

Flora and Fauna Assessment – Part 4 (DA)

Warringah Recreation Centre

Report prepared by Narla Environmental

for Northern Beaches Council

August 2024



NARLA environmental

| Report: | Flora and Fauna Assessment – Warringah Recreation Centre | |
|---------------|--|--|
| Prepared for: | ed for: Northern Beaches Council | |
| Prepared by: | Iarla Environmental Pty Ltd | |
| Project no: | NOBC4 | |
| Date: | August 2024 | |
| Version: | Final v1.0 | |

© Narla Environmental Pty Ltd

Disclaimer

The document may only be used for the purposes for which it was commissioned and in accordance with the Terms of the Engagement for the commission. This report and all information contained within is rendered void if any information herein is altered or reproduced without the permission of Narla Environmental. Unauthorised use of this document in any form

This report is invalid for submission to any third party or regulatory authorities while it is in draft stage. Narla Environmental Pty Ltd will not endorse this report if it has been submitted to council while it is still in draft stage. This document is and shall remain the property of Narla Environmental Pty Ltd. The sole purpose of this report and the associated services performed by Narla Environmental was to undertake a Flora and Fauna Assessment for an activity under Part 4 of the EP&A Act in accordance with the scope of services set out in the contract between Narla Environmental and the client who commissioned this report. That scope of services, as described in this report, was developed with the client who commissioned this

Any survey of flora and fauna will be unavoidably constrained in a number of respects. In an effort to mitigate those constraints, we applied the precautionary principle described in the methodology section of this report to develop our conclusions. Our conclusions are not therefore based solely upon conditions encountered at the site at the time of the survey. The passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions expressed in this report. Narla Environmental has prepared this report in accordance with the usual care and thoroughness of the consulting profession, for the sole purpose described above and by reference to applicable standards, guidelines, procedures and practices at the date of issue of this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no ther warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this report. For the reasons outlined above, however, no ther warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or this frequent of the indigs. No responsibility is accepted by Narla Environmental for this project does not constitute an interpretation of the law or provision of legal advice. This report has not been developed by a legal professional and the relevant legislation should be consulted and/or legal advice sought, where appropriate, before applying the information in particular circumstances. This report has been prepared tho comisioned this report. Narla Environmental for the scousive use of, the client who c

Narla Environmental Pty Ltd www.narla.com.au



Report Certification

Works for this report were undertaken by:

| Staff Name | Position |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| Chris Moore | Narla Environmental |
| BBioCon | General Manager/ Principal Ecologist |
| Brodie Miller | Narla Environmental |
| BA MEnvMgt | Project Manager/Ecologist |
| Gemma Hicks | Narla Environmental |
| BBioCon | Ecologist |
| Paul Mulligan | Narla Environmental |
| BZoo MConBio | Ecologist |

Document Control

| Revision | Document Name | Issue Date | Internal Document Review |
|------------|--|------------|--------------------------|
| Draft v1.0 | Flora and Fauna Assessment – Warringah Recreation Centre | 04.06.2024 | Chris Moore |
| Final v1.0 | Flora and Fauna Assessment – Warringah Recreation Centre | 08.08.2024 | Chris Moore |



Table of Contents

| 1. | INTI | RODU | CTION | 7 |
|----|---------------|--------|--|----|
| | 1.1 | Proje | ect Background | 7 |
| | 1.2 | Site | Description and Location | 7 |
| | 1.3 | Торо | ography, Geology and Soil | 7 |
| | 1.4 | Hydr | rology | 7 |
| | 1.5 | Rele | vant Legislation and Policy | 9 |
| | 1.6 | Biod | liversity Assessment Pathway | 10 |
| | 1.7 | War | ringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 (WLEP) | 11 |
| | 1.7. | 1 | Zoning | 11 |
| | 1.8 | War | ringah Development Control Plan 2011 (WDCP) | 11 |
| | 1.8. | 1 | Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation | 11 |
| | 1.8. | 2 | Prescribed Vegetation | 11 |
| | 1.8. legis | | Threatened species, populations, ecological communities listed under State or Commonwean, or High Conservation Habitat | |
| | 1.8. | 4 | Wildlife Corridors | 12 |
| | 1.8. | 5 | Native Vegetation | 12 |
| | 1.9 Protec | | e Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021: Chapter 4 - Koala Habi 021 | |
| | 1.10 | Scop | be of Assessment | 14 |
| | 1.10 | 0.1 | Objectives of the Fauna and Flora Assessment | 14 |
| | 1.11 | Stud | ly Limitations | 14 |
| 2. | ME | THODO | OLOGY | 15 |
| | 2.1 | Desk | xtop Assessment and Literature Review | 15 |
| | 2.2 | Ecol | ogical Site Assessment | 15 |
| | 2.2. | 1 | Ecological Survey | 15 |
| | 2.2. | 2 | Weather Conditions | 16 |
| | 2.2. | 3 | Mapping and Analysis of Vegetation Communities | 16 |
| | 2.2. | 4 | Impact Assessment | 16 |
| 3. | NAT | IVE VE | EGETATION | 17 |
| 4. | THR | EATEN | NED ENTITIES | 21 |
| | 4.1. and | | Listing under the BC Act: Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest in the NSW North Coast, Sydney Ba n East Corner Bioregions, Endangered Ecological Community | |
| | 4.1. Que | | Listing under the EPBC Act: Coastal Swamp Oak (<i>Casuarina glauca</i>) Forest of South-e | |
| | 4.1 | Thre | eatened Flora | 22 |

| | 4.2 | | Threatened Fauna | 28 |
|----|-----|------|---|----|
| | 4.2 | 2.1 | Migratory Fauna Species | 28 |
| 5. | IN | /IPA | ACT SUMMARY | 48 |
| | 5.1 | , | Vegetation Impact | 48 |
| | 5.2 | 1.1 | Threatened Ecological Communities: Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest Local Occurrence | 48 |
| | 5.2 | | Threatened Species | 48 |
| 6. | RE | ECO | DMMENDATIONS | 50 |
| | 6.1 | | Impact Mitigation and Minimisation Recommendations | 50 |
| 7. | СС | ONC | CLUSION | 52 |
| 8. | RE | EFEF | RENCES | 53 |
| 9. | AF | PPEI | NDICES | 54 |

Tables

| Table 1. Relevant legislation and policy addressed9 |
|--|
| Table 2. Biodiversity offset scheme entry thresholds. Bold indicates the threshold relevant to this report11 |
| Table 3. Weather conditions recorded at Terrey Hills AWS (station 066059) preceding and during the site |
| assessment (site assessment date in bold)16 |
| Table 4 Description of Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest identified within the and surrounding the Subject |
| Site |
| Table 5 Description of Exotic Dominated Vegetation identified within the and surrounding the Subject Site20 |
| Table 6. Key Diagnostic Characteristics of Coastal Swamp Oak (Casuarina glauca) Forest of South-east Queensland |
| and New South Wales |
| Table 8. Assessment of likely occurrence of threatened flora species within the Subject Site. E = Endangered, CE |
| = Critically Endangered, EP = Endangered Population, V = Vulnerable23 |
| Table 9. Fauna habitat values within the Subject Site. 28 |
| Table 10. Assessment of likely occurrence of threatened fauna species within the Subject Site. E = Endangered, |
| CE = Critically Endangered, EP = Endangered Population, V = Vulnerable31 |
| Table 11. Measures to be implemented before, during and after construction to avoid and minimise the impacts |
| of the proposed development |

Figures

| Figure 1. Components of the Subject Site | 8 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2. Field Validated Vegetation Mapping of the Subject Site. | 18 |
| Figure 3. Threatened Species and Fauna Habitat Survey Effort. | 30 |
| Figure 4. Local Occurrence of Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest (BC and EPBC Act) | 49 |



Glossary

| Acronym/ Term | Definition | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| BAM | Biodiversity Assessment Methodology | | |
| BC Act | New South Wales Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 | | |
| BDAR | Biodiversity Development Assessment Report | | |
| DA | Development Application | | |
| DAFF | Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry | | |
| DCCEEW | Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water | | |
| Development The use of land, and the subdivision of land, and the carrying out of a work, and the demolition of a building or work, and the erection of a building, and any other act, may or thing referred to in section 26 that is controlled by an environmental planning instrument but does not include any development of a class or description prescribed the regulations for the purposes of this definition (Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979) | | | |
| DPE | Department of Planning and Environment | | |
| DPI | Department of Primary Industries | | |
| DPIE | Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (Now DPE) | | |
| EP&A Act | Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 | | |
| EPBC Act | Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 | | |
| FFA | Flora and Fauna Assessment | | |
| ha | Hectares | | |
| km | Kilometre | | |
| LGA | Local Government Area | | |
| Locality | The area within a 10 km radius of the Subject Property | | |
| m | metres | | |
| WDCP | Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 | | |
| WLEP | Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 | | |
| mm | millimetres | | |
| NSW | New South Wales | | |
| OEH | Office of Environment and Heritage (now known as the DPE) | | |
| SEPP | PP State Environmental Planning Policy | | |
| Subject Property | y Warringah Recreation Centre Manly 2100 (Lot 2742/-/DP752038). | | |
| Subject Site | The footprint of the proposed development | | |
| Threatened species, populations and ecological communities | ecies, pulations and plogical Species, populations and ecological communities specified in Schedules 1 and 2 of the B Act 2016 | | |
| TPZ | Tree Protection Zone | | |



1. Introduction

1.1 Project Background

Narla Environmental (Narla) were engaged by Northern Beaches Council ('the proponent') to prepare a Flora and Fauna Assessment (FFA) for the proposed development at the Warringah Recreation Centre (Lot 2742/-/DP752038), hereafter referred to as the 'Subject Property' (Figure 1). The proposed development involves the building of a new squash building, under the EP&A Act Part 4 planning pathway, within the existing recreational centre as part of a larger redevelopment plan. All works associated with the proposed development under Part 4 will hereafter be referred to as the 'Subject Site' (Figure 1, Appendix A). The remaining development plans will be outlined in a Review of Environmental Factors (REF) under the EP&A Act Part 5 planning pathway and will cover the new tennis courts, soft and hard landscaping elements, new pedestrian bridge, and east and west carparks. These impacts will be assessed under a separate FFA.

Narla have produced this report in order to assess any potential impacts associated with the proposed development on terrestrial ecology, particularly threatened species, populations, and ecological communities listed under the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act), and, the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act). The report will also recommend appropriate measures to mitigate any potential impacts in line with all relevant State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPP) and local government plans, namely the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 (WLEP 2011) the Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 (WDCP 2011), and the Warringah Council Waterways Impact Statement Guidelines.

1.2 Site Description and Location

The Subject Property is located within the locality of North Manly in the Northern Beaches Local Government Area (LGA). The site boundary was defined by cadastral boundaries provided on the NSW Government Land and Property Information Spatial Information Exchange map viewer (NSW SixMaps 2024). The Subject Property is currently utilised as a golf course and recreation centre and covers an area of approximately 17.3ha, bound by Pittwater Road to the east, Kentwell Road to the south and Condamine Street to the west. The surrounding area consists of a highly urbanised landscape. The Subject Site covers an area of approximately 0.06ha and currently contains landscaping and existing sport courts.

1.3 Topography, Geology and Soil

The Subject Site is located in a low-lying area with elevation ranging from approximately 3m above sea level (asl) to approximately 5m asl (Google 2024) and is situated on the Warriewood soil landscape as described in the Soil Landscapes of the Sydney 1:100,000 sheet (Chapman et al. 2009). This soil landscape is characterised by level to gently undulating plains with local relief <10 m and slope gradients <5% on in-filled coastal barrier dunes, lakes and lagoons as well as swale depressions in dune fields. Geology consists of Quaternary (Holocene) silty to peaty quartz sand and medium to fine marine sand with podzols. Dominant soil materials include dark grey loamy sand, massive sand, black sticky peat, brown soft iron pan and dark brown soft organic pan.

