

---

**From:** DYPXCPWEB@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au  
**Sent:** 7/01/2025 3:13:42 PM  
**To:** DA Submission Mailbox  
**Subject:** Online Submission

07/01/2025

MR Angus McKenzie Wills  
1 / 9 Margaret ST  
FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094  
[REDACTED]

**RE: DA2024/1562 - 5 Lauderdale Avenue FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094**

As a nearby resident, I write to express my strong support for the proposed development at 5 Lauderdale Ave, Fairlight (DA2024/1562). This proposal provides a great opportunity to provide multiple dwellings on a large block, adding much needed additional housing to the Northern Beaches.

I have been particularly concerned by the dishonest scare campaign against this development application that has been run by the residents of the neighbouring property 3A & 3B Lauderdale Ave. Baseless claims regarding the impact of the development on the supposed 'heritage-listed' Fairlight Pool and beachfront park have been used to stoke community angst in relation to what is a sensible use of the available land at the location. In the middle of a housing crisis, the proposal has the ability to add much-needed additional housing within a short distance of good public transport at Manly Wharf.

Concerns regarding the size of the proposed development do not take into account that the height of the proposed building is lower than the neighbouring property at 7 Lauderdale Ave. As shown in the shadow diagrams, the solar impact of the proposed development on the Manly Scenic Walkway and park is minimal when compared to 7 Lauderdale Ave. The proposed development will not overshadow Fairlight beach or pool at all.

I also note that the bulk and scale of the proposed development is in keeping with the adjacent property at 3A & 3B Lauderdale Ave, which was a similar knock down rebuild. The design and character of the proposed building's form is fair more visually appealing than the units at 3A & 3B Lauderdale Ave, with the proposed development having taken into account the surrounding landscape and built form.

While the planned removal of tree 12 as referenced in the arborists report is regrettable, I do not believe this should be a justifiable reason to reject this development application.

I strongly urge Council to not listen to the vocal opponents and approve this development application.