

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Section 96(1a) Application to Modify a Development Consent ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. Mod2009/0216

Assessment Officer: Michael Edwards

Property Address: Lot 6, DP 659074, No.1186 Pittwater Road NARRABEEN

Proposal Description: Modification to DA2008/1216 (Alterations and additions to a heritage listed

building to create a residential flat building) to construct additional balconies.

Plan Reference:

Drawing No.:	Revision:	Prepared By:
DA01	L	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA02	M	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA03	N	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA04	K	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA05	J	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA06	L	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA07	N	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA08	K	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA09	F	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA10	F	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA11	F	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA14	В	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA15	С	Bonus and Associates: Architects
DA16	Α	Bonus and Associates: Architects

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	▼ Yes □ No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

15/4/2009 Council granted development consent to DA2008/1216 for the demolition of part of an existing heritage building and construction of alterations and additions to create five (5) residential units with basement car parking and strata subdivision.

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS IN DETAIL

The modification application proposes the construction of cantilevered balconies to the ground and first floor at the rear of the approved building. Each balcony will be fitted with sliding privacy screens.



SECTION 96(1a) EPA ACT 1979

Section 96(1A) (a) – Is the Modification to Consent of Minimal Environmental Impact?	✓ Yes No
Section 96(1A) (b) – Would the consent as proposed to be modified be substantially the same development as the development for which the consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was previously modified?	Yes No
Section 96(1A) (c) & (d) – Has the application been on Public Exhibition?	Yes No N/A
Have you considered any submissions?	Yes No No N/A (No submissions received)
Section 96 (3) – Have you considered such of the matters referred to in section 79C (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application	Yes No
Are S94A Contributions Applicable?	
Yes No	
Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
Notification Required? ✓ Yes No	Period of Public Exhibition? 14 days 21 days 30 days N/A
Yes No Submissions Received?	
Yes No	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A
Yes No Submissions Received?	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: No submissions
Yes No Submissions Received? Yes No	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: No submissions
Yes No Submissions Received? Yes No Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development of the proposed deve	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: No submissions
Yes No Submissions Received? Yes No Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development of the proposed deve	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: No submissions
Yes No Submissions Received? Yes No Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development of the proposed deve	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: No submissions opment? Yes No
Yes No Submissions Received? Yes No Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development of the proposed devel	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A No. of Submissions: No submissions ppment? Yes No

Desired Future Character:

'The Collaroy/Narrabeen locality will be characterised by detached style housing and apartments in landscaped settings and a range of complementary and compatible uses. New apartment development will be confined to the "medium density areas" down on the map.

Outside the "medium density areas", future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached houses in the locality. New development will be of a low scale and articulated with generous spaces between buildings to retain the open lake and seaside character of the locality and create visual interest. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.



The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape are to be encouraged. Building designs are to incorporate the fine detail found in some of the older existing cottages.

Buildings and development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beachfront will address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of the development control provided by clause 39.'

Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)		
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character		
Statement? Yes No		
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	s (Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Built Form Controls:		
Building Height (overall):	□	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 6.8m to rear cantilevered balconies	
Requirement:	Complies:	
▼ 8.5m		
11.0m		
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No		
Requirement:	Proposed: 6.6m to cantilevered balconies	
7.2m	Complies: Yes No	
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged	
	Proposed: m	
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No	
6.5m		
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:	
Yes No	Existing and unchanged	
Requirement:	Proposed: 1800mm	
3.5m	Complies: Yes No	



	See assessment for variation pursuant to the provisions of Clause 20 of WLEP 2000.
Housing Density:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	Proposed:dwelling / persqm
_	Complies: Yes No
1 dwelling per 450sqm	
1 dwelling per 600sqm	
Landscape Open Space:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 47.5% (749.5sqm)
40% (sqm)	Complies: Yes No
50% (sqm)	
Rear Setback:	П
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
750	Proposed: 22.2m to northern wing 22.4m to southern wing
Requirement: The consent authority is to take into account the	The balconies will be located within the Zones of
"Development Guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach" set out in Schedule 13.	Wave Impact and Reduced Foundation Capacity.
	This issue has been addressed under 'Schedule 13 Development Guidelines for
	Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach.
	Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
4m / 45 degrees	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable. Tes INO	Existing and unchanged
900mm	or Proposed: 4.6m minimum to balcony
П	5.4m maximum to balcony
4.5m	Complies: Yes No



General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The modifications propose an additional enclosed balcony to all units on the eastern beachfront elevation. The balconies incorporate timber screens on both side elevations and as such will not result in any excessive solar glare or reflection.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. ,
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too your condition the
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too your condition the
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Yes No	□ Yes □ No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?