1.4 Hydrology

No mapped or unmapped water features were identified within the Subject Site.



| Cot44 DP2027 Lot45 DP2027 | Lotas DP7027 |
|--|--|
| Ltr2742 DP752038 | DP1184052 |
| | LotA DP228550 EUCA DP395318 |
| CONTRACTOR CONTRA | |
| Components of the Subject Site Subject Site Subject Property Lot Proposed Squash Building | 0 25 50 m NARLA environmental |
| | Date: 04/06/2024 Coordinate System: GDA94 MGA Zone 56 Image Source: Nearmap Australia Pty Ltd (March 2024) |

Figure 1. Components of the Subject Site.

1.5 Relevant Legislation and Policy

The legislation and policy that are addressed in this report are listed in Table 1.

| Legislation/Policy | Relevant Ecological Feature on Site | Triggered | Action Required |
|--|--|-----------|---|
| Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) | All threatened species, populations, and ecological communities and their habitat that occur or are likely to occur on the Subject Property during a part of their lifecycle. | Yes | This Flora and Fauna Assessment and all subsequent recommendations relevant to the planning process under 'Part 4 Development assessment and consent'. |
| Biodiversity Conservation Act (BC Act) (New South Wales) | One (1) BC Act listed endangered ecological community occurs within the Subject Site: Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregion. One (1) BC Act listed species, <i>Callistemon linearifolius</i> (Netted Bottle Brush), occurs within close proximity to the Subject Site (55m), however it will not be directly impacted by the proposal. No other threatened species or populations listed under the BC Act were identified within the Subject Site at the time of the site assessment; however, suitable habitat for various threatened species listed under the BC Act was identified. | Yes | This FFA, particularly the likelihood tables for threatened fauna and flora species occurring or potentially occurring within the Subject Site, as well as severity of potential impacts. A test of significance (5 Part Test) was prepared for Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregion (Appendix D). |
| Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 (EPBC Act) (Commonwealth) | No EPBC Act listed Threatened Ecological Communities are present within the Subject Site. No threatened species, populations or endangered ecological communities listed under the EPBC Act were identified within the Subject Site at the time of the site assessment; however, suitable habitat for various threatened species listed under the EPBC Act was identified included. | Yes | This FFA, particularly the likelihood tables for threatened fauna and flora species occurring or potentially occurring within the Subject Site. |
| Biosecurity Act 2015 (Bio Act) | Four (4) Priority weeds for the Greater Sydney region were identified within the Subject Property: Asparagus aethiopicus (Ground Asparagus); Rubus fruticosus spp. agg (Blackberry); Lantana camara (Lantana); and Anredera cordifolia (Madeira Vine). | Yes | The listed Priority weeds must be managed in accordance with the Biosecurity Act 2015. |

Table 1. Relevant legislation and policy addressed



| Legislation/Policy | Relevant Ecological Feature on Site | Triggered | Action Required |
|--|--|-----------|--|
| State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021 - Chapter 2 Coastal Management | The Subject Site does not contain areas mapped as 'Coastal Wetlands,' 'Littoral Rainforest,' or proximity to either, therefore, Chapter 2 of this SEPP does not apply. | No | None |
| State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021 – Chapter 4 Koala Habitat Protection 2021 | The Subject Site occurs within an LGA listed in Schedule 2 of the SEPP and the Subject Property has an area of more than 1 ha. Therefore, chapter 4 of this SEPP applies | Yes | The requirements of this chapter have been discussed in Section 1.9 and no further action should be required. |
| Water Management Act 2000 | The works proposed will not be occurring on waterfront land. Therefore, the WM Act does not apply. | No | None |
| Fisheries Management Act 1994 | The Subject Site does not contain land mapped as Key Fish Habitat (KFH). Therefore, the Fisheries Management Act 1994 does not apply. | Yes | None. |

1.6 Biodiversity Assessment Pathway

The requirements of the BC Act 2016 and Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017 are mandatory for all Development Applications (DA) assessed pursuant to Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) submitted in the Northern Beaches LGA.

The Biodiversity Values (BV) Map (DPE 2024a) identifies land with high biodiversity values that are particularly sensitive to impacts from development and clearing. The map forms part of the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme Entry Threshold which is one of the triggers for determining whether the Biodiversity Offset Scheme (BOS) applies to a clearing or development proposal. The map has been prepared by the Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) under Part 7 of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 (BC Act). No areas identified as containing Biodiversity Values are located within the Subject Site or broader Subject Property.

The BC Act and its regulations also stipulate clearing 'area threshold' values (**Table 2**) that determine whether a development is required to be assessed in accordance with the BOS. Minimum entry thresholds for vegetation clearing depend on the minimum lot size (shown in the Lot Size Maps made under the relevant Local Environmental Plan [LEP]), or actual lot size (where there is no minimum lot size provided for the relevant land under the LEP).

As no minimum lot size is prescribed by the WLEP to the Subject Property, the actual lot size of 17.3ha determines the clearing threshold. To avoid triggering the BOS, the proponent must avoid the clearing/management of native vegetation in excess of 0.5ha. The proposed development will require approximately 0.01ha of native vegetation to be cleared within the Subject Site; therefore, the BOS is not triggered by the clearing threshold.

As such, the Biodiversity Offset Scheme is not triggered and a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR) is not required. As such, a standard Flora and Fauna Assessment Report (this report) has been produced to assess the impact of the proposed DA.



Table 2. Biodiversity offset scheme entry thresholds. Bold indicates the threshold relevant to this report.

| Minimum lot size associated with the property | Threshold for clearing, above which the BAM and offsets scheme apply |
|---|--|
| Less than 1ha | 0.25ha or more |
| 1ha to less than 40ha | 0.5 ha or more |
| 40ha to less than 1000ha | 1ha or more |
| 1000ha or more | 2ha or more |

1.7 Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 (WLEP)

1.7.1 Zoning

The Subject Property is zoned 'RE1: Public Recreation'. The WLEP requires that the development satisfies the zone objectives which are:

- To enable land to be used for public open space or recreational purposes.
- To provide a range of recreational settings and activities and compatible land uses.
- To protect and enhance the natural environment for recreational purposes.
- To protect, manage and restore public land that is of ecological, scientific, cultural or aesthetic value.
- To prevent development that could destroy, damage or otherwise have an adverse effect on those values.

The proposed development satisfies the objectives of this zone by providing public recreation activities.

1.8 Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 (WDCP)

1.8.1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation

Part E1 of the WDCP applies to the land. The objectives of this clause are to:

- To protect and enhance the urban forest of the Northern Beaches;
- To effectively manage the risks that come with an established urban forest through professional management of trees;
- To minimise soil erosion and to improve air quality, water quality, carbon sequestration, storm water retention, energy conservation and noise reduction;
- To protect, enhance bushland that provides habitat for locally native plant and animal species, threatened species populations and endangered ecological communities;
- To promote the retention and planting of trees which will help enable plant and animal communities to survive in the long-term; and
- To protect and enhance the scenic value and character that trees and/or bushland vegetation provide.

Although the proposed development will require the removal of native bushland, revegetation is proposed to enhance the long-term survival of the community present and protect retained vegetation during and following construction.

1.8.2 Prescribed Vegetation



Part E2 of the WDCP applies to land identified within mapping as containing high conservation habitat, wildlife corridors or native vegetation The objectives of this clause are to:

- To preserve and enhance the area's amenity, whilst protecting human life and property;
- To improve air quality, prevent soil erosion, assist in improving water quality, carbon sequestration, storm water retention, energy conservation and noise reduction;
- To provide habitat for local wildlife, generate shade for residents and provide psychological & social benefits;
- To protect and promote the recovery of threatened species, populations and endangered ecological communities;
- To protect and enhance the habitat of plants, animals and vegetation communities with high conservation significance;
- To retain and enhance native vegetation communities and the ecological functions of wildlife corridors;
- To reconstruct habitat in non-vegetated areas of wildlife corridors that will sustain the ecological functions of a wildlife corridor and that, as far as possible, represents the combination of plant species and vegetation structure of the original 1750 community; and
- Promote the retention of native vegetation in parcels of a size, condition and configuration which will as far as possibly enable plant and animal communities to survive in the long-term.

Development is to be situated and designed to minimise the impact on prescribed vegetation, including remnant canopy trees, understorey vegetation, and ground cover species. Although the proposed development will require the removal of native bushland, revegetation is proposed to enhance the long-term survival of the community present and protect retained vegetation during and following construction.

1.8.3 Threatened species, populations, ecological communities listed under State or Commonwealth legislation, or High Conservation Habitat

This control applies to land identified on WDCP Map as an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) is present; therefore, this control applies. The objectives of this control are:

- To protect and promote the recovery of threatened species, populations and endangered ecological communities;
- To protect and enhance the habitat of plants, animals and vegetation communities with high conservation significance;
- To preserve and enhance the area's amenity, whilst protecting human life and property;
- To improve air quality, prevent soil erosion, assist in improving water quality, carbon sequestration, storm water retention, energy conservation and noise reduction; and
- To provide natural habitat for local wildlife, maintain natural shade profiles and provide psychological & social benefits.

Although the proposed development will require the removal of native bushland, revegetation is proposed to enhance the long-term survival of the community present and protect retained vegetation during and following construction.

1.8.4 Wildlife Corridors

No areas mapped as 'Wildlife Corridors' on the WDCP Map are present within the Subject Property. No wildlife corridors will be affected by the proposed development. Therefore, this clause does not apply.

1.8.5 Native Vegetation

Although no areas mapped as 'Native Vegetation' on the WDCP Map are present within the Subject Property; native vegetation was observed during the site assessment, therefore, this clause applies. The objectives of this clause are:

- To preserve and enhance the area's amenity, whilst protecting human life and property;
- To improve air quality, prevent soil erosion, assist in improving water quality, carbon sequestration, storm water retention, energy conservation and noise reduction;
- To provide natural habitat for local wildlife, maintain natural shade profiles and provide psychological & social benefits;
- Promote the retention of native vegetation in parcels of a size, condition and configuration which will as far as possible enable local plant and animal communities to survive in the long term; and
- To maintain the amount, local occurrence and diversity of native vegetation in the area.

Although the proposed development will require the removal of native bushland, revegetation is proposed to enhance the long-term survival of the community present and protect retained vegetation during and following construction. A VMP will be implemented for the continued enhancement and protection of the EEC within and adjacent to the Subject Site.

1.9 State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021: Chapter 4 - Koala Habitat Protection 2021

This chapter applies to LGAs that are listed in Schedule 2 'Local government areas' of the SEPP. As the Northern Beaches LGA is included in Schedule 2, this SEPP applies to the Subject Site. As such, the following development control provisions apply to development applications relating to the land, as the land:

- Has an area of at least 1 hectare (including adjoining land within the same ownership); and
- Does not have an approved koala plan of management applying to the land.

Before a council may grant consent to a development application for consent to carry out development on the land, the council must assess whether the development is likely to have any impact on koalas or koala habitat. If the council is satisfied that the development is likely to have low or no impact on koalas or koala habitat, the council may grant consent to the development application.

