

Application Number:

**Consent Authority:** 

Owner:
Applicant:

Land and Environment Court Action:

## **APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Mod2021/0040

Responsible Officer:	Thomas Prosser
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 1 DP 1132852, 18 - 20 Sturdee Lane LOVETT BAY NSW 2105
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0033 granted for demolition works and construction of a detached studio
Zoning:	E3 Environmental Management
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No

Northern Beaches Council

James de Soyres & Associates Pty Ltd

Fiona Robin Loader

Application Lodged:	12/02/2021	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions	
Notified:	19/02/2021 to 05/03/2021	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil	
Recommendation:	Approval	

## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal includes modification to the approved demolition works and construction of a detached studio. The proposed modification involves the following:

- Enclosed sub-floor space
- Re-configuration and enclosure of deck
- Enclosure of porch
- Re-configuration of ensuite and gym
- Deletion of Deck 3
- Re-configuration of doors and windows
- Minor extensions to roof
- Change of external from timber to 'colourbond steel'
- Request to remove condition for 10,000L static water supply tank for fire fighting purposes to replace with water access to Pittwater

MOD2021/0040 Page 1 of 17



#### ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
  to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
  Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

#### SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - C1.14 Separately Accessible Structures

### SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 1 DP 1132852 , 18 - 20 Sturdee Lane LOVETT BAY NSW 2105				
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of an allotment located on the northern side of Sturdee Lane and the southern side of Lovett Bay.				
	The site is irregular in shape with a battle-axe frontage of 13.3m along Sturdee Lane and a depth of . The site has a surveyed area of 2538m².				
	The site is located within the E3 Environmental Management zone and accommodates dwelling house or site.				
	The site is densely vegetated.				
	Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development				
Mana	Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by residential waterfront development.				

Map:

MOD2021/0040 Page 2 of 17





#### SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

 DA2019/0671 for replacement of the on-site waste water treatment system approved on 29/08/2019.

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)**

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2020/0033, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

MOD2021/0040 Page 3 of 17



Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments		
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:			
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact for the following reasons:		
	The modification involves changes which are within the footprint of the studio. This studio is well separated from neighbouring living spaces and public space. As such, the proposal is of minimal environmental impact.		
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2020/0033 for the following reasons:		
	The proposal is for modifications within the approved footprint of the studio. As such there is no change to the use and no significant change to the built form. Therefore, the proposal is considered to be substantially the same development.		
<ul><li>(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:</li><li>(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,</li><li>or</li></ul>	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Pittwater Local Environment Plan and Pittwater Development Control Plan.		
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and			
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.		

## **Section 4.15 Assessment**

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and

MOD2021/0040 Page 4 of 17



## Assessment Act, 1979, are:

ASSESSITIETIT ACT, 1979, are.				
Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater Development Control Plan section in this report.  (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social			
	impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.  (iii) <b>Economic Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.			
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.			
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.			
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.			

MOD2021/0040 Page 5 of 17



#### **EXISTING USE RIGHTS**

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

#### **BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND**

The site is classified as bush fire prone land. Section 4.14 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 requires Council to be satisfied that the development conforms to the specifications and requirements of the version (as prescribed by the regulations) of the document entitled Planning for Bush Fire Protection.

A Bush Fire Report was submitted with the original application (prepared by Bushfire Planning Services, dated 26 November 2019). The report stated that the bushfire attack level of the site is BAL-29.

A further letter was provided with this modification application to request the use of Lovett Bay as a water source for fire fighting purposes.

The modification application was referred to the NSW Rural Fire Service for further assessment and the NSW RFS supported the use of Lovett Bay, subject to conditions. The recommendations from the NSW RFS have been included as part of the recommended conditions of consent.

