



**REPORT TO
NORTHERN BEACHES COUNCIL**

**ON
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION**

**FOR
PROPOSED STORE ROOM**

**AT
SOUTH CURL CURL SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB
CARRINGTON PARADE, CURL CURL, NSW**

Date: 13 December 2022

Ref: 35574RErpt Rev1

JKGeotechnics
www.jkgeotechnics.com.au

T: +61 2 9888 5000

JK Geotechnics Pty Ltd

ABN 17 003 550 801





Report prepared by:

Michael Egan
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

Report reviewed by:

Paul Roberts
Principal Associate | Engineering Geologist

For and on behalf of
JK GEOTECHNICS
PO BOX 976
NORTH RYDE BC NSW 1670

DOCUMENT REVISION RECORD

Report Reference	Report Status	Report Date
35574RErpt	Final Report	9 December 2022
35574RErpt Rev1	Revision 1	13 December 2022

© Document copyright of JK Geotechnics

This report (which includes all attachments and annexures) has been prepared by JK Geotechnics (JKG) for its Client, and is intended for the use only by that Client.

This Report has been prepared pursuant to a contract between JKG and its Client and is therefore subject to:

- a) JKG's proposal in respect of the work covered by the Report;
- b) The limitations defined in the Client's brief to JKG;
- c) The terms of contract between JKG and the Client, including terms limiting the liability of JKG.

If the Client, or any person, provides a copy of this Report to any third party, such third party must not rely on this Report, except with the express written consent of JKG which, if given, will be deemed to be upon the same terms, conditions, restrictions and limitations as apply by virtue of (a), (b), and (c) above.

Any third party who seeks to rely on this Report without the express written consent of JKG does so entirely at their own risk and to the fullest extent permitted by law, JKG accepts no liability whatsoever, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by any such third party.

At the Company's discretion, JKG may send a paper copy of this report for confirmation. In the event of any discrepancy between paper and electronic versions, the paper version is to take precedence. The USER shall ascertain the accuracy and the suitability of this information for the purpose intended; reasonable effort is made at the time of assembling this information to ensure its integrity. The recipient is not authorised to modify the content of the information supplied without the prior written consent of JKG.



Table of Contents

1	INTRODUCTION	1
2	INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE	1
3	RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION	2
3.1	Site Description	2
3.2	Subsurface Conditions	2
4	COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	3
5	GENERAL COMMENTS	4

ATTACHMENTS

Borehole Log 1

Dynamic Cone Penetration Test Results Sheet

Figure 1: Site Location Plan

Figure 2: Investigation Location Plan

Report Explanation Notes

Appendix A: Borehole Log 1 From Our Previous Geotechnical Investigation Report (Ref. 16988WArpt1)

Dated 30 September 2002

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a limited scope geotechnical investigation for the proposed store room at South Curl Curl Surf Life Saving Club (SLSC), Carrington Parade, Curl Curl, NSW. A site location plan is presented as Figure 1. The investigation was commissioned by Mr Bernard Koon of Northern Beaches Council (NBC) via email dated 21 October 2022. The commission was on the basis of our fee proposal, Ref. P57514RE, dated 11 October 2022.

Based on the supplied concept drawings (Dwg Nos. DA00 to DA04, all Revision C, dated November 2022) prepared by NBC, we understand the proposed store room will be constructed adjacent to the north-western corner of the existing SLSC hall and will be supported by a reinforced concrete slab suspended between four piled footings. The store room will have a finished floor level at RL9.466m and an internal floor space plan area of approximately 2.2m x 2.7m. The datum is the Australian Height Datum (AHD).

We note that Jefferey and Katauskas (now trading as JK Geotechnics) previously completed a geotechnical investigation report (Ref. 16988WArpt1, dated 30 September 2002) at the subject site for seawall stabilisation works. The investigation included a number of boreholes spiral auger drilled below the promenade level. One borehole log (BH1) from our previous investigation is relevant to the current development and has been included in Appendix A.

The purpose of the current investigation was to obtain additional geotechnical information on the subsurface conditions and to use this as a basis for providing our comments and recommendations on footing design.

This geotechnical investigation was carried out in conjunction with a preliminary acid sulfate soil assessment by our environmental division, JK Environments (JKE). Reference should be made to the separate report by JKE, Ref. E35574BDlet-ASS, for the results of the environmental assessment.

2 INVESTIGATION PROCEDURE

The fieldwork for the investigation was carried out on 7 November 2022 and was limited by access constraints to the use of portable, manually operated equipment. The investigation comprised the drilling of one borehole (BH1) using a hand auger to a depth of 4m below existing surface level. One Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) test (DCP1) was also carried out adjacent to the borehole and extended to 5.8m depth. Prior to the investigation commencing, the paved surface was diatube core drilled with water flush.

The fieldwork for the investigation was carried out in the full-time presence of our geotechnical engineer (Ben Sheppard), who set out the test location, directed the electro-magnetic scanning for buried services, nominated testing and sampling, and prepared the borehole log and DCP test result sheet which are attached to this report, together with a glossary of logging terms and symbols used.

The test location, as shown on the attached Figure 2, was set out by taped measurements from existing surface features. A recent aerial image sourced from 'Nearmap' forms the basis of Figure 2 and includes the location of BH1 from our previous investigation referenced above.

The relative compaction of the fill was assessed from interpretation of the DCP test blow counts. Groundwater observations were made during and for a short time following hand auger drilling. No longer term groundwater monitoring has been carried out.

For details of the adopted investigation techniques employed, and their limitations, reference should be made to the attached Report Explanation Notes.

3 RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION

3.1 Site Description

The South Curl Curl SLSC is located at the southern end of South Curl Curl beach and on the landward side of a concrete promenade which is supported by a sandstone block seawall. The SLSC consists of a one and two storey rendered building which is bound to the east and west by Carrington Parade and South Curl Curl Beach, respectively.

A concrete landing slab is positioned adjacent to the north-western corner of the existing SLSC hall at the proposed store room location. The concrete slab is elevated slightly above the Carrington Parade footpath and between about 3.0m and 3.3m above the SLSC lower ground floor and promenade level, respectively. The concrete slab is approximately 2.7m x 2.2m in plan area. Ableflex (or similar) has been installed within the joint between the external walls of the SLSC hall and concrete slab, and a void approximately 2mm is present within the joint. A flight of stairs which lead down to the promenade and a mulch covered garden bed are located immediately to the north-east and south-west of the concrete slab, respectively.

3.2 Subsurface Conditions

The 1:100,000 geological map of Sydney indicates that the site is underlain by Quaternary foredune and/or marine soils, but close to the interface with the underlying Hawkesbury Sandstone.