	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	·
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The subject site is affected by Class 4 and 5 Acid Sulfate Soils. Notwithstanding, as the proposed balconies are
	cantilevered off the approved dwelling, there will be no physical impact to the ground level. In this regard, there will
	be no impact to the modified elements from Acid Sulfate
CLEO Sofoty & Society	Soils. Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The works are confined to the beachfront elevation of the building and maintain opportunities for casual surveillance
	to the public domain including the beach and the street.
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	The proposed balconies are wholly contained within the
Ves □ No	confines of the subject site and do not physically restrict
	access to the public domain. Further, the works maintain opportunities for casual surveillance to the beach and street
	and there is no alteration to the approved treatment to the site to delineate the public and private domains.
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
100 110	
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
	Complian
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	, ,
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



☐ Yes No	
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The proposed balconies are contained below the finished height of the existing dwelling and below that of the approved alterations and additions at the rear. Further, the cantilevered balconies are semi transparent and will not unreasonably impact on the view corridor obtained from or over the subject site.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	The proposed balconies are contained below the finished
TCS NO	height of the existing dwelling and the approved alterations and additions. In this regard, there will be no significant increase to the level of overshadowing on adjoining properties, maintaining a complying provision of solar access.
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Although the proposed balconies reduce the numerical provision of landscaped open space from that as approved under DA2008/1216, the resulting provision of landscaped open space complies with the minimum of 40% as required under the Landscaped Open Space Built Form Control and maintains a functional area to suit the outdoor recreational needs of the occupants together with enabling the provision of quality landscape plantings.
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	The proposed cantilevered balconies maintain a function
Tes No	area of landscaped open space within the area to the rear of the dwelling. Although affected by the Zone of Wave Impact, the provision of a generous landscaped area east of the dwelling maintains a landscaped setting and sense of openness along the beachfront.
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The cantilevered balconies will increase the approved and complying individual provision of private open space to each unit by approximately 18sqm.



CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The provision of sliding timber privacy screens to the balconies will ensure that there is no unreasonable impact to visual privacy.
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The cantilevered balconies are contained below the finished height of the existing building and the approved works. Further, the cantilever to the balconies together with the open-able privacy screens, gives a greater sense of a lightweight structure.
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The cantilevered balconies incorporate a flat roof and will integrate with the approved contemporary alterations and additions to the heritage building.
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res, subject to condition re
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition re
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition re
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. 55 1 66 , Gubjost to Condition 110
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Complian
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	•
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	<u> </u>
Yes No	The subject site is identified as an item of local heritage significance under WLEP 2000.
	The Warringah Heritage Inventory identifies the property as
	'A rare example of a late Victorian residence, which although altered, retains important original fabric.
	Historically the building provides evidence of 19th Century development and the importance of beach-side leisure
	accommodation.'
	The Heritage Impact Statement prepared by Graham Brooks and Associates details the response of the
	modifications to the heritage significance of the property
	which identifies that the proposed modifications will not adversely impact on the physical or visual qualities of the
	heritage building fabric.
	The proposed cantilevered balconies are lightweight in construction, are attached to the approved contemporary
	additions at the rear of the dwelling and contained below
	the finished height of the building. Further, the balconies are designed with an increased side setback, resulting in
	additions that will integrate with the approved additions and
	will not present to the street a sense of visual dominance or building bulk.
	In this regard, it is considered that the cantilevered
	balconies will not have any adverse visual impact to the visual setting of the heritage building, or any physical
	impact to the significant building fabric.
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife	Complies:
Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	. co , cazjost to containen
□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies:



Applicable:	
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition INO
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Tes Tes, subject to condition 140
	Compliant
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
☐ Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes No	In accordance with Clause 1 of Schedule 13 the development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen
	Beach apply to all properties marked on the
	Council's Collaroy/ Narrabeen/Fishermans Beach Hazard Line Maps – A1 8634, Sheets 1-3 having any
	part within the Zones of Wave Impact, Slope
	Adjustment or Reduced Foundation Capacity as
	shown on those maps.



