

# Arboriculture Impact Assessment & Management Report for DA2022/0084

November 2021, Updated June 2022



Copyright, 2021. This report remains the sole property of AURA Tree Services until all relating fees have been settled. This report may only be used in its entirety § must be affixed to any report that references it.

### 1 Summary

#### All updated content is printed in Brown.

The Lincoln Courtney Family as the property owner have commissioned *Aura Tree Services Pty Ltd* to prepare an Updated Version of the "Arboriculture Impact Assessment & Management Report for the management of trees within both the 73 Marine Parade, Avalon Beach property & the front of property road reserve. Some discussed trees are exempt from protection on the basis of species/size or location. No trees within either side (north/south) common boundary properties are assessed as being potentially impacted upon by the proposed redevelopment of the site.

The subject site is known as 73 Marine Parade, Avalon Beach (Lot 114 in DP 8394).

The original form of this document was part of the as lodged DA2022/0084 for New – Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house, secondary dwelling and swimming pool. This document addresses concerns raised in the Landscape Referral Response dated 14 march 2022 (Claire Ryan).

The subject site is currently developed to contain a single dwelling house. This is proposed to be demolished & replaced with a new dwelling, including swimming pool & new landscape concept.

The new, subject of this document new dwelling house (including secondary dwelling) is a single multi-level structure.

The subject site is within the Northern Beaches Council-Local Government Area, i.e., previously within the Pittwater Council – Local Government Area. From herein acknowledged as the NBC.

The NBC is the sole consent authority relative to the trees discussed within this document.

Technically, the trees are still subject to the old *Pittwater Council Development Control Plan, Pittwater 21 adopted 2003, see Part B4 Controls Relating to the Natural Environment.* This document additionally acknowledges the SEPP 'Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas', August 2017. The discussed trees are mostly medium to long term established (i.e., less than fifteen years to well in excess of fortyfive years). Several (both within the subject site & the road reserve) are locally indigenous species.

Any tree supported or able to be removed (without permission) will ideally be replaced with new subject site preferably locally indigenous suitable species. The reason being preservation of land stability & continuity of 'canopy footprint density'.

Kyle Hill, Practicing & Consulting Arborist AQF Level 5 & 8, has prepared this document based on onsite observations & discussion with Lincoln Courtney on Wednesday, 27 October 2021 & most recently on Friday, 20 May 2022.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Summary2	
2	Introduction	
3	Methodology5	
4	Observations	
4.1	The Site6	
4.2	Site Survey with Tree Locations Confirmed & Tree Images9	
5	The Trees Summary Table & The Proposal11	
5.1	The Trees Summary Table:11	
6	Conclusion	
7	Limitations on the use of this report	
8	Assumptions	
9	Recommended References	
10	Selected Bibliography	
Appe	endix A-Glossary of Common Arboreal Terms	
Appe	endix B – Tree Protection/Management Prior to & During Construction	26

## 2 Introduction

NBC is the local government area primary consent authority relative to development & tree management for the discussed site.

The Site Plan (A01) identifies twenty-eight (28) trees within the subject site & road reserve. Not all trees are required to be discussed relative to the proposed works.

Trees numbered on the Site Plan as Tree #1, Tree#5, Tree #6, Tree #7, Tree #8, Tree #9, Tree #10, Tree #11, Tree #12, Tree #13, Tree #14, Tree #15, Tree #19 & Tree #28 are proposed to be retained.

Trees numbered on the Site Plan as Tree #2, Tree #3, Tree #4, Tree #16, Tree #17, Tree #18, Tree #20, Tree #21, Tree #22, Tree 323, Tree #24, Tree #25, Tree #26 & Tree #27 are proposed to be removed/replaced.

All discussed trees are within the subject site or the front of the subject site road reserve.

Relative to the Pittwater 21 DCP, the following Part B, section B4 Controls are believed to apply to the subject site; B4.1 Flora & Fauna Conservation Area Category 1, B4.2 Flora & Fauna Habitat Enhancement Category 2 Land, B4.4 Wildlife Corridors, B4.5 Protection of Native Wildlife, B4.6 Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest-Endangered Ecological Community, B4.10 Land Adjoining Bushland & B4.22 Preservation of Trees Bushland Vegetation, C1.1 Landscaping, C1.5 Visual Privacy, C1.24 Public Road Reserve – Landscape & infrastructure & D1 Avalon Beach. Also acknowledged is the SEPP 'Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas', August 2017.

