FLOOD RISK MANAGEMENT REPORT PROPOSED ALTERATIONS & ADDITIONS 9 ROWAN STREET MONA VALE

Job No 250101 Feb 2025 Prepared by Lucas Molloy MIEAust / CPEng / NER / APEC / Engineer / IntPE(Aus)

Page | 1

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	Page 3
2. Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan Section B General Controls » B3 Hazard Controls » B3.11 Flood Prone Land	Page 5
3. Flood Risk Assessment	Page 10
4. Summary	Page 11
Appendix A Architectural Plans by Pittwater Design and Draft	Page 12
Appendix B Survey by DP Surveyors	Page 18
Appendix C Flood Information Report (Comprehensive) Northern Beaches Council	Page 20
Appendix D Lucas Molloy CV	Page 34
Appendix E Northern Beaches Council Standard Hydraulic Certification Form	Page 36

End of Document

Page 38

1. INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared in support of the Development Application for alterations and additions at No 9 Rowan Stret Mona Vale in respect to potential flood inundation / impacts and Northern Beaches Councils Water Management for Development Policy Section 10.0 Flood Risk Management and the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan » Section B General Controls » B3 Hazard Controls » B3.11 Flood Prone Land.

It is proposed to construct alterations/additions to the existing residence as detailed in the architectural plans by *Pittwater Design and Draft* refer Appendix A.

Existing site survey by DP Surveyors, refer Appendix B.

Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers p/l inspected the site on 5th Feb 2025.



Site and Flood Hazard Map (Northern Beaches Council)

The extent of flooding is as summarized in the "Flood Information Report (Comprehensive)" data as supplied by Northern Beaches Council, refer Appendix C.

The flooding is associated with the topographic depression that runs from the west / Elimatta Rd to the east / Pittwater Rd and onto Mona Vale Golf Course. Noting the extent of flooding is confined to the low point of the access driveway from Rowan St and that the residence is located significantly away (~15m) from the flood event on higher ground (ie RL 23.00m AHD approx. 2m above the flood level).

The Flood Planning Level is at 21.62m AHD. The proposed works FFL is at 24.11m AHD.

For the 1% AEP event the site is classified -Flood HazardH1 to H3Flood Hydraulic CategoryWay / Storage / FringeFlood Risk PrecinctMediumLand Use GroupResidential



No 9 Rowan St Mona Vale (Realestate.com.au)



Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

Existing residence (Realestate.com.au)

2. PITTWATER DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN » PART E THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT » E11 FLOOD PRONE LAND

A. FLOOD EFFECTS CAUSED BY DEVELOPMENT

- A1 Development shall not be approved unless it can be demonstrated in a Flood Management Report that it has been designed and can be constructed so that in all events up to the 1% AEP event.
 - a) There are no adverse impacts on flood levels or velocities caused by alterations to the flood conveyance; and
 - b) There are no adverse impacts on surrounding properties; and
 - c) It is sited to minimise exposure to flood hazard.

Major developments and developments likely to have a significant impact on the PMF flood regime will need to demonstrate that there are no <u>adverse impacts</u> in the Probable Maximum Flood The proposed works are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) and will not affect flood conveyance.

Development shall not be approved unless it can be demonstrated in a Flood Management A2 Report that in all events up to the 1% AEP event there is no net loss of flood storage. Consideration may be given for exempting the volume of standard piers from flood storage calculations.

If Compensatory Works are proposed to balance the loss of flood storage from the development, the Flood Management Report shall include detailed calculations to demonstrate how this is achieved.

The proposed works are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) and will not affect flood storage.

B. BUILDING COMPONENTS AND STRUCTURAL SOUNDNESS

B1	All buildings shall be designed and constructed as flood compatible buildings in accordance with Reducing Vulnerability of Buildings to Flood Damage: Guidance on Building in Flood Prone Areas, Hawkesbury-Nepean Floodplain Management Steering Committee (2006). The proposed works are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) and as such with conventional building practices will be flood compatible to the predicted event.
B2	All structures must be designed and constructed to ensure structural integrity up to the Flood Planning Level, taking into account the forces of floodwater, wave action, flowing water with debris, buoyancy and immersion. Structural certification shall be provided confirming the above. Where shelter-in-place refuge is to be provided the structural integrity is to be to the Probable Maximum Flood level. The proposed works are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) / approx. 2.5m above the FPL and will therefore satisfy this requirement for the predicted event.
B3	All new electrical equipment, power points, wiring, fuel lines, sewerage systems or any other service pipes and connections must be waterproofed and/or located above the Flood Planning Level. All existing electrical equipment and power points located below the Flood Planning Level must have residual current devices installed that turn off all electricity supply to the property when flood waters are detected. The proposed works are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) / approx. 2.5m above the FPL and will therefore satisfy this requirement for the predicted event.

