
Sent: 13/11/2019 10:04:02 AM
Subject: FW: Dungowan - objections regarding Victoria Parade development

Good morning

Please see my objection below

Kind regards
Sheridan

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Sheridan Nossiter](#)
Sent: Tuesday, 12 November 2019 9:44 AM
To: [Renee Ezzy](#)
Subject: Dungowan - objections regarding Victoria Parade development

Good morning Renee,

WE FORMALLY OBJECT TO:

Carpark Security Roller Shutter

I understood it was that there would be no driveway roof over the rear of the ramp and no security roller door on Dungowan Lane.

Any roller door should be placed at the underground entrance to the basement carpark so as to avoid congestion at the top of the ramp.

Traffic in Dungowan Lane

Dungowan Lane is already blocked several times a day with service vehicles for the Sebel. Consideration could be given to change the traffic direction of the Lane.

Blast Wall

It is also considered that the blast wall is dangerous for traffic movement. Cars exiting the ramp will have to move into Dungowan Lane to be able to see traffic coming from the right.

If a blast wall is necessary for the protection of residents in 46 Victoria Parade, why has council not insisted that the residents of 42 Victoria Parade and residents of the Dungowan and both Sebel buildings be protected as well.

By allowing a blast wall to be built only on part of the North Wall of the sub-station in the rear of 46 Victoria Parade, it appears to have created a situation where if there is a possible 'blow-up' of the sub-station, then any other surrounding residents who incur damage will be able to initiate legal action against Council for failing to protect their premises as well because of the added impacts from this Blast Wall.

And finally, in reality, what are the chances of this heritage sub station exploding.

Regards
Sheridan

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10