
Sent: 19/11/2020 11:07:54 AM
Subject: Online Submission

19/11/2020

MR Toby Winston
10 Bennett ST
CURL CURL NSW 2096
tobywinston@gmail.com

RE: DA2020/1063 - 2 / 0 Bennett Street CURL CURL NSW 2096

Application Number: DA2020/1063
Date: 19/11/2020

Dear Phil Lane,

With reference to the proposed addition of flood lighting at 2/0 Bennett Street Curl Curl, please consider my strong objection to this application.

First and foremost, Curl Curl is a family friendly, residential, low rise community that is a peaceful haven for those that live here. Sports and activity are important however these fields represent a shared common space for local families and northern beaches rate payers a place to relax and enjoy. There is already an AFL sports ground, cricket nets, netball courts and soccer courts in the area.

The addition of flood lights to the ovals will:

1. Increase traffic to the area, in what is already becoming heavily congested along Bennett, Brighton and adjoining streets;
2. Increase traffic danger to local residents and children;
3. Increased risk of vehicle accidents, infrastructure damage & litter to the local areas (cost to be picked up by local ratepayers);
4. Reduce parking availability for local residents and their guests;
5. Reduction in house values in the adjoining areas (I would assume that land tax would decrease if this is approved?);
6. A significant increase in light pollution to the area, specifically impacting adjacent properties and those in the vicinity as well as local flora and fauna;
7. A significant increase in noise pollution (sirens, cheering, shouting) that would impact properties up to 2km away and late into the evening;
8. An increase in litter and rubbish which would have a significant impact to local wildlife and the natural aesthetics of the area;
9. A reduction in time where local residents and rate payers can enjoy the fields for recreation and family time.

I feel that this application has not satisfactorily addressed the following:

- The traffic engineer referral response is woefully inadequate and does not address the traffic impact post addition of the lighting, rather it deals solely with the construction phase;
- The notification map should be extended to include Stirgess and Brighton Streets at a minimum as residents will also be severely impacted;
- The obstructive lighting assessment makes an assumption that the site is an A3 environmental zone (medium district brightness) whereas Tania Park in Balgowlah Heights

was assessed as an A2 environmental zone (low district brightness). Tania Park was rated as an A2 due to the bushland surrounding the park. The report should be assessed by another lighting consultant as John Fisher is also surrounded by bushland and at a minimum should have the same A2 rating as Tania Park. It should also be noted by the lighting assessment that Tania park does not having any adjoining properties that would be impacted whereas John Fisher does;

- The Council prepared Natural Environmental Referral Response - Biodiversity - does NOT support this proposal (this document now appears to be missing from the DA?)

I will continue to lobby for this DA to be withdraw for the reasons stated above and will also seek 3rd party advise from legal/planning experts to challenge the validity of this application by Northern Beaches Council.

Yours sincerely,
Toby Winston