

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2008/1581

Assessment Officer: Maya Elnazer

Property Address: Part Lot 2317 in DP 752038, No. 69 Binalong Avenue ALLAMBIE HEIGHTS NSW 2100

Proposal Description: Construction of a swimming pool

Plan Reference: J0760 Drawings 1-4 dated October 2008.

Background: The proposed swimming pool was the subject of a previous development application under DA2008/0024 which was formally withdrawn on 20 March 2008 due to front setback non-compliances. It is noted that the current application demonstrates compliance with all built form controls.

Application DA2007/1203 for construction of a new dwelling approved on 18/01/2008 is also related to the subject site however it is noted construction has not yet commenced. The proposed swimming pool under the current DA2008/1581 is not affected by the approved dwelling in terms of compliance with the relevant controls.

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	✓ Yes □ No	✓ Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	✓ Yes ∧ No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	✓ Yes □ No	✓ Yes No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$44,000
Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

Yes No	
Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	✓ 14 days □ 21 days □ 30 days □ N/A
Submissions Received?	No. of Submissions: 2
Yes No	
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed developm	nent? 🏳 Yes 🔽 No
SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT	
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS	
WLEP 2000	
Locality: G3 Manly Lagoon Suburbs	
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Deve	lopment to Housing \square Other
Category of Development: Category 1 Category Desired Future Character:	2 Category 3
_	
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment not required)
Is the development considered to be consistent with the	Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	



 \Box

Building Height (overall):		
Applicable: Yes No		
Requirement:		
☑ 8.5m		
11.0m		
Other		

Building Height	(undersie	de of upper most ceiling):
	_	_

~ 6.5m

Tes No Applicable:

Other

Applicable: Yes No

Other

Applicable: Yes No

1 dwelling per 450sqm

1 dwelling per 600sqm

Other

Requirement:

Front Setback:

Requirement:

Housing Density:

Requirement:

 \Box

 \square

□ 7.2m

Existing and unchanged

Existing and unchanged

along the eastern length of the pool.

Proposed: The swimming pool coping will be 800mm above natural ground level at its highest point towards the south-eastern corner which decreases to nil at the south-western corner (due to the sloping land). A feature wall of 300mm height is proposed above the pool coping

Altogether including the 1.2m high pool fencing, this will result in a maximum height of 2.3m at the south-eastern corner and nil at the south-western corner. The pool fence will be of an open/translucent structure whilst the front setback between the pool and front boundary is proposed to be landscaped with various species. ✓ Yes □ No

Proposed:m

Complies:

Complies:

Proposed: 6.5m to swimming pool coping

✓ Yes □ No

No

llotment: N/A

Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner A

Existing and unchanged

Complies:

Proposed:dwelling / persqm

Complies:	Yes T

2



Landscape Open Space:	
Applicable: Ves No	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No 40% (sqm) 50% (sqm)	 Proposed: 49% (400sqm) based on site plan showing proposed dwelling and landscaping. A special condition will be included that restricts obtaining an occupation certificate for the swimming pool until an occupation certificate for the approved dwelling under DA2007/1203 is obtained. Complies: Yes No
Rear Setback:	u
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: Swimming pool will be located within the front of the subject site.
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
6.0m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Outbuildings: N/A	Outbuildings: N/A
Side Boundary Envelope:	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged or
Requirement:	
4m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	Minor Breach: Yes No
Other	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Ves No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
900mm	or Proposed: 7m to swimming pool coping
4.5m	Complies: Yes No



Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Proposed: 900mm to swimming pool coping
	Complies: Yes No
Other: N/A	

General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	·
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes Vo	
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities	└── _{Yes} └── _{Yes} , subject to condition └── _{No}
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	└─ _{Yes} └─ _{Yes} , subject to condition └─ _{No}
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	▼ Yes └ Yes , subject to condition └ No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	▼ Yes , subject to condition No
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Refer to comments under Section 2 – Notifications & Submissions section of this	
report. The proposal is considered satisfactory	
in addressing this general principle.	
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└─ _{Yes} ^I _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{Yes} , subject to condition □ _{No}
	Yes yes subject to condition No



CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	res res, subject to condition No
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
Ves No	to be contaminated?
res no	Yes Vo
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	₩ _{Yes} □ _{No}
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	res res, subject to condition no
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
The swimming pool fence which will be required within the front setback is necessary for safety and security. The front fence will be 1.2m high as noted in the Statement of Environmental Effects, in accordance with the Swimming Pools	
Act, and will be setback a minimum of 6.5m from the front boundary. This is considered acceptable as it does not detract from the	
streetscape view due to the setback provision	
along with the proposed landscaping between the pool and front boundary. The proposal is	
thus considered satisfactory in addressing this	
general principle.	
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Applicable:	
Yes Vo	
CL53 Signs Applicable:	Complies:
└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	-
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ Yes V No	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	-
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	······································



CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	
✓ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Ves No	
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I∕ _{No}	
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes Vo	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	res res, subject to condition into
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	▼ Yes └Yes , subject to condition └No
Yes No	



CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	

Schedules:

Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	$\square_{ m Yes}$ $\square_{ m Yes}$, subject to condition $\square_{ m No}$
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes □ Yes , subject to condition □ No
Yes No	



Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work Applicable:	Complies:
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development Applicable:	Complies:
	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental	Complies:
effects Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	└── _{Yes} └── _{Yes} , subject to condition └─ No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	



Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?

