

**Application Number:** 

**Development Permissible:** 

Land and Environment Court Action:

**Existing Use Rights:** 

**Consent Authority:** 

Owner:

## **APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Mod2021/0421

Beenensible Officer	Adam Mitchell			
Responsible Officer:	Adam Milcheil			
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 2 DP 221631, 78 Hudson Parade CLAREVILLE NSW 2107			
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2019/0152 granted for Demolition Works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool and refurbishment of existing boatshed			
Zoning:	E4 Environmental Living			

Northern Beaches Council

Pamela Sarah Nemeny Samantha Kate Nemeny

No

Nil

Approval

Applicant:	Marker Architecture & Design Pty Ltd	
Application Lodged:	24/06/2021	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions	
Notified:	02/07/2021 to 16/07/2021	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	

# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This Section 4.55(1A) Modification Application seeks to amend the approved development application DA019/0152 in the following ways:

additional skylights;

Clause 4.6 Variation: Recommendation:

- addition of west facing window on first floor;
- addition of west facing window on ground floor;
- removal of first floor fireplace walls and southern exterior stone walls;
- replacement of stacked stone chimney with flue;
- removal of northern window on first floor;
- removal of door to drying area on first floor;

MOD2021/0421 Page 1 of 16



- removal of existing tree #21 due to poor / declining health;
- replacement proposed door D02 and window W01 with a sliding door; and
- addition of storage cupboard on the eastern balcony.

Herein this report these works are described as the 'modifications'.

#### **ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION**

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
  to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
  Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

#### SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

There are no assessment issues.

#### SITE DESCRIPTION

Lot 2 DP 221631 , 78 Hudson Parade CLAREVILLE NSW 2107			
The subject site consists of one (1) allotment identified as Lot 2 in DP 221631 and is located on the western side of Hudson Parade, Clareville.			
The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 29.8m along Hudson Parade and a depth of 106.7m. The site has a surveyed area of 1366m².			
The site is located within the E4 Environmental Living zone and is currently a construction site with an existing boatshed located within the south western corner of the site.			
The site has a cross fall from east to west (front to rear) of approximately 17.2m.			

MOD2021/0421 Page 2 of 16



Landscaping on site consists of native vegetation to be retained as part of DA2019/0152. No threatened species have been identified on site.

# **Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development**

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by predominantly two and three storey residential dwellings of varying architectural styles, located within similar sized, landscaped allotments.





#### SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

## Mod2020/0343

Modification of consent DA2019/0152 to modify the approved built form and driveway. Approved 16 September 2020.

#### Mod2020/0337

Modification of consent DA2019/0152 to correct a minor error relating to condition no. 14 Estuarine Planning Level Requirements.

Approved 21 August 2020.

# DA2019/0152

Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool and refurbishment of existing boat shed.

Approved 23 August 2019.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)**

MOD2021/0421 Page 3 of 16



The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2019/0152, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act. 1979. are:

Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
A consent authority may, on application	n being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to nt authority and subject to and in accordance with the
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact for the following reasons:  • the modifications seek for minor aperture
	<ul> <li>modifications to the existing built form which have no environmental impact;</li> <li>the modifications seek approval for the removal of a tree which was to be retained. The applicant has demonstrated that significant efforts have been made to retain this tree, however during demolition and construction phase the subject trees health has declined to the point that it cannot be retained. The removal of this tree is supported by Council's Landscape Officers as detailed elsewhere in this report.</li> </ul>
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2019/0152 for the following reasons:
and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	<ul> <li>the modifications do not alter the approved building footprint, envelope, height or layout;</li> <li>the modifications do not materially alter the appearance of the building in a fashion that would be discernible from the street or Pittwater waterway.</li> </ul>

MOD2021/0421 Page 4 of 16



Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000,
(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,	Pittwater Local Environment Plan 2014 and Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan.
or	
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

## **Section 4.15 Assessment**

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development

MOD2021/0421 Page 5 of 16



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments	
Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.	
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.	
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.	
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.	
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.	
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.	
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report.	
the locality	(ii) <b>Social Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.	
	(iii) <b>Economic Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.	
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.	
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.	
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.	