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

C. FLOOR LEVELS

C1	New floor levels within the development shall be at or above, the Flood Planning Level. The proposed works (FFL 24.11m AHD) are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) / approx. 2.5m above the FPL (RL 21.62m AHD) and will therefore satisfy this
00	requirement.
C2	Not applicable to this development / land use category
C3	All development structures must be designed and constructed so as not to impede the floodway or flood conveyance on the site, as well as ensuring no loss of flood storage in a 1% AEP Event. The proposed works are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents (~15m away) /
	approx. 2.5m above the FPL and will therefore satisfy this requirement.
	For suspended pier/pile footings: The underfloor area of the dwelling below the 1% AEP flood
	level is to be designed and constructed to allow clear passage of floodwaters, taking into account the potential for small openings to block; and At least 50% of the perimeter of the underfloor area
	is of an open design from the <u>natural ground level</u> up to the 1% AEP flood level; and
	No solid areas of the perimeter of the underfloor area would be permitted in a <u>floodway</u>
	Not applicable to this development
C4	A one- off addition or alteration below the Flood Planning Level of less than 30 square metres
	may be considered only where:
	(a) it is an extension to an existing room
	(b) the Flood Planning Level is incompatible with the floor levels of the existing room
	(c) out of the 30 square metres, not more than 10 square metres is below the 1% AEP flood
	level.
	This control will not be permitted if this provision has previously been utilised since the making of
	this Plan.
	The structure must be flood proofed to the Flood Planning Level and the Flood Management
	Report must demonstrate that there is no net loss of flood storage in all events up to the 1% AEP
	event
	Not applicable to this development
C5	Not applicable to this development / land use category
C6	Any existing floor level may be retained below the Flood Planning Level when undertaking a first floor addition provided that:
	(a) it is not located within a floodway;
	(b) the original foundations are sufficient to support the proposed final structure above them.
	The Flood Management Report must include photos and the structural certification required as
	per Control B2 must consider whether the existing foundations are adequate or should be
	replaced; and
	(c)The maximum area for the floor area to be below the Flood Planning Level for an individual
	premises is 30 square metres, and
	(d) There is direct internal access between areas above and below the Flood Planning Level for
	each individual premises
	Not applicable to this development
C7	Not applicable to this development / land use category

D. CAR PARKING

D1	Open carpark areas and carports shall not be located within a floodway. The proposed works are located outside (~15m) of the 1% AEP flood extents / approx. 2m
	above the FPL and will therefore satisfy this requirement.
D2	The lowest floor level of open carparks and carports shall be constructed no lower than the natural ground levels, unless it can be shown that the carpark or carport is free draining with a grade greater than 1% and that flood depths are not increased. The proposed works are located outside (~15m) of the 1% AEP flood extents / approx. 2m above the FPL and will therefore satisfy this requirement.
D3	Carports must be of open design, with at least 2 sides completely open such that flow is not obstructed up to the 1% AEP flood level. Otherwise it will be considered to be enclosed. When undertaking a like-for-like replacement and the existing garage/carport is located on the street boundary and ramping is infeasible, consideration may be given for dry floodproofing up to the 1% AEP flood level. The proposed works are located outside (~15m) of the 1% AEP flood extents / approx. 2m above the FPL and will therefore satisfy this requirement.
D4	Where there is more than 300mm depth of flooding in a car park or carport during a 1% AEP flood event, vehicle barriers or restraints are to be provided to prevent floating vehicles leaving the site. Protection must be provided for all events up to the 1% AEP flood event. Not applicable to this development
D5	Enclosed Garages must be located at or above the 1% AEP level. Not applicable to this development
D6	All enclosed car parks (including basement carparks) must be protected from inundation up to the Flood Planning Level. All access, ventilation, driveway crests and any other potential water entry points to any <u>enclosed car parking</u> shall be above the Flood Planning Level. Where a driveway is required to be raised it must be demonstrated that there is no net loss to available flood storage in any event up to the 1% AEP flood event and no impact on flood conveyance through the site. Council will not accept any options that rely on electrical, mechanical or manual exclusion of the floodwaters from entering the enclosed carpark Not applicable to this development
1	