Beneath the reinforced concrete slab (0.14m thick), the borehole encountered sand and silty sand fill to 4m depth. The fill contained various proportions of fine to medium grained sandstone gravel, concrete fragments, cemented sand nodules and clay nodules. Based on the results of the DCP test, the fill was assessed to be poorly compacted.

We note that BH1 from our previous geotechnical investigation in 2002, which was drilled from the promenade level about 3m below the landing slab, encountered natural marine sands immediately below the concrete surface. The marine sands were initially of very loose relative density improving to loose then medium dense with depth. Therefore, the sandy fill encountered in the current borehole between 3.2m and

4.0m depth may represent marine sandy soils of loose relative density (based on the DCP test results) which may have been disturbed during the construction of the SLSC building.

Groundwater seepage was not during our current investigation, or shortly before backfilling the borehole. However, groundwater was recorded at a depth of approximately 4.5m (RL1.7m) below the promenade level a short time after completing our previous BH1.

4 COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on our site observations and results of the geotechnical investigation, we anticipate the deep fill encountered below the concrete landing slab is most likely backfill behind the rear walls of the lower ground floor of the SLSC building, which is approximately 3m below the landing slab. Therefore, to prevent surcharge loads being applied to these walls, we concur with the intention to suspend the proposed concrete store room slab between piled footings independent of the existing SLSC building. The piles will need to be founded below the underside of any existing high level footings at the lower ground floor level.

Due to the presence of poorly compacted fill, which we expect will extend beyond the margins of the work area, we do not recommend the use of rock breakers during demolition of the concrete slab due to the potential for transmission of vibrations which could cause damage to the adjoining SLSC building, buried services and other structures founded within the sandy soils. We recommend the removal of the concrete slab is completed using a hand held demolition diamond saw followed by removal of the concrete pieces using a bucket attachment to a small tracked excavator, or using hand held equipment. When using a diamond saw, the resulting dust should be suppressed by spraying with water.

The results of the geotechnical investigation have indicated sandy fill to 4m depth, although natural marine sands may have been encountered within the lower 0.8m of BH1. Regardless, due to the collapsible nature of these soils, continuous flight auger (CFA) piling techniques would be appropriate although such piling techniques may be cost prohibitive for this size of development. If screw piles are to be adopted, they should be designed using the bearing pressures outlined below and not based upon empirical correlations with installation torque. Conventional bored piles are not considered suitable due to these collapsible soils, although hand auger drilled bored piles with sacrificial liners may be used but this would require careful construction with the liners ready to be installed at the time of hand auger drilling.

Piled footings founded at least four pile diameters below the underside of any adjacent high level footings at the lower ground floor level may be designed for an allowable end bearing pressure of 250kPa (0.3m pile diameter) or 400kPa (0.45m pile diameter). We anticipate that any high level footings (if present) would likely be founded at a maximum depth of about 0.7m below the lower ground floor level. This may be confirmed by sourcing 'as built' drawings, if available. At these pressures, predicted settlements would be expected to be a maximum of about 5mm and would be differential with regard to the existing building. If there are any concerns regarding the differential settlement between sections of old and new building then movement control joints will be required, subject to advice from the structural engineer.

We recommend the piles be drilled in the presence of a geotechnical engineer to assess the installation conditions, and compare this to the investigation information or possibly additional DCP testing. Unless hand auger bored piles are adopted, the piling contractor must certify the load capacity of the CFA or screw piles from both geotechnical and structural perspectives.

5 GENERAL COMMENTS

The recommendations presented in this report include specific issues to be addressed during the construction phase of the project. In the event that any of the construction phase recommendations presented in this report are not implemented, the general recommendations may become inapplicable and JK Geotechnics accept no responsibility whatsoever for the performance of the structure where recommendations are not implemented in full and properly tested, inspected and documented.

Occasionally, the subsurface conditions between the completed boreholes may be found to be different (or may be interpreted to be different) from those expected. Variation can also occur with groundwater conditions, especially after climatic changes. If such differences appear to exist, we recommend that you immediately contact this office.

This report provides advice on geotechnical aspects for the proposed civil and structural design. As part of the documentation stage of this project, Contract Documents and Specifications may be prepared based on our report. However, there may be design features we are not aware of or have not commented on for a variety of reasons. The designers should satisfy themselves that all the necessary advice has been obtained. If required, we could be commissioned to review the geotechnical aspects of contract documents to confirm the intent of our recommendations has been correctly implemented.

A waste classification is required for any soil and/or bedrock excavated from the site prior to offsite disposal. Subject to the appropriate testing, material can be classified as Virgin Excavated Natural Material (VENM), Excavated Natural Material (ENM), General Solid, Restricted Solid or Hazardous Waste. Analysis can take up to seven to ten working days to complete, therefore, an adequate allowance should be included in the construction program unless testing is completed prior to construction. If contamination is encountered, then substantial further testing (and associated delays) could be expected. We strongly recommend that this requirement is addressed prior to the commencement of excavation on site.

This report has been prepared for the particular project described and no responsibility is accepted for the use of any part of this report in any other context or for any other purpose. If there is any change in the proposed development described in this report then all recommendations should be reviewed. Copyright in this report is the property of JK Geotechnics. We have used a degree of care, skill and diligence normally exercised by consulting engineers in similar circumstances and locality. No other warranty expressed or implied is made or intended. Subject to payment of all fees due for the investigation, the client alone shall have a licence to use this report. The report shall not be reproduced except in full.

JKGeotechnics

BOREHOLE LOG



Borehole No.
1
1/1


Client: NORTHERN BEACHES COUNCIL
Project: PROPOSED STORE ROOM
Location: SOUTH CURL CURL SLSC, CARRINGTON PARADE, CURL CURL, NSW

Job No.: 35574RE **Method:** HAND AUGER **R.L. Surface:** N/A
Date: 7/11/22 **Datum:** -
Plant Type: - **Logged/Checked by:** B.S./M.E.

