## Sent: 3/06/2022 6:46:04 PM Subject: Submission: DA2022/0662 - 1 / 63 - 67 The Corso MANLY NSW 2095

## RE: DA2022/0662 - 1 / 63 - 67 The Corso MANLY NSW 2095

Submission on behalf of and with the authority of Mrs C. Arnaout, 3/43 North Steyne and 2/28 Addison Road Manly

Dear Lashta Haidari,

Thanks for the opportunity to make a comment to NBC regarding DA 2022/0662 - 1 / 63 - 67 The Corso MANLY NSW 2095.

I object to DA2022/0662 - 1 / 63 - 67 The Corso MANLY NSW 2095. The basis of my objection is as outlined below.

The proposed development has not adequately addressed compliance with the relevant Environmental Planning Instruments such as State Environmental Planning Policy (Hazards and Resilience) 2021 and the Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979);

The variation to Clause 4.4 Floor Space Ratio of the Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 cannot be supported given the applicant's written request has not adequately demonstrated those matters that are required to be demonstrated in Clause 4.6 (3) and the variation is not within the public interest in accordance with Clause 4.6 (4) of the Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979);

The proposed development has not adequately addressed compliance with the Manly Development Control Plan 2013, (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(iii) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979);

The information submitted with the Development Application is contrary to the requirements of Clause 24 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulations 2021, which relates to the content of Development Applications (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(a)(iv) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979);

The likely significant social and economic impacts of the proposed development on the Manly area are such that the proposed development cannot be supported (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979);

The Drawing No DA 11 denotes a circa 10m high, large, illuminated guitar shaped signage element supported in part from the Corso. I don't believe this will comply with any of the NBC signage controls, nor the Corso Heritage Conservation Area Controls.

The adverse amenity and environmental impacts including noise, odours, heritage and visual impacts are such that the application cannot be supported (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979); The site is not suitable for the proposed development, taking into consideration the number of surrounding licensed venues and the lack of evidence submitted detailing how this will be managed (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(c) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979); and

The proposed development is not within the public interest, as demonstrated above and through the number of submissions received objecting to the development (pursuant to Section 4.15(1)(e) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

We already have 3 fantastic heritage listed and well run Pubs in Manly being Ivanhoe, New Brighton and Steyne Hotel. These venues already cater for the needs of Manly and the surrounding districts.

We don't need anymore Pubs in Manly.

Thank you for the opportunity of making this submission.

C. Arnaout