The subject site is 'Land Zoned' both C3, 'Environmental Management' & C4 'Environmental Conservation'. The subject site is NOT within a "Heritage Conservation Area". (See page 7 of this document.) The subject site & adjoining sites are not listed "Heritage Items". The discussed trees are not known to be listed on any "Significant Tree Register". Relative to "Habitat Potential" the discussed trees only have the capacity to support canopy nesting fauna.

This document is based on Visual Tree Assessment (Stage 1 & 2) plus observations made when onsite where discussion/data collection was undertaken.

This document will support the proposal for development as has been presented in documents (Revision B, dated 5 May 2022) provided by GartnerTrovato Architects via the property owner, Lincoln Courtney.

# 3 Methodology

Assessment of the discussed trees has been by eye from ground level & aerial photography from multiple sources. Implementation of the *Visual Tree Assessment (VTA) Stage 1 principles* developed by Claus Mattheck, et.al is the assessment method & tool chosen for this site. The principles of *VTA* Stage 1 are explained & illustrated in his publication *The Body Language of Trees (1994)*.

Assessment includes:

- *Tree's current condition & likely ULE.*
- Perusal of Revision B Gartner Trovato Plans, Elevations, Sections etc.,
- Perusal of NBC 'Tree Management Provisions' i.e., the old Pittwater Council Development Control Plan, Pittwater 21, adopted 2003. Part B, Section B4,
- Perusal of "Heritage Conservation Area" Pittwater Council LEP 2014 mapping
- Perusal of NBC "Endangered Ecological Community listing" information.
- Research for listing on any "Significant Tree Register".
- Discussion of environment where the trees are growing &
- Tree's amenity & retention value, related to significance, screening & habitat.

No root tissue (laboratory) analysis, soil testing, '*Resistograph*'<sup>®</sup>, '*ArborTom*'<sup>®</sup> assessment or similar was undertaken.

See the Attachments Included as Appendix A & Appendix B for further information:

- Appendix A Glossary of Common Arboreal terms
- Appendix B Tree Protection & Management Prior to & During Construction

# 4 Observations

### 4.1 The Site

The subject sit is mostly Land Zoned 'C3' Environmental Conservation. By Site Survey the lot is  $933.00m^2$ . It is additionally classified as being subject to the provisions within the Pittwater spotted gum forest in the Sydney Bioregion – endangered ecological community listing (NSW Scientific Committee – final determination, see Part 3 of schedule 1 of the Act.)





Figure 1: Map & Aerial photograph courtesy Whereis.com & NBC (web tools)

e Pitty	Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014	
Land Shee	l Zoning Map - et LZN_016	
Zone		
B1	Neighbourhood Centre	
B2	Local Centre	
B4	Mixed Use	
B6	Enterprise Corridor	
B7	Business Park	
E1	National Parks and Nature Reserves	
E2	Environment Conservation	
E3	Environmental Management	
E4	Environmental Living	Subject Site
IN2	Light Industrial	
IN4	Working Waterfront	
R2	Low Density Residential	
R3	Medium Density Residential	
R5	Large Lot Residential	
RE1	Public Recreation	
RE2	Private Recreation	
RU2	Rural Landscape	
SP1	Special Activities	
SP2	Infrastructure	
SP3	Tourist	
W1	Natural Waterways	
W2	Recreational Waterways	



Figure 2: Above; confirms 'Heritage Conservation Area & Previous page; confirms Land Zoning Status', Below; confirms Wildlife Corridor Status



### 4.2 Site Survey with Tree Locations Confirmed & Tree Images





Figure 3: Above illustrates the road reserve discussed trees (Red Arrow trees are proposed to be replaced). Below illustrates front & rear yard high value trees proposed to be retained.