A site assessment was undertaken to determine whether the land contained core koala habitat, which is defined by the SEPP as:

a) an area of land which has been assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced person as being highly suitable koala habitat and where koalas are recorded as being present at the time of assessment of the land as highly suitable koala habitat, or

b) an area of land which has been assessed by a suitably qualified and experienced person as being highly suitable koala habitat and where koalas have been recorded as being present in the previous 18 years.

The Subject Property did contain suitable habitat (where 15% or greater of the total number of trees are the regionally relevant species of those listed in Schedule 3 of the SEPP), however no signs of koalas or koala occupancy (scats, scratch marks) were observed at the time of the site assessment. Furthermore, there are only two (2) records of Koalas within 2.5km of the Subject Property in the last 18 years. Due to the urban nature of the Subject Site and low number of proximal records, it is considered unlikely to be core Koala habitat and no further assessment under the SEPP (i.e. Koala Assessment Report) should be required.



1.10 Scope of Assessment

1.10.1 Objectives of the Fauna and Flora Assessment

The objectives of this FFA were to:

- Establish the likelihood of occurrence of migratory species, threatened species, endangered populations, and threatened ecological communities as listed under the BC Act and/or the EPBC Act;
- Assess any potential impacts to species and/or communities listed under the BC Act and EPBC Act;
- Assess the ecological impacts of the proposed development on the waterway and riparian land that is within the Subject Site;
- Identify and map the distribution of vegetation communities within the Subject Property;
- Record presence and the extent of any known or potential fauna habitat features such as nests, dreys, caves, crevices, culverts, pools, soaks, flowering trees, fruiting trees, or hollow-bearing trees and provide recommendations for on-going management of these habitat features and any fauna present;
- Record presence and the extent of any priority weeds or weed infestations and provide recommendations for on-going management; and
- Recommend any controls or additional actions to be taken to protect or improve environmental outcomes of the proposed activity.

1.11 Study Limitations

This study was not intended to provide a complete inventory of all flora and fauna species with potential to occur on the Subject Property. The species list provided for the Subject Property in this report was restricted to what was observed during the site assessment by the Narla Ecologist. The timing of the survey may not have coincided with emergence times of some species of flora and fauna, such as seasonally flowering herbs, seasonal migratory fauna, or nocturnal fauna. To account for those species that could not be identified during the field survey, detailed habitat assessments were combined with desktop research and local ecological knowledge to establish an accurate prediction of the potential for such species to occur on or adjacent the Subject Property.



2. Methodology

2.1 Desktop Assessment and Literature Review

A thorough literature review of local information relevant to the Northern Beaches Council area was undertaken. Searches using NSW Wildlife Atlas (BioNet; DPE 2024b) and the Commonwealth Protected Matters Search Tool (DCCEEW 2024) were conducted to identify all current threatened flora and fauna, as well as migratory fauna records within a 10km x 10km cell search area centred on the Subject Site. These data were used to assist in establishing the presence or likelihood of any ecological values as occurring on or adjacent the Subject Site, and helped inform the Ecologist on what to look for during the site assessment.

Soil landscape and geological mapping was examined to understanding of the environment on the Subject Site and assisted in determining whether any threatened flora or ecological communities may occur there (Chapman et al. 2009).

2.2 Ecological Site Assessment

2.2.1 Ecological Survey

A site assessment was undertaken by Narla Ecologists, Brodie Miller and Gemma Hicks, on the 9th of April 2024. During the site assessment, the following activities were undertaken:

- Identifying and recording the vegetation communities present within the Subject Site, with focus on identifying any threatened ecological communities (TEC);
- Recording a detailed list of flora species encountered within the Subject Site, with a focus on threatened species, species diagnostic of threatened ecological communities, and priority weeds;
- Recording opportunistic sightings of any fauna species seen or heard on or within the immediate surrounds of the Subject Site;
- Targeted surveys for threatened flora;
- Identifying and recording the locations of notable fauna habitat such as important nesting, roosting, or foraging microhabitats;
- Assessing the impact of the proposed development on the present waterway and riparian land;
- Assessing the connectivity and quality of the vegetation within the Subject Site and surrounding area; and
- Targeting the habitat of any threatened and regionally significant fauna including:
 - Tree hollows (habitat for threatened large forest owls, parrots, and arboreal mammals);
 - Caves and crevices (habitat for threatened reptiles, small mammals, and microbats);
 - Termite mounds (habitat for threatened reptiles);
 - Soaks (habitat for threatened frogs);
 - Wetlands (habitat for threatened fish, frogs, and water birds);
 - Drainage lines (habitat for threatened fish and frogs);
 - Fruiting trees (food for threatened frugivorous birds and mammals);
 - Flowering trees (food for threatened nectarivorous birds and mammals);
 - Trees and shrubs supporting nest structures (habitat for threatened birds and arboreal mammals); and
 - Any other habitat features that may support fauna (particularly threatened) species.



2.2.2 Weather Conditions

Weather conditions recorded at the nearest weather station (Terrey Hills AWS #066059) prior to and during the site assessment are provided in **Table 3** (BOM 2024). The data revealed mild to low temperatures and with significant rainfall leading up to the site assessment, as such these conditions may not have been conducive to the emergence of flowering plants.

| Survey date | Day | Minimum Temp. (°C) | Maximum Temp. (°C) | Rainfall (mm) | | | |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| 03/04/2024 | Wednesday | 14.7 | 23.2 | 3.6 | | | |
| 04/04/2024 | Thursday | 16.9 | 18.2 | 1.6 | | | |
| 05/04/2024 | Friday | 16.0 | 19.5 | 66.6 | | | |
| 06/04/2024 | Saturday | 17.0 | 26.8 | 161.2 | | | |
| 07/04/2024 | Sunday | 15.9 | 26.7 | 0.0 | | | |
| 08/04/2024 | Monday | 15.3 | 23.2 | 0.0 | | | |
| 09/04/2024 | Tuesday | 14.6 | 20.6 | 0.0 | | | |

Table 3. Weather conditions recorded at Terrey Hills AWS (station 066059) preceding and during the site assessment (site assessment date in bold)

2.2.3 Mapping and Analysis of Vegetation Communities

Narla examined local satellite imagery, geological mapping, soil landscape mapping, and topographic mapping, in addition to existing vegetation mapping the State Vegetation Type Map (DPE 2022) in order to stratify the Subject Site and guide the site assessment survey efforts. The following documents were also consulted during the site assessment to assist with the identification of vegetation communities present within the Subject Site:

- Chapman G.A., Murphy C.L., Tille P.J., Atkinson G. and Morse R.J. (2009) Soil Landscapes of the Sydney 1:100,000 Sheet map, Ed. 4, Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water, Sydney.
- Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2024d) eSPADE v2.2
 https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/eSpade2Webapp#
- Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2022) State Vegetation Type Map

2.2.4 Impact Assessment

An assessment of likely occurrence was carried out for locally occurring threatened species (**Table 7** and **Table 9**) and threatened migratory species. It was then determined if a further impact assessment (5-Part Test; test of significance) was required for any locally occurring threatened species or communities (**Appendix D**).



3. Native Vegetation

3.1 Historically Mapped Vegetation Communities

Historical vegetation mapping of the Subject Property utilizing the "State Vegetation Type Map" (DPE 2022) was conducted and identified the vegetation on site as non-classified. The nearest native vegetation mapped is Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest.

3.2 Field-validated Vegetation Communities

The field survey conducted by Narla identified the vegetation within the Subject Property as best conforming to two (2) vegetation community (**Figure 2**):

- Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest (Table 4);
- Exotic Dominated Vegetation (Table 5).



| A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O | |
|--|--|
| Narla Field-Validated Vegetation Mapping Subject Site Subject Property Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest Exotic Dominated Vegetation | 0 25 50 m NARLA environmental |
| | Date: 04/06/2024 Coordinate System: GDA94 MGA Zone 56 Image Source: Nearmap Australia Pty Ltd (March 2024) |

Figure 2. Field Validated Vegetation Mapping of the Subject Site.

Table 4 Description of Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest identified within the and surrounding the Subject Site.

Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest

| Extent within the Subject Site | 0.01ha |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| | U.UTIId |

Description (DPE 2022)

(approx.)

A tall to very tall open forest or woodland featuring *Casuarina glauca* and usually *Baumea juncea* and *Juncus kraussii* subsp. *australiensis*, occurring on the edges of tidal estuarine flats and tidal creek flats along the NSW coast, usually at elevations of below 10 metres asl. *Casuarina glauca* almost always forms a sparse to mid-dense tree layer, rarely accompanied by *Melaleuca quinquenervia*. A sparse or very sparse small tree or scrub layer of *Melaleuca ericifolia* is occasionally present, while other *Melaleuca* species and other trees or shrubs only rarely occur. The mid-dense ground layer is primarily comprised of sedges, rushes, reeds and grasses that are tolerant of inundation, very frequently including *Baumea juncea* and *Juncus kraussii* subsp. *australiensis*, commonly with Phragmites australis. Other species occasionally occurring in the ground layer include *Samolus repens, Lobelia anceps* and *Gahnia clarkei*, while more rare species include *Sporobolus virginicus, Apium prostratum* and

This PCT has been recorded from Sawtell south to Tuross Head, however is likely to occur elsewhere along the NSW coast on the margins of brackish water bodies and watercourses. It is floristically related to PCT 4026 which occurs in similar environments, however PCT 4028 has a more consistent cover of *Casuarina glauca* and includes more species that are not tolerant of saline conditions. PCT 4028 occurs at slightly higher elevations than PCT 4026, or further upstream in areas with less frequent inundation. PCT 4028 overlaps spatially in the Hunter region with PCT 4038, with which it also weakly overlaps floristically, however PCT 4038 has thick *Melaleuca nodosa* which is only very rare and very sparse in PCT 4028.

Description of the vegetation within the Subject Site

Hemarthria uncinata, the latter three with variable cover from site to site.

The vegetation within the Subject Site conforming to Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest exists as a single native canopy tree (*Melaleuca quinquenervia*) with *Lomandra spp.* in the understorey, likely planted for horticultural purposes. This vegetation is however contingent with Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest In a better condition within the broader Subject Property.

| Justification of Vegetation Assignment | This vegetation within the Subject Land is located on a tidal creek flat below 10m asl and contains diagnostic species in the canopy (<i>Melaleuca spp.</i>). |
|---|--|
| BC Act 2016 Status | The vegetation within the Subject Site conforms to the BC Act listed EEC Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions |
| EPBC Act 1999 Status | The vegetation within the Subject Site does not conform to a EPBC Act listed vegetation community. |



Table 5 Description of Exotic Dominated Vegetation identified within the and surrounding the Subject Site.

| Exotic Dominated Vegetation | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Extent within the Subject Site (approx.) | 0.01ha | | | | | |
| Description of the Vegetation v | within the Subject Property | | | | | |
| This vegetation community was dominated by common horticultural species. The canopy layer contained exotic species including <i>Syagrus romanzoffiana, Cupressus spp.</i> and <i>Magnolia spp.</i> The mid layer and ground layer includes <i>Buxus spp., Osteospermum spp.</i> among common exotic lawn species. | | | | | | |

| Justification of Vegetation Assignment | This assemblage of species within the landscape of the Subject Property did not conform to any locally occurring community. |
|---|---|
| BC Act 2016 Status | Not Listed. |
| EPBC Act 1999 Status | Not Listed. |



4.1 Threatened Ecological Communities

4.1.1 Listing under the BC Act: Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest in the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions, Endangered Ecological Community

Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest is associated with to Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest (SOFF) in the Sydney Basin Bioregion Endangered Ecological Community (EEC). Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest is associated with greyblack clay-loams and sandy loams, where the groundwater is saline or sub-saline, on waterlogged or periodically inundated flats, drainage lines, lake margins and estuarine fringes associated with coastal floodplains, generally below 20 m (NSW Scientific Committee 2011). The Subject Site occurs on such soils on a costal floodplain below 20m asl, and contains the following diagnostic species:

Melaleuca quinquenervia.

