

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2021/0180
----------------------------	-------------

Responsible Officer:	Ashley Warnest
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 38 DP 7254, 1893 Pittwater Road BAYVIEW NSW 2104
Proposed Development:	Alterations and additions to a dwelling house
Zoning:	R2 Low Density Residential
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Jo-Ann Bohm Nicholas Joseph Bohm
Applicant:	Jarra Hills Pty Ltd

Application Lodged:	13/04/2021
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions
Notified:	22/04/2021 to 06/05/2021
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	1
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 362,500.00
---------------------------------	---------------

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The development seeks consent for alterations and additions to the existing dwelling, comprising the following:

- First floor extension, including a master bedroom, ensuite, walk in robe, bathroom and extension to existing Bedroom 2;
- Ground floor works, including new roofing to existing deck and alfresco areas, including a privacy screen.

An amended design was received by Council which proposed a reduction in the roof pitch and overall building height. The amended design was proposed to address view loss concerns raised. The plans did not require re-notification under the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan however, they

were sent to the objectors by way of e-mail.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - C1.3 View Sharing

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - D4.6 Side and rear building line

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - D4.10 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 38 DP 7254 , 1893 Pittwater Road BAYVIEW NSW 2104
Detailed Site Description:	<p>The subject site consists of a single, irregular shaped allotment located on the western side of Pittwater Road.</p> <p>The site has a frontage of 7.36m along Pittwater Road and a depth of 55.69m. The site has a surveyed area of 796.7m².</p> <p>The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates a single, one and two storey rendered brick dwelling with a metal roof.</p> <p>The site is located opposite a heritage item of local significance, known as "Sea scout hall" located within the grounds of Bayview Park (SHI No 2270406).</p> <p>The site rises approximately 8m from the front boundary to the rear of the site with a slope of approximately 14.36%.</p> <p>The site comprises largely of lawn areas and small to</p>

medium sized shrubs, while established native canopy trees are located to the front of the site and within the road reserve.

A right of way is located along the southern boundary providing vehicular access to No 1891 Pittwater Road.

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by similar low-density residential development and Bayview Park to the east and Pittwater waterway beyond.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

A search of Council's records has revealed that there are no recent or relevant applications for this site.

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<p><u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.</p> <p><u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p><u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment	<p>(i) Environmental Impact</p> <p>The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report.</p>

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
and social and economic impacts in the locality	<p>(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.</p> <p>(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on “Notification & Submissions Received” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 22/04/2021 to 06/05/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 1 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mr Simon Everand Gastrell Mrs Nicole Emilie Gastrell	14 Roches Avenue BAYVIEW NSW 2104

The following issues were raised in the submissions and each have been addressed below:

- **View Loss**

Comment

A site visit at 14 Roches Avenue was conducted to assess the potential view loss impact as a result of the development. The view loss assessment in accordance with the four step process as outlined within Tenacity Consulting v Waringah [2004] NSWLEC 140 was carried out as detailed in the View Sharing section of this report (section C1.3). In summary, the view to be impacted is across a side boundary with views to be retained to the rear of the site. Amended plans were received reducing the extent of the proposed roof form. The amended design

maintains a reasonable level of view sharing.

It is noted that the residents of 14 Roches Avenue, in response to the amended plans, identified a flat roof form would minimise the extent of the potential view impact. It is agreed that a flat roof form would mitigate a majority of the view impact however, this would not provide adequate functionality to the residents of the subject site. The connection of a flat roof to the existing gable roof would not be feedable for the owners in construction and design.

REFERRALS

No referrals were sent in relation to this application

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPis)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A405041 dated 31 January 2021).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

- (1) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:*
- (a) *the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,*
 - (b) *coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,*
 - (c) *the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,*
 - (d) *marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,*
 - (e) *existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,*
 - (f) *Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,*
 - (g) *the use of the surf zone.*

Comment:

The front half of the site is impacted by the coastal environment area. The proposed works, with the exception of the new roofing over the existing front deck, are located outside of the mapped coastal environment area. The proposed new roof over the existing front deck replaces an existing roof and will continue to preserve the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological and ecological environment, while maintaining the coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes. The proposed works are located sufficiently away from the Pittwater waterway to the east to ensure there will be no adverse impact on the coastal environment area created by the minor works.

- (2) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:*
- (a) *the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or*
 - (b) *if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or*
 - (c) *if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.*

Comment:

The works are considered minor and have been designed, sited and managed, so to avoid an adverse impact to those in subclause 1 above.

14 Development on land within the coastal use area

- (1)
- (a) *has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:*
 - (i) *existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,*
 - (ii) *overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to foreshores,*

- (iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,
- (iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
- (v) cultural and built environment heritage, and
- (b) is satisfied that:
 - (i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or
 - (ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
 - (iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and
- (c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

Comment:

As above, the front half of the site is mapped as coastal use area. The proposed works located within the coastal use area comprise a new roof structure over an existing deck which is to replace an existing roof. Due to the minor extent of proposed works located within the coastal use area, it is anticipated that the development will not give rise to any adverse impact on existing, safe access within the area, while ensuring overshadowing and view loss is minimised. Overall, the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast will not be affected. The development has generally been designed, sited and managed to avoid an adverse impact on the above. The development is consistent with the surrounding coastal and built environment with a modest bulk and scale.