# 5 The Trees Summary Table & The Proposal

## 5.1 <u>The Trees Summary Table</u>:

Read this table in conjunction with Appendix A-Common Arboreal Terms

men	m with Appendix 7					oval					Trees Supported for retention
		Prope	osed to b	be trans	Trees r	etainable but of low amenity/significance or E					
	Identification	Height (m)	Crown (m)	DBH (m)	TPZ (m)	SRZ (m)	Age	Health/ Vigour	Structure	Form/ Habit	Comments
1	<i>Phoenix</i> <i>canariensis</i> Canary Isle Date Palm	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Manager</u> No discussion required. Tree is away fro Standard TPZ fencing.
2	Banksia integrifolia Coast Banksia	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new drivey
3	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new driver
4	<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i> Broad Leaf Paperbark	<11.50	<10.50	0.52	6.24	2.67	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new drive
5	<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i> Broad Leaf Paperbark	<14.00	<12.50	0.62	7.44	2.87	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Retain</u> , <u>Protect</u> & <u>Manage</u> No discussion required. Tree is away fro Standard TPZ fencing.
6	<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i> Broad Leaf Paperbark	<14.00	<12.50	0.62	7.44	2.87	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Manage</u> No discussion required. Tree is away fro Standard TPZ fencing.
7	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coast Banksia	<12.00	<11.50	0.56	6.72	2.81	М	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Manage</u> No discussion required. Tree is away fro Standard TPZ fencing.
8	<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i> Broad Leaf Paperbark	<14.00	<12.50	0.62	7.44	2.87	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	<14.00	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Manage</u> No discussion required. Tree is away fro Standard TPZ fencing.
9	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Manage</u> No discussion required. Tree is away fro Standard TPZ fencing.

### Exempt species

:

rom any works.

eway footprint.

eway footprint.

eway footprint.

:

rom any works.

:

rom any works.

rom any works.

.

rom any works.

rom any works.

Aura Tree Servíces

November 2021, updated June 2022

	-	Frees Re	commei	nded fo	or rem	oval					Trees Supported for retention
		Propo	sed to b	e trans	plante		Trees retain	nable but of low amenity/significance or			
	Identification	Height (m)	Crown (m)	DBH (m)	TPZ (m)	SRZ (m)	Age	Health/ Vigour	Structure	Form/ Habit	Comments
10	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Man</u> No discussion required. Tree is away Standard TPZ fencin
11	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Mar</u> No discussion required. Tree is awa Standard TPZ fencin
12	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Man</u> Tree is close to disturba Manual excavation within TPZ r Standard TPZ fencin
13	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Mar</u> Tree is close to disturba Manual excavation within TPZ r Standard TPZ fencir
14	<i>Banksia integrifolia</i> Coast Banksia	<4.50	<4.50	<0.30	3.60	N/A	SM	Good & Good	High/High	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Mar</u> Manual excavation within TPZ r Standard TPZ fencin
15	<i>Stelitzia Nicholai</i> Giant Bird of Paradise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Mar</u> Site Plan proposes reter Standard TPZ fencir
16	<i>Syagrus</i> <i>romanzoffianum</i> Cocos Palm	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwellir footprint.
17	<i>Phoenix canariensis</i> Canary Isle Date Palm	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwellir footprint.
18	<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp. Gum Tree (not recognised as locally indigenous)	<8.00	<7.50	0.38	4.56	2.34	М	Poor & Poor	Low/Low	Typical	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwellir footprint.
19	<i>Ficus rubiginosa</i> Port Jackson Fig	<8.50	<8.00	0.48	5.67	2.65	М	Fair & Fair	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Man</u> Site Plan proposes reter Standard TPZ fencin

# or Exempt species nage: ay from any works. ing. anage: ay from any works. ing. nage: bance. radial distance ing. inage: bance. radial distance ing. anage: radial distance ing. inage: ention. ing. ing/hard landscape area ing/hard landscape area ing/hard landscape area inage: ention. ng.