Therefore, this community within the conforms to the EEC SOFF in the Sydney Basin Bioregion.

4.1.2 Listing under the EPBC Act: Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of South-east Queensland and New South Wales

Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest is associated with Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of Southeast Queensland and New South Wales (CWOF) EEC. In order to be considered a Matter of National Significance, areas of the ecological community must meet both:

- the key diagnostic characteristics (Table 6); and
- at least the minimum condition thresholds for Category C.

As Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest within the Subject Site does not meet the key diagnostic characteristics and minimum condition thresholds (Category C), this vegetation does not meet the definition of CWOF EEC.

Table 6. Key Diagnostic Characteristics of Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of South-east Queensland and New South Wales.

| Key Diagnostic Characteristic | Features Present within the Subject Site? |
|---|--|
| Occurs from south-east Queensland to southern NSW within the South Eastern Queensland, NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin, or South East Corner bioregions | Yes. The Subject Site is located within the Sydney Basin Bioregion. |
| Occurs in coastal catchments at elevations up to 50 m ASL, typically less than 20 m ASL, on coastal flats, floodplains, drainage lines, lake margins, wetlands and estuarine fringes where soils are at least occasionally saturated, water-logged or inundated. There are also minor occurrences on coastal dune swales or flats, particularly deflated dunes and dune soaks. | Yes. The Subject Site occurs at <10m asl on a coastal floodplain. |
| Occurs on soils derived from unconsolidated sediments (including alluvium), typically hydrosols (grey-black clay-loam and/or sandy loam soils) and sometimes organosols (peaty soils). It may occur in transitional soils (or catenas) where shallow unconsolidated sediments border lithic substrates. | Yes. The Subject Site is mapped as occurring on alluvial soils including loamy sand and peaty soils. |
| Has an open woodland, woodland, forest, or closed forest structure, with a tree canopy that has a total crown cover of at least 10 per cent. | Yes. Canopy cover is < 10%. |



| Key Diagnostic Characteristic | Features Present within the Subject Site? |
|---|--|
| Has a canopy of trees dominated by Casuarina glauca | No. |

4.1 Threatened Flora

Desktop analysis revealed a range of threatened flora as occurring or having the potential to occur on or within a 10km x 10km cell centred on the Subject Site. Thorough targeted surveys were undertaken throughout the Subject Site for potentially occurring threatened flora whose survey period coincided within the time of the site assessment (April 2024; **Figure 3**). No threatened flora whose survey period coincided with the time of the site assessment were identified at the time of the site assessment.

A comprehensive list of flora species identified during the site assessment is presented in **Appendix B.** The following locally occurring species were assessed for their potential to occur within the Subject Site (**Table 7**). Based on unsuitable habitat, geographic distribution and/or the small scale of the development, it was determined that the proposed works are unlikely to significantly impact upon these species. Therefore, no further assessment of impacts pursuant the BC Act (e.g. Biodiversity Development Assessment Report [BDAR]) and/or EPBC Act Referral to Commonwealth will be required.



Table 7. Assessment of likely occurrence of threatened flora species within the Subject Site. E = Endangered, CE = Critically Endangered, EP = Endangered Population, V = Vulnerable.

| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Habitat Requirements (DPE 2024e) | Likelihood of occurrence within the Subject Site | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|---|---|--|
| <i>Acacia bynoeana</i> (Bynoe's Wattle) | E | V | Occurs in heath or dry sclerophyll forest on sandy soils. Prefers open, sometimes slightly disturbed sites such as trail margins, edges of roadside spoil mounds and in recently burnt patches. | Absent. No heath or dry sclerophyll forest occurs within the Subject Site; however, a targeted survey was conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b), and no individuals were identified. | No |
| Acacia terminalis subsp. terminalis (Sunshine Wattle) | E | E | Coastal scrub and dry sclerophyll woodland on sandy soils. Habitat is generally sparse and scattered. Most areas of habitat or potential habitat are small and isolated. Most sites are highly modified or disturbed due to surrounding urban development. Flowers in autumn but may be through to early winter. | Low. Sandy soils were not present within the Subject Site; furthermore, the degraded and altered state of the Subject Site makes this species presence unlikely. The site assessment in April 2024 was outside the DPE (2024b) endorsed survey (May-July) period, and this species was not observed. | No |
| Allocasuarina portuensis (Nielsen Park She-oak) | E | E | The original known habitat of the Neilsen Park She-oak is at Nielsen Park, in Woollahra local government area. There are no plants left at the original site where it was discovered. However, propagation material has been planted successfully at a number of locations at Nielsen Park and other locations in the local area, e.g. Gap Bluff, Hermit Point and Vaucluse House. The Subject Site is outside this distribution. | Absent. The original known habitat of the Neilsen Park She-oak is at Nielsen Park, in Woollahra local government area. There are no plants left at the original site where it was discovered. However, propagation material has been planted successfully at a number of locations at Nielsen Park and other locations in the local area, e.g. Gap Bluff, Hermit Point and Vaucluse House. The Subject Site is outside this distribution. A targeted survey was conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |
| <i>Caladenia tessellata</i> (Thick Lip Spider Orchid) | E | V | Within NSW, <i>Caladenia tessellata</i> is currently known from two disjunct areas; one population near Braidwood on the Southern Tablelands and three populations in the Wyong area on the Central Coast. Records in the Sydney area old from 1945 and it is likely extinct in these locations. | Low. As the Subject Site is highly modified and outside of this current distribution it is unlikely to occur. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Habitat Requirements (DPE 2024e) | Likelihood of occurrence within the Subject Site | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Callistemon linearifolius (Netted Bottle Brush) | V | - | Grows in dry sclerophyll forest on the coast and adjacent ranges. | Present outside of the Subject Site. This species was observed adjacent to Brookvale Creek outside of the Subject Site. No impact is expected for this species. | No |
| Chamaesyce psammogeton (Sand Spurge) | E | - | Grows on fore-dunes, pebbly strandlines and exposed headlands, often with Spinifex (<i>Spinifex sericeus</i>) and Prickly Couch (<i>Zoysia macrantha</i>). | Absent. No fore-shore dunes, pebbly strandlines, exposed headlands or the species associated with this species are located within the Subject Site. A targeted survey was conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |
| Epacris purpurascens var. purpurascens | V | - | Found in a range of habitat types, most of which have a strong shale soil influence. Lifespan is recorded to be 5-20 years, requiring 2-4 years before seed is produced in the wild. Killed by fire and re-establishes from soil-stored seed. | Low. The Subject Site does not contain strongly shale influenced soils. The site assessment in April 2024 was outside the DPE (2024b) endorsed survey (Sep-Oct) period, and this species was not observed. Furthermore, the degraded state of the Subject Site makes this species' presence unlikely. | No |
| Eucalyptus camfieldii (Camfield's Stringybark) | V | V | Occurs in poor coastal country in shallow sandy soils overlying Hawkesbury sandstone. Coastal heath mostly on exposed sandy ridges. Occurs mostly in small scattered stands near the boundary of tall coastal heaths and low open woodland of the slightly more fertile inland areas. Associated species frequently include stunted species of <i>E. oblonga</i> Narrow-leaved Stringybark, <i>E. capitellata</i> Brown Stringybark and <i>E. haemastoma</i> Scribbly Gum. | Absent. While the Subject Site occurs on Hawkesbury sandstone, a targeted survey was conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b), and no individuals were identified. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Habitat Requirements (DPE 2024e) | Likelihood of occurrence within the Subject Site | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|---|---|--|
| Genoplesium baueri (Bauer's Midge Orchid) | E | E | Grows in dry sclerophyll forest and moss gardens over sandstone. Flowers February to March. | Low. The associated habitat for this species (dry sclerophyll forest) does not occur within the Subject Site. Furthermore, the highly disturbed nature of the Subject Site also makes the occurrence of this threatened plant highly unlikely. | No |
| <i>Grevillea</i> <i>caleyi</i> (Caley's Grevillea) | CE | CE | All natural remnant sites occur within a habitat that is both characteristic and consistent between sites. All sites occur on the ridgetop between elevations of 170 to 240m asl, in association with laterite soils and a vegetation community of open forest, generally dominated by <i>Eucalyptus sieberi</i> and <i>E. gummifera</i> . Commonly found in the endangered Duffys Forest ecological community (EEC). | Absent. No ridgetops between elevations of 170-240 asl are present within the Subject Site, however, a targeted survey was conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |
| Hibbertia superans | E | - | Flowering time is July to December. The species occurs on sandstone ridgetops often near the shale/sandstone boundary. Occurs in both open woodland and heathland, and appears to prefer open disturbed areas, such as tracksides. | Low. No ridgetops near the shale/sandstone boundary are present within the Subject Site. | No |
| <i>Melaleuca biconvexa</i> (Biconvex Paperbark) | V | V | Biconvex Paperbark generally grows in damp places, often near streams or low-lying areas on alluvial soils of low slopes or sheltered aspects. Flowering occurs over just 3-4 weeks in September and October. | Low. While suitable habitat for this species was present within the Subject Site. Although the site assessment occurred outside of the survey period, a targeted survey was previously conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b), and no individuals were identified. | No |
| <i>Microtis</i> <i>angusii</i> (Angus's Onion Orchid) | E | E | It is not easy to define the preferred natural habitat of this orchid as the Ingleside location is highly disturbed. The dominant species occurring on the site are introduced weeds <i>Hyparrhenia hirta</i> (Coolatai grass) and <i>Acacia saligna</i> . The | Low. The Subject Site does not contain strongly shale influenced soils; furthermore, the degraded and altered state of the Subject Site makes this species presence unlikely. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Habitat Requirements (DPE 2024e) | Likelihood of occurrence within the Subject Site | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|--|---|--|
| | | | Ingleside population occurs on soils that have been modified but were originally those of the restricted ridgetop lateritic soils in the Duffys Forest - Terrey Hills - Ingleside and Belrose areas. These soils support a specific and distinct vegetation type, the Duffys Forest Vegetation Community and ranges from open forest to low open forest and rarely woodland. | | |
| Persoonia hirsuta (Hairy Geebung) | E | E | The Hairy Geebung is found in clayey and sandy soils in dry sclerophyll open forest, woodland and heath, primarily on the Mittagong Formation and on the upper Hawkesbury Sandstone. It is usually present as isolated individuals or very small populations. | Absent. Sandy soil landscape is present within the Subject Site; however, a targeted survey was conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |
| Pimelea curviflora var. curviflora | V | V | Occurs on shaley/lateritic soils over sandstone and shale/sandstone transition soils on ridgetops and upper slopes amongst woodlands. Also recorded in Illawarra Lowland Grassy Woodland habitat at Albion Park on the Illawarra coastal plain. | Low. Appropriate habitat requirements were not identified within the Subject Site, furthermore, the degraded and altered state of the Subject Site makes this species presence unlikely. | No |
| Prostanthera marifolia (Seaforth Mintbush) | CE | CE | Occurs in localised patches in or in close proximity to the endangered Duffys Forest ecological community. Located on deeply weathered clay-loam soils associated with ironstone and scattered shale lenses, a soil type which only occurs on ridge tops and has been extensively urbanised. | Absent. The endangered Duffys Forest ecological community is not in close proximity to the Subject Site. A targeted survey was still conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |
| Rhodamnia rubescens (Scrub Turpentine) | CE | CE | Occurs in coastal districts north from Batemans Bay in New South Wales, approximately 280 km south of Sydney, to areas inland of Bundaberg in Queensland. Populations of <i>R.</i> <i>rubescens</i> typically occur in coastal regions and occasionally extend inland onto escarpments up to 600 m asl. in areas with rainfall of 1,000-1,600 mm. Found in littoral, warm temperate | Absent. There was no presence of littoral, warm temperate and subtropical rainforest or wet sclerophyll forest usually on volcanic and sedimentary soils on the Subject Site. A targeted survey was still conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Habitat Requirements (DPE 2024e) | Likelihood of occurrence within the Subject Site | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | and subtropical rainforest and wet sclerophyll forest usually on volcanic and sedimentary soils. | | |
| Senecio spathulatus (Coastal Groundsel) | E | - | Coast Groundsel occurs in Nadgee Nature Reserve (Cape Howe) and between Kurnell in Sydney and Myall Lakes National Park (with a possible occurrence at Cudmirrah). In Victoria there are scattered populations from Wilsons Promontory to the NSW border. Coast Groundsel grows on frontal dunes. | Absent. No frontal dunes were present within the Subject Site. A targeted survey was still conducted during the approved survey period for this species (DPE 2024b) and no individuals were identified. | No |
| Syzygium paniculatum (Magenta Lilly Pilly) | E | V | On the south coast the Magenta Lilly Pilly occurs on grey soils over sandstone, restricted mainly to remnant stands of littoral (coastal) rainforest. On the central coast Magenta Lilly Pilly occurs on gravels, sands, silts and clays in riverside gallery rainforests and remnant littoral rainforest communities. | Absent. Appropriate habitat requirements were not identified within the Subject Site, furthermore, the degraded and altered state of the Subject Site makes this species presence unlikely. The site assessment in April 2024 was during the DPE (2024b) endorsed survey (Apr-Jun) period and this species was not observed. | No |
| Tetratheca glandulosa | V | - | Associated with shale-sandstone transition habitat where shale-cappings occur over sandstone, with associated soil landscapes such as Lucas Heights, Gymea, Lambert and Faulconbridge. Topographically, the plant occupies ridgetops, upper-slopes and to a lesser extent mid-slope sandstone benches. | Low. Appropriate habitat requirements were not identified within the Subject Site, furthermore, the degraded and altered state of the Subject Site makes this species presence unlikely. | No |