# **EXISTING USE RIGHTS**

MOD2021/0421 Page 6 of 16



Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

## **BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND**

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

## **NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 02/07/2021 to 16/07/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

#### **REFERRALS**

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Landscape Officer	This application is for the modification of a previously approved development application. Proposed modifications are largely contained within the previously approved dwelling and include changes to windows and alterations of the fireplace. Modifications that relate to landscape include the removal of existing Tree No. 21.
	An Arboricultural Impact Assessment has been provided with the application, which has recommended the removal of Tree No. 21, a large locally native canopy tree, due to it being in poor health and condition. It is noted that a total of twenty six site visits have occurred by the Project Arborist, which is clearly detailed within the schedule provided in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment. Clear efforts have been made to retain Tree No. 21 throughout construction, however as a result of construction works, it is now experiencing decline, and as a result has been recommended for removal.
	Due to this decline in health, the removal of this tree, based on the Arborists recommendations, is supported. In order to compensate the removal of this tree, a replacement tree of the same species is required to be planted within the same approximate location. This replacement tree is to be planted a minimum 3m away from existing and proposed structures, as well as other trees.
	Concern is raised regarding the proposed roof plan, drawing number \$4.55.01, as this shows a large concrete area within close proximity to an existing tree, identified as Tree No. 34, towards the west of the site. This area of concern is highlighted with a revision number 10, however the description within the modification index this does not correlate to the works depicted. It should also be noted that the detailed plan of this area, drawing number \$4.55.05, does not show this large concrete area as depicted in the roof plan. For clarity, works within this area are to be in accordance with \$4.55.05, as these are largely the same as previously approved plans.
	The landscape component of the proposal is therefore supported,

MOD2021/0421 Page 7 of 16



Internal Referral Body	Comments			
	subject to compensatory planting to replace trees approved for removal.			

# **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)\***

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

# State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

#### **SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land**

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

#### SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. 970616S\_03 dated 16 June 2021).

The BASIX Certificate indicates that the development will achieve the following:

Commitment	Required Target	Proposed	
Water	40	40	
Thermal Comfort	Pass	Pass	
Energy	50	85	

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

#### SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

#### 13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

MOD2021/0421 Page 8 of 16



- (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
  - (a) the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,
  - (b) coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,
  - (c) the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,
  - (d) marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,
  - (e) existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
  - (f) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
  - (g) the use of the surf zone.

# Comment:

Due to the minor nature of the proposal which remains substantially the same as that already approved, it is considered that the development is unlikely to alter or cause adverse impact to the abovementioned characteristics of the coastal environment.

- (2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:
  - (a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or
  - (b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
  - (c) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.

#### Comment:

With regard to the proposed modifications, it is considered the development remains designed, sited and will be managed to avoid any adverse impact on the cultural and environmental aspects referred to in subclause (1).

#### 14 Development on land within the coastal use area

(1)

- (a) has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
  - (i) existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
  - (ii) overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to foreshores,
  - (iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,
  - (iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
  - (v) cultural and built environment heritage, and

MOD2021/0421 Page 9 of 16



- (b) is satisfied that:
  - (i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or
  - (ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
  - (iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and
- (c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

#### Comment:

The proposed modifications are minor and considered unlikely to cause additional or adverse impact on existing access, amenity, views or cultural characteristics than that already approved. Council is satisfied that the development remains designed, sited and managed to avoid an adverse impact to that referred to in paragraph (a) and that the modifications consider the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

As such, it is considered that the application does comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

# 15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

#### Comment:

Given the minor nature of the proposed modifications, the proposed development is not likely to cause an increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

#### Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

#### Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	6.85m (Dwelling) 7.9m (Flue)	Unaltered	N/A	Yes

# Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
1.9A Suspension of covenants, agreements and instruments	Yes

MOD2021/0421 Page 10 of 16



Clause	Compliance with Requirements
2.7 Demolition requires development consent	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
5.7 Development below mean high water mark	Yes
5.10 Heritage conservation	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.6 Biodiversity protection	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.8 Limited development on foreshore area	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

# Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

# **Built Form Controls**

Built Form Control	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	Carport - 4m Dwelling - 24.7m	Unaltered Unaltered	No (Approved under DA2019/0152) Yes
Rear building line	Foreshore building line applies	N/A	N/A	N/A
Side building line	2.5m - North	Dwelling - 2.5m Concrete edge beam - 2.3m Carport - 2.5m	Unaltered Unaltered Unaltered	Yes No (Approved under DA2019/0152) Yes
	1m - South	Dwelling - 1m Concrete edge beam - 0.9m Retaining wall - 1.2m Swimming pool - 1.2m Existing boat shed - 0.7m Caport - 0.9m	Unaltered Unaltered Deleted Unaltered Unaltered Unaltered	Yes No (Approved under DA2019/0152) Yes Yes No (Existing structure) No (Approved under DA2019/0152)
Building envelope	3.5m - North	Outside envelope Encroachment of between nil and 2.4m over a length of 11.2m	Unaltered	No (Approved under DA2019/0152)
	3.5m - South	Outside envelope Encroachment of between nil and 2.5m over a length of 10.8m	Unaltered	No (Approved under DA2019/0152)
Landscaped area	60% (819.6m²)	54.6% (745.7m²)	Unaltered	No (approved under DA2019/0152)