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

E. FLOOD EMERGENCY RESPONSE

E1	If the property is affected by a Flood Life <u>Hazard</u> Category of H3 or higher, then Control E1
	applies and a Flood Emergency Assessment must be included in the Flood Management
	Report.
	If the property is affected by a Flood Life Hazard Category of H6, then development is not
	permitted unless it can be demonstrated to the satisfaction of the consent authority that
	the <u>risk</u> level on the property is or can be reduced to a level below H6 or its equivalent.
	If the property is flood affected but the Flood Life Hazard Category has not been mapped by
	Council, then calculations for its determination must be shown in the Flood Management Report,
	in accordance with the "Technical Flood Risk Management Guideline: Flood Hazard", Australian
	Institute for Disaster Resilience (2012).
	Where flood-free evacuation above the Probable Maximum Flood level is not possible, new
	development must provide a shelter-in-place refuge where:
	a) The floor level is at or above the Probable
	Maximum Flood level; and
	b) The floor space provides at least 2m ² per person
	where the flood duration is long (6 or more hours)
	in the Probable Maximum Flood event, or 1m ² per
	person for less than 6 hours;
	c) It is intrinsically accessible to all people on the
	site, plainly evident, and self-directing, with
	sufficient capacity of access routes for all
	occupants without reliance on an elevator; and
	d) It must contain as a minimum: sufficient clean
	water for all occupants; portable radio with spare
	batteries; torch with spare batteries; and a first aid
	kit
	Class 10 classified buildings and structures (as defined in the Building Codes of Australia) are excluded from this control.
	In the case of change of use or internal alterations to an existing building, a variation to this
	control may be considered if justified appropriately by a suitably qualified professional.
	Note that in the event of a flood, occupants would be required to evacuate if ordered by
	Emergency Services personnel regardless of the availability of a shelter-in-place refuge.
	The proposed works / existing residence are located outside of the 1% AEP flood extents
	(~15m away) / approx. 2.5m above the FPL and will therefore provide adequate "shelter in
	place" capacity.
E2	If a shelter-in-place refuge is required, it must contain as a minimum: sufficient clean water for all
	occupants; portable radio with spare batteries; torch with spare batteries; a first aid kit;
	emergency power; and a practical means of medical evacuation.
	Achievable by adhering to this report.
E3	It must be demonstrated that evacuation or a shelter-in-place refuge in accordance with the
	requirements of this DCP will be available for any potential development arising from a Torrens
	title subdivision.
	Achievable by adhering to this report.

F. FENCING

F1 Fencing, (including pool fencing, boundary fencing, balcony balustrades and accessway balustrades) shall be designed so as not to impede the flow of flood waters and not to increase flood affectation on surrounding land. At least 50% of the fence must be of an open design from the <u>natural ground level</u> up to the 1% AEP flood level. Less than 50% of the perimeter fence would be permitted to be solid. Openings should be a minimum of 75 mm x 75mm. **Not applicable to this development**

G. STORAGE OF GOODS

G1	Hazardous or potentially polluting materials shall not be stored below the Flood Planning Level
	unless adequately protected from floodwaters in accordance with industry standards.
	Achievable using conventional building practices

H. POOLS

H1	Pools located within the 1% AEP flood extent are to be in-ground, with coping flush with natural
	ground level. Where it is not possible to have pool coping flush with natural ground level, it must
	be demonstrated that the development will result in no net loss of flood storage and no impact
	on flood conveyance on or from the site.
	All electrical equipment associated with the pool (including pool pumps) is to be All chemicals
	associated with the pool are to be stored at or above the flood planning level.
	Not applicable to this development

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

3. FLOOD RISK ASSESSMENT

A flood risk assessment was carried out for the 1% AEP and PMF event adopting the following

Likehood of the hazard occurring

Almost Certain	1:10
Likely	1:100
Possible	1:1000
Unlikely	1:10000
Rare	1:100000

Consequence of the hazard to persons and property

Insignificant	no injury / \$ 0 - low						
Minor	first aid injury / \$ low - medium						
Moderate	medical treatment required / \$ medium - high						
Major	serious injuries / \$ major						
Catastrophic	death / \$ major ++						

	Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Almost					
Certain					
Likely					
(1%)					
Possible					
Unlikely					
(PMF)					
Rare					