4.2 Threatened Fauna

Details of the fauna habitat recorded within the Subject Site are included in **Table 8** and displayed **Figure 3**. The likelihood of occurrence of threatened fauna species within the Subject Site is presented in **Table 9**.

Based on unsuitable habitat, geographic distribution and/or the small scale of the development, it was determined that the proposed works are unlikely to significantly impact upon a local viable population or occurrence of any of the threatened species. Therefore, no assessment under the '5-Part Test Assessment of Significance' was required and no BDAR or EPBC Act Referral to the Commonwealth is considered necessary for the proposed development.

Common avian fauna species were identified within and surrounding the Subject Site during the site assessment. All native fauna species encountered were listed as 'protected' under the BC Act. The list of fauna recorded during the site visit was produced opportunistically (**Appendix C**).

| Habitat component | Site values |
|---|--|
| Coarse woody debris | Absent. |
| Rock outcrops and bush rock | Absent. |
| Caves, crevices and overhangs | Absent. |
| Culverts, bridges, mine shafts, or abandoned structures | Absent. |
| Nectar/lerp-bearing Trees | Absent. |
| Nectar-bearing shrubs | Present. Melaleuca spp. was present within the Subject Site. |
| Koala use trees | Absent. |
| Large stick nests | Absent. |
| Sap and gum sources | Absent. |
| She-oak fruit (Glossy Black Cockatoo feed) | Absent. |
| Seed-bearing trees and shrubs | Absent. |
| Soft-fruit-bearing trees | Absent. |
| Dense shrubbery and leaf litter | Absent. |
| Tree hollows | Absent. |
| Decorticating bark | Absent. |
| Wetlands, soaks, and streams | Absent. |
| Open water bodies | Absent. |
| Estuarine, beach, mudflats, and rocky foreshores | Absent. |
| Smaller nests and possums dreys | Absent. |

Table 8. Fauna habitat values within the Subject Site.

4.2.1 Migratory Fauna Species

Desktop analysis revealed the following EPBC Act listed migratory terrestrial fauna species were considered to have the potential to utilise habitat within the Subject Site (e.g. foraging or passage) during part of their lifecycles:

- Cuculus optatus (Oriental Cuckoo)
- *Hirundapus caudacutus* (White-throated Needletail);
- Monarcha melanopsis (Black Faced Monarch);
- Motacilla flava (Yellow Wagtail);
- *Myiagra cyanoleuca* (Satin Flycatcher);
- Rhipidura rufifrons (Rufous Fantail); and
- Monarcha trivirgatus (Spectacled Monarch).

It was determined that the proposed works are unlikely to have a significant impact on these species. Therefore, a Referral to Commonwealth pursuant to the EPBC Act is not required.





Figure 3. Threatened Species and Fauna Habitat Survey Effort.

Table 9. Assessment of likely occurrence of threatened fauna species within the Subject Site. E = Endangered, CE = Critically Endangered, EP = Endangered Population, V = Vulnerable.

| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| Anthochaera phrygia (Regent Honeyeater) | CE | CE | Low | Inhabits dry open forest and woodland, particularly Box- Ironbark woodland, and riparian forests of River Sheoak. These woodlands have significantly large numbers of mature trees, high canopy cover and abundance of mistletoes. Foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site, however, due to its degraded nature it is sub-optimal in condition. | There are three known key breeding areas, two of them in NSW - Capertee Valley and Bundarra-Barraba regions. The species breeds between July and January in Box-Ironbark and other temperate woodlands and riparian gallery forest dominated by River Sheoak. Such habitat is not present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Furthermore, the Subject Site is not located on the important areas map for this species. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Artamus cyanopterus (Dusky Wood swallow) | v | _ | Low | Primarily inhabit dry, open eucalypt forests and woodlands, including mallee associations, with an open or sparse understorey of eucalypt saplings, acacias and other shrubs, and ground-cover of grasses or sedges and fallen woody debris. Foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site, however, due to its degraded nature it is sub-optimal in condition. | Nest is an open, cup-shape, nest sites vary greatly, but generally occur in shrubs or low trees, living or dead, horizontal or upright forks in branches, spouts, hollow stumps or logs, behind loose bark or in a hollow in the top of a wooden fence post. Nest sites may be exposed or well concealed by foliage. No such nests were observed within the Subject Site. Due to highly urbanized and degraded nature of the Subject Site, the species is highly unlikely to use the Subject Site as breeding habitat. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Botaurus poiciloptilus | E | E | Low | This species favours permanent freshwater wetlands with tall, | This species nests in densely vegetated wetlands. No such | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |



