

J3540. 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2021. Page 1.

### PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT:

## 138 Headland Road, North Curl Curl

1.0	LANDSLIP RISK CLASS (Highlight indicates Landslip Risk Class of property)
	A - Geotechnical Report not normally required
	B - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
	C - Geotechnical Report is required
	D - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required
	E - Geotechnical Report required

# 2.0 Proposed Development

- **2.1** Construct a new internal lift.
- **2.2** Construct a new balcony on the downhill side of the house.
- **2.3** Various other minor internal and external alterations.
- **2.4** Apart from those for footings, no excavations are required. No fills are shown on the plans.
- 2.5 Details of the proposed development are shown on 12 drawings prepared by Stewart Design Studio, drawings numbered 2020 11/DA01 to DA12, dated 13/6/21.

#### 3.0 Site Location

- **3.1** The site was inspected on the 25<sup>th</sup> June, 2021.
- 3.2 This residential property is on the high side of the road and has a S aspect. It is located on the gentle to moderately graded upper reaches of a hillslope. Medium



J3540.

29<sup>th</sup> June, 2021.

Page 2.

Strength Hawkesbury Sandstone bedrock outcrops on the uphill side of the property.

Where sandstone is not exposed, it is expected to underlie the surface at relatively

shallow depths. The natural surface of the block has been altered little with the

development to date. The proposed development will not alter the surface further for

the proposed works.

**3.3** The site shows no indications of historical movement in the natural surface

that could have occurred since the property was developed. We are aware of no

history of instability on the property.

4.0 Site Description

The natural slope rises across the site at an average angle of ~11°. At the road frontage, a

concrete driveway runs to a garage under the downhill side of the house and to a stable

carport on the downhill side of the house. The part three-storey rendered masonry house is

supported on masonry walls. The supporting walls display no significant signs of movement.

Competent Medium Strength Sandstone outcrops and steps up the slope above the house.

The outcropping rock has a dense covering of vegetation. From what could be seen of the

outcrop, it appears stable. A gently sloping lawn rises above the outcrop to the upper

common boundary. The area surrounding the house and driveway is mostly lawn-covered

with some paved areas. No signs of movement associated with slope instability were

observed on the grounds. The adjoining neighbouring properties were observed to be in good

order as seen from the road and the subject property.

5.0 Recommendations

The proposed development and site conditions were considered and applied to the Council

Flow Chart.

Provided good engineering and building practice are followed, no further Geotechnical

assessment is recommended for the proposed development.



J3540. 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2021. Page 3.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.

Ben White M.Sc. Geol., AusIMM., CP GEOL.

Bulut


No. 222757

Engineering Geologist.



J3540. 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2021. Page 4.

# Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart - Northern Beaches Council (Warringah)





J3540. 29<sup>th</sup> June, 2021. Page 5.

### Information about your Preliminary Assessment

This Preliminary Assessment relies on visual observations of the surface features observed during the site inspection. Where reference is made to subsurface features (e.g., the depth to rock) these are interpretations based on the surface features present and previous experience in the area. No ground testing was conducted as part of this assessment and it is possible subsurface conditions will vary from those interpreted in the assessment.

In some cases, we will recommend no further geotechnical assessment is necessary despite the presence of existing fill or a rock face on the property that exceed the heights that would normally trigger a full geotechnical report, according to the Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart. Where this is the case, if it is an existing fill, it is either supported by a retaining wall that we consider stable, or is battered at a stable angle and situated in a suitable position on the slope. If it is a rock face that exceeds the flow chart limit height, the face has been deemed to be competent rock that is considered stable. These judgements are backed by the inspection of over 5000 properties on Geotechnical related matters.

The proposed excavation heights referred to in section 2.0 of this assessment are estimated by review of the plans we have been given for the job. Although we make every reasonable effort to provide accurate information excavation heights should be checked by the owner or person lodging the DA. If the excavation heights referred to in in section 2.0 of this assessment are incorrect, we are to be informed immediately and before this assessment is lodged with the DA.