Legend

Low - acceptable Moderate – tolerable

Sever – unacceptable

1 Risk to persons 'shelter in place' provisions as per the *Flood Risk Management Report* specified / ensured, therefore risk assessment -

1% event - minor injuries possible therefore moderate / tolerable risk assessment

PMF event – minor injuries possible therefore low / acceptable risk assessment

2 Risk to structures adequate structural capacity to resist the flood forces (water and debris) as per the Flood Risk Management Report specified / ensured, therefore risk assessment -

1% event - no risk to structures therefore low /acceptable risk assessment

PMF event - no risk to structures therefore low /acceptable risk assessment

3 Risk to vehicles (if driven along drive / thru floodwaters), therefore risk assessment -

1% event – minor damage therefore moderate / tolerable risk assessment

PMF event - moderate damage therefore low /acceptable risk assessment

4 Risk to services protection of services from flood exposure as per the Flood Risk Management Report specified / ensured, therefore risk assessment -

1% event – possible damage therefore moderate / tolerable risk assessment

PMF event - possible damage therefore low /acceptable risk assessment

4. SUMMARY

Assessment of Impacts Compliance Table

	Not Applicable	Yes	No
A Flood effects caused by Development	-	Х	-
B Building Components & Structural Soundness	-	Х	-
C Floor Levels	-	Х	-
D Car Parking	-	Х	-
E Flood Emergency Response	-	Х	-
F Fencing	Х	-	-
G Storage of Goods	-	Х	-
H Pools	Х	-	-

The proposed works if carried out in accordance with recommendations within this Flood Management Report by Barrenjoey Consulting dated Feb 2024 will satisfy the intent of Northern Beaches Councils Water Management for Development Policy Section 10.0 Flood Risk Management and the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan » Section B General Controls » B3 Hazard Controls » B3.11 Flood Prone Land. Noting the following measures are to be implemented into the works -

- The proposed works are located outside (~15m) of the 1% AEP flood extents / approx. 1m above the FPL.
- All occupants are to be informed of the sites flooding potential / impact and available warning services (ie : Councils Floodwatch, SES services etc) and the buildings 'shelter in place' capacity.
- All occupants are to be informed of the sites flooding potential / impact particularly • the low point of the driveway and instructed not to use the driveway in significant storm events
- All occupants are to be informed of the sites flooding potential and requirements for goods / valuables storage etc.

It is to be noted that, due to the many complex factors that can affect a site, the subjective nature of a risk analysis, and the imprecise nature of the science of flood analysis, the risk of persons being injured, to life and property cannot be completely removed. The recommendations within this Report do not remove the risk associated with the predicted flooding event, though lower those risks to an acceptable level reasonably anticipated by the community in everyday life.

Regards BARRENJOEY CONSULTING ENGINEERS pty ltd

Per Lucas Molloy (Director) MIEAust / CPEng / NER / APEC / Engineer / IntPE(Aus)

Appendix A Architectural plans Pittwater Design and Draft



Sheet List C-01 Exterior Mood Board C-02 Exterior Mood Board



Site Plan Ground Floor Plan First Floor Plan Roof Plan West + East Elevations North + South Elevations

MITCHELL TALLOWWOOD HOUSE

CONCEPT DESIGN 9 + 9A ROWAN STREET, MONA VALE for A. G. MITCHELL + C. B. TALLOWWOD NOVEMBER, 2024





	РІТТ WATER DESIGN+DRAFT ПЕ ОСЛАВИТ АНО ВНИ ВАЛИТЕ НОГОТО ИТИЛТВИ ВАЛИ АНО ВНИТ ИТИЛТВИ ВЕВИК АНО БИЛТ ОНОГЛАВИТ ПЕ НОГОТО ИТИЛТВИ ВАЛИ АНО ВИЛТ ИТИЛТВИ ВЕВИК АНО БИЛТ ОНОГЛАВИТ ВИЛИ АНО ВИЛИ И ПОЛИТИИ В СОЛИВИТИ И ВИЛИ АНО ВИЛИ ВИЛИ ВИЛИ АНО ВИЛИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИ АНО ВИЛИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИ АНО ВИЛИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ АНО ВИЛИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИ АНО ВИЛИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИВИ И ПОЛИВИТИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИВИ И ПОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ПОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИВИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИВИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИВИ В ВОЛИВИВИ В ВОЛИВИИ ВОЛИВИИ ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИВИ ВОЛИВИВИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИИ В ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИВИ ВОЛИВИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВОЛИВИ ВО ВОЛИВИВИ ВОВИ ВО	3 26.	26,11,24	CONCEPT 2 ISSUE 1	ACCESSIN LOT 3 IN D.P 206600 9 ROWAN STREET, MONA VALE		IOOD BOARD	DOD BOARD		
		ALL MONTE DE TO TE LA DOCTA DE MAIN DE CALENDAR DE LA DOCTA DE LA				MITCHELL + TALLOWWOOD	SCALE	2402	CH01	119.E 3