Flora and Fauna Assessment -Warringah Recreation Centre | 31

| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| (Australasian Bittern) | | | | dense vegetation, particularly bullrushes (<i>Typha</i> spp.) and spikerushes (<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.). Hides during the day amongst dense reeds or rushes and feed mainly at night on frogs, fish, yabbies, spiders, insects and snails. No such habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | |
| Burhinus grallarius (Bush Stone- curlew) | E | - | Low | This species inhabits open forests and woodlands with a sparse grassy ground layer and fallen timber. No such habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | This species nests on the ground in a scrape or small bare patch. No such nests were identified within the Subject Site. Due to highly urbanized and degraded nature of the Subject Site, the species is highly unlikely to use the Subject Site as breeding habitat. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>Calidris alba</i> (Sanderling) | v | - | Low | This species is found in coastal areas on low beaches of firm sand, near reefs and inlets, along tidal mudflats and bare open coastal lagoons; individuals are rarely recorded in near-coastal wetlands. No suitable foraging habitat was found within the Subject Site. | N/A. Breeding occurs in the Northern Hemisphere. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| <i>Calidris canutus</i> (Red Knot) | - | E | Low | This species mainly occurs in small numbers on intertidal mudflats, estuaries, bays, inlets, lagoons, harbours and sandflats and sandy beaches of sheltered coasts. No | N/A. Breeding occurs in the Northern Hemisphere. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No. |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | suitable foraging habitat was found within the Subject Site. | | | |
| <i>Calidris ferruginea</i> (Curlew Sandpiper) | E | CE | Low | The species generally occupies littoral and estuarine habitats, and in New South Wales is mainly found in intertidal mudflats of sheltered coasts. It forages in or at the edge of shallow water, occasionally on exposed algal mats or waterweed, or on banks of beach-cast seagrass or seaweed. No suitable foraging habitat was found within the Subject Site. | N/A. Breeding occurs in the Northern Hemisphere. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| <i>Calidris tenuirostris</i> (Great Knot) | V | CE | Low | This species occurs within sheltered, coastal habitats containing large, intertidal mudflats or sandflats, including inlets, bays, harbours, estuaries and lagoons. Often recorded on sandy beaches with mudflats nearby, sandy spits and islets and sometimes on exposed reefs or rock platforms. No potential foraging habitat was found within the Subject Site. | N/A. Breeding occurs in the Northern Hemisphere. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| Calyptorhynchus lathami lathami (Southern Glossy Black-Cockatoo) | V | V | Low | This species feeds almost exclusively on the seeds of several species of she-oak (<i>Casuarina</i> and <i>Allocasuarina</i> species). Inhabits open forest and woodlands of the coast and the Great Dividing | Dependent on large hollow- bearing eucalypts for nest sites. No hollows were present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | Range where stands of she-oak occur. Such habitat is present within the broader Subject Property. | | | |
| <i>Cercartetus nanus</i> (Eastern Pygmy- possum) | V | _ | Low | This species is found in a broad range of habitats from rainforest through sclerophyll (including Box-Ironbark) forest and woodland to heath, but in most areas woodlands and heath appear to be preferred. Feeds largely on nectar and pollen collected from banksias, eucalypts and bottlebrushes, as well as insects. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site., although sub-optimal due to its degraded and urban nature. | Shelters in tree hollows, rotten stumps, holes in the ground, abandoned bird-nests, Ringtail Possum dreys or thickets of vegetation, although hollows are preferred. No such habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>Chalinolobus dwyeri</i> (Large-eared Pied Bat) | V | V | Low | This species forages for small, flying insects in well-timbered areas. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site., | Roosts in caves (near their entrances), crevices in cliffs, old mine workings and in the disused, bottle-shaped mud nests of the Fairy Martin (<i>Petrochelidon ariel</i>). No such habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Charadrius Ieschenaultii (Greater Sand- plover) | V | V | Low | Almost entirely restricted to coastal areas in NSW, occurring mainly on sheltered sandy, shelly or muddy beaches or estuaries with large intertidal mudflats or sandbanks. Roosts during high | N/A. This species does not breed in Australia. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | tide on sandy beaches and rocky shores; begin foraging activity on wet ground at low tide, usually away from the edge of the water; individuals may forage and roost with other waders. No suitable foraging habitat was found within the Subject Site. | | | |
| Charadrius mongolus (Lesser Sand- plover) | V | E | Low | This species almost entirely coastal in NSW, favouring the beaches of sheltered bays, harbours and estuaries with large intertidal sandflats or mudflats; occasionally occurs on sandy beaches, coral reefs and rock platforms. Roosts during high tide on sandy beaches, spits and rocky shores; forage individually or in scattered flocks on wet ground at low tide, usually away from the water's edge. No suitable foraging habitat was found within the Subject Site. | N/A. This species does not breed in Australia. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| Climacteris picumnus victoriae (Brown Treecreeper (eastern subspecies)) | V | - | Low | Mainly inhabits woodlands dominated by stringybarks or other rough-barked eucalypts, usually with an open grassy understorey, sometimes with one or more shrub species. Fallen timber is an important habitat component for foraging. No fallen timber was present within the | Hollows in standing dead or live trees and tree stumps are essential for nesting. No hollows were present within the Subject Site. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| | | | | Subject Site making it unsuitable for this species. | | | |
| Daphoenositta chrysoptera (Varied Sittella) | V | _ | Low | Species feeds on arthropods from crevices in rough or decorticating bark. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site, although sub-optimal due to its degraded and urban nature. | This species nests in shrubs and low trees, creating an open cup shaped nest. No such nests were observed within the Subject Site. No such nests were observed within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Dasyurus maculatus (Spotted-tailed Quoll) | V | E | Low | Consumes a variety of prey, including gliders, possums, small wallabies, rats, birds, bandicoots, rabbits, reptiles and insects. Also eats carrion and takes domestic fowl. Potential prey items may exist within the Subject Site. | This species uses hollow-bearing trees, fallen logs, small caves, rock outcrops and rocky-cliff faces as den sites. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>Esacus magnirostris</i> (Beach Stone- curlew) | CE | - | Low | Beach Stone-curlews are found exclusively along the coast, on a wide range of beaches, islands, reefs and in estuaries, and may often be seen at the edges of or near mangroves. They forage in the intertidal zone of beaches and estuaries, on islands, flats, banks and spits of sand, mud, gravel or rock, and among mangroves. No suitable foraging habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Beach Stone-curlews breed above the littoral zone, at the backs of beaches, or on sandbanks and islands, among low vegetation of grass, scattered shrubs or low trees; also, among open mangroves. There are no beaches within the Subject Site, thus no suitable breeding habitat is present. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Eudyptula minor | EP | - | Low | N/A. This species forages at sea. | This endangered population occurs from just north of | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| (Little Penguin in the Manly Point Area) | | | | | Smedley's Point to Cannae Point, North Sydney Harbour, Manly. The Subject Site is not within this distribution. | assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | |
| <i>Glossopsitta pusilla</i> (Little Lorikeet) | V | - | Low | This species forages primarily in the canopy of open Eucalyptus Forest and woodland, yet also finds food in <i>Angophora</i> , <i>Melaleuca</i> , and other tree species. Mostly feeds on nectar and pollen of flowers in the open canopy of woodland trees. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Nests in proximity to feeding areas, if possible, most typically selecting hollows in the limb or trunk of smooth-barked Eucalypts. Entrance is small (3cm) and usually high above the ground (2–15m). No such habitat is present within Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Haematopus fuliginosus (Sooty Oystercatcher) | V | - | Low | Forages on exposed rock or coral at low tide for foods such as limpets and mussels. No suitable foraging habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Breeds in spring and summer, almost exclusively on offshore islands, and occasionally on isolated promontories. No such habitat is present within Subject Site. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| Haematopus longirostris (Pied Oystercatcher) | E | - | Low | This species favours intertidal flats of inlets and bays, open beaches and sandbanks. Forages on exposed sand, mud and rock at low tide, for molluscs, worms, crabs and small fish. No suitable foraging habitat is present within the Subject Site. | This species nests mostly on coastal or estuarine beaches although occasionally they use saltmarsh or grassy areas. No suitable breeding habitat was found within the Subject Site. | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| Haliaeetus leucogaster (White-bellied Sea-Eagle) | V | - | Low | Habitats are characterised by the presence of large areas of open water including larger rivers, swamps, lakes, and the sea. | Breeding habitat consists of mature tall open forest, open forest, tall woodland, and swamp sclerophyll forest close to | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | Occurs at sites near the sea or sea-shore, such as around bays and inlets, beaches, reefs, lagoons, estuaries, and mangroves. Feed mainly on fish and freshwater turtles, but also waterbirds, reptiles, mammals and carrion. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | foraging habitat. Nests are large structures built from sticks and lined with leaves or grass. No nests or potential breeding sites were identified during the site assessment. | Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | |
| Heleioporus australiacus (Giant Burrowing Frog) | V | V | Low | Species occurs in heath, woodland and dry sclerophyll forest. It forages on invertebrates up to 300m from breeding site. No such habitat is present within or surrounding the Subject Site. | The species breeds in soaks and second order streams. As Brookvale Creek is a third order stream, such habitat is not present within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| Hieraaetus morphnoides (Little Eagle) | V | _ | Low | This species occupies open eucalypt forest, woodland or open woodland. Preys on birds, reptiles and mammals, occasionally adding large insects and carrion. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site, although sub-optimal due to its degraded and urban nature. | Nests in tall living trees within a remnant patch, where pairs build a large stick nest in winter. No suitably sized nests were identified during the site assessment. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | No |
| Hirundapus caudacutus (White-throated Needletail) | _ | V | Low | This species feeds on flying insects, such as termites, ants, beetles and flies. They catch the insects in flight in their wide gaping beaks. Birds usually feed in rising thermal currents associated with storm fronts and bushfires and they are commonly seen | N/A. Does not breed in Australia. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | moving with wind fronts. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site, although sub- optimal due to its degraded and urban nature. | | | |
| <i>Isoodon obesulus obesulus</i> (Southern Brown Bandicoot [eastern]) | E | E | Low | Typically found in heath or open forest with a heathy understorey on sandy or friable soils. They feed on a variety of ground-dwelling invertebrates and the fruit-bodies of hypogenous (underground- fruiting) fungi. The Subject Site may provide suboptimal foraging habitat for this species given the lack of heath or a heathy understorey, no distinctive scratching's were observed within the Subject Site. | Nest during the day in a shallow depression in the ground covered by leaf litter, grass or other plant material. Nests may be located under Grass trees <i>Xanthorrhoea</i> spp., blackberry bushes, and other shrubs, or in rabbit burrows. No such breeding habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>lxobrychus flavicollis</i> (Black Bittern) | V | - | Low | Inhabits both terrestrial and estuarine wetlands, generally in areas of permanent water and dense vegetation. No such habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Nests, built in spring, are located on a branch overhanging water and consist of a bed of sticks and reeds on a base of larger sticks. No such nests were identified within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>Lathamus discolor</i> (Swift Parrot) | E | CE | Low | On the mainland, this species occurs in areas where eucalypts are flowering profusely or where there are abundant lerp infestations (from sap-sucking bugs). Such habitat is present within the Subject Site, although | N/A. This species breeds in Tasmania. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. The Subject Site is not mapped on the Swift Parrot Important Areas Map (DPE 2024b). | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | sub-optimal due to its degraded and urban nature. | | | |
| <i>Litoria aurea</i> (Green and Gold Bell Frog) | E | V | Low | This species inhabits marshes, dams and stream-sides, particularly those containing bullrushes (<i>Typha</i> spp.) or spikerushes (<i>Eleocharis</i> spp.). No such habitat occurs within the Subject Site. | Breeding habitat in NSW includes water bodies that are still, shallow, ephemeral, unpolluted (but the frog can be found in polluted habitats), unshaded, with aquatic plants and free of Mosquito Fish (Gambusia holbrooki) and other predatory fish, with terrestrial habitats that consisted of grassy areas and vegetation no higher than woodlands, and a range of diurnal shelter site. No such habitat occurs within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |
| <i>Lophoictinia isura</i> (Square-tailed Kite) | V | - | Low | Found in a variety of timbered habitats including dry woodlands and open forests. The species is a Is a specialist hunter of passerines, especially honeyeaters, and most particularly nestlings, and insects in the tree canopy. Prey species may occur within the Subject Site. | Species nests along or near watercourses, in a fork or a larger horizontal limb. No nests were seen within the Subject Site. Potential for nesting sites, however unlikely. | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |
| <i>Micronomus</i> <i>norfolkensis</i> (Eastern Coastal Free-tailed Bat) | V | - | Low | Species insectivorous and occur in dry sclerophyll forest, woodland, swamp forests and mangrove forests east of the Great Dividing Range. Potential prey items may exist within the Subject Site. | Roost in caves, tunnels, tree hollows, abandoned mines, stormwater drains, culverts, bridges, and sometimes buildings during the day. A building, tree hollow and stormwater channel are present within the Subject | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | | Site. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | | |
| <i>Miniopterus australis</i> (Little Bent- winged Bat) | V | _ | Low | Found in moist eucalypt forest, rainforest, vine thicket, wet and dry sclerophyll forest, Melaleuca swamps, dense coastal forests and banksia scrub. Generally found in well-timbered areas. At night, this species forages for small insects beneath the canopy of densely vegetated habitats. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Only five (5) nursery sites/maternity colonies are known in Australia. They require large colonies roosting together to provide the high temperatures needed to rear their young. No suitable breeding habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |
| Miniopterus orianae oceanensis (Large Bent- winged Bat) | V | _ | Low | Hunt in forested areas, catching moths and other flying insects above the tree tops. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | This species only breeds in caves. No cave habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |
| <i>Myotis macropus</i> (Southern Myotis) | V | _ | Low | This species forages over streams and pools catching insects and small fish by raking their feet across the water surface. Such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Generally, roost in groups of 10- 15 close to water in caves, mine shafts, hollow-bearing trees, storm water channels, buildings, under bridges, and in dense foliage. No suitable breeding habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |
| Neophema pulchella (Turquoise Parrot) | V | _ | Low | This species lives on the edges of eucalypt woodland adjoining clearings, timbered ridges and creeks in farmland. It forges on seeds or grasses and herbaceous plants. Sub-optimal foraging | This species nests in tree hollows, logs or posts. No suitable breeding habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. Site assessment in | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| | | | | habitat is present on the Subject Site. | | April 2024 did not detect this species. | |
| Ninox connivens (Barking Owl) | V | _ | Low | Inhabits woodland and open forest, including fragmented remnants and partly cleared farmland. It is flexible in its habitat use, and hunting can extend in to closed forest and more open areas. Preferentially hunts small arboreal mammals such as Squirrel Gliders and Common Ringtail Possums, but when loss of tree hollows decreases these prey populations the owl becomes more reliant on birds, invertebrates, and terrestrial mammals such as rodents and rabbits. Potential prey items may occur within the Subject Site. | This species nests in large hollows. No large hollows were seen within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | No |
| <i>Ninox strenua</i> (Powerful Owl) | V | _ | Low | The species breeds and hunts in open or closed sclerophyll forest or woodlands and hunts small mammals. Foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site, however, due to its degraded nature, it is sub-optimal in condition | This species favours hollows >20cm in diameter. No suitable breeding habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | No |
| Numenius madagascariensis (Eastern Curlew) | - | CE | Low | The species generally occupies coastal lakes, inlets, bays and estuarine habitats, and in New South Wales is mainly found in intertidal mudflats and | N/A. This species does not breed in Australia | Negligible. No anticipated loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| | | | | sometimes saltmarsh of sheltered coasts. It forages in or at the edge of shallow water, occasionally on exposed algal mats or waterweed, or on banks of beach-cast seagrass or seaweed. No such habitat is present within or surrounding the Subject Site. | | | |
| <i>Pandion cristatus</i> (Eastern Osprey) | V | - | Low | Favour coastal areas, especially the mouths of large rivers, lagoons, and lakes. Feed on fish over clear, open water. Suboptimal foraging habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Nests are made high up in dead trees or in dead crowns of live trees, usually within one kilometre of the sea. No suitably sized nests were identified within the Subject Site. | Minimal, impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. | No |
| <i>Petroica boodang</i> (Scarlet Robin) | V | _ | Low | The species live in dry eucalypt forests and woodlands, habitat usually contains abundant logs and fallen timber. Birds forage from low perches, fence-posts or on the ground, from where they pounce on small insects and other invertebrates which are taken from the ground. Foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site, however, due to its degraded nature, it is sub-optimal in condition. | This species' nest is an open cup made of plant fibres and cobwebs and is built in the fork of tree usually more than 2 metres above the ground. No such nests were seen within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Phascolarctos cinereus (Koala) | E | E | Low | Inhabit eucalypt woodlands and forests. Feed on the foliage of more than 70 eucalypt species and 30 non- eucalypt species, but in any one | The urbanised and fragmented nature of the Subject Site makes the potential for Koala presence extremely low. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | area will select preferred browse species. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | | Site. No anticipated net loss of breeding habitat. | |
| Pseudomys novaehollandiae (New Holland Mouse) | _ | V | Low | Species is known to inhabit open heathlands, woodlands and forests with a heathland understorey and vegetated sand dunes. The Subject Site does not contain woodland and heathland understorey. | This species breeds in burrows. No burrows were identified within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Pseudophryne australis (Red-crowned Toadlet) | V | - | Low | Occurs in open forests, mostly on Hawkesbury and Narrabeen Sandstones. Inhabits periodically wet drainage lines below sandstone ridges that often have shale lenses or cappings. No potential foraging habitat was seen within the Subject Site. | Breeding congregations occur in dense vegetation and debris beside ephemeral creeks and gutters. No potential breeding habitats were seen within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Pteropus poliocephalus (Grey-headed Flying-fox) | V | V | Low | Feed on the nectar and pollen of native trees, in particular <i>Eucalyptus, Melaleuca,</i> and <i>Banksia,</i> and fruits of rainforest trees and vines. Foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site. | No breeding camps were identified within or surrounding the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. | No |
| <i>Ptilinopus magnificus</i> (Wompoo Fruit- Dove) | V | - | Low | Occurs in, or near rainforest, low elevation moist eucalypt forest and brush box forests. Feeds on a diverse range of tree and vine fruits and is locally nomadic - following ripening fruit. Thought to be an effective | The nest is a typical pigeon nest - a flimsy platform of sticks on a thin branch or a palm frond, often over water, usually 3 - 10 m above the ground. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | medium to long-distance vector for seed dispersal. Feeds alone, or in loose flocks at any height in the canopy. Rainforest, low elevation moist eucalypt forest or brush box forests are not located in proximity to the Subject Site. | | | |
| <i>Ptilinopus regina</i> (Rose-crowned Fruit-Dove) | V | _ | Low | Rose-crowned Fruit-doves occur mainly in sub-tropical and dry rainforest and occasionally in moist eucalypt forest and swamp forest, where fruit is plentiful. Sub-tropical, dry rainforest, moist eucalypt forest or swamp forest do not occur within the Subject Site. | The species nest in rainforests with dense growth vines. The nest is a frail loosely woven cup of twigs and tendrils. No such nests were observed on site. No potential breeding habitat was seen within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| Ptilinopus superbus (Superb Fruit- dove) | V | _ | Low | Inhabits rainforest and similar closed forests where it forages high in the canopy, eating the fruits of many tree species such as figs and palms. It may also forage in eucalypt or acacia woodland where there are fruit-bearing trees. The Subject Site does not contain rainforest or closed forests. | The nest is a structure of fine interlocked forked twigs, giving a stronger structure than its flimsy appearance would suggest, and is usually 5-30m up in rainforest and rainforest edge tree and shrub species. No nests were observed within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>Saccolaimus flaviventris</i> (Yellow-bellied Sheathtail-bat) | V | _ | Low | This species forages for small, flying insects. The species flies high and fast over the forest canopy, but lower in more open country. Potential foraging | This species roosts in trees hollows and dilapidated buildings. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | habitat is present within the Subject Site | | | |
| <i>Scoteanax</i> <i>rueppellii</i> (Greater Broad- nose bat) | V | - | Low | Species Forages after sunset, flying slowly and directly along creek and river corridors at an altitude of 3 - 6 m. Foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site, however, due to its degraded nature, it is sub-optimal in condition. | This species roosts in trees hollows and dilapidated buildings. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. | No |
| <i>Thinornis</i> <i>cucullatus</i> <i>cucullatus</i> (Eastern Hooded Dotterel) | CE | V | Low | Prefer sandy ocean beaches, especially those that are broad and flat, with a wide wave-wash zone for feeding, much beach cast seaweed, and backed by sparsely vegetated sand-dunes for shelter and nesting. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Usually breed from August to March on sandy ocean beaches strewn with beach cast seaweed, in a narrow strip between the high-water mark and the base of the fore-dunes. No such habitat is present within the Subject Site. | Negligible, no anticipated net loss of foraging or breeding habitat. | No |
| Tyto novaehollandiae Masked Owl) | V | - | Low | Lives in dry eucalypt forests and woodlands from sea level to 1100m. The species often hunts along the edges of forests, including roadsides. Its diet consists of tree-dwelling and ground mammals, especially rats. Suboptimal foraging habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | This species nests in large hollows. No breeding habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. | No |
| Tyto tenebricosa (Sooty Owl) | V | - | Low | Occurs in rainforest, including dry rainforest, subtropical and warm temperate rainforest, as well as moist eucalypt forests. Hunts by night for small ground mammals | Nests in very large tree-hollows. No potential breeding habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject | No |



