m. The site has a surveyed area of 751.5m².

The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates a detached single storey dwelling with subfloor area, and an detached garage.

The site has a steep topgraphy along the southern boundary with a slope of 29% across the building footprint. The dwelling is sited upon a rock outcrop in the south-eastern corner and the rear yard slopes steadily to towards Horning Parade.

The site has a number of low and medium height shrubs and trees. No significant canopy trees exist on the site.

Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by detached dwelling houses of one, two and three stories. Immediately adjoining the site to the north is a single storey dwelling, to the south is a two storey dwelling (recently obtained consent for first floor addition). Further along Horning Parade a larger 2 and 3 storey dwellings sited upon the ridgetop.



SITE HISTORY

A search of Council's records has revealed that there are no recent or relevant applications for this site.

Map:



The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. Additional information was requested in relation to building height, privacy and retaining walls.
	<u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition



Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	of consent.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
	<u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report.
economic impacts in the locality	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 05/10/2020 to 19/10/2020 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.



REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
NECC (Development Engineering)	Development Engineering has no objection to the application subject to the following condition of consent.
External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A389349 dated 11 September 2020).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

<u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:



- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP? Yes		
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement Proposed		% Variation	Complies	
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	9.32m	9.6%	No	

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
2.7 Demolition requires consent	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	No (see detail under Clause 4.6 below)
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.6 Exceptions to development standards

Description of non-compliance:

Development standard:	Height of buildings
Requirement:	8.5m
Proposed:	9.32m



Percentage variation to requirement: 9.6%

Assessment of request to vary a development standard:

The following assessment of the variation to Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings development standard, has taken into consideration the judgements contained within *Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council* [2018] NSWLEC 118, Baron Corporation Pty Limited v Council of the City of Sydney [2019] NSWLEC 61, and Rebel/MH Neutral Bay Pty Limited v North Sydney Council [2019] NSWCA 130.

Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards:

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

(a) to provide an appropriate degree of flexibility in applying certain development standards to particular development,

(b) to achieve better outcomes for and from development by allowing flexibility in particular circumstances.

(2) Development consent may, subject to this clause, be granted for development even though the development would contravene a development standard imposed by this or any other environmental planning instrument. However, this clause does not apply to a development standard that is expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

Comment:

Clause 4.3 – Height of Buildings development standard is not expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

(3) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless the consent authority has considered a written request from the applicant that seeks to justify the contravention of the development standard by demonstrating:

(a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

(b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

(4) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless:

(a) the consent authority is satisfied that:

(i) the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by subclause (3), and

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out, and

(b) the concurrence of the Secretary has been obtained.

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(i) (Justification) assessment:

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(i) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that the applicant's written request, seeking to justify the contravention of the development standard, has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3). There are two separate matters for consideration contained within cl 4.6(3) and these are addressed as follows:



(a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

Comment:

The Applicant's written request (attached to this report as an Appendix) has demonstrated that the objectives of the development standard are achieved, notwithstanding the non-compliance with the development standard.

In doing so, the Applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of this case as required by cl 4.6(3)(a).

(b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

Comment:

In the matter of Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118, Preston CJ provides the following guidance (para 23) to inform the consent authority's finding that the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard:

'As to the second matter required by cl 4.6(3)(b), the grounds relied on by the applicant in the written request under cl 4.6 must be "environmental planning grounds" by their nature: see Four2Five Pty Ltd v Ashfield Council [2015] NSWLEC 90 at [26]. The adjectival phrase "environmental planning" is not defined, but would refer to grounds that relate to the subject matter, scope and purpose of the EPA Act, including the objects in s 1.3 of the EPA Act.'

s 1.3 of the EPA Act reads as follows:

1.3 Objects of Act(cf previous s 5)

The objects of this Act are as follows:

(a) to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources,
(b) to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environmental and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment,

(c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land,

(d) to promote the delivery and maintenance of affordable housing,

(e) to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats,

(f) to promote the sustainable management of built and cultural heritage (including Aboriginal cultural heritage),

(g) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment,

(h) to promote the proper construction and maintenance of buildings, including the protection of the health and safety of their occupants,

(i) to promote the sharing of the responsibility for environmental planning and assessment between the different levels of government in the State,

(j) to provide increased opportunity for community participation in environmental planning and assessment.