Groundwater Record	SAMPLES				Field Tests	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Unified Classification	DESCRIPTION	Moisture Condition/ Weathering	Strength/ Rel. Density	Hand Penetrometer Readings (kPa.)	Remarks
	ES	US	DB	DS									
DRY ON COMPLET ION					REFER TO DCP TEST RESULTS SHEET	0		-	CONCRETE: 140mm.t	M			8mm DIA. REINFORCEMENT, 85mm TOP COVER APPEARS POORLY COMPACTED
							FILL: Silty sand, fine to medium grained, dark brown, trace of fine to medium grained sandstone gravel, and concrete fragments.						
						1	as above, but with fine to medium grained sandstone gravel.						
							FILL: Sand, medium grained, yellow brown, trace of concrete fragments, and silt fines.						
							FILL: Sand, medium grained, yellow brown, trace of cemented sand nodules, clay nodules and concrete fragments.						
						2	as above, but yellow brown and grey brown.						
							FILL: Sand, fine to medium grained, yellow brown and grey brown, with silty clay nodules, trace of concrete fragments and silt fines.						
							FILL: Sand, medium grained, brown, trace of silt fines and dark grey clayey sand bands.						
							FILL: Sand, medium grained, brown, trace of silt fines, fine grained sandstone gravel and clay nodules.						
						4			END OF BOREHOLE AT 4.0m				
						5							
						6							
						7							

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST RESULTS

Client:	NORTHERN BEACHES COUNCIL						
Project:	PROPOSED STORE ROOM						
Location:	SOUTH CURL CURL SLSC, CARRINGTON PARADE, CURL CURL, NSW						
Job No.	35574RE			Hammer Weight & Drop: 9kg/510mm			
Date:	7-11-22			Rod Diameter: 16mm			
Tested By:	B.S.			Point Diameter: 20mm			
Test Location	1			Test Location	1		
Surface RL	N/A			Surface RL	N/A		
Depth (mm)	Blows per 100mm Penetration			Depth (mm)	Blows per 100mm Penetration		
0 - 100	EXCAVATED			3000-3100	2		
100 - 200	0/40mm			3100-3200	1		
200 - 300	1			3200-3300	2		
300 - 400	↓			3300-3400	2		
400 - 500	1			3400-3500	3		
500 - 600	1			3500-3600	3		
600 - 700	1			3600-3700	3		
700 - 800	1			3700-3800	4		
800 - 900	↓			3800-3900	4		
900 - 1000	↓			3900-4000	4		
1000 - 1100	1			4000-4100	3		
1100 - 1200	↓			4100-4200	4		
1200 - 1300	1			4200-4300	3		
1300 - 1400	1			4300-4400	4		
1400 - 1500	1			4400-4500	3		
1500 - 1600	2			4500-4600	4		
1600 - 1700	2			4600-4700	5		
1700 - 1800	8			4700-4800	3		
1800 - 1900	2			4800-4900	4		
1900 - 2000	2			4900-5000	5		
2000 - 2100	3			5000-5100	5		
2100 - 2200	3			5100-5200	4		
2200 - 2300	3			5200-5300	3		
2300 - 2400	5			5300-5400	2		
2400 - 2500	4			5400-5500	2		
2500 - 2600	3			5500-5600	2		
2600 - 2700	2			5600-5700	2		
2700 - 2800	1			5700-5800	2		
2800 - 2900	1			5800-5900	END		
2900 - 3000	1			5900-6000			
Remarks:	1. The procedure used for this test is described in AS1289.6.3.2-1997 (R2013) 2. Usually 8 blows per 20mm is taken as refusal						



AERIAL IMAGE SOURCE: MAPS.AU.NEARMAP.COM

Title:

SITE LOCATION PLAN

Location:

SOUTH CURL CURL SLSC,
CARRINGTON PARADE, CURL CURL, NSW

Report No:

35574RE

Figure No:

1

This plan should be read in conjunction with the JK Geotechnics report.



JKGeotechnics



PLOT DATE: 8/12/2022 10:10:34 AM DWG FILE: S:\6 GEOTECHNICAL\6F GEOTECHNICAL JOBS\35574RE CURL CAD\35574RE.DWG




LEGEND

-  HAND AUGER AND DCP TEST
-  BOREHOLE

NOTES:


1. HAND AUGER AND DCP TEST 1 IS FROM OUR CURRENT GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION.
2. BH1 IS FROM OUR PREVIOUS GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT (REF. 16988WArpt1) DATED 30 SEPTEMBER 2002.

AERIAL IMAGE SOURCE: MAPS.AU.NEARMAP.COM



This plan should be read in conjunction with the JK Geotechnics report.

INVESTIGATION LOCATION PLAN		
Location:		SOUTH CURL CURL SLSC, CARRINGTON PARADE, CURL CURL, NSW
Report No:	35574RE	Figure No: 2
JKGeotechnics		



REPORT EXPLANATION NOTES

INTRODUCTION

These notes have been provided to amplify the geotechnical report in regard to classification methods, field procedures and certain matters relating to the Comments and Recommendations section. Not all notes are necessarily relevant to all reports.

The ground is a product of continuing natural and man-made processes and therefore exhibits a variety of characteristics and properties which vary from place to place and can change with time. Geotechnical engineering involves gathering and assimilating limited facts about these characteristics and properties in order to understand or predict the behaviour of the ground on a particular site under certain conditions. This report may contain such facts obtained by inspection, excavation, probing, sampling, testing or other means of investigation. If so, they are directly relevant only to the ground at the place where and time when the investigation was carried out.

DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION METHODS

The methods of description and classification of soils and rocks used in this report are based on Australian Standard 1726:2017 'Geotechnical Site Investigations'. In general, descriptions cover the following properties – soil or rock type, colour, structure, strength or density, and inclusions. Identification and classification of soil and rock involves judgement and the Company infers accuracy only to the extent that is common in current geotechnical practice.

Soil types are described according to the predominating particle size and behaviour as set out in the attached soil classification table qualified by the grading of other particles present (eg. sandy clay) as set out below:

Soil Classification	Particle Size
Clay	< 0.002mm
Silt	0.002 to 0.075mm
Sand	0.075 to 2.36mm
Gravel	2.36 to 63mm
Cobbles	63 to 200mm
Boulders	> 200mm

Non-cohesive soils are classified on the basis of relative density, generally from the results of Standard Penetration Test (SPT) as below:

Relative Density	SPT 'N' Value (blows/300mm)
Very loose (VL)	< 4
Loose (L)	4 to 10
Medium dense (MD)	10 to 30
Dense (D)	30 to 50
Very Dense (VD)	> 50

Cohesive soils are classified on the basis of strength (consistency) either by use of a hand penetrometer, vane shear, laboratory testing and/or tactile engineering examination. The strength terms are defined as follows.

Classification	Unconfined Compressive Strength (kPa)	Indicative Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)
Very Soft (VS)	≤ 25	≤ 12
Soft (S)	> 25 and ≤ 50	> 12 and ≤ 25
Firm (F)	> 50 and ≤ 100	> 25 and ≤ 50
Stiff (St)	> 100 and ≤ 200	> 50 and ≤ 100
Very Stiff (VSt)	> 200 and ≤ 400	> 100 and ≤ 200
Hard (Hd)	> 400	> 200
Friable (Fr)	Strength not attainable – soil crumbles	

Rock types are classified by their geological names, together with descriptive terms regarding weathering, strength, defects, etc. Where relevant, further information regarding rock classification is given in the text of the report. In the Sydney Basin, 'shale' is used to describe fissile mudstone, with a weakness parallel to bedding. Rocks with alternating inter-laminations of different grain size (eg. siltstone/claystone and siltstone/fine grained sandstone) is referred to as 'laminite'.