| Species | BC Act | EPBC Act | Likelihood of Occurrence | Foraging Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Breeding Habitat Present Within the Subject Site | Anticipated Impact | Further Impact Assessment Required? |
|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | or tree-dwelling mammals such as the Common Ringtail Possum (<i>Pseudocheirus peregrinus</i>) or Sugar Glider (<i>Petaurus breviceps</i>). Suboptimal foraging habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | | Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. | |
| <i>Varanus rosenbergi</i> (Rosenburg's Goanna) | V | - | Low | Species is found in heath, open forest and woodland and associated with termites. The species feeds on carrion, birds, eggs, reptiles and small mammals. Sub-optimal foraging habitat was identified within the Subject Site. | The species lays up to 14 eggs in a termite mound; the hatchlings dig themselves out of the mounds. No termite mounds were identified within the Subject Site. No breeding habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential foraging and breeding habitat given the small area of removal and degraded nature of the Subject Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. Site assessment in April 2024 did not detect this species. | No |
| <i>Vespadelus troughtoni</i> (Eastern Cave Bat) | V | - | Low | Little is understood of its feeding or breeding requirements or behaviour. Therefore, foraging habitat may be present within the Subject Site. | A cave-roosting species that is usually found in dry open forest and woodland, near cliffs or rocky overhangs. No breeding habitat was present within the Subject Site. | Minimal impact to potential sub- optimal foraging habitat given the small area of removal and the degraded nature of the Subject Site. Negligible anticipated loss of breeding habitat. | No |



5. Impact Summary

5.1 Vegetation Impact

The proposed development will require the removal of the following vegetation within the Subject Site:

- 0.01ha of Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest which conforms to the BC Act listed Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest in the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions and EPBC listed Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of South-east Queensland and New South Wales; and
- 0.01ha of Exotic Dominated Vegetation.

5.1.1 Threatened Ecological Communities: Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest Local Occurrence

Local occurrence is defined as the ecological community that occurs within the study area (OEH 2018). However, the local occurrence may include adjacent areas if the ecological community on the study area forms part of a larger contiguous area of that ecological community and the movement of individuals and exchange of genetic material across the boundary of the study area can be clearly demonstrated (OEH 2018).

Narla estimated that approximately 5.76 ha of SOFF/CSOF occurs within the locality (the local occurrence) utilising the State Vegetation Type Map (DPE 2022) and field validated vegetation mapping of the Subject Site. The vegetation proposed to be impacted through pruning or removal (0.01ha) on the Subject Site therefore represents approximately 0.35% of the estimated local occurrence of SOFF (**Figure 4**). A Test of Significance has been undertaken under the BC Act for this EEC and it was found that impacts are not anticipated to be significant (**Appendix D**).

5.2 Threatened Species

No threatened species are anticipated to be significantly impacted by the proposed works. One (1) threatened species, *Callistemon linearifolius* (Netted Bottle Brush), does occur in close proximity to the site, however it has been purposely avoided.



| Swamp Oak Floodplain Local Occurence Subject Site Subject Property Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest | 0 200 400 m |
|--|--|
| | Date: 02/06/2024 Coordinate System: GDA94 MGA Zone 56 Image Source: Nearmap Australia Pty Ltd (March 2024) |

Figure 4. Local Occurrence of Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest (BC and EPBC Act)

6. Recommendations

6.1 Impact Mitigation and Minimisation Recommendations

This section of the report details recommended efforts to avoid and minimise impacts on biodiversity values associated with the proposed development. Measures to be implemented before, during, and post construction are detailed in **Table 10**.

| Action | Outcome | Timing | Responsibility |
|---|---|-------------------------------|---|
| Project Location, Design and Planning | The development proposal is concentrated in the areas of existing infrastructure and disturbance with aims to avoid remnant riparian vegetation, where possible. One (1) threatened species, <i>Callistemon linearifolius</i> (Netted Bottle Brush), does occur in close proximity to the site, however it has been purposely avoided by the proposal. | Pre- construction phase | Proponent |
| | Australian Standard 4970 (2009) Protection of Trees on Development Sites (AS-4970) outlines that a Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) is the principal means of protecting trees on construction sites. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance so that the tree remains viable. Ideally, works should be avoided within the TPZ. | | |
| Tree Protections | A Minor Encroachment is less than 10% of the TPZ and is outside the SRZ. A Minor Encroachment is considered acceptable by AS-4970 when it is compensated for elsewhere and contiguous within the TPZ. A Major Encroachment is greater than 10% of the TPZ or inside the SRZ. Major Encroachments generally require root investigations undertaken by non-destructive methods or the use of tree sensitive construction methods. | Pre- construction phase | Proponent Arborist |
| | Trees proposed for retention should be delineated by temporary fencing by the Project Arborist. Temporary fencing should be erected at a minimum distance of the structural root zone of each tree proposed for retention. | | |
| Erosion, Sedimentation and Stormwater. | A CMP should be prepared to guide the management of Erison, Sediment and Stormwater during construction. The following principles will be applying to ensure effects of Brookvale Creek and minimised: Sediment and erosion control measures will be constructed in accordance with "Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction (Landcom 2004)" – The Blue Book; | Construction phase | Proponent Construction Contractor |

| Table 10. Measures to be implemented before, during and after construction to avoid and minimise the impacts of the proposed developme | nt |
|--|----|
|--|----|



| Action | Outcome | Timing | Responsibility |
|---|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| | All stormwater and erosion control structures will be in place before the commencement of construction and continue to operate after completion of the construction until the land is stabilised; Uncontaminated runoff from outside the construction site will be diverted around the site; No untreated construction site runoff will be discharged into receiving waters such including Brookvale Creek and Kentwell Road stormwater drains; Drainage through and from areas of construction will be designed to minimise surface flow velocities; All silt fences, silt traps and sedimentation basins will be cleaned out once 30% of their capacity has been filled; Bare areas will be stabilised within 20 days of the completion of construction activities or 14 days in areas where erosion is more likely to occur; and Temporary stabilisation techniques such as strategically placed erosion matting, sediment screens, hay bale energy dissipaters, mulching and annual grass species establishment will be implemented on disturbed areas. | | |
| Landscaping | Landscaping within the Subject Property should incorporate species representative of the local community being Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest. | Post- construction | Proponent Landscape Architect |
| Storage and Stockpiling (Soil and Materials) | Allocate all storage, stockpile, and laydown sites away from any vegetation that is planned to be retained. Avoid importing any soil from outside the site as this can introduce weeds and pathogens to the site in order to avoid the potential of incurring indirect impacts on biodiversity values. | Construction phase | Construction Contractors |



7. Conclusion

This assessment indicates that the relevant biodiversity conservation provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the relevant provisions of the WLEP 2011 and the WDCP 2011 have been fulfilled. The proposed development will require the removal of the following vegetation within the Subject Site:

- 0.01a of Estuarine Swamp Oak Twig-rush Forest which conforms to the BC Act listed Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest in the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions and EPBC listed Coastal Swamp Oak (*Casuarina glauca*) Forest of South-east Queensland and New South Wales; and
- 0.01ha of Exotic Dominated Vegetation.