November 2021, updated June 2022

	Trees Recommen	removal						]	Frees Recon	mmended for retention	
	Proposed to be	anted		Trees retainable but of low amenity/significance or Exempt specie							
	Identification	Height (m)	Crown (m)	DBH (m)	TPZ (m)	SRZ (m)	Age	Health/ Vigour	Structure	Form/ Habit	Comments
20	Stump Giant Bird of Paradise	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Only a stump is present</u>
21	<i>Archontophoenix</i> <i>cunninghamiana</i> Bangalow Palm	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within new dwelling fo
22	<i>Brachychiton</i> <i>acerifolius</i> Illawarra Flame Tree	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwelling/ footprint.
23	<i>Glochidion</i> <i>ferdinandi</i> Cheese Tree	<7.50	<6.50	0.23	2.76	1.91	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	<7.50	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwelling, footprint.
24	<i>Unknown</i> spp. Likely Rainforest Tree	<8.00	<4.50	0.20	2.40	1.82	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwelling, footprint.
25	<i>Eucalyptus</i> <i>microcorys</i> Tallowwood Gum	<8.50	<9.50	0.27	3.24	2.02	М	Good & Good	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwelling, footprint.
26	<i>Syagrus</i> <i>romanzoffianum</i> Cocos Palm	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwelling, footprint.
27	<i>Glochidion</i> <i>ferdinandi</i> Cheese Tree	<6.50	<4.50	0.19	2.28	1.79	М	Fair & Fair	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Replace</u> : Tree is within or too close to new dwelling, footprint.
28	<i>Livistona australis</i> Cabbage Palm	<2.50	<3.00	<0.30	<3 .60	N/A	М	Fair & Fair	Moderate/ Moderate	Typical	<u>Retain, Protect</u> & <u>Manag</u> Standard TPZ fencing.

<u>nt</u> :
footprint.
g/hard landscape area
<u>age</u> : g.

### 5.2 <u>The Proposal</u>:















Figure 4: Page 14llustrates the proposed SITE PLAN/SITE ANALYSIS, Page 15 illustrates the proposed GARAGE & ENTRY, Page 16 illustrates the proposed LOWER LEVEL PLAN, Page 17 illustrates the proposed MID LEVEL PLAN, Page 18 illustrates the proposed UPPER LEVEL PLAN, Page 19 illustrates the proposed NORTH/SOUTH ELEVATIONS & Page 20 illustrates the proposed EAST/WEST ELEVATIONS.

WES	T ELEVATION	
	SGIAB	09/05/2022
A2	A-07	ианари В

#### 5.3 <u>Discussion</u>:

The proposed works requires a significant number of subject site & road reserve trees to be replaced.

By Site Survey of the twenty-eight (28) discussed trees, ten (10) are located within the front of subject site road reserve. All other discussed trees are located within the subject site.

Fourteen (14) trees are proposed by the Architect to be retained, protected & managed. Fourteen (14) trees are proposed by the Architect to be replaced. One (1) discussed tree does not exist (except as a stump) but is discussed on the basis it is located nearby a significant (by species) tree proposed to be retained (Tree #19).

Road reserve trees proposed to be retained will by Site Specific 'Tree Plan of Management' (required post DA determination) will require manual excavation within any single tree's calculated Tree Protection Zone (from herein TPZ) radial distance. They will also be specified to be isolated from the as proposed works installing 'Temporary Metal Mesh Fencing Panel with above ground Supports. Tree #1 is to be isolated as an individual tree. Other road reserve trees ideally can be isolated as a single group of trees.

The four (4) trees as proposed to be retained, protected & managed within the subject site are to have individual TPZ structures erected around them. (See above description.) Likewise for the road reserve trees being retained any disturbance within any individual tree TPZ radial distance must be completed manually.

The fourteen (14) trees proposed to be removed plus one (1) tree that does not exist are specified to be replaced.

TPZ installations are to be maintained in a manner compliant with the *Australian Standard (AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites).* See Chapters 3, 4 & 5.

Any tree removal must be completed in compliance with the *WorkSafe NSW* 'Amenity Tree Industry Code of Practice, 1998.

Replacement trees must be sourced from growers/suppliers whose stock meets the production benchmarks of the *Australian Standard (AS2303-2015 Tree stock for landscape use).* 

Any tree pruning for retained trees must be completed by suitably qualified & experienced persons (or persons under the direct instruction/supervision of a suitably qualified/experienced person) in compliance with the Australian Standard (AS4373-2007 Pruning of amenity trees). See Chapters 7 (Pruning Classes) & 9 (Root Pruning).

Once a DA determination has been granted, a Site Specific 'Plan of Tree Management' will be essential.

## 6 Conclusion

Trees numbered on the Site Plan as Tree #2, Tree #3, Tree #4, Tree #16, Tree #17, Tree #18, Tree #20, Tree #21, Tree #22, Tree 323, Tree #24, Tree #25, Tree #26 & Tree #27 are proposed to be removed/replaced.