MOD2021/0421 Page 11 of 16



# **Compliance Assessment**

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.1 Avalon Beach Locality	Yes	Yes
B1.3 Heritage Conservation - General	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B3.6 Contaminated Land and Potentially Contaminated Land	Yes	Yes
B3.7 Estuarine Hazard - Low density residential	Yes	Yes
B4.7 Pittwater Spotted Gum Forest - Endangered Ecological Community	Yes	Yes
B4.15 Saltmarsh Endangered Ecological Community	Yes	Yes
B4.16 Seagrass Conservation	Yes	Yes
B4.19 Estuarine Habitat	Yes	Yes
B5.13 Development on Waterfront Land	Yes	Yes
B5.15 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
B6.1 Access driveways and Works on the Public Road Reserve	Yes	Yes
B6.2 Internal Driveways	Yes	Yes
B6.3 Off-Street Vehicle Parking Requirements	Yes	Yes
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
B8.5 Construction and Demolition - Works in the Public Domain	Yes	Yes
C1.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.17 Swimming Pool Safety	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
D1.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D1.5 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D1.8 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D1.9 Side and rear building line	Yes	Yes

MOD2021/0421 Page 12 of 16



Clause	•	Consistency Aims/Objectives
D1.11 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D1.14 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land	Yes	Yes
D1.16 Fences - Flora and Fauna Conservation Areas	Yes	Yes
D1.17 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes
D1.20 Scenic Protection Category One Areas	Yes	Yes
D15.11 Waterfront lighting	Yes	Yes
D15.12 Development seaward of mean high water mark	Yes	Yes
D15.13 Lateral limits to development seaward of mean high water mark	Yes	Yes
D15.14 Minimum frontage for waterfront development	Yes	Yes
D15.15 Waterfront development	Yes	Yes

#### THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

#### CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

#### **POLICY CONTROLS**

#### Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

#### CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

MOD2021/0421 Page 13 of 16



- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

#### RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2021/0421 for Modification of Development Consent DA2019/0152 granted for Demolition Works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool and refurbishment of existing boatshed on land at Lot 2 DP 221631,78 Hudson Parade, CLAREVILLE, subject to the conditions printed below:

# A. Add Condition no.1B - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

#### a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
S4.55 01 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 02 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 03 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 04 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 05 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 06 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 07 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 08 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design
S4.55 09 Rev. 5	21 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:		
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
BASIX Certificate no. 970616S_03	16 June 2021	Marker Architecture & Design

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and

MOD2021/0421 Page 14 of 16



approved plans.

# B. Add Condition no. 28a - Tree Removal Within the Property - to read as follows:

This consent approves the removal of the following tree(s) within the property (as recommended in the Arboricultural Impact Assessment):

i) Corymbia maculata, located within the central portion of the site, specifically to the west of the approved garage, Tree No. 21.

#### Note:

- i) Exempt Species as listed in the Development Control Plan or the Arboricultural Impact Assessment do not require Council consent for removal.
- ii) Any request to remove a tree approved for retention under the development application is subject to a Section 4.55 modification application, or an assessment by an Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture that determines that the tree presents an imminent risk to life or property.

Reason: To enable authorised building works.

#### C. Add Condition no. 42a - Required Tree Planting - to read as follows:

Trees shall be planted in accordance with the following:

i) 1x Corymbia maculata is required to be planted, minimum 75L pot size, within the central portion of the site in a similar location to that existing.

Tree planting shall be located within a 9m2 deep soil area wholly within the site and be located a minimum of 3 metres from existing and proposed buildings, and other trees.

Tree planting shall be located to minimise significant impacts on neighbours in terms of blocking winter sunlight, or where the proposed tree location may impact upon significant views.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To maintain environmental amenity.

## D. Modify Condition no. 51 - Landscape Maintenance - to read as follows:

If any landscape materials/components or planting under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar materials/components. Trees, shrubs and groundcovers required to be planted under this consent are to be mulched, watered and fertilised as required at the time of planting.

If any tree, shrub or groundcover required to be planted under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar species to maintain the landscape theme and be generally in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan and any conditions of consent.

All weeds are to be removed and controlled in accordance with the NSW Biosecurity Act 2015.

Reason: To maintain local environmental amenity.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

MOD2021/0421 Page 15 of 16



Signed

Adam Mitchell, Principal Planner

The application is determined on 23/08/2021, under the delegated authority of:

Lashta Haidari, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2021/0421 Page 16 of 16