The applicants written request argues, in part:



2.7 How is strict compliance with the development standard unreasonable or unnecessary in this particular case?

There many surrounding lots that provide elevated roof positions. These include No.36 Mildred Avenue & No.26 & 28 Horning Parade. The variation in this case is very similar in comparison as the designs all use flat roofs & stepped decks using the existing topography. This application is actually in keeping with a low-density residential environment desired by Council in this area as well as the objectives of the zone. The development has no negative consequence of significance as a result of this minor noncompliance, further it meets the objectives of the development standard, and therefore strict compliance with the development standard would be unreasonable and unnecessary.

In this circumstance, it is unreasonable and unnecessary to strictly comply with the building height standard given that the resulting development will be absent of any negative environmental or planning outcomes. The proposal would be indiscernible to a development that strictly complied with the numerical control. For the reasons stated above, it is argued that a variation be supported as it ultimately results in an improved planning outcome for the streetscape and general locality along Mildred Avenue & Horning Parade.

Streetscape – The visual quality of the streetscape is to be enhanced with the alterations to the front & rear of the property as the design is in keeping with renovated & new dwellings along Mildred Avenue & Horning Parade. The intention for the rear addition to the dwelling is to present a renovated dwelling that is consistent front to back. Extending the upper eastern end of the rear roof increases the height slightly, as it provides cover over the rear deck area, but does not detract from the streetscape along Mildred Avenue & Horning Parade. The proposed additions provide a generally consistent pattern of development with regard to adjoining front & secondary front building setbacks, and as such, the proposal will not result in any visually prominent element that will result in an unreasonable impact on the streetscape & is not out of character for the neighbourhood. These characteristics for the building height conform to the R2 low density residential requirements for the Manly Vale area & modifying the structure would, in our opinion, contravene the R2 zoning objectives by adversely affecting the streetscape along Mildred Avenue & the desired future character of the area.

Bulk & Scale is maintained for the area. Although the bulk & scale of the building is increased, the overall size & bulk in relation to the surrounding neighbourhood is to be maintained throughout the development. There is no adverse visual impact as viewed from Horning Parade to the east with surrounding properties to maintain their existing visual amenity. It is in our opinion that a smaller dwelling would be unreasonable with the flat roof & stepped deck design allowing for a more appealing streetscape with the design in keeping with other properties along Horning Parade. It should also be noted that the slope between the external walls of the building footprint is 29% as the property falls dramatically part way through the existing building footprint. The area is known for views due to the escarpment sloping with tiered gardens & stepping with rock outcrops creating near vertical changes in elevation.

The existing topography & built form prevents the proposed roof line from adhering to the 8.5m building height. The proposal presents as a regular two storey dwelling from the Mildred Avenue streetscape with the design stepping with the topography to the rear. It would be unreasonable to force a lowering of the ridge as it would not only have differing ceiling heights internally, the flat box style contemporary design of the dwelling would be adversely impacted from the streetscape from Horning Parade as there would be too many changes in façade. The proposal is a design option that supports a preferred planning outcome of a contemporary design that compliments the existing surrounding neighbourhood. The intention is to provide a balance between the proposed additions to the existing landscaping & built form.

2.8 How would strict compliance hinder the attainment of the objects specified in Section 5(a)(i) and (ii) of the Act?



The proposal extends the existing built form of the subject property with well-designed additions improving on the ageing traditional dwelling. The proposed works add to the already renovated dwellings along Mildred Avenue & Horning Parade, and as such, strict compliance in this regard would limit the objects being fully attained. The proposed works provide a more efficient and orderly development on the land that is of high-quality architectural design which maximises the sites development potential along with providing appropriate housing stock within the locality.

2.11 Are there sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard?

Yes, Section 4.6 enables a development standard within an LEP to be varied, providing sufficient and compelling arguments based on sound planning rationale and legislation are put forward to support the variation.

The following environmental planning grounds justify contravening the development standard.