#### **NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 19/02/2021 to 05/03/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

#### **REFERRALS**

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Environmental Health (unsewered lands)	General Comments
	Environmental Health have been requested to consider this proposal to modify an existing development consent for "demolition works and construction of a detached studio."
	Their is no objection to the proposed modification which does not alter any original requirements outlined in the initial approval relating to waste water provisions.
	Accordingly, Environmental Health supports this proposal.
	Recommendation
	APPROVAL - no conditions
Landscape Officer	The modification application is for amendment to the development consent DA2020/0033 that includes the following assessment by Landscape Referral: a new concrete pier support to support the

MOD2021/0040 Page 6 of 17



Internal Referral Body	Comments				
•	concrete deck in close proximity to existing trees 95 and 97 that are to be retained, and a new wall along the southern side of the pavilions to enclose sub-floor areas to create a fire-proof structure near existing tree 81.				
	An Addendum to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment is provided that recommends construction methods to be applied to ensure protection of these existing trees.				
	An additional condition of consent shall be imposed to provide the recommended tree protection measures of the Addendum. All other landscape conditions of consent under DA2020/0033 remain.				
NECC (Bushland and Biodiversity)	This application was previously assessed against relevant biodiversity planning controls, and it was concluded that a significant impact to biodiversity is unlikely and several impact mitigation requirements applied via conditions as part of the original development application DA2020/0033. No additional vegetation clearing is to occur.				
	Subject to new recommendations of provided within the Addendum to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Blue Gum 2021) and conditions issued by the Natural Environment Unit - Biodiversity (DA2020/0033) the Bushland and Biodiversity referral team find the application to be consistent against relevant environmental controls.				
NECC (Coast and Catchments)	The application for modifications has been assessed in consideration of the Coastal Management Act 2016, State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018 and has also been assessed against requirements of the Pittwater LEP 2014 and Pittwater 21 DCP.				
	Coastal Management Act 2016 The subject site has been identified as being within the coastal zone and therefore Coastal Management Act 2016 is applicable to the proposed development.				
	The proposed development is in line with the objects, as set out under Clause 3 of the Coastal Management Act 2016.				
	State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018				
	The subject land has been included on the 'Coastal Environment Area' and 'Coastal Use Area' maps under the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018 (CM SEPP). Hence, Clauses 13, 14 and 15 of the CM SEPP apply for this DA.				
	Comment:				
	On internal assessment, the DA for modifications satisfies				

MOD2021/0040 Page 7 of 17



Internal Referral Body	Comments
	requirements under clauses 13, 14 and 15 of the CM SEPP.
	As such, it is considered that the application does comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.
	Pittwater LEP 2014 and Pittwater 21 DCP
	Estuarine Risk Management
	The subject property has also been identified as affected by estuarine wave action and tidal inundation on Council's Estuarine Hazard Mapping. As such, the Estuarine Risk Management Policy for Development in Pittwater (Appendix 7, Pittwater 21 DCP) and the relevant B3.7 Estuarine Hazard Controls will apply to any proposed development of the site.
	On internal assessment, the proposed modifications are located above the applicable EPL of 2.60m AHD for the site.
	The proposed development is not required to satisfy the relevant estuarine risk management requirements of P21 DCP.
	Development on Foreshore Area
	However, a section of the subject property is within the foreshore building line. Part 7, Clause 7.8 –Limited development on foreshore area of the Pittwater LEP 2014 applies for any development within the foreshore area.
	The DA for modifications proposes no works on the foreshores area and hence, the DA does not require to satisfy the objectives and requirements of Part 7, Clause 7.8 of the Pittwater LEP 2014.

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who provided a response stating that the proposal is acceptable subject to compliance with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of

MOD2021/0040 Page 8 of 17



External Referral Body	Comments			
	Practice. These recommendations will be included as a condition of consent.			
NSW Rural Fire Service – local branch (s4.14 EPAA)	A Letter has been provided by the NSW RFS. This letter has been included in the conditions of consent.			
Aboriginal Heritage Office	Council's Aboriginal Heritage Officer has provided the following comment:			
	"Reference is made to the proposed development at the above area and Aboriginal heritage.			
	No sites are recorded in the current development area and the area has been subject to previous disturbance reducing the likelihood of surviving unrecorded Aboriginal sites.			
	Given the above, the Aboriginal Heritage Office considers that there are no Aboriginal heritage issues for the proposed development.			
	Under the National Parks and Wildlife Act 1974 (NPW Act) all Aboriginal objects are protected. Should any Aboriginal Cultural Heritage items be uncovered during earthworks, works should cease in the area and the Aboriginal Heritage Office assess the finds. Under Section 89a of the NPW Act should the objects be found to be Aboriginal, Heritage NSW and the Metropolitan Local Aboriginal Land Council (MLALC) should be contacted."			

