Sent: 22/11/2020 12:49:36 PM

Subject: DA2020/1063

Dear sir,

I wish to expand on my submission made on the 8 November, see below.

- 1. I am not opposed to the development per se.
- 2. There does not appear to be a lot of noise from the oval at weekends so I see no reason why it would be any worse in the evenings after all people training are expending their energy on physical activity. It is spectators who make noise and there are unlikely to be many at training sessions. Perhaps evening events should be banned and only training allowed.
- 3. Lighting would only affect those immediately adjacent to the oval.
- 4. At the meeting to discuss the matter there were not a lot of attendees probably less than 10 households implying most affected people were not particularly concerned or not concerned enough to voice their objections.
- 5. In the flier listing the objections mention was made of the effect on property values by as much as \$300,000. This is a matter which should ABSOLUTELY NOT be taken into account by council, considering the establishment of the park to replace a tip would have substantially increased the value of the local properties in the first place.
- 6. It should be noted that at least two of the main objectors have very large houses whose value would be most affected and the prime mover would have directly benefited from the establishment of the park. He lives further away from the oval than I do and having no young children would be virtually unaffected.
- 7. I suspect that most of the attendees at the meeting were mostly concerned about the effect on the value of their property rather than the rather spurious objections voiced. This smacks of NIMBYism.
- 8. Recently I walked in the park at night and found it to be very dark and potentially dangerous. The lighting would mean local people could enjoy the park in perfect safety until late in the evening, walk their dogs, play with their children, and watch the training. The park has been an enormous boon to the local population and the lighting would only increase its value.
- 9. As I observed in my other submission parking is a very real problem. If council can solve this then I can see no other valid objection.
- 10. I doubt whether road safety would be an issue as the actual number of cars visiting would not be excessive. A survey would answer this.
- 11. I understand there is a submission to use the grounds and parking of the Freshwater Seniors Campus instead. Even if this is rejected surely the parking could be used when FSC do not have any activities needing parking which would be most days and the signage could be changed to reflect this. I visited the parking last weekend and found it almost deserted whilst there was no parking available in Holloway Place.

Sincerely Trevor Pellen

From: john pellen

Sent: 08 November 2020 8:17 PM

To: council@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au <council@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au>; Lisa Henning

<L.Henning@bridgehousing.org.au>

Subject: DA2020/1063

Dear sir,

I live at unit 9, 3 Holloway Place, Curl Curl, 2096.

I will be affected by the development plan DA2020/1063 as follows.

There are 12 units in the block at 3 Holloway Place. There is off street parking for 3 cars. It is used on a first come first served basis. At present 5 residents have cars but with a change of residents there could be more, potentially 12.

This means that at least 2 residents have to resort to street parking.

I moved into my unit on 23 July 2020.

At weekends the street parking is taken up by visitors to the adjacent oval and if I move my car during the day at the weekend I often find it impossible to park again in Holloway Place.

With the new development proposal this problem will occur every evening of the week until after 9 pm in addition to the weekend problem during the day.

The solution would be to restrict parking to residents and their visitors. Residents would be responsible for putting stickers on their windscreen and giving parking tickets to their visitors to be displayed on their dashboard valid only on the day of issue.

Sincerely

Trevor Pellen