- The proposal provides a more environmentally friendly dwelling.
- The proposal utilizes existing services.
- The proposal provides compliant private open space and landscaping.
- The proposal provides onsite parking.
- The proposal provides improved housing in a low-density environment.

The variation to the maximum building height requirements is, in our opinion, acceptable and there are appropriate planning grounds in support of the non-compliance.

Council Assessment

Council generally agree with the assertions made by the applicant with regards to the environmental planning grounds put forward. The south-eastern corner of the site has a steep fall away due to the building being cited upon an existing rock outcrop and the topography of the site makes it very difficult to achieve a compliant building height via a first floor addition when keeping the ground floor of the dwelling as intended by this application. The use of the existing building and foundations is an economic use of land and the non-compliance does not result in any unreasonable environmental impacts or unreasonable amenity impacts upon surrounding properties.

In this regard, the applicant's written request has demonstrated that the proposed development is an orderly and economic use and development of the land, and that the structure is of a good design that will reasonably protect and improve the amenity of the surrounding built environment, therefore satisfying cls 1.3 (c) and (g) of the EPA Act.

Therefore, the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard as required by cl 4.6 (3)(b).

Therefore, Council is satisfied that the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3).

Clause 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) (Public Interest) assessment:

cl 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that:

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out



Comment:

In considering whether or not the proposed development will be in the public interest, consideration must be given to the underlying objectives of the Height of Buildings development standard and the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone. An assessment against these objectives is provided below.

Objectives of development standard

The underlying objectives of the standard, pursuant to Clause 4.3 – 'Height of buildings' of the WLEP 2011 are:

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

a) to ensure that buildings are compatible with the height and scale of surrounding and nearby development,

Comment:

The building will present as a compliant two storey dwelling from Mildred Avenue. The noncompliant portion of the building is visible from Horning Parade. However, there are a number of examples of dwellings fronting Horning Parade to the north of the site which present as three storey buildings sitting upon the ridgeline and the proposed development is not inconsistent with this and would therefore be compatible with surrounding nearby development. The immediately adjoining site to the south has consent to construct a first floor addition and will sit similarly to the proposed development within the topography.

b) to minimise visual impact, disruption of views, loss of privacy and loss of solar access,

Comment:

The proposal will not have a view impact for surrounding properties. The adjoining properties will retain solar access in accordance with the DCP requirements. The building has been designed to retain reasonable privacy for adjoining sites.

c) to minimise adverse impact of development on the scenic quality of Warringah's coastal and bush environments,

Comment:

The site is not in a particularly sensitive location with regard to coastal or bushland environments. The proposal is acceptable in this regard. Sufficient deep soil is available throughout the site for new landscape plantings.

d) to manage the visual impact of development when viewed from public places such as parks and reserves, roads and community facilities,

Comment:

The building displays a high quality architectural outcome with each level being stepped back with the topography as viewed from the north, south and eastern elevations. As viewed from Horning Parade the building steps back and is well articulated. The proposed development has a flat roof to limit the overall bulk and scale. The building as seen from the road will have a similar



presentation in regards to bulk and scale of surrounding buildings on the western side of Horning Parade. Overall, the building is well articulated to mitigate bulk and scale.

Zone objectives

The underlying objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone are:

• To provide for the housing needs of the community within a low density residential environment.

Comment: The proposal provides a renovated dwelling that meets the needs of the residents and future members of the community with regards to the improved building outcome on the site.

• To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents.

Comment: Not applicable to the development.

• To ensure that low density residential environments are characterised by landscaped settings that are in harmony with the natural environment of Warringah.

Comment: The development remains as a detached dwelling house, surrounded by landscape planting with minimal additional disturbance to the site to provide the building additions. No significant trees are removed as a result of the proposal.

Conclusion:

For the reasons detailed above, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the R2 Low Density Residential zone.

Clause 4.6 (4)(b) (Concurrence of the Secretary) assessment:

cl. 4.6(4)(b) requires the concurrence of the Secretary to be obtained in order for development consent to be granted.

Planning Circular PS 18-003 dated 21 February 2018 issued by the NSW Department of Planning & Infrastructure, advises that the concurrence of the Secretary may be assumed for exceptions to development standards under environmental planning instruments that adopt Clause 4.6 of the Standard Instrument. In this regard, given the consistency of the variation to the objectives of the zone, the concurrence of the Secretary for the variation to the Height of buildings Development Standard is assumed by the delegate of Council as the development contravenes a numerical standard by less than or equal to 10%.

