Warringah Council

COMMERCIAL OCCUPATION/ADDITIONS/SIGNAGE/CHANGE OF USE

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Assessment Officer: Andrew Cowan

Proposal Description: Change of use from coffee shop to restaurant and internal works Property Address: Lot 1 DP 881326, 4 Collaroy Street COLLAROY NSW 2097 Application No: DA2009/1533

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	🔽 Yes 🗆 No	✓ Yes ∧No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	✓ Yes □ No	✓ Yes ∧No
Section 2A – SEPP 64	🗹 Yes 🗖 No	✓ Yes □ No
Section 2B – Schedule 17 Car parking	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Ves No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 15,000 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Notification Required?

✓ Yes □ No

Submissions Received?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

No. of Submissions: Nil

Period of Public Exhibition?

✓ 14 days □ 21 days □ 30 days □ N/A

Warringah	Council
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed develo	pment? 🔽 Yes 🔽 No
SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT	
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS	
WLEP 2000 Locality: D2 Collaroy Village	
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary De	velopment to Housing 🔽 Restaurant
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 1 Category 1	ory 2 Category 3
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC	C's (Section 2 Assessment not required)
Is the development considered to be consistent with t $\mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{Yes}}$ \mathbf{V}_{No}	
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)

Draft WLEP 2009 Permissible or Prohibited Land use: Permissible

Site History

A site inspection was carried out on 27 January 2009 which revealed that the premises is operating as a restaurant catering for 20 seated patrons. The previous use at the premises was a coffee shop which serviced the hostel only.

The subject development application seeks land use approval for the use of the premises as a restaurant.

Section 76A (1) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, states that:

'If an environmental planning instrument provides that specified development may not be carried out except with development consent, a person must not carry the development out on land to which the provision applies, unless:

- (a) Such consent has been obtained and is in force, and
- (b) The development is carried out in accordance with the consent and the instrument.'

In light of Section 76A (1) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Clause 7 of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2000 (WLEP 2000) states that:

'All development requires consent except:

- (a) Exempt development, being development of minimal environmental impact identified in Schedule 1 (Exempt development), when carried out in accordance with the requirements of that Schedule, and
- (b) Development identified in Schedule 2 (Other development not requiring consent), when carried out in accordance with the requirements of that Schedule.'

The proposed development is not exempt development or development identified in Schedule 2 and therefore requires development consent.



Warringah Council Consent may be granted for the use, however a building certificate will be required for the works (exhaust fan) that has been constructed without consent.

Building Height (overall):	
Applicable:	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 11m
Requirement: 8.5m 11.0m 3 storeys nor 11m	Complies: Yes No
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling): Applicable:	Existing and unchanged
Requirement: 7.2m Other	Complies:
Front Setback: Applicable: Yes No Requirement:	Existing and unchanged Proposed: The proposed awning is to overhand Council's footpath area by 1.5m
6.5m	Complies:
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?: Yes No Requirement: 3.5m Other	Corner Allotment: Existing and unchanged Proposed:m Complies: Yes No



General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	└─ Yes Ves , subject to condition └─ No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Noise generated as a result of the restaurant is anticipated to be in keeping with the acoustic amenity of the locality. If approval is granted it is to be conditioned that hours of operation are restricted to 7am to 9pm Monday to Thursday, 7am to 10pm on Friday and Saturday and 8am to 9pm on Sunday. By adhering to the above hours of operation the impact on the amenity of the surrounding environment is anticipated to be minimal. Furthermore, the mechanical is to connect to the existing services duct to the roof of the building to ensure that noise is minimised. Deliveries to the premises will be via the front of the building and are to occur outside the hours of 10pm to 6am to ensure that there is minimal disturbance to the surrounding environment.
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



