
From: Andrew Morrison
Sent: 29/10/2024 3:44:59 PM
To: Council Northernbeaches Mailbox
Cc: rodney childs
Subject: RE: DA Submission DA2024/1216 - Attention: Maxwell Duncan
Attachments: NHM DA Concerns V3.pdf;

Dear Maxwell,

Please find attached my further supplementary submission re: DA2024/1216 for North Harbour Marina.

Yours faithfully,

Dr Andrew S Morrison RFD SC/KC
3 Bolingbroke Parade
FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation

From: Andrew Morrison
Sent: Wednesday, 16 October 2024 12:46 PM
To: council@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au
Cc: rodney childs [REDACTED]
Subject: DA Submission DA2024/1216 - Attention: Maxwell Duncan

Dear Maxwell,

Please find attached my submission re: DA2024/1216 for North Harbour Marina.

Yours faithfully,

Dr Andrew S Morrison RFD SC/KC
3 Bolingbroke Parade
FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation

Dr Andrew Stewart Morrison RFD SC/KC
3 Bolingbroke Parade
FAIRLIGHT NSW 2094

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Attention: Maxwell Duncan
Northern Beaches Council

29th October 2024

RE: DA2024/1216 - North Harbour Marina

Dear Maxwell,

Herewith please find my further supplementary submission on the proposed DA and a plan showing major defects in the proposal.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be 'A. Stewart Morrison', written over a horizontal line.

Dr Andrew Stewart Morrison RFD SC/KC

NORTH HARBOUR MARINA – DA CONCERNS – FURTHER SUBMISSION

1. Accuracy of the Plan

I had previously expressed doubts as to the accuracy of the plan. Detailed analysis suggests the distance between the arms is shown accurately but confirms that it does not meet the Australian Standard (AS3962).

2. Inadequate distance for the interior channel between the arms for the proposed additional berths

As the attached plan shows the minimum 20 meter width is not met for almost all of the berths between the arms. The 25 metre preferred width is not met anywhere. Moreover, when the boats of the specified lengths are in their berths they almost all protrude into the interior channel further reducing the already drastically inadequate width.

3. Proposed vessel lengths exceed the leased area

As the plan shows most vessels of the size specified would protrude beyond the leased area line into the interior channel. Despite the fall-back document circulated by the applicant (which was previously provided in my supplementary submission on 28 October 2024), the applicant is seeking 18 additional berths, not 9 as suggested.

4. Safety

The proposal does not meet the Australian Standard for safe manoeuvring in the inner channel. It should be rejected as unsafe.