SAMPLING

Sampling is carried out during drilling or from other excavations to allow engineering examination (and laboratory testing where required) of the soil or rock.

Disturbed samples taken during drilling provide information on plasticity, grain size, colour, moisture content, minor constituents and, depending upon the degree of disturbance, some information on strength and structure. Bulk samples are similar but of greater volume required for some test procedures.

Undisturbed samples are taken by pushing a thin-walled sample tube, usually 50mm diameter (known as a U50), into the soil and withdrawing it with a sample of the soil contained in a relatively undisturbed state. Such samples yield information on structure and strength, and are necessary for laboratory determination of shrink-swell behaviour, strength and compressibility. Undisturbed sampling is generally effective only in cohesive soils.

Details of the type and method of sampling used are given on the attached logs.

INVESTIGATION METHODS

The following is a brief summary of investigation methods currently adopted by the Company and some comments on their use and application. All methods except test pits, hand auger drilling and portable Dynamic Cone Penetrometers require the use of a mechanical rig which is commonly mounted on a truck chassis or track base.

Test Pits: These are normally excavated with a backhoe or a tracked excavator, allowing close examination of the insitu soils and 'weaker' bedrock if it is safe to descend into the pit. The depth of penetration is limited to about 3m for a backhoe and up to 6m for a large excavator. Limitations of test pits are the problems associated with disturbance and difficulty of reinstatement and the consequent effects on close-by structures. Care must be taken if construction is to be carried out near test pit locations to either properly recompact the backfill during construction or to design and construct the structure so as not to be adversely affected by poorly compacted backfill at the test pit location.

Hand Auger Drilling: A borehole of 50mm to 100mm diameter is advanced by manually operated equipment. Refusal of the hand auger can occur on a variety of materials such as obstructions within any fill, tree roots, hard clay, gravel or ironstone, cobbles and boulders, and does not necessarily indicate rock level.

Continuous Spiral Flight Augers: The borehole is advanced using 75mm to 115mm diameter continuous spiral flight augers, which are withdrawn at intervals to allow sampling and insitu testing. This is a relatively economical means of drilling in clays and in sands above the water table. Samples are returned to the surface by the flights or may be collected after withdrawal of the auger flights, but they can be very disturbed and layers may become mixed. Information from the auger sampling (as distinct from specific sampling by SPTs or undisturbed samples) is of limited reliability due to mixing or softening of samples by groundwater, or uncertainties as to the original depth of the samples. Augering below the groundwater table is of even lesser reliability than augering above the water table.

Rock Augering: Use can be made of a Tungsten Carbide (TC) bit for auger drilling into rock to indicate rock quality and continuity by variation in drilling resistance and from examination of recovered rock cuttings. This method of investigation is quick and relatively inexpensive but provides only an indication of the likely rock strength and predicted values may be in error by a strength order. Where rock strengths may have a significant impact on construction feasibility or costs, then further investigation by means of cored boreholes may be warranted.

Wash Boring: The borehole is usually advanced by a rotary bit, with water being pumped down the drill rods and returned up the annulus, carrying the drill cuttings. Only major changes in stratification can be assessed from the cuttings, together with some information from "feel" and rate of penetration.

Mud Stabilised Drilling: Either Wash Boring or Continuous Core Drilling can use drilling mud as a circulating fluid to stabilise the borehole. The term 'mud' encompasses a range of products ranging from bentonite to polymers. The mud tends to mask the cuttings and reliable identification is only possible from intermittent intact sampling (eg. from SPT and U50 samples) or from rock coring, etc.

Continuous Core Drilling: A continuous core sample is obtained using a diamond tipped core barrel. Provided full core recovery is achieved (which is not always possible in very low strength rocks and granular soils), this technique provides a very reliable (but relatively expensive) method of investigation. In rocks, NMLC or HQ triple tube core barrels, which give a core of about 50mm and 61mm diameter, respectively, is usually used with water flush. The length of core recovered is compared to the length drilled and any length not recovered is shown as NO CORE. The location of NO CORE recovery is determined on site by the supervising engineer; where the location is uncertain, the loss is placed at the bottom of the drill run.

Standard Penetration Tests: Standard Penetration Tests (SPT) are used mainly in non-cohesive soils, but can also be used in cohesive soils, as a means of indicating density or strength and also of obtaining a relatively undisturbed sample. The test procedure is described in Australian Standard 1289.6.3.1–2004 (R2016) *'Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes, Soil Strength and Consolidation Tests – Determination of the Penetration Resistance of a Soil – Standard Penetration Test (SPT)'*.

The test is carried out in a borehole by driving a 50mm diameter split sample tube with a tapered shoe, under the impact of a 63.5kg hammer with a free fall of 760mm. It is normal for the tube to be driven in three successive 150mm increments and the 'N' value is taken as the number of blows for the last 300mm. In dense sands, very hard clays or weak rock, the full 450mm penetration may not be practicable and the test is discontinued.

The test results are reported in the following form:

- In the case where full penetration is obtained with successive blow counts for each 150mm of, say, 4, 6 and 7 blows, as

N = 13
4, 6, 7

- In a case where the test is discontinued short of full penetration, say after 15 blows for the first 150mm and 30 blows for the next 40mm, as

N > 30
15, 30/40mm

The results of the test can be related empirically to the engineering properties of the soil.

A modification to the SPT is where the same driving system is used with a solid 60° tipped steel cone of the same diameter as the SPT hollow sampler. The solid cone can be continuously driven for some distance in soft clays or loose sands, or may be used where damage would otherwise occur to the SPT. The results of this Solid Cone Penetration Test (SCPT) are shown as 'N_c' on the borehole logs, together with the number of blows per 150mm penetration.

Cone Penetrometer Testing (CPT) and Interpretation:

The cone penetrometer is sometimes referred to as a Dutch Cone. The test is described in Australian Standard 1289.6.5.1–1999 (R2013) *'Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes, Soil Strength and Consolidation Tests – Determination of the Static Cone Penetration Resistance of a Soil – Field Test using a Mechanical and Electrical Cone or Friction-Cone Penetrometer'*.

In the tests, a 35mm or 44mm diameter rod with a conical tip is pushed continuously into the soil, the reaction being provided by a specially designed truck or rig which is fitted with a hydraulic ram system. Measurements are made of the end bearing resistance on the cone and the frictional resistance on a separate 134mm or 165mm long sleeve, immediately behind the cone. Transducers in the tip of the assembly are electrically connected by wires passing through the centre of the push rods to an amplifier and recorder unit mounted on the control truck. The CPT does not provide soil sample recovery.