vvarri	ngah Council
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
	O and the second s
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
Applicable:	to be contaminated?
Yes No	
	Yes ₩ No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Ves no
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}	
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Yes No	
CL 52 Signs	Complies:
CL53 Signs Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	The proposed signage is to be erected on the awning
	above the entrance at Collaroy street. As the signs will be painted on the awning it will not result in visual clutter within
	the streetscape. The proposed signage is defined as an awning sign for the purpose of business identification. The
	overall size of the signage on the northern elevation is
	3.5sqm and the eastern elevation sign is 1.5 sqm. In this regard the proposed signage fails to achieve compliance
	with clause 53 as it exceeds the allowable area of 4sqm for the 21.350m frontage.
	Notwithstanding, the scale of the proposed signage it will
	allow for reasonable identification of the premises and will be compatible with the architectural scale of the building.
	se companye inter the dromeotorial codie of the ballarity.
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	



Applicable:	ngah Council
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	▼ Yes Yes , subject to condition ► No
Yes No	

Warri CL65 Privacy	ngah Council Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Ves , subject to condition
Applicable:	
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Ves No	
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition No
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Loading and unloading is to occur directly in front of the
	premises as there is limited opportunity on-site. Given the scale of operations loading and unloading will be "low
	intensive" as goods delivered will comprise mainly food and
	drinks to be consumed by patrons. In this regard the proposal is deemed adequate as it is anticipated there will
	be minimal conflict with traffic in the area.
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	Refer to Schedule 17 elsewhere in this report
L	

Warringah Council	
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	res res, subject to condition into
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} └ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Applicable:	
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes Vo	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
<pre>✓ Yes ✓ No</pre>	

Schedule	s:
----------	----

Schedule 5 State policies Applicable:	Complies:
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland Applicable: Yes No	Complies:
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a subdivision of land Applicable:	Complies:



	-3
□ _{Yes} _{No}	
Schedule 8 Site analysis Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work Applicable:	Complies:
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management Applicable:	Complies:
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development Applicable:	Complies:
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Complies:
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour Applicable:	Complies:
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects Applicable: Ves Vo	Complies:
Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable: Yes No	Complies: ✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition No Refer to Section 2B elsewhere in this report.

EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	
└─ _{Yes} I No └─ DAO to investigate further	Yes No
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?

W	arringah Council
Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further BCA report supplied? Yes No	Yes No Further Assessment Required Building compliance have inspected the above premises. No objection is raised in relation to fire safety, the building is deemed adequate in its current form.
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further	Addressed via condition?
Is a Construction Certificate required? Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further (BCA Assessment Required see Section 2)	Addressed via condition?
Disability & Discrimination Act Applicable: Yes No DAO to investigate further	Addressed via condition?
Is a POPE (Place of Public Entertainment required?	Addressed via condition?



REFERRALS: Nil

Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:

- EPA Act 1979
- EPA Regulations 2000
- Disability Discrimination Act 1992
- Local Government Act 1993
- Roads Act 1993
- Rural Fires Act 1997
- RFI Act 1948
- Water Management Act 2000
- Water Act 1912
- Swimming Pools Act 1992;
- SEPP No. 55 Remediation of Land
- SEPP No. 71 Coastal Protection
- SEPP No. 22 Shops & Commercial Premises
- SEPP No. 64 Advertising & Signage
- SEPP Infrastructure
- □ SEPP BASIX
- SEPP Infrastructure
- WLEP 2000
- WDCP
- S94 Development Contributions Plan
- S94A Development Contributions Plan
- NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
- C Other



SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	▼ _{Yes} No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	res □ No ■ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	▼ _{Yes} No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	▼ _{Yes} No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: Restaurant

Land Use Zone: B2 – Commercial Core

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible

Additional Permitted used for particular land - Refer to Schedule 1: No additional permitted uses

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies	Clause 4.6 Exception to Development Standard
Minimum Subdivision Lot Size:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rural Subdivision:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
No Strata Plan or Community Title Subdivisions in certain rural and environmental zones:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Height of Buildings:	11M	11M	N/A – existing	N/A



The proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.