The existing building is within the Zones of Wave Impact, Slope Adjustment and Reduced Foundation Capacity' and the proposed development is within the 'Zones of Slope Adjustment' and 'Reduced Foundation Capacity'.

A 'Coastal Engineering Investigation' prepared by Worley Parsons dated 15/7/2009 was submitted with the application. The 'Coastal Engineering Investigation' addresses the requirements of Schedule 13 of WLEP 2000. The Report concludes the following:

'The first floor balconies with a floor level of RL10.4 AHD are well above expected wave action at the subject property. That is, the proposed first floor balconies would not be affected by coastline hazards, or affect coastline hazards at or adjacent to the subject boundary.

The ground floor balconies (with a floor level of 7.0m AHD) are at about the limit of expected wave action for a severe coastal storm occurring at the subject boundary, assuming removal of sand from underneath the deck and possible wave action on the underside of the balcony. If the ground floor balconies are designed to be self supporting (cantilevered) when all sand has been removed from underneath (that is, designed like the first floor balconies with air space beneath) and an allowance is made for some wave impact forces on the underside of the balcony for a suitable design event not less than 100 year average reoccurrence interval (required force allowances can be provided as part of detailed design), then the proposed ground floor balconies would not be expected to be affected by coastline hazards. Note that it would also be necessary for the balcony supports (supporting the cantilever) to be designed as per the recommendations in Section 8 of Patterson Britton & Partners (2007) that is to be founded to a suitable depth.'

The review of the documentation and proposal by Council's Natural Environment Unit supports the above findings.

Clause 3(a) of Schedule 13 applies to 'minor development'. Minor structures, including a pathway and timber fencing, are proposed within the 'Zone of Wave Impact'. In accordance with Clause 3(a)(iii) of Schedule 13, consent may be granted for minor development and landscaping works in the 'Zone of Wave Impact', having regard to the aims of the guidelines. Council's Natural Environment Unit raised no objections to the minor structures proposed. The structures are considered to be consistent with the aims of the guidelines.

In accordance with Clause 3 of Schedule 13, Council is to take into consideration the principles in Clause 3(b) when it assesses major development to which Schedule 13 applies. Conditions of consent were



	imposed under the initial consent (DA2008/1216) and will not be modified as part of this modification application.	
	For the reasons given the proposed development is considered to be consistent with the requirements of Schedule 13.	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:	
development near Middle Harbour	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition into	
Yes No		
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes No		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes ☑ No	res res, subject to condition 140	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? Yes No		
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?		
Yes No		
SEPP 55 Applicable?		
▼ Yes □ No		
Based on the previous land uses if the site like	ely to be contaminated?	
Yes No		
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?		
▼ Yes □ No		
SEPP Infrastructure		
Applicable?		
Yes No		
Is the proposal for a swimming pool:		
□ Yes No		
Within 30m of an overhead line support struct	ure?	
Yes No		
Within 5m of an overhead power line?		



□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}			
Does the proposal comply with the	SEPP?		
Yes No			
REPs: Applicable?: Yes No			
EPA Regulation Considerations:			
Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)			
Applicable:			
Yes No			
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures	i)	Addressed via condition?	
Applicable:		□ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
Yes No		165 110	
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Pol Applicable:	icy)	Is the proposal consistent of the Government Coas	with the Goal and Objectives tal Policy?
Yes No		☐ Yes ☐ No	
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)		Addressed via condition?	
Applicable:		□ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
Yes No		100 140	
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access)		Addressed via condition?	
Applicable:		Yes No	
Yes No			
Clause 98 (BCA)		Addressed via condition?	
Applicable:		▼ Yes □ No	
Yes No		103 140	
REFERRALS			
Referral Body/Officer	Require	ad	Response
Development Engineering	_	_	=
Development Engineering	Yes	™ No	Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ _{Yes}	▼ No	Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			П
			Unsatisfactory