As penetration occurs (at a rate of approximately 20mm per second), the information is output as incremental digital records every 10mm. The results given in this report have been plotted from the digital data.

The information provided on the charts comprise:

- Cone resistance – the actual end bearing force divided by the cross sectional area of the cone – expressed in MPa. There are two scales presented for the cone resistance. The lower scale has a range of 0 to 5MPa and the main scale has a range of 0 to 50MPa. For cone resistance values less than 5MPa, the plot will appear on both scales.
- Sleeve friction – the frictional force on the sleeve divided by the surface area – expressed in kPa.
- Friction ratio – the ratio of sleeve friction to cone resistance, expressed as a percentage.

The ratios of the sleeve resistance to cone resistance will vary with the type of soil encountered, with higher relative friction in clays than in sands. Friction ratios of 1% to 2% are commonly encountered in sands and occasionally very soft clays, rising to 4% to 10% in stiff clays and peats. Soil descriptions based on cone resistance and friction ratios are only inferred and must not be considered as exact.

Correlations between CPT and SPT values can be developed for both sands and clays but may be site specific.

Interpretation of CPT values can be made to empirically derive modulus or compressibility values to allow calculation of foundation settlements.

Stratification can be inferred from the cone and friction traces and from experience and information from nearby boreholes etc. Where shown, this information is presented for general guidance, but must be regarded as interpretive. The test method provides a continuous profile of engineering properties but, where precise information on soil classification is required, direct drilling and sampling may be preferable.

There are limitations when using the CPT in that it may not penetrate obstructions within any fill, thick layers of hard clay and very dense sand, gravel and weathered bedrock. Normally a 'dummy' cone is pushed through fill to protect the equipment. No information is recorded by the 'dummy' probe.

Flat Dilatometer Test: The flat dilatometer (DMT), also known as the Marchetti Dilometer comprises a stainless steel blade having a flat, circular steel membrane mounted flush on one side.

The blade is connected to a control unit at ground surface by a pneumatic-electrical tube running through the insertion rods. A gas tank, connected to the control unit by a pneumatic cable, supplies the gas pressure required to expand the membrane. The control unit is equipped with a pressure regulator, pressure gauges, an audio-visual signal and vent valves.

The blade is advanced into the ground using our CPT rig or one of our drilling rigs, and can be driven into the ground using an SPT hammer. As soon as the blade is in place, the membrane is inflated, and the pressure required to lift the membrane (approximately 0.1mm) is recorded. The pressure then required to lift the centre of the membrane by an additional 1mm is recorded. The membrane is then deflated before pushing to the next depth increment, usually 200mm down. The pressure readings are corrected for membrane stiffness.

The DMT is used to measure material index (I_D), horizontal stress index (K_D), and dilatometer modulus (E_D). Using established correlations, the DMT results can also be used to assess the 'at rest' earth pressure coefficient (K_0), over-consolidation ratio (OCR), undrained shear strength (C_u), friction angle (ϕ), coefficient of consolidation (C_h), coefficient of permeability (K_h), unit weight (γ), and vertical drained constrained modulus (M).

The seismic dilatometer (SDMT) is the combination of the DMT with an add-on seismic module for the measurement of shear wave velocity (V_s). Using established correlations, the SDMT results can also be used to assess the small strain modulus (G_0).

Portable Dynamic Cone Penetrometers: Portable Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) tests are carried out by driving a 16mm diameter rod with a 20mm diameter cone end with a 9kg hammer dropping 510mm. The test is described in Australian Standard 1289.6.3.2–1997 (R2013) *'Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes, Soil Strength and Consolidation Tests – Determination of the Penetration Resistance of a Soil – 9kg Dynamic Cone Penetrometer Test'*.

The results are used to assess the relative compaction of fill, the relative density of granular soils, and the strength of cohesive soils. Using established correlations, the DCP test results can also be used to assess California Bearing Ratio (CBR).

Refusal of the DCP can occur on a variety of materials such as obstructions within any fill, tree roots, hard clay, gravel or ironstone, cobbles and boulders, and does not necessarily indicate rock level.

Vane Shear Test: The vane shear test is used to measure the undrained shear strength (C_u) of typically very soft to firm fine grained cohesive soils. The vane shear is normally performed in the bottom of a borehole, but can be completed from surface level, the bottom and sides of test pits, and on recovered undisturbed tube samples (when using a hand vane).

The vane comprises four rectangular blades arranged in the form of a cross on the end of a thin rod, which is coupled to the bottom of a drill rod string when used in a borehole. The size of the vane is dependent on the strength of the fine grained cohesive soils; that is, larger vanes are normally used for very low strength soils. For borehole testing, the size of the vane can be limited by the size of the casing that is used.

For testing inside a borehole, a device is used at the top of the casing, which suspends the vane and rods so that they do not sink under self-weight into the 'soft' soils beyond the depth at which the test is to be carried out. A calibrated torque head is used to rotate the rods and vane and to measure the resistance of the vane to rotation.

With the vane in position, torque is applied to cause rotation of the vane at a constant rate. A rate of 6° per minute is the common rotation rate. Rotation is continued until the soil is sheared and the maximum torque has been recorded. This value is then used to calculate the undrained shear strength. The vane is then rotated rapidly a number of times and the operation repeated until a constant torque reading is obtained. This torque value is used to calculate the remoulded shear strength. Where appropriate, friction on the vane rods is measured and taken into account in the shear strength calculation.

LOGS

The borehole or test pit logs presented herein are an engineering and/or geological interpretation of the subsurface conditions, and their reliability will depend to some extent on the frequency of sampling and the method of drilling or excavation. Ideally, continuous undisturbed sampling or core drilling will enable the most reliable assessment, but is not always practicable or possible to justify on economic grounds. In any case, the boreholes or test pits represent only a very small sample of the total subsurface conditions.

The terms and symbols used in preparation of the logs are defined in the following pages.

Interpretation of the information shown on the logs, and its application to design and construction, should therefore take into account the spacing of boreholes or test pits, the method of drilling or excavation, the frequency of sampling and testing and the possibility of other than 'straight line' variations between the boreholes or test pits. Subsurface conditions between boreholes or test pits may vary significantly from conditions encountered at the borehole or test pit locations.

GROUNDWATER

Where groundwater levels are measured in boreholes, there are several potential problems:

- Although groundwater may be present, in low permeability soils it may enter the hole slowly or perhaps not at all during the time it is left open.
- A localised perched water table may lead to an erroneous indication of the true water table.
- Water table levels will vary from time to time with seasons or recent weather changes and may not be the same at the time of construction.
- The use of water or mud as a drilling fluid will mask any groundwater inflow. Water has to be blown out of the hole and drilling mud must be washed out of the hole or 'reverted' chemically if reliable water observations are to be made.