- Trees numbered on the Site Survey as Tree #1, Tree#5, Tree #6, Tree #7, Tree #8, Tree #9, Tree #10, Tree #11, Tree #12, Tree #13, Tree #14, Tree #15, Tree #19 & Tree #28 are proposed to be retained. All are considered as being able to be viably retained, managed & protected prior to the commencement & throughout the development process in a manner that will not compromise any individuals tree's ULE.
- Trees numbered on the Site Survey as Tree #2, Tree #3, Tree #4, Tree #16, Tree #17, Tree #18, Tree #20, Tree #21, Tree #22, Tree 323, Tree #24, Tree #25, Tree #26 & Tree #27 are proposed to be removed/replaced.
- Trees supported for replacement ideally at maturity should replicate the temporary loss of 'landscape amenity' they currently provide in a manner that equals or exceeds the current 'canopy density' footprint they provide.
- The replacement trees ideally should be of a mix of Genus/species of the Pittwater spotted gum forest in the Sydney Bioregion endangered ecological community listing (NSW Scientific Committee final determination, see Part 3 of schedule 1 of the Act.)

If you have any questions relating to this report or require the implementation of recommendations, please contact Kyle Hill (Wednesdays or Fridays) on 02 9939 0078.

### 7 Limitations on the use of this report

This report is to be utilised in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions, conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or a copy) is referenced in, & directly attached to that submission, report or presentation.

### 8 Assumptions

Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable resources. All data has been verified insofar as possible; however, AURA Tree Services Pty Ltd, can neither guarantee nor be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.

Unless stated otherwise:

Information contained in this report covers only the trees that were examined & reflects the condition of the trees at the time of inspection; and

The inspection was limited to visual examination of the subject trees without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the future.

# 9 Recommended References

- Barrell, J. 1993. '*Preplanning Tree Surveys: Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) is the Natural Progression*', Arboricultural Journal 17:1, February 1993,
- Barrell, J. 1995, '*Pre-development Tree Assessments*', in Trees & Building Sites, Proceedings of n International Conference Held in the Interest of Developing a Scientific Basis for Managing Trees in Proximity to Buildings, International Society of Arboriculture, Illinois,

Dr. G. Watson & Dr. D. Neely, 'Trees & Building Sites', ISA Illinois USA 1995,

Dr. N. Matheny & Dr. J.R. Clark, 'Trees & Development', ISA Illinois USA 1998,

Phillip J. Craul, 'Urban Soil in Landscape Design', J. Wiley & Sons, New York USA 1992,

- Clark, Ross, 'A Guide to Assessment of Tree Quality'. NATSPEC/ Construction Information, Milson's Point NSW, 2003 &
- Clark, Ross. '*Purchasing Landscape Trees*', Construction Information Systems Australia Pty. Ltd., Milson's Point NSW, 1996.

## 10 Selected Bibliography

Hitchmough, J.D. 1994. 'Urban Landscape Management', Inkata Press, Sydney.

Mattheck, C. & Breloar, H. (1994) 'Body Language of Trees'. The Stationery Office. London.

AS4373.2007 'Pruning of amenity trees', Standards Australia.

AS4970.2009 'Protection of trees on development sites', Standards Australia.

BS5837-2005. 'Guide for Trees in Relation to Construction', Standards Board, UK.

## Appendix A-Glossary of Common Arboreal Terms

- Age: I *Immature* refers to a refers to a well-established but juvenile tree
  - SM Semi-mature refers to a tree at growth stages between immaturity & full size
  - M *Mature* refers to a full sized tree with some capacity for further growth
  - **LM** *Late Mature* refers to a full sized tree with little capacity for growth that is not yet about to enter decline
  - OM *Over-mature* refers to a tree about to enter decline or already declining
  - LS *Live Stag* refers to a tree in a significant state of decline. This is the last life stage of a tree prior to death.
- Hth & Vig Health & Vigour
- **Health** refers to the tree's form & growth habit, as modified by its environment (aspect, suppression by other tree, soils) & the state of the scaffold (ie. trunk & major branches), including structural defects such as cavities, crooked trunks or weak trunk/branch junctions. These are not directly connected with health & it is possible for a tree to be healthy but in poor condition/vigour. **Classes are:**