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)\***

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

# State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

#### SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

MOD2021/0040 Page 9 of 17



## SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A320793 02).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

#### SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

## <u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

## Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who provided a response stating that the proposal is acceptable subject to compliance with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice. These recommendations will be included as a condition of consent.

## **SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018**

#### 13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

- (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
  - (a) the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,
  - (b) coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,
  - (c) the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,
  - (d) marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms.
  - (e) existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,

MOD2021/0040 Page 10 of 17



- (f) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
- (g) the use of the surf zone.

#### Comment:

The proposed works are located behind the foreshore building line (specified by the Pittwater LEP) and and are well separated from the coastal area. As such, the proposal would not have an unreasonable impact on this area.

- (2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:
  - (a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or
  - (b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
  - (c) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.

## Comment:

The proposal is sited behind the existing dwelling (in relation to the foreshore). This will appropriately minimise impact to the coast.

## 14 Development on land within the coastal use area

(1)

- (a) has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
  - (i) existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
  - (ii) overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to foreshores,
  - (iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,
  - (iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
  - (v) cultural and built environment heritage, and
- (b) is satisfied that:
  - (i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or
  - (ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
  - (iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and
- (c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

#### Comment:

The proposal is well separated from the foreshore and will not have any unreasonable impact on the coast line

As such, it is considered that the application does comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

MOD2021/0040 Page 11 of 17



## **Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014**

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	7.8m	7.8m	N/A	Yes

**Compliance Assessment** 

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
5.10 Heritage conservation	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.6 Biodiversity protection	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.8 Limited development on foreshore area	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

## Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

## **Built Form Controls**

<b>Built Form Control</b>	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	50m	50m / unaltered	Yes
Side building line	2.5m	4.8m	m / unaltered	Yes
	1m	1.5m	m / unaltered	Yes
Building envelope	3.5m	Within	Within/Outside envelope / unaltered	Yes
	3.5m	Within	Within/Outside envelope / unaltered	Yes
Landscaped area	50%	49%	49% / unaltered	No (no change)

**Compliance Assessment** 

Clause	-	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.8 Lower Western Foreshores and Scotland Island Locality	Yes	Yes

MOD2021/0040 Page 12 of 17



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B1.3 Heritage Conservation - General	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B3.6 Contaminated Land and Potentially Contaminated Land	Yes	Yes
B3.7 Estuarine Hazard - Low density residential	Yes	Yes
B3.8 Estuarine Hazard - Medium Density Residential	Yes	Yes
B4.7 Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest - Endangered Ecological Community	Yes	Yes
B4.15 Saltmarsh Endangered Ecological Community	Yes	Yes
B4.16 Seagrass Conservation	Yes	Yes
B4.19 Estuarine Habitat	Yes	Yes
B5.13 Development on Waterfront Land	Yes	Yes
B5.15 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
B8.5 Construction and Demolition - Works in the Public Domain	Yes	Yes
B8.6 Construction and Demolition - Traffic Management Plan	Yes	Yes
C1.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.9 Adaptable Housing and Accessibility	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.14 Separately Accessible Structures	Yes	Yes
C1.17 Swimming Pool Safety	Yes	Yes
C1.19 Incline Passenger Lifts and Stairways	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
C1.24 Public Road Reserve - Landscaping and Infrastructure	Yes	Yes
C1.25 Plant, Equipment Boxes and Lift Over-Run	Yes	Yes
D8.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D8.2 Scenic protection - General	Yes	Yes
D8.3 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D8.5 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D8.6 Side and rear building line	Yes	Yes