More reliable measurements can be made by installing standpipes which are read after the groundwater level has stabilised at intervals ranging from several days to perhaps weeks for low permeability soils. Piezometers, sealed in a particular stratum, may be advisable in low permeability soils or where there may be interference from perched water tables or surface water.

FILL

The presence of fill materials can often be determined only by the inclusion of foreign objects (eg. bricks, steel, etc) or by distinctly unusual colour, texture or fabric. Identification of the extent of fill materials will also depend on investigation methods and frequency. Where natural soils similar to those at the site are used for fill, it may be difficult with limited testing and sampling to reliably assess the extent of the fill.

The presence of fill materials is usually regarded with caution as the possible variation in density, strength and material type is much greater than with natural soil deposits. Consequently, there is an increased risk of adverse engineering characteristics or behaviour. If the volume and quality of fill is of importance to a project, then frequent test pit excavations are preferable to boreholes.

LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing is normally carried out in accordance with Australian Standard 1289 'Methods of Testing Soils for Engineering Purposes' or appropriate NSW Government Roads & Maritime Services (RMS) test methods. Details of the test procedure used are given on the individual report forms.

ENGINEERING REPORTS

Engineering reports are prepared by qualified personnel and are based on the information obtained and on current engineering standards of interpretation and analysis. Where the report has been prepared for a specific design proposal (eg. a three storey building) the information and interpretation may not be relevant if the design proposal is changed (eg. to a twenty storey building). If this happens, the Company will be pleased to review the report and the sufficiency of the investigation work.

Reasonable care is taken with the report as it relates to interpretation of subsurface conditions, discussion of geotechnical aspects and recommendations or suggestions for design and construction. However, the Company cannot always anticipate or assume responsibility for:

- Unexpected variations in ground conditions – the potential for this will be partially dependent on borehole spacing and sampling frequency as well as investigation technique.
- Changes in policy or interpretation of policy by statutory authorities.
- The actions of persons or contractors responding to commercial pressures.
- Details of the development that the Company could not reasonably be expected to anticipate.

If these occur, the Company will be pleased to assist with investigation or advice to resolve any problems occurring.

SITE ANOMALIES

In the event that conditions encountered on site during construction appear to vary from those which were expected from the information contained in the report, the Company requests that it immediately be notified. Most problems are much more readily resolved when conditions are exposed rather than at some later stage, well after the event.

REPRODUCTION OF INFORMATION FOR CONTRACTUAL PURPOSES

Where information obtained from this investigation is provided for tendering purposes, it is recommended that all information, including the written report and discussion, be made available. In circumstances where the discussion or comments section is not relevant to the contractual situation, it may be appropriate to prepare a specially edited document. The Company would

be pleased to assist in this regard and/or to make additional report copies available for contract purposes at a nominal charge.

Copyright in all documents (such as drawings, borehole or test pit logs, reports and specifications) provided by the Company shall remain the property of Jeffery and Katauskas Pty Ltd. Subject to the payment of all fees due, the Client alone shall have a licence to use the documents provided for the sole purpose of completing the project to which they relate. Licence to use the documents may be revoked without notice if the Client is in breach of any obligation to make a payment to us.

REVIEW OF DESIGN

Where major civil or structural developments are proposed or where only a limited investigation has been completed or where the geotechnical conditions/constraints are quite complex, it is prudent to have a joint design review which involves an experienced geotechnical engineer/engineering geologist.

SITE INSPECTION

The Company will always be pleased to provide engineering inspection services for geotechnical aspects of work to which this report is related.

Requirements could range from:

- i) a site visit to confirm that conditions exposed are no worse than those interpreted, to
- ii) a visit to assist the contractor or other site personnel in identifying various soil/rock types and appropriate footing or pile founding depths, or
- iii) full time engineering presence on site.

SYMBOL LEGENDS

SOIL



FILL



TOPSOIL



CLAY (CL, CI, CH)



SILT (ML, MH)



SAND (SP, SW)



GRAVEL (GP, GW)



SANDY CLAY (CL, CI, CH)



SILTY CLAY (CL, CI, CH)



CLAYEY SAND (SC)



SILTY SAND (SM)



GRAVELLY CLAY (CL, CI, CH)



CLAYEY GRAVEL (GC)



SANDY SILT (ML, MH)



PEAT AND HIGHLY ORGANIC SOILS (Pt)

ROCK



CONGLOMERATE



SANDSTONE



SHALE/MUDSTONE



SILTSTONE



CLAYSTONE



COAL



LAMINITE



LIMESTONE



PHYLLITE, SCHIST



TUFF



GRANITE, GABBRO



DOLERITE, DIORITE



BASALT, ANDESITE



QUARTZITE

OTHER MATERIALS



BRICKS OR PAVERS



CONCRETE



ASPHALTIC CONCRETE

CLASSIFICATION OF COARSE AND FINE GRAINED SOILS

Major Divisions	Group Symbol	Typical Names	Field Classification of Sand and Gravel	Laboratory Classification	
Coarse grained soil (more than 65% of soil excluding oversize fraction is greater than 0.075mm)	GRAVEL (more than half of coarse fraction is larger than 2.36mm)	GW	Gravel and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Wide range in grain size and substantial amounts of all intermediate sizes, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength	≤ 5% fines $C_u > 4$ $1 < C_c < 3$
		GP	Gravel and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines, uniform gravels	Predominantly one size or range of sizes with some intermediate sizes missing, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength	≤ 5% fines Fails to comply with above
		GM	Gravel-silt mixtures and gravel-sand-silt mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of non-plastic fines, zero to medium dry strength	≥ 12% fines, fines are silty Fines behave as silt
		GC	Gravel-clay mixtures and gravel-sand-clay mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of plastic fines, medium to high dry strength	≥ 12% fines, fines are clayey Fines behave as clay
	SAND (more than half of coarse fraction is smaller than 2.36mm)	SW	Sand and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Wide range in grain size and substantial amounts of all intermediate sizes, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength	≤ 5% fines $C_u > 6$ $1 < C_c < 3$
		SP	Sand and gravel-sand mixtures, little or no fines	Predominantly one size or range of sizes with some intermediate sizes missing, not enough fines to bind coarse grains, no dry strength	≤ 5% fines Fails to comply with above
		SM	Sand-silt mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of non-plastic fines, zero to medium dry strength	≥ 12% fines, fines are silty N/A
		SC	Sand-clay mixtures	'Dirty' materials with excess of plastic fines, medium to high dry strength	≥ 12% fines, fines are clayey N/A

Laboratory Classification Criteria

A well graded coarse grained soil is one for which the coefficient of uniformity $C_u > 4$ and the coefficient of curvature $1 < C_c < 3$. Otherwise, the soil is poorly graded. These coefficients are given by:

$$C_u = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}} \quad \text{and} \quad C_c = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} D_{60}}$$


Where D_{10} , D_{30} and D_{60} are those grain sizes for which 10%, 30% and 60% of the soil grains, respectively, are smaller.