PITTWATER DESIGN+DRAFT With and the average of the constraint of t

> Appendix B Site Survey DP Surveying



Appendix C Flood Information Request – Comprehensive Northern Beaches Council

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917



COMPREHENSIVE FLOOD INFORMATION REPORT

Property: 9 Rowan Street MONA VALE NSW 2103 Lot DP: Lot 3 DP 206600 Issue Date: 30/01/2025 Flood Study Reference: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV

Flood Information1:

Map A - Flood Risk Precincts Maximum Flood Planning Level (FPL) ^{2, 3, 4}: 21.62 m AHD

Map B - 1% AEP Flood & Key Points

1% AEP Maximum Water Level ^{2,3}: 21.12 m AHD
1% AEP Maximum Depth from natural ground level³: 0.47 m
1% AEP Maximum Velocity: 0.84 m/s

Map C - 1% AEP Hydraulic Categorisation

1% AEP Hydraulic Categorisation: Floodway/ Flood Storage/ Flood Fringe

Map D - Probable Maximum Flood

PMF Maximum Water Level (PMF) ⁴: 24.82 m AHD PMF Maximum Depth from natural ground level: 0.62 m PMF Maximum Velocity: 0.91 m/s

Map E - Flooding with Climate Change

1% AEP Maximum Water Level with Climate change ³: 21.31 m AHD 1% AEP Maximum Depth with Climate Change³: 0.49 m

Map F - Flood Life Hazard Category in PMF H3 – H1

Map G - Indicative Ground Surface Spot Heights

- ⁽¹⁾ The provided flood information does not account for any local overland flow issues nor private stormwater drainage systems.
- ⁽²⁾ Overland flow/mainstream water levels may vary across a sloping site, resulting in variable minimum floor/ flood planning levels across the site. The maximum Flood Planning Level may be in a different location to the maximum 1% AEP flood level.
- ⁽³⁾ Intensification of development in the former Pittwater LGA requires the consideration of climate change impacts which may result in higher minimum floor levels.
- (4) Vulnerable/critical developments require higher minimum floor levels using the higher of the PMF or FPL

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 1 of 13

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

Notes Notes

General

- All levels are based on Australian Height Datum (AHD) unless otherwise noted.
- This is currently the best available information on flooding; it may be subject to change in the future.
- Council recommends that you obtain a detailed survey of the above property and surrounds to AHD by a
 registered surveyor to determine any features that may influence the predicted extent or frequency of
 flooding. It is recommended you compare the flood level to the ground and floor levels to determine the
 level of risk the property may experience should flooding occur.
- Development approval is dependent on a range of issues, including compliance with all relevant provisions of Northern Beaches Council's Local Environmental Plans and Development Control Plans.
- Please note that the information contained within this letter is general advice only as a detail survey of
 the property as well as other information is not available. Council recommends that you engage a suitably
 experienced consultant to provide site specific flooding advice prior to making any decisions relating to
 the purchase or development of this property.
- The Flood Studies on which Council's flood information is based are available on Council's online <u>Flood</u> Study Reports webpage.
- If the FPL is higher than the PMF level, then the FPL should still be used as the FPL, as it includes freeboard which the PMF does not.
- If the property is affected by an Estuarine Planning Level (EPL) which is higher than the FPL, then the EPL should be used as the FPL.
- Areas affected by an EPL in the former Pittwater LGA are mapped on Council's online <u>Estuarine Hazard</u> <u>Map</u>. Note that areas in the former Manly LGA affected by an EPL have been identified and will be soon added to this map.
- Council's drainage infrastructure is mapped on Council's <u>Stormwater Map</u>. Note that locations are indicative only and may not be exactly as shown.