MOD2021/0040 Page 13 of 17



Clause	_	Consistency Aims/Objectives
D8.8 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D8.9 Landscaped Area	Yes	Yes
D8.10 Fences	Yes	Yes
D8.11 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes
D8.12 Companion animals	Yes	Yes
D8.13 Stormwater overflow	Yes	Yes
D8.15 Site disturbance	Yes	Yes
D15.12 Development seaward of mean high water mark	Yes	Yes
D15.13 Lateral limits to development seaward of mean high water mark	Yes	Yes
D15.14 Minimum frontage for waterfront development	Yes	Yes
D15.15 Waterfront development	Yes	Yes

#### **Detailed Assessment**

## **C1.14 Separately Accessible Structures**

The proposal involves a separately accessible structure with two pavilions

This structure is ancillary to the dwelling and does not contain cooking facilities which could make it capable for separate habitation.

A condition is also imposed to ensure the development remains as a single dwelling under this consent.

## THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

#### CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

#### **POLICY CONTROLS**

#### Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

#### CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;

MOD2021/0040 Page 14 of 17



- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan:
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

#### RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2021/0040 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0033 granted for demolition works and construction of a detached studio on land at Lot 1 DP 1132852,18 - 20 Sturdee Lane, LOVETT BAY, subject to the conditions printed below:

## A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

## a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
DA-01- Site and Site Analysis - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates	
DA-02 - Site Plan - North - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates	
DA-05- Tree Protection Plan- Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates	
DA12- Pavilions Undercroft Plan- Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates	
DA13- Gust Bedroom and Games Room Floor Plan - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates	
	1		

MOD2021/0040 Page 15 of 17



DA14- Roof Plan- Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA20- North Elevation from Pittwater - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA21- North and South Elevations- Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA22- West Elevation- Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA23- East Elevation- Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA24- Photomontage and Exterior Finishes Schedule - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA30- Section A-A - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA31- Section B-B - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates
DA32- Section C-C - Rev C	6/01/2021	James de Soyeres + Associates

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:			
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By	
RFS LETTER	Wednesday 17 March	NSW Rural Fire Service	

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

## B. Add Condition 15A Compliance with Arborists Recommendations - During Construction to read as follows:

All new Tree Protection Measures (Blue Gum 2021) are to be implemented during construction. No excavation must be undertaken within the Structural Root Zones (SRZ) of Tree 95 & 97, and the footings for the low foundation wall within a 2.0m radius of Tree 81 must be undertaken using hand tools only.

Compliance with these measures is to be certified by the Project Ecologist in writing to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To confirm compliance with wildlife and habitat protection/replacement measures.

# C. Add Condition 15B Project Arborist suprvision near existing trees 81, 95 and 97 to read as follows:

A Project Arborist, with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture, shall be engaged to provide tree protection measures in accordance with Australian Standard 4970-2009 Protection of Trees on Development Sites and the recommendations of the Addendum to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment report prepared by BlueGum Tree Care and Consultancy.

The Project Arborist is to supervise all excavation and construction works near trees 81, 95 and 97 identified in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment to be retained including construction methods near the existing trees to protect tree roots, trunks, branches and canopy. Where required, manual excavation is to occur ensuring no tree root at or >25mm ( $\varnothing$ ) is damaged by works unless approved by the Project Arborist.

The Project Arborist shall be in attendance and supervise all works as nominated in the Addendum to the Arboricultural Impact Assessment report.

The Project Arborist shall provide certification to the Certifying Authority that all recommendations listed for the protection of the existing tree(s) have been carried out satisfactorily to ensure no impact to the health of the tree(s). Photographic documentation of the condition of all trees to be retained shall be

MOD2021/0040 Page 16 of 17



recorded including at commencement, during the works and at completion.

#### Note:

- i) A separate permit or development consent may be required if the branches or roots of a protected tree on the site or on an adjoining site are required to be pruned or removed.
- ii) Any potential impact to trees as assessed by the Project Arborist will require redesign of any approved component to ensure existing trees upon the subject site and adjoining properties are preserved and shall be the subject of a modification application where applicable.

Reason: Tree protection.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

TRPORER

**Thomas Prosser, Planner** 

The application is determined on 26/04/2021, under the delegated authority of:

Lashta Haidari, Acting Development Assessment Manager

MOD2021/0040 Page 17 of 17