
Sent: 23/11/2020 9:14:29 PM
Subject: Fwd: DA 2020/1063
Attachments: 23.11.20 Curl Curl Objection.docx;

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: becky honey <beckyhoney1@hotmail.com>
Date: 23 November 2020 at 9:12:27 pm AEDT
To: Michael Regan <Michael.Regan@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: DA 2020/1063

Dear Michael,

Please find attached my letter concerning DA 2020/1063.

I look forward to hearing from you at your earliest convenience.

With Kind Regards,

Becky Honey
0424168725

Dear Michael Regan

My name is Beck Honey I live at 2 Manuela Place, Curl Curl. I write to you with my concerns of the proposed addition of flood lighting **DA 2020/1063**. I **strongly** and whole heartedly object to this application.

The local community (the ones who will be directly effected by this outrageous proposal) are unanimously objecting to the proposed expansion. This location is not appropriate and the impacts for local families is disastrous. Curl Curl is a family friendly, residential, low rise community. We already have an AFL sports ground (which is floodlit and barely used with its floodlights at Weldon Oval) cricket nets, netball courts, soccer fields, softball fields, and futsul courts... there is no pressing need for more floodlit evening sports grounds.

It is also a common space for local families to enjoy, we will not have any respite from activities being held on our doorstep if this proposal goes ahead.

The addition of the flood lights will negatively impact the local residents.

My key concerns are:

- 1) The fields at Mike Pawley and Frank Gray ovals are the only fields on the Northern Beaches with **NO** road along the front and there is **NO** dedicated parking;
- 2) The parking in the affected streets will be unsafe and a catastrophe for local residents into the evening. **SAFETY IS A MASSIVE ISSUE**;
- 3) Council has failed to undertake a traffic, parking or acoustic study;
- 4) Council has stated no additional impact on the area however it's converting two fields into four which will double the visitor numbers, massively impacting on traffic, parking and noise;
- 5) There is no buffer to the lights for residents living next to the park- The lights will beam into residents properties who live directly next to the park;
- 6) AFL has worked with Council to seek control of these fields. However, AFL is a regional club and the majority of supporters for this are not local residents living within a 2km radius;
- 7) The Council DA lodged is misleading in multiple areas and risks the safety of local families;
- 8) Council are unable to stop Mobile phone relay points being placed on towers;
- 9)A significant increase in noise pollution (sirens, cheering, shouting) that would impact properties up to 2km away and late into the evening;

10) Reduction in house values in the adjoining areas (I would assume that land tax would decrease if this is approved?);

11) An increase in litter and rubbish which would have a significant impact to local wildlife and the natural aesthetics of the area;

12) If the oval is used for sports as proposed, what happens to **ALL** the local residents and community members that use the playing fields for other means... we **ALL** need space- not just the AFL players (most of whom will **NOT** be effected by any of the impacts mentioned above).

This application has not satisfactorily addressed the following:

- The traffic engineer referral response is woefully inadequate and does not address the traffic impact post addition of the lighting, rather it deals solely with the construction phase;
- The notification map should be extended to include Stirgess Avenue and Brighton Street at a minimum as residents will also be severely impacted;
- The obstructive lighting assessment makes an assumption that the site is an A3 environmental zone (medium district brightness) whereas Tania Park in Balgowlah Heights was assessed as an A2 environmental zone (low district brightness). Tania Park was rated as an A2 due to the bushland surrounding the park. The report should be assessed by another lighting consultant as John Fisher is also surrounded by bushland and at a minimum should have the same A2 rating as Tania Park. It should also be noted by the lighting assessment that Tania park does not having any adjoining properties that would be impacted whereas John Fisher does;
- The Council prepared Natural Environmental Referral Response - Biodiversity - does NOT support this proposal (this document now appears to be missing from the DA?)

I will continue to lobby for this DA to be withdrawn for the reasons stated above and will also seek 3rd party advise from legal/planning experts to challenge the validity of this application.

I request that you please **contact Mr Ray Brownlee**, The CEO of Council to **withdraw this DA immediately**.

Regards,

Becky Honey

0424168725

beckyhoney1@hotmail.com