□ _{Yes} ^INo

If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?

Yes V/A (swimming pool less than 40,000 Litres)

SEPP 55 Applicable?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?

□_{Yes} ▼_{No}

Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP Infrastructure

Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}

Within 5m of an overhead power line ?

□_{Yes} ^INo

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

REPs: Applicable?: Ves No



EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Ves No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: ✓ Yes □ No	Addressed via condition? ▼ Yes □ No

REFERRALS – Due to the nature of works and site information currently available to Council, no referrals were required for the proposed development.



Ар	plicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	•	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
✓	EPA Act 1979		
	EPA Regulations 2000		SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
_	•	\Box	SEPP BASIX
	Disability Discrimination Act 1992	~	SEPP Infrastructure
✓	Local Government Act 1993		
	Roads Act 1993	M	WLEP 2000
		✓	WDCP
	Rural Fires Act 1997		S94 Development Contributions Plan
	RFI Act 1948	_	
	Water Management Act 2000		S94A Development Contributions Plan
_		\Box	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
	Water Act 1912		Other
✓	Swimming Pools Act 1992;		Other

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Ves In No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ _{Yes} □ _{No} ▼ _{N/A}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	▼ _{Yes}
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}



SECTION 2 – ISSUES

PUBLIC EXHIBTION

The subject application was publicly exhibited in accordance with the EPA Regulation 2000 and the applicable Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received submissions from:

Name	Address
Alice Scott	No. 2 Southern Cross Way, Allambie Heights
D Philip & R J Schopen	No. 71 Binalong Avenue, Allambie Heights

The following issues were raised in the submissions:

- Applicant should provide rainwater tank for topping up pool water;
- No reference to retaining trees and insufficient proposed landscaping;
- Noise impacts to northern adjoining dwelling;
- Proposed fencing insufficient to provide safety for children.

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

• Applicant should provide rainwater tank for topping up pool water;

Comment: The proposed swimming pool volume is less than 40,000 Litres and therefore does not require Basix or other measures for water conservation. The previously approved dwelling incorporates underground rainwater tanks as part of their OSD requirements. This issue does not warrant refusal of the development application.

• No reference to retaining trees and insufficient proposed landscaping;

Comment: The existing trees within the immediate front setback are to be retained as per plan reference J0760 Drawing No. 3 and the proposed landscaping plan. The proposed works exceed the landscaped open space requirement of 40%. This issue does not warrant refusal of the development application.

• Noise impacts to northern adjoining dwelling;

Comment: The proposed swimming pool is not considered to result in any unreasonable acoustic or privacy impacts to adjoining residences due to the maintained residential nature of the development and the appropriate location of the swimming pool being predominantly in-ground and within the front setback adjacent to adjoining dwelling's driveways/car parking areas. Furthermore the swimming pool is setback 7m from No. 71 Binalong Avenue and there are existing brick side boundary fences between the dwellings. This issue does not warrant refusal of the development application.

• Proposed fencing insufficient to provide safety for children.

Comment: The swimming pool fencing is proposed in accordance with the Swimming Pools Act 1992 and will be further conditioned to comply with the Australian Standards to ensure safety for both the occupants and adjoining properties. This issue does not warrant refusal of the development application.

OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION: N/A



SECTION 3 - SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Sile	area.	609.4Sqm	

	Waterfalls
Detail existing onsite structures:	
	Creeks / Watercourse
None	
Dwelling	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
	Any Item of / or any notential item of heritage
Detached Garage	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
	0
Detached shed	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Swimming pool	
	Yes 🔽 No
Tennis Court	
	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Cabana	
	North / South
Other	
Site Features:	East / West
	North East / South West
None	
	North West / South East
Trees	View of: N/A
Under Storey Vegetation	
	Ocean / Waterways
Rock Outcrops	
	Headland Yes No
Caves	District Views
Overhangs	District views fes No
	Bushland Yes No



Bushfire Prone?

✓ Yes [□] No Flood Prone?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils

Yes No

Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?

□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}

Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?

✓ Yes ✓ No
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?

☐ Yes No
No
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?

Yes No Any items of heritage significance located upon it?

☐ Yes No
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?

☐ Yes No
► No
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}

Is the development Integrated?

☐ Yes ▼ No
Does the development require concurrence?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?

✓ Yes □ No

Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?

□ _{Yes} I _{No}



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's 1 & 2>?</section's </section>	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	✓ Yes No If yes provide detail:

Signed

Date 12 March 2009

Maya Elnazer, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Recommendation:

That Council as the consent authority

 \checkmark

GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and
- (b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
- \square

 \Box

GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:

(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.

Signed

Date 12 March 2009

Maya Elnazer, Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed

Date 12 March 2009

Amy Sutherland, Team Leader, Development Assessment