Property

 Please note that if a development on the property is proposed completely outside of the Flood Planning Area (Medium Flood Risk Precinct), a formal Flood Management Report would not need to be submitted to council with a Development Application for Residential Development.

abn 13124694917

acn 124694917



- Low Flood Risk precinct means all flood prone land not identified within the High or Medium flood risk precincts.
- Medium Flood Risk precinct means all flood prone land that is (a) within the 1% AEP Flood Planning Area; and (b) is not within the high flood risk precinct.
- High Flood Risk precinct means all flood prone land (a) within the 1% AEP Flood Planning Area; and (b) is either subject to a high hydraulic hazard, within the floodway or subject to significant evacuation difficulties (H5 or H6 Life Hazard Classification). The Flood Planning Area extent is equivalent to the Medium Flood Risk Precinct extent and includes the High Flood Risk
- Precinct within it. The mapped extent represents the 1% annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) flood event + freeboard. None of these mapped extents include climate change.
- Cadastre Lines (Source: NSW Government Land and Property Information), flood levels/extents (Source: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV) and aerial photography (Source: NearMap 2014) are indicative only.

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 3 of 13

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917



Notes:

- Extent represents the 1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) flood event. .
- Flood events exceeding the 1% AEP can occur on this site.
- Extent does not include climate change.
- Cadastre Lines (Source: NSW Government Land and Property Information), flood levels/extents (Source: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV) and aerial photography (Source Near Map 2014) are indicative only.

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 4 of 13

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

Flo	Flood Levels									
	ID	5% AEP Max WL (m AHD)	5% AEP Max Depth (m)	1% AEP Max WL (m AHD)	1% AEP Max Depth (m)	1% AEP Max Velocity (m/s)	Flood Planning Level (m)	PMF Max WL (m AHD)	PMF Max Depth (m)	PMF Max Velocity (m/s)
	1	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.58	0.18	0.56
	2	N/A	N/A	20.77	0.19	0.76	21.27	20.90	0.33	0.82
	3	20.87	0.33	20.91	0.37	0.51	21.41	21.07	0.53	0.70
	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20.84	0.25	0.30
	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	20.81	0.15	0.24

Climate Change Flood Levels (30% Rainfall intensity and 0.9m Sea Level Rise)

ID	CC 1% AEP Max WL (m AHD)	CC1 % AEP Max Depth (m)
1	N/A	N/A
2	20.78	0.21
3	20.93	0.39
4	N/A	N/A
5	N/A	N/A

WL - Water Level

PMF - Probable Maximum Flood

N/A - No Peak Water Level/Depth/Velocity Available.

Notes:

 The flood planning levels above are calculated by adding a 0.5m freeboard to the 1% AEP water level. However, if the depth of flow is less than 0.3m and a Velocity X Depth product is less than 0.3m²/s, a freeboard of 0.3m may be able to be justified for development.

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 5 of 13

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917



Notes:

- Extent represents the 1% Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) flood event
- Extent does not include climate change
- Cadastre Lines (Source: NSW Government Land and Property Information), flood levels/extents (Source: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV) and aerial photography (Source: NearMap 2014) are indicative only

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 6 of 13

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917



Notes:

- Extent represents the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) flood event
- Extent does not include climate change Cadastre Lines (Source: NSW Government Land and Property Information), flood levels/extents (Source: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV) and aerial photography (Source: NearMap 2014) . are indicative only

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 7 of 13

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917

MAP E: FLOODING - 1% AEP EXTENT PLUS CLIMATE CHANGE



Notes:

- Extent represents the 1% annual Exceedance Probability (AEP) flood event including 30% rainfall intensity and 0.9m Sea Level Rise climate change scenario
- Flood events exceeding the 1% AEP can occur on this site.
- Cadastre Lines (Source: NSW Government Land and Property Information), flood levels/extents (Source: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV) and aerial photography (Source: NearMap 2014) are indicative only

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 8 of 13

Stormwater Structural Civil

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917



Notes:

 Cadastre Lines (Source: NSW Government Land and Property Information), flood levels/extents (Source: McCarrs Creek, Mona Vale and Bayview Flood Study Review 2017, Royal HaskoningDHV) and aerial photography (Source Near Map 2014) are indicative only.

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 9 of 13

abn 13124694917 acn 124694917



If accuracy is required, then survey should be undertaken by a registered surveyor.