Excellent (E), V. Good (VG), Good (G), Fair (F), Declining (D), Poor (P), Very Poor (VP)

Vigour refers to the tree's growth rate/condition as exhibited by the crown density, leaf colour, presence of epicormic shoots, ability to withstand disease invasion & the degree of dieback. Classes are:

Excellent (E), V. Good (VG), Good (G), Fair (F), Declining (D), Poor (P), Very Poor (VP)

- Useful Life Expectancy refers to any trees potential life expectancy (viability) not related to potential disturbances based on VTA assessment, classifications are: Short, (0 5 years), Medium, (5 15 years) & Long, (15 or more years).
- Retention Value is expressed as Low, Medium, High or of Heritage Importance
- **Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)** refers to the tree trunk diameter at breast height (1.4 metres above ground level).
- Significant Diameter Roots are defined as being woody roots with a diameter greater than 0.05m/50mm. (Unless otherwise specified)
- **Structural Root Zone (SRZ)** refers to a radial offset which relates to tree stability. This zone is presumed to be main location of the tree's structural support roots. It is calculated using the formula *SRZ radius= (D x 50)*<sup>0.42</sup> x 0.64.
- **Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)** is ideally a "No Go Zone" surrounding a tree to aid in its ability to cope with disturbances associated with construction works. **TPZ = DBH x 12**. Tree protection involves minimising root damage that is caused by activities such as construction. Tree protection also reduces the chance of a tree's decline in health or death & the possibly damage to structural stability of the tree from root damage

To limit damage to the tree, protection within a specified distance of the tree's trunk must be maintained throughout the proposed development works. No excavation, stockpiling of building materials or the use of machinery is permitted within the TPZ

A TPZ is required for each tree or group of trees within five metres (unless otherwise specified) of building envelopes.

**Stem/bark inclusion** refers to a genetic fault in the tree's structure. This fault is located at the point where the stems/branches meet. In the case of an inclusion this point of attachment

is potentially weak due to bark obstructing healthy tissue from joining together to strengthen the joint

- **Decay** refers to the break down tissues within the tree. There are numerous types of decay that affect different types of tissues, spread at different rates & have different affect on both the tree's health & structural integrity
- Point of Attachment refers to the point at which a stem/branch etc join
- **Dead wood** refers to any whole limb that no longer contains living tissues (eg live leaves &/or bark). Some dead wood is common in a number of tree species.
- Die back refers to the death of growth tips/shoots & partial limbs. Die back is often an indicator of stress & tree health
- **One dimensional crown** refers to branching habits & leaves that extend/grow in One direction only. There are many causes for this growth habit such as competition & pruning
- **Crown Foliage Density of Potential (CFDP)** refers to the density of a tree's crown in relation to the expected density of a healthy specimen of the same species. CFDP is measured as a percentage
- **Epicormic growth/shoots** refers to growth/shoots that are/have sprouted from axillary buds within the bark. Epicormic growth/shoots are a survival mechanism that often indicates the presence of a current or past stress even such as fire, pruning, drought etc

Over Head Powerlines (OHP) Over head electricity wiring.

. . . . . . . .

LVOHP	Low Voltage Over head Powerlines
HVOHP	High Voltage Over head Powerlines
ABC	Aerial Bundled Cable

# Appendix B – Tree Protection/Management Prior to & During Construction

The installation of Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) fencing is to be carried out prior to commencement of all works. The most suitable fencing material is 1.8m tall chain link mesh with 50mm metal pole supports, see **detail 1: tree protection fencing**.

Trunk protection "Tree Guards" are detailed (below) by generic diagram.

A mulch layer of composted leaf & woodchip to a depth of 75mm is required within the TPZ to aid in retention of soil moisture & to protect soil from contaminants. Water is to be applied by handheld or soaker/leaky hose within TPZ as required & in Accordance with Stage 3 Water Restrictions. Watering is to be carried out by either an Arborist or is to form part of the Builder's/Contractor's contract, with recommended fortnightly checks by an Arborist.

There is to be no stock piling of building material (including waste), machinery or any other item within the TPZ of any retained tree. Access to personnel, machinery, & storage of fuel, chemicals, cement or site sheds is prohibited

Regular monitoring of protected trees during development works for unforeseen changes or decline, will aid in the success & longevity of the retained trees.

