From: DYPXCPWEB@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

Sent: 15/06/2023 5:21:31 PM **To:** DA Submission Mailbox

Subject: TRIMMED: Online Submission

15/06/2023

MR Chris Mallett 11 / 20 Angle ST Balgowlah NSW 2093

RE: DA2023/0617 - 24 Angle Street BALGOWLAH NSW 2093

I, Chris Mallett, resident of 11/20 Angle St, object to this development proposal (DA2023/0617 - 22 & 24 Angle Street). This submission details the grounds on which I object under Manly LEP 2013 and Manly DCP 2013.

The proposed building will place pressure on an already crowded street due to parking from customers of Stocklands, block pedestrian access to Sydney road (especially for school children) and create issues with storm water drainage for the 20 Angle street complex. In addition - the proposal is too big/bulky and an invasion of privacy for lot 1 of 20 angle street and houses on West street.

There will also be a traffic hazard and blind spot on cars driving into and out of 20 angle street creating poor access for residents.

I submit that the development is non-compliant against the following controls: Manly LEP 2013:

- 4.3 maximum height is 8.5m. Actual is 9.2m, deviation is +8%. If allowance is made for the "excavation" referenced by the proposal, other sections of the building still reach 8.8m & 8.9m from the south elevation
- 4.4 floor space ratio is 0.95:1. Actual is 0.5:1, deviation is +90% Manly DCP 2013:
- 4.1.1.1 minimum residential density is 300 sqm. Actual is 102 sqm, deviation is -66%
- 4.1.2 height as per above LEP
- 4.1.2.1 wall heights deviate at various points from control
- 4.1.2.2 development is 3 stories as viewed from 3 elevations. Actual is 2 stories.
- 4.1.2.3 roof height, parapets deviate from controls.
- 4.1.3 floor space ratio as per above LEP
- 4.1.4.2 side setbacks are less than $\frac{1}{3}$ wall height in multiple locations. Balconies extend into setbacks where there are significant privacy concerns.
- 4.1.4.4 Rear setback is 3.4m. Actual is 9m, deviation is -62%
- 4.1.5.1 total open space is 40%. Actual is 55%, deviation is -27%
- 4.1.6.4 d) the proposed road access does not adequately separate pedestrians from vehicles, c) the proposed road access has inadequate vision given the nature of the access, a) vehicles are highly likely to need to reverse and therefore will not always enter and exit in a forward direction

NSW Apartment Design Guide

Objective 3F-1 states that properties up to 12m in height should maintain 6m of separation from habitable rooms and balconies and 3m from non-habitable rooms. The proposed design's minimum is 3.5m & 5.1m to 72A+B West Street's living room from ground and 1st

floor balconies respectively and 2.37m to 72A+B West Street's stairwell from the ground floor balcony.

The applicant has submitted requests for exceptions to some of these controls, but I believe these to be insufficient and do not cover all of the noncompliant areas.