Sent: 12/03/2022 10:07:57 AM Subject: RE: MOD 2021/0983 231 Whale Beach Road, WHALE BEACH

Attention: Anne-Marie Young

Dear Ms. Young,

RE: MOD 2021/0983 231 Whale Beach Road, WHALE BEACH

I write regarding the proposed amendments by the Applicant to the conditions of consent and raise the following concerns:-

1)SAFETY

Whale Beach is a small beach enjoyed by families, teenagers, young Nippers and holiday makers. The beach and its surrounding is unspoilt, and unique.

During September to May, and other busy periods, motor vehicles in this small area increase dramatically. Unfortunately drivers become impatient due to the narrow streets and volume of vehicles on the road. I have observed inpatient drivers become reckless with their driving, putting children and teenagers at risk.

The access to the beach via Surf Road is precarious, being narrow with a small footpath on a hairpin turn. Drivers cross to the wrong side of the road in order to complete the turn, putting people at risk.

The proposed modifications put families and children at risk as the roads can not already deal with the volume of traffic in these narrow streets.

Also of concern is the application for extended operating hours and the provision of alcohol where there is already a safety issue.

2) PARKING

Alarmingly, the new modifications seek to further increase the number of approved patrons at the cafe on Surf Road. Condition 99 was imposed to "ensure residential amenity is protected and maintained".

Noting the number of parking spaces on the development site has not been increased, it should follow that this application should be rejected.

An increased number of patrons will place additional pressure on the existing "public" Whale Beach car park, which is already inadequate.

An increase to the permitted number of patrons detrimentally affects the natural environment, which should be protected.

3)PUBLIC INTEREST

The proposed modifications are not in the public interest, posing threats to safety, the

environment and the community.

The proposed modifications benefit a few, not the majority, and respectfully should not be permitted.

It would be disappointing if the modifications were approved, noting the detrimental impact to the community and the local environment, in order to benefit a handful of people.

Also of concern is the precedent set should the modifications be approved.

I understand these submissions are provided late and thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Rebekah Dorter