NOTES:

- For a coarse grained soil with a fines content between 5% and 12%, the soil is given a dual classification comprising the two group symbols separated by a dash; for example, for a poorly graded gravel with between 5% and 12% silt fines, the classification is GP-GM.
- Where the grading is determined from laboratory tests, it is defined by coefficients of curvature (C_c) and uniformity (C_u) derived from the particle size distribution curve.
- Clay soils with liquid limits $> 35\%$ and $\leq 50\%$ may be classified as being of medium plasticity.
- The U line on the Modified Casagrande Chart is an approximate upper bound for most natural soils.

Major Divisions		Group Symbol	Typical Names	Field Classification of Silt and Clay			Laboratory Classification
				Dry Strength	Dilatancy	Toughness	% < 0.075mm
ine grained soils (more than 35% of soil excluding oversize fraction is less than 0.075mm)	SILT and CLAY (low to medium plasticity)	ML	Inorganic silt and very fine sand, rock flour, silty or clayey fine sand or silt with low plasticity	None to low	Slow to rapid	Low	Below A line
		CL, CI	Inorganic clay of low to medium plasticity, gravelly clay, sandy clay	Medium to high	None to slow	Medium	Above A line
		OL	Organic silt	Low to medium	Slow	Low	Below A line
	SILT and CLAY (high plasticity)	MH	Inorganic silt	Low to medium	None to slow	Low to medium	Below A line
		CH	Inorganic clay of high plasticity	High to very high	None	High	Above A line
		OH	Organic clay of medium to high plasticity, organic silt	Medium to high	None to very slow	Low to medium	Below A line
	Highly organic soil	Pt	Peat, highly organic soil	–	–	–	–

Modified Casagrande Chart for Classifying Silts and Clays according to their Behaviour



LOG SYMBOLS

Log Column	Symbol	Definition																	
Groundwater Record	▼	Standing water level. Time delay following completion of drilling/excavation may be shown.																	
	C	Extent of borehole/test pit collapse shortly after drilling/excavation.																	
	▶	Groundwater seepage into borehole or test pit noted during drilling or excavation.																	
Samples	ES	Sample taken over depth indicated, for environmental analysis.																	
	U50	Undisturbed 50mm diameter tube sample taken over depth indicated.																	
	DB	Bulk disturbed sample taken over depth indicated.																	
	DS	Small disturbed bag sample taken over depth indicated.																	
	ASB	Soil sample taken over depth indicated, for asbestos analysis.																	
	ASS	Soil sample taken over depth indicated, for acid sulfate soil analysis.																	
	SAL	Soil sample taken over depth indicated, for salinity analysis.																	
Field Tests	N = 17 4, 7, 10	Standard Penetration Test (SPT) performed between depths indicated by lines. Individual figures show blows per 150mm penetration. 'Refusal' refers to apparent hammer refusal within the corresponding 150mm depth increment.																	
	N _c = 5 7 3R	Solid Cone Penetration Test (SCPT) performed between depths indicated by lines. Individual figures show blows per 150mm penetration for 60° solid cone driven by SPT hammer. 'R' refers to apparent hammer refusal within the corresponding 150mm depth increment.																	
	VNS = 25	Vane shear reading in kPa of undrained shear strength.																	
	PID = 100	Photoionisation detector reading in ppm (soil sample headspace test).																	
Moisture Condition (Fine Grained Soils) (Coarse Grained Soils)	w > PL	Moisture content estimated to be greater than plastic limit.																	
	w ≈ PL	Moisture content estimated to be approximately equal to plastic limit.																	
	w < PL	Moisture content estimated to be less than plastic limit.																	
	w ≈ LL	Moisture content estimated to be near liquid limit.																	
	w > LL	Moisture content estimated to be wet of liquid limit.																	
	D	DRY – runs freely through fingers.																	
	M	MOIST – does not run freely but no free water visible on soil surface.																	
	W	WET – free water visible on soil surface.																	
Strength (Consistency) Cohesive Soils	VS	VERY SOFT – unconfined compressive strength ≤ 25kPa.																	
	S	SOFT – unconfined compressive strength > 25kPa and ≤ 50kPa.																	
	F	FIRM – unconfined compressive strength > 50kPa and ≤ 100kPa.																	
	St	STIFF – unconfined compressive strength > 100kPa and ≤ 200kPa.																	
	VSt	VERY STIFF – unconfined compressive strength > 200kPa and ≤ 400kPa.																	
	Hd	HARD – unconfined compressive strength > 400kPa.																	
	Fr	FRIABLE – strength not attainable, soil crumbles.																	
	()	Bracketed symbol indicates estimated consistency based on tactile examination or other assessment.																	
Density Index/ Relative Density (Cohesionless Soils)	VL	VERY LOOSE																	
	L	LOOSE																	
	MD	MEDIUM DENSE																	
	D	DENSE																	
	VD	VERY DENSE																	
	()	Bracketed symbol indicates estimated density based on ease of drilling or other assessment.																	
		<table> <tr> <th></th><th>Density Index (I_D) Range (%)</th><th>SPT 'N' Value Range (Blows/300mm)</th></tr> <tr> <td>VL</td><td>≤ 15</td><td>0 – 4</td></tr> <tr> <td>L</td><td>> 15 and ≤ 35</td><td>4 – 10</td></tr> <tr> <td>MD</td><td>> 35 and ≤ 65</td><td>10 – 30</td></tr> <tr> <td>D</td><td>> 65 and ≤ 85</td><td>30 – 50</td></tr> <tr> <td>VD</td><td>> 85</td><td>> 50</td></tr> </table>		Density Index (I _D) Range (%)	SPT 'N' Value Range (Blows/300mm)	VL	≤ 15	0 – 4	L	> 15 and ≤ 35	4 – 10	MD	> 35 and ≤ 65	10 – 30	D	> 65 and ≤ 85	30 – 50	VD	> 85
	Density Index (I _D) Range (%)	SPT 'N' Value Range (Blows/300mm)																	
VL	≤ 15	0 – 4																	
L	> 15 and ≤ 35	4 – 10																	
MD	> 35 and ≤ 65	10 – 30																	
D	> 65 and ≤ 85	30 – 50																	
VD	> 85	> 50																	
Hand Penetrometer Readings	300 250	Measures reading in kPa of unconfined compressive strength. Numbers indicate individual test results on representative undisturbed material unless noted otherwise.																	