SEPPs

Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No

SEPP Basix: Applicable?

□_{Yes} ▼_{No}

If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?

□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}

SEPP 55 Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?

▼_{Yes} □_{No}

Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?

□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}

Within 5m of an overhead power line ?

□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}



WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER D2 – Collaroy Village

The Collaroy Village will retain its retail character incorporating a mix of small retail and business uses with shop-top housing. A range of retailing and after-hours activities at street level will reinforce the character of the village.

Future development will maintain the continuity of existing building facades by ensuring that they are broken into distinct vertical segments reflecting the traditional pattern of shopfront development. The design and treatment of buildings will also reflect the beachside setting and exposed nature of the locality. New development will contribute to creating a pedestrian environment which is safe, comfortable and interesting. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged. Commercial use of part of the footpath for outdoor eating and the like is appropriate.

The ground floor of buildings will be predominantly used for business purposes. If the first floor is used for housing the building will be designed to enable the first floor to be adapted for business use in the future.

Buildings greater than 2 storeys in height will be designed so that the massing is substantially reduced on the top floors thereby reducing the visual bulk of the development whilst the Collaroy Cinema is to remain the dominant building along the Pittwater Road frontage.

Development that adjoins residential land is not to reduce the amenity enjoyed by adjoining occupants. In this regard the built form of development in the village is to provide a transition to adjacent residential development, including reasonable setbacks from side and rear boundaries, particularly above the ground floor level.

The heritage and townscape qualities of the Arlington Amusement Hall building are to be preserved and enhanced. This is to be achieved by the restoration of the first floor Pittwater Road façade of the entire terrace of shops in terms of detail, finish and colour, by limiting development of the site to the existing building envelope so that the bulk and height of the building are not increased and by encouraging a more sympathetic treatment to the elevations visible from Pittwater Road in terms of fenestration and colour.

Building and development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beachfront will address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion.

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Side Boundary Envelope, Side Setback and Front Setback Built Form Controls, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

- The proposal will retain the retail character
- · The proposal will maintain the traditional pattern of shopfront development
- The proposal will maintain safe pedestrian movement which is safe, comfortable and interesting
- The proposed footpath dining to remain consistent with the character of the area
- The proposal maintains appropriate use of the ground floor as a restaurant

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Front Building Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.



Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Front Setback

Requirement: Buildings are to be setback 6.5m from the front property boundary

Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
Requirement:	Proposed: The proposed awning is to overhand Council's footpath area by 1.5m
6.5m	Complies:
□ Nil setback to street frontage	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	
□ _{3.5m}	Proposed:m
Other	Complies:

Area of inconsistency with control: The proposed awning is to encroach 1.5m beyond front property boundary.

Merit consideration of non-compliance – The following considerations have been applied in assessment of the front setback variation:

Create a sense of openness

Comment: The proposed awning is to encroach beyond the property boundary by 1.5m. Given that the existing building is built to the boundary and the lowest point of the awning is 2.4m above the finished level of the footpath, the structure will maintain a sense of openness within the streetscape. The style of the awning is such that it is consistent with the built form within the locality.

Provide opportunities for landscaping

Comment: Opportunity for landscaping will be provided on-site as no additional works are proposed at ground floor level. The existing gardens within the front setback are to be retained to provide a landscaped setting.

Minimise the impact of development on the streetscape

Comment: The overall impact on the streetscape will be minimal given that the proposed awning structure will be at least 2.4m above the finished level of the footpath and will extend 1.5m outwards from the existing building. The design of the awning is such that it is consistent with the architectural scale of the building.

Maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings, front gardens and landscape elements

Comment: The proposal maintains the visual continuity of buildings, front gardens and landscape elements as it is consistent with the architectural character of the building.

The provision for corner allotments relates to street corners

Comment: The subject site is not identified as a corner allotment.



OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION:

Section 2A - SEPP No. 64 – Advertising and Signage

Is SEPP 64 Applicable to the proposal? Ves No (delete table below)

Clauses 8 and 13 of SEPP 64 require Council to determine consistency with the objectives stipulated under Clause 3(1) (a) of the aforementioned SEPP and to assess the proposal against the assessment criteria of Schedule 1.

Matters for Consideration	Comment	Complies
1. Character of the area Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?	The subject site is located within the D2 Collaroy Village locality under WLEP 2000. This locality is primarily comprised of commercial premises such as shops and restaurants which provide advertising signage for the premises, including wall and window signs. The proposed development seeks to erect signs, one on the awning on the northern elevation and one along the eastern elevation. Both signs are to be painted on the shade cloth of the swning. As such the proposed signage will be unobtrusive	Ves ► No
Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?	The subject site is located within an existing commercial land use area with varying signage and building form. The proposed signage is considered to be satisfactory with regard to the advertising theme for the commercial uses within the locality.	✓ _{Yes}
2. Special areas Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?	The subject site is not located within the vicinity of any environmentally sensitive area, heritage item, waterway or rural landscape.	♥ _{Yes}
3. Views and vistas Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	The proposed signage is designed to be painted onto the awning and will not obscure or compromise important views. In addition, the proposed signage will not result in the obscuring of views from any public or private domain.	I ves □ No
Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	All proposed signage is located below the existing roof line and will therefore not result in any change to the existing built form.	▼ _{Yes}
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	Due to the buildings unique design and locality no existing or proposed signage will interfere with the viewing right of other advertisers.	▼ _{Yes}
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape,	The proposed signage is not considered to adversely impact on the surrounding streetscape, setting or landscape due to the	✓ Yes



	Warringah Council	
setting or landscape?	location of the signage.	□ _{No}
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	Due to the buildings unique design and locality no existing or proposed signage will interfere with the viewing right of other advertisers.	✓ _{Yes}
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is not considered to adversely impact on the surrounding streetscape, setting or landscape due to the location of the signage.	✓ _{Yes}
Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is consistent with that of surrounding development, it is consistent with the existing built form and is considered to be in scale with the building to which it will be attached.	▼ _{Yes}
Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	It is considered that the proposed signage will maintain the status quo with regard to clutter and rationalisation.	✓ _{Yes}
Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	The proposed signage is designed as a wall sign and will not obscure any unsightliness.	₩ _{Yes}
Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality?	All proposed signage is to be constructed on the buildings existing parapet, and will not protrude beyond the roof line.	✓ _{Yes}
5. Site and building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located?	The proposed signage is considered to be compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building due to the location of the signage	✓ _{Yes}
Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	All proposed signage is to be on the northern and eastern elevation of the awning. The signage is considered to be consistent with that of the built form and to that of surrounding development, as such the proposal is considered to respect the important features of the site and building.	Ves ► No
Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both?	The proposed signage is standard in design, it is consistent with that of surrounding development and is considered satisfactory for the proposed use.	✓ _{Yes}
 6. Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed? 	No safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed have been proposed as part of this application.	Yes
7. Illumination Would illumination result in unacceptable	No illumination is proposed.	✓ Yes



N	Warringah Council	
glare, affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft, detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation?		No
Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary?	No illumination is proposed	▼ _{Yes}
Is the illumination subject to a curfew?	No illumination is proposed	✓ _{Yes}
8. Safety Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road, pedestrians or bicyclists?	Due to the location of the proposed signage and conditions, the proposed signage is not considered to have any adverse impact upon the safety for any public road, pedestrians or bicyclists.	✓ _{Yes}
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	Due to the location of the proposed signage it is considered that the signage will not result in the obscuring of any views.	✓ _{Yes}

The objectives of the policy aim to ensure that the proposed signage is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of the locality, provides effective communication and is of high quality having regards to both design and finishes.