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	North - 6.4m South - 8.7m	N/A	No
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	4m	North - Within	N/A	Yes
	4m	South - Outside	N/A	No
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	0.9m	North - 0.9m	N/A	Yes

Built Form Controls



	0.9m	South - 0.91m	N/A	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	6.5m	2.7m - 7.4m	N/A	No
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	6m	15.8m	N/A	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space (LOS) and Bushland Setting	40%	46%	N/A	Yes

*Note: The percentage variation is calculated on the *overall* numerical variation (ie: for LOS - Divide the proposed area by the numerical requirement then multiply the proposed area by 100 to equal X, then 100 minus X will equal the percentage variation. Example: $38/40 \times 100 = 95$ then 100 - 95 = 5% variation)

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B1 Wall Heights	No	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	No	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C3 Parking Facilities	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C5 Erosion and Sedimentation	Yes	Yes
C6 Building over or adjacent to Constructed Council Drainage Easements	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D13 Front Fences and Front Walls	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D15 Side and Rear Fences	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes



Clause		Consistency Aims/Objectives
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B1 Wall Heights

Description of non-compliance

The DCP control requires a 7.2m wall height. The control contains a variation clause when the slope of the land is in excess of 20%. The southern elevation has a maximum wall height between 6.5m and 8.7m and a slope of 29%. The land falls away sharply towards the south-eastern corner of the building creating a non-compliant wall height on the southern elevation partially caused by the siting of the existing dwelling upon a natural rock outcrop.

The portion of the wall that is non-compliant is articulated from the ground floor to mitigate building bulk and provide visual relief and the building is well stepped along this corner to provide visual releif and a generous 2.5m setback from the boundary.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To minimise the visual impact of development when viewed from adjoining properties, streets, waterways and land zoned for public recreation purposes.

Comment:

The non-compliant portion of the building does not sit directly adjacent to the outdoor deck and seating area for the southern property. The portion of building is setback 2.5m from the southern boundary, in addition there is a public walk way approximately 4.5m wide, overall resulting in 7m of building separation to the southern property for the non-compliant section of wall. The non-compliance will not be visible from Mildred Avenue. The non-compliance will be visible from Horning Parade, however a review of the surrounding development which sit on the high side of Horning Parade reveal that the proposal will not be inconsistent, with two and three storey buildings sitting upon the ridgeline is the established character.

• To ensure development is generally beneath the existing tree canopy level

Comment:

There are no significant canopy tress upon the site or over the existing area and the proposal does not remove any significant trees. There is sufficient deep soil within the site to establish



canopy trees.

• To provide a reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.

Comment:

The proposal does not result in a view impact.

• To minimise the impact of development on adjoining or nearby properties.

Comment:

The development has been designed to retain a reasonable amount of privacy through the location of windows and privacy treatment to upper floor living room windows. The adjoining properties will retain solar access in accordance with the DCP controls.

• To ensure that development responds to site topography and to discourage excavation of the natural landform.

Comment:

The proposed development sits over an existing rock outcrop which causes the elevated nature of the building and non-compliance. The building makes use of the existing topography and void area created by the building extension. The building is stepped back a sufficient amount from the boundary to respond to the sharp fall of the land in the south-eastern part of the site.

• To provide sufficient scope for innovative roof pitch and variation in roof design.

Comment:

A flat roof has been used to limit the overall bulk and scale.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of WLEP 2011, WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

B3 Side Boundary Envelope

Description of non-compliance

The building has a envelope breach of the southern elevation of the property which is primarily caused by the sharp drop off at the south-eastern corner of the building with the existing building sited upon a rock outcrop. The building is considered to be sufficiently stepped to mitigate overall bulk and scale while making use of the existing ground floor layout. The building is compliant on the northern elevation due to the site being flat at this boundary.

Merit consideration



With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.