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 10 of 13

acn 124694917

Preparation of a Flood Management Report

Introduction

These guidelines are intended to provide advice to applicants on how to determine what rules apply on flood prone land, and how to prepare a Flood Management Report. The purpose of a Flood Management Report is to demonstrate how a proposed development will comply with flood related planning requirements.

Planning Requirements for Flood Prone Land

Development must comply with the requirements for developing flood prone land set out in the relevant Local Environment Plan (LEP) and Development Control Plan (DCP). There are separate LEPs and DCPs for each of the former Local Government Areas (LGAs), although preparation of a LGA-wide LEP and DCP is currently under way.

The clauses specific to flooding in the LEPs and DCPs are as follows:

LEP Clauses	DCP Clauses
Manly LEP (2013) – 5.21 Flood Planning	Manly DCP (2013) - 5.4.3 Flood Prone Land
Manly LEP (2013) – 5.22 Special Flood Considerations	
Wassingsh LED (2011) 5 24 Flood Blooping	Warrison & DCB (2011) . E11 Flood Broos Lond
Warringah LEP (2011) – 5.21 Flood Planning	Warringah DCP (2011) – E11 Flood Prone Land
Warringah LEP (2011) – 5.22 Special Flood Considerations	
Warringah LEP (2000) - 47 Flood Affected Land *	
Pittwater LEP (2014) - 5.21 Flood Planning	Pittwater 21 DCP (2014) - B3.11 Flood Prone Land
Pittwater LEP (2014) – 5.22 Special Flood Considerations	Pittwater 21 DCP (2014) - B3.12 Climate Change

* The Warringah LEP (2000) is relevant only for the "deferred lands" which affects only a very small number of properties, mostly in the Oxford Falls area

Development on flood prone land must also comply with Council's Water Management for Development Policy, and if it is in the Warriewood Release Area, with the Warriewood Valley Water Management Specification and Clause C6.1 of the Pittwater 21 DCP (2014). Guidelines for Flood Emergency Response Planning are available for addressing emergency response requirements in the DCP. These documents can be found on Council's website on the Flooding page.

Note that if the property is affected by estuarine flooding or other coastal issues, these need to be addressed separately under the relevant DCP clauses.

When is a Flood Management Report required?

A Flood Management Report must be submitted with any Development Application on flood prone land (with exceptions noted below), for Council to consider the potential flood impacts and applicable controls. For Residential or Commercial development, it is required for development on land identified within the Medium or High Flood Risk Precinct. For Vulnerable or Critical development, it is required if it is within any Flood Risk Precinct.

There are some circumstances where a formal Flood Management Report undertaken by a professional engineer may not be required. However the relevant parts of the DCP and LEP would still need to be addressed, so as to demonstrate compliance. Examples where this may apply include:

- If all proposed works are located outside the relevant Flood Risk Precinct extent
- First floor addition only, where the existing ground floor level is above the FPL
- Internal works only, where habitable floor areas below the FPL are not being increased

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Page 11 of 13

Note that development on flood prone land will still be assessed for compliance with the relevant DCP and LEP, and may still be subject to flood related development controls.

What is the purpose of a Flood Management Report?

The purpose of a Flood Management Report is to demonstrate how a proposed development will comply with flood planning requirements, particularly the development controls outlined in the relevant LEP and DCP clauses. The report must detail the design, measures and controls needed to achieve compliance, following the steps outlined below.

A Flood Management Report should reflect the size, type and location of the development, proportionate to the scope of the works proposed, and considering its relationship to surrounding development. The report should also assess the flood risk to life and property.

Preparation of a Flood Management Report

The technical requirements for a Flood Management Report include (where relevant):

- 1. Description of development
- · Outline of the proposed development, with plans if necessary for clarity
- · Use of the building, hours of operation, proposed traffic usage or movement
- · Type of use, eg vulnerable, critical, residential, business, industrial, subdivision, etc
- 2. Flood analysis
- 1% AEP flood level
- Flood Planning Level (FPL)
- Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) level
- Flood Risk Precinct, ie High, Medium or Low
- Flood Life Hazard Category
- Mapping of relevant extents
- Flood characteristics for the site, eg depth, velocity, hazard and hydraulic category, and the relevance to the proposed development

If the property is affected by an Estuarine Planning Level (EPL) which is higher than the FPL, then the EPL should be used as the FPL. If the FPL is higher than the PMF level, then the FPL should still be used as the FPL, as it includes freeboard which the PMF does not.