Log Column	Symbol	Definition
Remarks	'V' bit	Hardened steel 'V' shaped bit.
	'TC' bit	Twin pronged tungsten carbide bit.
	T_{60}	Penetration of auger string in mm under static load of rig applied by drill head hydraulics without rotation of augers.
	Soil Origin	The geological origin of the soil can generally be described as:
	RESIDUAL	– soil formed directly from insitu weathering of the underlying rock. No visible structure or fabric of the parent rock.
	EXTREMELY WEATHERED	– soil formed directly from insitu weathering of the underlying rock. Material is of soil strength but retains the structure and/or fabric of the parent rock.
	ALLUVIAL	– soil deposited by creeks and rivers.
	ESTUARINE	– soil deposited in coastal estuaries, including sediments caused by inflowing creeks and rivers, and tidal currents.
	MARINE	– soil deposited in a marine environment.
	AEOLIAN	– soil carried and deposited by wind.
	COLLUVIAL	– soil and rock debris transported downslope by gravity, with or without the assistance of flowing water. Colluvium is usually a thick deposit formed from a landslide. The description 'slopewash' is used for thinner surficial deposits.
	LITTORAL	– beach deposited soil.

Classification of Material Weathering

Term		Abbreviation		Definition
Residual Soil		RS		Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are no longer visible, but the soil has not been significantly transported.
Extremely Weathered		XW		Material is weathered to such an extent that it has soil properties. Mass structure and material texture and fabric of original rock are still visible.
Highly Weathered	Distinctly Weathered (Note 1)	HW	DW	The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable. Rock strength is significantly changed by weathering. Some primary minerals have weathered to clay minerals. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores.
Moderately Weathered		MW		The whole of the rock material is discoloured, usually by iron staining or bleaching to the extent that the colour of the original rock is not recognisable, but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Slightly Weathered		SW		Rock is partially discoloured with staining or bleaching along joints but shows little or no change of strength from fresh rock.
Fresh		FR		Rock shows no sign of decomposition of individual minerals or colour changes.

NOTE 1: The term 'Distinctly Weathered' is used where it is not practicable to distinguish between 'Highly Weathered' and 'Moderately Weathered' rock. 'Distinctly Weathered' is defined as follows: 'Rock strength usually changed by weathering. The rock may be highly discoloured, usually by iron staining. Porosity may be increased by leaching, or may be decreased due to deposition of weathering products in pores'. There is some change in rock strength.

Rock Material Strength Classification

Term	Abbreviation	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (MPa)	Guide to Strength	
			Point Load Strength Index $Is_{(50)}$ (MPa)	Field Assessment
Very Low Strength	VL	0.6 to 2	0.03 to 0.1	Material crumbles under firm blows with sharp end of pick; can be peeled with knife; too hard to cut a triaxial sample by hand. Pieces up to 30mm thick can be broken by finger pressure.
Low Strength	L	2 to 6	0.1 to 0.3	Easily scored with a knife; indentations 1mm to 3mm show in the specimen with firm blows of the pick point; has dull sound under hammer. A piece of core 150mm long by 50mm diameter may be broken by hand. Sharp edges of core may be friable and break during handling.
Medium Strength	M	6 to 20	0.3 to 1	Scored with a knife; a piece of core 150mm long by 50mm diameter can be broken by hand with difficulty.
High Strength	H	20 to 60	1 to 3	A piece of core 150mm long by 50mm diameter cannot be broken by hand but can be broken by a pick with a single firm blow; rock rings under hammer.
Very High Strength	VH	60 to 200	3 to 10	Hand specimen breaks with pick after more than one blow; rock rings under hammer.
Extremely High Strength	EH	> 200	> 10	Specimen requires many blows with geological pick to break through intact material; rock rings under hammer.

Abbreviations Used in Defect Description

Cored Borehole Log Column	Symbol Abbreviation	Description
Point Load Strength Index	• 0.6	Axial point load strength index test result (MPa)
	x 0.6	Diametral point load strength index test result (MPa)
Defect Details – Type	Be	Parting – bedding or cleavage
	CS	Clay seam
	Cr	Crushed/sheared seam or zone
	J	Joint
	Jh	Healed joint
	Ji	Incipient joint
	XWS	Extremely weathered seam
	Degrees	Defect orientation is measured relative to normal to the core axis (ie. relative to the horizontal for a vertical borehole)
	P	Planar
	C	Curved
	Un	Undulating
	St	Stepped
	Ir	Irregular
	Vr	Very rough
	R	Rough
	S	Smooth
	Po	Polished
	Sl	Slickensided
	Ca	Calcite
	Cb	Carbonaceous
	Clay	Clay
	Fe	Iron
	Qz	Quartz
	Py	Pyrite
	Cn	Clean
	Sn	Stained – no visible coating, surface is discoloured
	Vn	Veneer – visible, too thin to measure, may be patchy
	Ct	Coating ≤ 1mm thick
	Filled	Coating > 1mm thick
	mm.t	Defect thickness measured in millimetres



APPENDIX A

**Borehole Log 1 From Our Previous
Geotechnical Investigation Report (Ref. 16988WArpt1)
Dated 30 September 2002**

Borehole No.

1 1/1

BOREHOLE LOG

Client: PATTERSON BRITTON & PARTNERS PTY LTD
Project: PROPOSED SEAWALL STABILISATION WORKS
Location: SOUTH CURL CURL BEACH

Job No. 16988WA
Date: 25-7-02

Method: SPIRAL AUGER
JK250

R.L. Surface: \approx 6.2m
Datum: AHD

Logged/Checked by: A.M./ *AG*

Groundwater Record	SAMPLES			Field Tests	Depth (m)	Graphic Log	Unified Classification	DESCRIPTION	Moisture Condition/ Weathering	Strength/ Rel. Density	Hand Penetrometer Readings (kPa.)	Remarks
	ES	U50	DB									
					0		SP	CONCRETE: 70mm.t. SAND: fine to medium grained, yellow brown.	M	VL	-	NO OBSERVED REINFORCEMENT
				N = 2 1,1,1	1							
				N = 8 1,3,5	2					L		
				N = 12 6,6,6	3					MD		
				N = 25 4,10,15	4			SAND: fine to medium grained, light brown, with a trace of silt fines.	W			
				N = 29 11,17,12	6		SC	CLAYEY SAND: fine to coarse grained, light grey, with a trace of fine to medium grained quartz gravel.				50mm. DIAMETER SLOTTED PVC STANDPIPE INSTALLED TO 5.75m DEPTH
					7			END OF BOREHOLE AT 6.45m				

AFTER
30 MINS