No threatened species are expected to be significantly impacts by the proposed development. One (1) threatened species, *Callistemon linearifolius* (Netted Bottle Brush), does occur in close proximity to the site, however it has been purposely avoided.

If the appropriate recommendations in this report are followed, the proposed DA will have minimal ecological impacts.



8. References

Australian Standard 4970 (2009) Protection of Trees on Development Sites (AS-4970)

Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) (2024) Terrey Hills AWS, New South Wales, April 2024 Daily Weather Observations http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/dwo/202404/html/IDCJDW2154.202404.shtml

Chapman G.A., Murphy C.L., Tille P.J., Atkinson G. and Morse R.J. (2009) Soil Landscapes of the Sydney 1:100,000 Sheet map, Ed. 4, Department of Environment, Climate Change and Water, Sydney

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (DCCEEW) (2024) Protected Matters Search Tool, http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/pmst/

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2022) State Vegetation Type Map

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2024a) Biodiversity Values Map and Threshold Tool

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2024b) BioNet. The website of the Atlas of NSW Wildlife http://www.bionet.nsw.gov.au/

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2024c) BioNet Vegetation Classification. https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/research/Visclassification.htm

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2024d) eSPADE v2.2 https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/eSpade2Webapp#

Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2024e) Threatened Species Profiles. https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/threatenedspeciesapp/

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (DPIE) (2020) Surveying Threatened Plants and Their Habitats

Department of Primary Industries (DPI) (2024) NSW WeedWise: Priority weeds for the Greater Sydney https://weeds.dpi.nsw.gov.au/WeedBiosecurities?AreaId=3

Google (2024) Google Earth Pro.

Landcom (2004) Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction 'The Blue Book', Volume 1, Fourth Edition, New South Wales Government, ISBN 0-9752030-3-7

Narla Environmental (2024) Preliminary Findings Letter for Warringah Recreation Centre

Narla Environmental (2023) Flora and Fauna Assessment Report and Waterway Impact Statement for Warringah Golf and Community Club

Northern Beaches Council (2011a) Warringah Development Control Plan (WDCP)

Northern Beaches Council (2011b) Warringah Environmental Plan (WLEP)

NSW Government Spatial Services (NSW SixMaps) (2024) NSW Government Land & Property Information Spatial Information Exchange map viewer, https://six.nsw.gov.au/

PlantNET (2024) The NSW Plant Information Network System, Royal Botanic Gardens and Domain Trust, Sydney. http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au

Webber Architects (2024) Site Plans for Warringah Recreation Centre



9. Appendices


Appendix A. Site Plans for Warringah Recreation Centre (Webber Architects, 2024).

Appendix B. Flora species identified within the Subject .

Appendix C. Fauna species identified within and surrounding the Subject Property.

Appendix D. Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 - Test of Significance for Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest.





Appendix A. Site Plans for Warringah Recreation Centre (Webber Architects, 2024).



| Appendix D. Flore exercise identified | uuishin she. Cultiens Cise and increasing a cumpunde |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Appendix B. Flora species identified | within the Subject Site and immediate surrounds. |

| Scientific Name | Canopy | Midstory | Ground |
|--|--------|----------|--------|
| Acacia longifolia | | Х | |
| Acetosa sagittata* | | | Х |
| Agave spp.* | | X | |
| Ageratina adenophora* | | | х |
| Anethum graveolens* | | | х |
| Angophora costata | Х | | |
| Anredera cordifolia** | | | х |
| Araujia sericifera* | | | х |
| Asparagus aethiopicus** | | | х |
| Banksia ericifolia | | X | |
| Bidens pilosa* | | | х |
| Brachychiton acerifolius | Х | | |
| Briza maxima | | | х |
| Bromus catharticus* | | | х |
| Callicoma serratifolia | Х | | |
| Callistemon linearifolius (Vulnerable) | | X | |
| Callistemon salignus | | X | |
| Callistemon viminalis | | X | |
| Calochlaena dubia | | | Х |
| Casuarina glauca | Х | | |
| Cenchrus clandestinus* | | | x |
| Cinnamomum camphora | Х | | |
| Cissus antarctica | | | x |
| Colocasia spp. * | | | Х |
| Commelina cyanea | | | Х |
| Conyza bonariensis* | | | Х |
| Corymbia maculata | Х | | |
| Cupaniopsis anacardioides | Х | | |
| Cyathea australis | | | x |
| Cyathea cooperi | | X | |
| Cyclospermum leptophyllum* | | | x |
| Cynodon dactylon | | | x |
| Cyperus eragrostis* | | | x |
| Dianella longifolia | | | Х |
| Dianella caerulea | | | x |
| Dichondra repens | | | X |
| Ehrharta erecta* | | | X |
| Eragrostis curvula* | | | X |
| Erythrina x sykesii* | Х | | |
| Eucalyptus robusta | X | | |
| Eucalyptus saligna | X | | |
| Ficus rubiginosa | X | | |
| Fumaria officinalis* | ~ | | Х |
| Gahnia spp. | | | X |



| Scientific Name | Canopy | Midstory | Ground |
|--------------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| Glochidion ferdinandi | | x | |
| Harpephyllum caffrum* | | | х |
| Homalanthus populifolius | | Х | |
| Hydrocotyle bonariensis* | | | Х |
| Hypolepis sp. | | | Х |
| Ipomoea indica* | | | Х |
| Lantana camara** | | х | |
| Leptospermum sp. | | х | |
| Ligustrum lucidum* | | X | |
| Livistona australis | | х | |
| Lomandra longifolia | | | Х |
| Lysimachia arvensis* | | | Х |
| Melaleuca linariifolia | | х | |
| Melaleuca quinquenervia | | х | |
| Melia azedarach | | Х | |
| Modiola caroliniana* | | | Х |
| Murraya paniculata* | | X | |
| Nasturtium spp.* | | | Х |
| Nephrolepis cordifolia | | | Х |
| Nothoscordum gracile* | | | Х |
| Parietaria Judaica* | | | Х |
| Persicaria sp. | | | Х |
| Phoenix canariensis* | | X | |
| Phragmites australis | | | х |
| Pittosporum undulatum | | X | |
| Poa annua* | | | х |
| Pteridium esculentum | | | х |
| Ricinus communis* | | X | |
| Syagrus romanzoffiana* | | | |

* Denotes exotic species, ** Denotes Priority Weed



Appendix C. Fauna species identified within and surrounding the Subject Property.

| Class | Scientific Name | Common Name | Status |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| | Cacatua galerita | Sulphur-crested Cockatoo | |
| | Corvus coronoides | Australian Raven | |
| Aves | Dacelo novaeguineae | Laughing Kookaburra | Ductostad |
| | Manorina melanocephala | Noisy Miner | Protected |
| | Trichoglossus haematodus | Rainbow Lorikeet | |
| Reptilia | Intellagama lesueurii | Eastern Water Dragon | |



| Appendix D. Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 - Test of Significance for Swamp Oak Floodpla | in Forest. |
|--|------------|
|--|------------|

| Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 – Test of Significance (5-part Test) for Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions (SOFF) | | |
|--|---|--|
| BC | Act Status: Endangered Ecological Co | mmunity |
| (a) in the case of a threatened species, whether the proposed development or activity is likely to have an adverse effect on the life cycle of the species such that a viable local population of the species is likely to be placed at risk of extinction, | Not applicable – Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions (SOFF) is not a species | |
| (b) in the case of an endangered ecological community or critically endangered ecological community, whether the proposed development or activity: | (i) is likely to have an adverse effect on the extent of the ecological community such that its local occurrence is likely to be placed at risk of extinction, or | The proposed activity is not likely to have an adverse effect on the extent of the ecological community such that its local occurrence is likely to be placed at risk of extinction. In total, 0.01ha of this community will be impacted which accounts for less than 0.35% of the local occurrence of this community. Large areas of this community will continue to exist in the adjoining landscape. |
| | (ii) is likely to substantially and adversely modify the composition of the ecological community such that its local occurrence is likely to be placed at risk of extinction, | The proposed activity is not likely to modify the composition of SOFF substantially and adversely such that its local occurrence is likely to be placed at risk of extinction. In total, 0.01ha of the local occurrence of the EEC is proposed to be impacted. The vegetation to be cleared is in poor condition with a predominately exotic groundlayer with a common canopy storey species present, making it unlikely the composition of the community within the locality would be reduced. |
| (c) in relation to the habitat of a threatened species or ecological | (i) the extent to which habitat is likely to be removed or modified as a result of the proposed development or activity, and | Approximately 0.01ha will be removed to accommodate the proposed activity. |
| community: | (ii) whether an area of habitat is likely to become fragmented or isolated from other areas of | The 0.01ha SOFF to be impacted located on the edge of an existing patch adjacent to a road. The removal of this small amount of vegetation is |



| Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016 – Test of Significance (5-part Test) for Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions (SOFF) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| BC. | Act Status: Endangered Ecological Co | mmunity | | |
| | habitat as a result of the proposed development or activity, and | not expected to lead to any further fragmentation. | | |
| | (iii) the importance of the habitat to be removed, modified, fragmented or isolated to the long-term survival of the species or ecological community in the locality, | All areas that support viable patches of SOFF are important. However, impacts to the patch in question will not cause significant fragmentation or isolation of the EEC as representative vegetation will continue to occur throughout the locality. Impacts to 0.01ha of the EEC is highly unlikely to decrease the long- term survival of the community. | | |
| (d) whether the proposed development or activity is likely to have an adverse effect on any declared area of outstanding biodiversity value (either directly or indirectly), | The proposed activity is not likely to have an adverse effect on any declared area of outstanding biodiversity value, directly or indirectly. | | | |
| (e) whether the proposed development or activity is or is part of a key threatening process or is likely to increase the impact of a key threatening process. | of the proposed activity within the Subject Site for this EEC: Clearing of native vegetation; | | | |
| References NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2021) Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the New South | | | | |

NSW Department of Planning and Environment (DPE) (2021) Swamp Oak Floodplain Forest of the New South Wales North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions – profile.

NSW Government (2021) NSW Legislation: Biodiversity Conservation act 2016 No 63, Schedule 4: Key Threatening Processes https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/acts/2016-63.pdf







environmental

Eastern Sydney Office

Unit 2.01/4-10 Bridge Street Pymble NSW 2073 Ph: 02 9986 1295

Western Sydney Office

7 Twentyfifth Avenue West Hoxton NSW 2171

Hunter Valley Office

10/103 Glenwood Drive Thornton NSW 2322

www.narla.com.au