Proposal is satisfactory Ves No

WLEP 2000 Clause 53 Signs

CL53 Signs		✓ Yes No Yes No ✓ Yes No ✓ Yes No Yes No
------------	--	------------------------------------------------------

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No} Is there existing signage on site? Will the existing signage be retained? Yes No – condition removed



Section 2B Schedule 17 Carparking Provision

Number of car spaces existing - 19 spaces, complies?	Addressed via condition?
	Yes No Further Assessment Required
Yes No FAR	(Clause 74 to be addressed below)
Total number of car spaces required - 7 spaces?	Note: The site contains a youth hostel, 19 car parking spaces are available within the basement area. These spaces are utilised by guests of the hostel.
Yes No FAR Total number of car spaces proposed – Nil on-site car spaces for restaurant patrons , complies? Yes No FAR	The Traffic Survey prepared by Ray Dowsett Traffic & Transport Planning dated 24 December 2009 submitted with the application notes that 19 on-site car spaces are available on-site. The proposed seating capacity for the restaurant is for 20 patrons, in accordance with Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000, the proposed development would require 7 off-street carparking spaces (1 space per 3 seats) to satisfy the requirements of the Schedule.
	Having regard to the above, the proposed development does not satisfy the numerical requirements of the clause.
	Notwithstanding, the traffic survey provided demonstrates that the surrounding streets are capable of accommodating for the car parking demand of the proposed restaurant. Surveys conducted during peak demand periods demonstrate that sufficient off street car parking is available.
	The nature of operations at the premises is such that it will cater predominately for those coming from the beach or those staying in the hostel. In this regard additional demand on the surrounding streets will be limited as patrons will utilise other services in the locality in addition to the restaurant.
	Noting the availability of public transport and alternative carparking on Pittwater Road, it is considered that the site would provide adequate parking provision for staff and customers. In this regard, the requirements of the Schedule are satisfied.
Clause 74 Provision of carparking	
Adequate off-street carparking is to be provided within the subject property boundaries having regard to:	
• the land use, and	✓ Yes □ No
 the hours of operation, and 	Ves No
 the availability of public transport, and 	
• the availability of alternative carparking, and	Yes No
 the need for parking facilities for courier vehicles, delivery/service vehicles and bicycles. 	Yes No



SECTION 3 - SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 2028sqm	Caves
Detail existing onsite structure	
None	Overhangs
	Waterfalls
Dwelling	Creeks / Watercourse
Detached Garage	
Detached shed	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
Swimming pool	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage
	significance
Tennis Court	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Cabana	└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}
	Yes No
Hostel and basement car parking Site Features:	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
None	North / South
Trees	East / West
	North East / South West
Under Storey Vegetation	
Rock Outcrops	North West / South East
	View of:
	Ocean / Waterways



Headland

District Views

□ _{Yes} □ _{No} □ _{Yes} □ _{No}

□ _{Yes} □ _{No} Bushland Other:

Bushfire Prone?

□ _{Yes} <a>

 No Flood Prone?

└ Yes [™] No

Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils

□ _{Yes}
No

Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}

Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the **NSW Coastal Policy?**

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}

Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?

□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}

Any items of heritage significance located upon it?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?

□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}

Located within an area identified as potential land slip?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Is the development Integrated?

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No} Does the development require concurrence?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?

□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}

Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's 1 & 2>?</section's </section>	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	✓ Yes ✓ No If yes provide detail:

Signed

Date

Andrew Cowan, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to the provisions of Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, the provisions relevant Environmental Planning Instruments including Warringah Local Environment Plan 2000, Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 and the relevant codes and policies of Council and the proposed development is considered to be:

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Recommendation:

That Council as the consent authority

 \checkmark

GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and
- (b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

 \Box

REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:

(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.

"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"

Signed		
olgrica		

Andrew CowanDevelopment Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed

Date

Date

Ryan ColeTeam Leader, Development Assessment