Comment:

The area of non-compliance along the southern elevation of the building does not directly adjoin an area of private open space for the southern property which would be visually imposing upon the southern property. The site to the south is separated by a 4.5m pedestrian only laneway which allows additional building separation between sites. A review of the surrounding properties reveals numerous two and three storey buildings within the vicinity of the site and the proposed development is not inconsistent with recent developments along Horning Parade and Mildred Avenue. The building has a flat roof and is well articulated throughout to limit bulk and provide visual relief.

• To ensure adequate light, solar access and privacy by providing spatial separation between buildings.

Comment:

The proposal provides reasonable measures to mitigate overlooking of the two adjoining properties. The adjoining properties will retain solar access in accordance with the DCP. The most non-compliant portion of the building at the south-eastern corner has a 2.5m setback, plus another 4.5m provided by the laneway. This 7m of building separation to the southern boundary is considered sufficient separation in the residential context.

• To ensure that development responds to the topography of the site.

Comment:

The existing building is sited upon a rock outcrop in the south-eastern corner creates a unique circumstance which results in the envelope breach (as measured from the boundary) due to the land sharply falling away and the existing siting of the building upon the outcrop. The building overall is well stepped to mitigate bulk and scale in the south-eastern corner of the site to respond to topography.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WLEP 2011 / WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

Description of non-compliance

The DCP requires that a 6.5m front setback is provided. The site has an existing single garage within the front setback which the proposed development makes an extension to. The south-western corner of the garage is compliant, with the non-compliance caused partly attributed to the irregular shaped front boundary. The extension of the garage by 2.1m to the north does not result in any amenity impacts, has



limited bulk and scale due to the flat roof and is not out of character for the area with a number of reduced setback garages at Mildred Avenue including the immediately adjoining site to the south.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To create a sense of openness.

Comment:

The partial extension of the garage by 2.1m is a minor change from the existing situation, not causing a sense of openness to be diminished.

• To maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings and landscape elements.

Comment:

The southern property has a reduced setback garage and the proposal is not inconsistent with this. Landscaping is provided to the north of the garage.

• To protect and enhance the visual quality of streetscapes and public spaces.

Comment:

The garage alteration will also refurbish the existing red brick garage which will result in an improved streetscape outcome and a modern garage to compliment the proposed additions.

• To achieve reasonable view sharing.

Comment:

No view impact from the garage.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WLEP 2011 / WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D7 Views

The northern elevation of the rear facing deck has been provided with 1.7m height privacy screen to limit direct views to the northern property.

The rear facing deck is off-set from the rear facing deck at the southern adjoining property and views between deck areas are limited in this regard. The upper floor southern elevation window (W29) to have privacy treatment up to 1.5m above floor living to mitigate overlooking from upper floor living room.



The development have been designed to maintain a reasonable level of privacy for the adjoining properties.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019.

A monetary contribution of \$7,000 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$700,000.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Council is satisfied that:

1) The Applicant's written request under Clause 4.6 of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 seeking to justify a contravention of Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings has adequately addressed and demonstrated that:



a) Compliance with the standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case; and

b) There are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the contravention.

2) The proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out.

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

That Northern Beaches Council as the consent authority vary clause 4.3 Height of Building development standard pursuant to clause 4.6 of the WLEP 2011 as the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the merits required to be demonstrated by subclause (3) and the proposed development will be in the public interest and is consistent with the objectives of the standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out.

Accordingly Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2020/1181 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 55 DP 10974, 38 Mildred Avenue, MANLY VALE, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
DA1004 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA1009 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA1010 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA1011 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA1012 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA2001 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA2002 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA2002 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA2003 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA2004 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA2005 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA3000 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans



DA3001 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA3002 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA3003 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA4000 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA4001 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA4002 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA4003 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans
DA5002 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans

Engineering Plans		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
DA1019 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:

Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
BASIX Certificate No.A389349	11/09/2020	Sohum Gandhi
Geotechnical Report AG 20232	12/09/2020	Ascent Geotechnical

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Deferred Commencement Conditions of this consent as approved in writing by Council.

c) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

d) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Landscape Plans		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
DA1016 - Rev 1	20/11/2020	Rapid Plans

Waste Management Plan		
Drawing No/Title.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	17/09/2020	Sohum Ghandi

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Prescribed Conditions

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);



- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.

- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
 - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

3. General Requirements

(a) Unless authorised by Council:



Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

- 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
- 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
- No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

• 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.
- (c) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (d) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (e) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (f) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (g) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (h) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (i) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (j) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (k) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is



dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place

- iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
- iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
- v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (I) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (m) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.
- (n) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
 - (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
- (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

4. No consent for a secondary dwelling

The development consent does not give approval for a secondary dwelling or the use of any part of the building as a secondary dwelling. Any use as a secondary dwelling is subject to a



separate application to Council.

Reason: To ensure the development and use is consistent with the consent granted.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

5. Policy Controls

Northern Beaches 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

A monetary contribution of \$7,000.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019. The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$700,000.00.

The monetary contribution is to be paid prior to the issue of the first Construction Certificate or Subdivision Certificate whichever occurs first, or prior to the issue of the Subdivision Certificate where no Construction Certificate is required. If the monetary contribution (total or in part) remains unpaid after the financial quarter that the development consent is issued, the amount unpaid (whether it be the full cash contribution or part thereof) will be adjusted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the applicable Consumer Price Index. If this situation applies, the cash contribution payable for this development will be the total unpaid monetary contribution as adjusted.

The proponent shall provide to the Certifying Authority written evidence (receipt/s) from Council that the total monetary contribution has been paid.

The Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019 may be inspected at 725 Pittwater Rd, Dee Why and at Council's Customer Service Centres or alternatively, on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

6. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$2,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is



located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

7. Stormwater Disposal

The applicant is to demonstrate how stormwater from the new development within this consent is disposed of to an existing approved system or in accordance with Northern Beaches Council's WATER MANAGEMENT FOR DEVELOPMENT POLICY.

Details demonstrating that the existing approved stormwater system can accommodate the additional flows, or compliance with the Council's specification are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal and stormwater management arising from development.

8. Structural Adequacy and Excavation Work

Excavation work is to ensure the stability of the soil material of adjoining properties, the protection of adjoining buildings, services, structures and / or public infrastructure from damage using underpinning, shoring, retaining walls and support where required. All retaining walls are to be structurally adequate for the intended purpose, designed and certified by a Structural Engineer, except where site conditions permit the following:

(a) maximum height of 900mm above or below ground level and at least 900mm from any property boundary, and

(b) Comply with AS3700, AS3600 and AS1170 and timber walls with AS1720 and AS1170.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To provide public and private safety.

9. **Compliance with Standards**

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

10. External Finishes to Roof

The external finish to the roof shall have a medium to dark range in order to minimise solar reflections to neighbouring properties. Any roof with a metallic steel finish is not permitted.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure that excessive glare or reflectivity nuisance does not occur as a result of the development.



CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

11. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

12. Installation and Maintenance of Sediment Control

Prior to any works commencing on site, including demolition, sediment and erosion controls must be installed in accordance with Landcom's 'Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction' (2004). Techniques used for erosion and sediment control on site are to be adequately maintained and monitored at all times, particularly after periods of rain, and shall remain in proper operation until all development activities have been completed and the site is sufficiently stabilised with vegetation.

Reason: To protect the surrounding environment from the effects of sedimentation and erosion from the site.

13. Protection of rocks and sites of significance

a) All rock outcrops outside of the area of approved works are to be preserved and protected at all times during demolition excavation and construction works.

b) Should any Aboriginal sites be uncovered during the carrying out of works, those works are to cease and Council, the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) and the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council are to be contacted.

Reason: Preservation of significant environmental features.

CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

14. Stormwater Disposal

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a suitably qualified person. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

15. Required tree planting

Trees shall be planted in accordance with the following schedule:

No. of Trees Required.	Species	L
2 x Locally native	Tree/s selected fromCouncil's Plants and Trees List	O
canopy trees capable of	available atwww.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.auor species	se
reaching 8m	capable of attaining a minimum height of 8 metres at maturity	re

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior



to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To maintain environmental amenity.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Haues

Jordan Davies, Planner

The application is determined on 07/12/2020, under the delegated authority of:

f. Hundri

Lashta Haidari, Acting Development Assessment Manager