	Natural Environment Unit	▼ Yes	\square_{No}		Satisfactory	
					Satisfactory, subject to condition	
					Unsatisfactory	
	Aboriginal Heritage	\square_{Yes}	☑ No		Satisfactory	
					Satisfactory, subject to condition	
					Unsatisfactory	
	Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes}	☑ No		Satisfactory	
					Satisfactory, subject to condition	
					Unsatisfactory	
	NSW Rural Fire Service	\square_{Yes}	☑ No		Satisfactory	
					Satisfactory, subject to condition	
					Unsatisfactory	
	Energy Australia	✓ Yes	\square No		Satisfactory	
					Satisfactory, subject to condition	
					Unsatisfactory	
	Heritage	✓ Yes	\square No		Satisfactory	
					Satisfactory, subject to condition	
					Unsatisfactory	
Ар	plicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policie	es:				
~	EPA Act 1979				RFI ACT 1948	
V	EPA Regulations 2000				Water Management Act 2000	
	Disability Discrimination Act 1992				Water Act 1912	
V	Local Government Act 1993				Swimming Pools Act 1992;	
	Roads Act 1993				SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land	
				~	SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection	
	Rural Fires Act 1997			V	SEPP BASIX	



SEPP Infrastructure	S94A Development Contributions Plan
WLEP 2000	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
WDCP	Heritage Act 1977
S94 Development Contributions Plan	
SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979	
Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevar provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all releval provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	nt Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all releval provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all releven provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	Yes No N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all releva provisions of any Regulations?	rt Yes No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or ERegs?	TCS NO
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest	st?

Yes No



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 1577.0sqm	Waterfalls
Detail existing onsite structures:	Creeks / Watercourse
None Dwelling	Aboriginal Art / Carvings Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
Detached Garage	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Detached shed	☐ Yes No
Swimming pool Tennis Court	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Cabana	North / South
Other	East / West North East / South West
None	North West / South East
Trees	View of:
Under Storey Vegetation	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
Rock Outcrops	Headland Yes No
Caves	District Views Yes No
Overhangs	Bushland Yes No



Bushfire Prone?	Does the proposal impact easements / Rights of Way?	upon	any
☐ Yes No			
Flood Prone?	Yes No		
□ Yes No			
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils			
Yes No			
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?			
☐ Yes No			
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?			
Yes No			
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?			
□ Yes No			
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?			
▼ Yes No			
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?			
▼ Yes No			
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?			
□ Yes No			
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?			
☐ Yes No			
Is the development Integrated?			
☐ Yes No			
Does the development require concurrence?			
☐ Yes No			
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?			
☐ Yes No			
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?			
▼ Yes □ No			



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No			
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	☐ Yes No			
	If yes provide detail:			
Signed Date	15 September 2009			
Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer				
SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION				
Conclusion:				
The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:				
Satisfactory				
Unsatisfactory				
Recommendation:				
That Council as the consent authority				
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONS	GENT to the development application subject to:			

The following conditions are to be MODIFIED to read as follows:

(b) the following revised conditions:

(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and

1. Development in Accordance with Plans (\$96 Amendments)

The development being carried out in accordance with plans Reference DA2008/1216 numbered as seen below:

Drawing Number	Prepared By	Revision	Dated
DA01	Bonus + Associates	J	3/12/08
DA02	Bonus + Associates	J	14/7/08



DA03	Bonus + Associates	L	3/12/08
DA04	Bonus + Associates	Н	14/7/08
DA05	Bonus + Associates	G	14/7/08
DA06	Bonus + Associates	J	19/1/09
DA07	Bonus + Associates	K	19/1/09
DA08	Bonus + Associates	Н	19/1/09

Except as modified by MOD2009/0261 plans listed below (refer to the highlighted sections on the plans only):

Drawing Number	Prepared By	Revision	Dated
DA03	Bonus + Associates	N	17/6/09
DA04	Bonus + Associates	K	17/6/09
DA05	Bonus + Associates	J	17/6/09
DA06	Bonus + Associates	L	17/6/09
DA07	Bonus + Associates	N	24/7/09
DA08	Bonus + Associates	K	17/6/09

Reason: To ensure that the form of the development undertaken is in accordance with the determination of Council - Public Information.

GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT	CONSENT	to the development application	subject
to:			

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:

(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.

"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION: MOD2009/0216

PROPERTY ADDRESS: No:1186 Pittwater Road, Narrabeen

Signed Date 15 September 2009

Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed Date 15 September 2009

Steven Findlay, Team Leader, Development Assessment