- 3. Assessment of impacts
- Summary of compliance for each category of the DCP, as per the table below.

	Compliance		
	N/A	Yes	No
A) Flood effects caused by Development			1
B) Building Components & Structural Soundness			1
C) Floor Levels			
D) Car parking			
E) Emergency Response			
F) Fencing			
G) Storage of Goods			
H) Pools			1

Issue Date: 30/01/2025

Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers pty ltd Stormwater Structural Civil abn 13124694917 acn 124694917
 Demonstration of how the development complies with any relevant flood planning requirements from the DCP, LEP, Water Management for Development Policy, and if it is in the Warriewood Valley Urban Land Release Area, with the Warriewood Valley Water Management Specification (2001) For any non-compliance, a justification for why the development should still be considered. Calculations of available flood storage if compensatory flood storage is proposed Plan of the proposed development site showing the predicted 1% AEP and PMF flood extents, as well as any high hazard or floodway affectation Development recommendations and construction methodologies Qualifications of author - Council requires that the Flood Management Report be prepared by a suitably qualified Engineer with experience in flood design / management who has, or is eligible for, membership to the Institution of Engineers Australia Any flood advice provided by Council Any other details which may be relevant
Further information and guidelines for development are available on Council's website at: <u>https://www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au/planning-and-development/building-and-renovations/development-applications/guidelines-development-flood-prone-land</u> Council's Flood Team may be contacted on 1300 434 434 or at <u>floodplain@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au</u> .

Page 13 of 13

> Appendix D Curriculum Vitae 2024 Lucas Molloy

Curriculum Vitae 2025

Lucas Molloy

MIEAust / CPEng / NER / APEC / Engineer / IntPE(Aus)

 1988 Higher School Certificate Pittwater High School NSW Australia 1995 Bachelor of Engineering (Civil) University of Wollongong NSW Australia
May 2007 to date
Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers pty Itd
Director / Engineer / Draftsman
 April 2003 to April 2007
Northern Beaches Consulting Engineers pty ltd
Director / Engineer
 Feb 1997 to April 2003
Northern Beaches Consulting Engineers pty Itd

Engineer
 Dec 1988 to Dec 1993
 Jack Hodgson Consulting Engineers
 Undergraduate trainee / Engineer

For last sixteen years Director / Engineer / Draftsman of the structural and civil engineering practice Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers pty ltd (est 2007). I am responsible for the structural and civil (including stormwater management) design, documentation, investigation and construction supervision of predominately residential developments.

The spectrum of projects I have consulted on, vary from a 6 square meter timber framed deck extension of a residential house (budget ~ \$1,500) to 8 storey commercial development (budget of ~ \$10,000,000).

During my career I have been active in the preparation and issuing of -

- 250+ stormwater management plans inc on site detention
- 50+ overflow / flood analysis using DRAINS / HECRAS / AR+R
- 25+ flood inundation & risk assessment reports

Appendix E Northern Beaches Council Standard Hydraulic Certification Form

NORTHERN BEACHES COUNCIL STANDARD HYDRAULIC CERTIFICATION FORM

FORM A/A1 - To be submitted with Development Application

Development Application for Mitchell and Tallowwood

Address of site: 9 Rowan St Mona Vale

Declaration made by hydraulic engineer or professional consultant specialising in flooding/flood risk management as part of undertaking the Flood Management Report:

I, Lucas Molloy on behalf of Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers p/I on this the 6th Feb 2025 certify that I am engineer or a professional consultant specialising in flooding and I am authorised by the above organisation/ company to issue this document and to certify that the organisation/ company has a current professional indemnity policy of at least \$2 million.

Flood Management Report Details:

Report Title:

FLOOD MANAGEMENT REPORT
PROPOSED ALTERATIONS & ADDITIONS
9 ROWAN ST MONA VALEReport Date:Feb 2025Author:Lucas MolloyAuthor's Company/Organisation:Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers p/I

I: Lucas Molloy

Please tick all that are applicable (more than one box can be ticked)
X have obtained and included flood information from Council (must be less than 12 months old)
X have followed Council's Guidelines for Preparing a Flood Management Report
na have requested a variation to one or more of the flood related development controls. Details are provided in the *Flood Management Report*.

Signature

. Feb'25

Name

Lucas Molloy MIEAust / CPEng / NER 788184 / APEC / Engineer / IntPE(Aus) Director Barrenjoey Consulting Engineers p/I

End of Document