As such, it is considered that the application complies with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

This assessment finds that the development will unlikely cause an increased risk of coastal hazards on the land or any other land.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.5m (finished floor level) 7.1m (natural ground level)	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
5.10 Heritage conservation	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	26.8m	N/A	Yes
Rear building line	6.5m	Alfresco - 4.9m (existing) First floor extension - 8.8m	24.62% N/A	No Yes
Side building line	2.5m (south)	Alfresco - 2.6m First floor - 6m	N/A N/A	Yes Yes
	1m (north)	Alfresco - 1m First floor - 7.8m	N/A N/A	Yes Yes
Building envelope	3.5m	Within envelope	N/A	Yes
	3.5m	Within envelope	N/A	Yes
Landscaped area	60%	336.5m ² or 41.96% (existing)	30.67%	No

***Note:** The percentage variation is calculated on the *overall* numerical variation (i.e.: for Landscaped area - Divide the proposed area by the numerical requirement then multiply the proposed area by 100 to equal X, then 100 minus X will equal the percentage variation. Example: 38/40 x 100 = 95 then 100 - 95 = 5% variation)

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.4 Church Point and Bayview Locality	Yes	Yes
B1.2 Heritage Conservation - Development in the vicinity of heritage items, heritage conservation areas, archaeological sites or potential archaeological sites	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B3.6 Contaminated Land and Potentially Contaminated Land	Yes	Yes
B4.5 Landscape and Flora and Fauna Enhancement Category 3 Land	Yes	Yes
B5.15 Stormwater	Yes	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B6.1 Access driveways and Works on the Public Road Reserve	Yes	Yes
B6.2 Internal Driveways	Yes	Yes
B6.3 Off-Street Vehicle Parking Requirements	Yes	Yes
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
B8.5 Construction and Demolition - Works in the Public Domain	Yes	Yes
C1.1 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
D4.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D4.3 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D4.5 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D4.6 Side and rear building line	No	Yes
D4.8 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D4.10 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land	No	Yes
D4.13 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes
D4.14 Scenic Protection Category One Areas	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

C1.3 View Sharing

The development is considered against the underlying Outcomes of the Control as follows:

- *A reasonable sharing of views amongst dwellings.*

Comment

In determining the extent of potential view loss to adjoining and nearby properties, the four (4) planning principles outlined within the Land and Environment Court Case of Tenacity Consulting Pty Ltd Vs Warringah Council (2004) NSWLEC 140, are applied to the proposal.

1. Nature of the views affected

"The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North

Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured".

Comment to Principle 1:

Views of Pittwater Waterway are gained from the north to the east and views of Bayview reserve are gained to the east of 14 Roches Avenue. The view to the east is of the land water interface of each side of this section of Pittwater Waterway which are highly valued. The waterway views are partially obstructed by existing structures and vegetation.

2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

"The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic".

Comment to Principle 2:

Views from 14 Roches Avenue is gained from the rear external deck, the internal ground floor living area, and the first floor bedroom. The views range from the north to the east and are gained across the side and rear boundaries. Views from the external rear deck and the internal living space are attained from a standing position and the views from the first floor level bedroom are from a standing and seated position.

Figure 1 below is the view from the first floor level bedroom. The view from the bedroom is the least obstructed and most direct view of Pittwater Waterway.



Figure 1: View from first floor level bedroom of 14 Roches Avenue.

3. Extent of impact

“The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating”.

Comment to Principle 3:

The view is impacted from the external rear deck and the internal living area. The view to be impacted is as seen below in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The superimposed red line on the below Figures 2 and 3 are an indicative of the proposed first floor addition.



Figure 2: View from rear deck of 14 Roches Avenue



Figure 3: View from internal living space of 14 Roches Avenue

As viewed above the most extensive impact to the available views is from the rear deck (Figure 2) and is considered to have a moderate impact. The view impact from the internal living room (Figure 3) is minor and there is no impact from the first floor level bedroom (Figure 1).

4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

“The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable.”

Comment to Principle 4:

The proposal complies with all relevant built form controls of PLEP and P21 DCP. Concerns were raised with the applicant in regards to the extent of the proposed roof form and the impact on views. The applicant provided amended plans to address the view loss concerns raised. The amended design includes a reduction in the roof pitch which also results in a reduction of the building height. The amended ridge line of the proposed extension now sits 65cm below the existing ridge line. The annotated building form in figures 2 and 3 are as per the amended

design.

The amended design maintains views over the proposed roof form. The view to be retained, when viewed from the rear deck, is of Pittwater Waterway and the land and water interface on the far side of this section of Pittwater Waterway. Views to the north remain impacted by the proposal.

The updated plans present as a more skilful design aimed to maximise views to the adjoining properties. The design of the roof being a gable roof has been retained within the amended plans as it is sympathetic, in design and construction, to the existing roof. Retaining the gable roof ensures amenity is maintained to the residents of the subject site.

Giving consideration to the above the proposal is of a skilful design which achieves a reasonable level of view sharing.

- *Views and vistas from roads and public places to water, headland, beach and/or bush views are to be protected, maintained and where possible, enhanced.*

Comment:

Views from Pittwater Road and Roches Avenue will be maintained by the proposal. When viewing the proposal from the waterway it will appear consistent with low density residential development. The proposed materials and colours are sympathetic to the area being of a dark and earthy tone.

- *Canopy trees take priority over views.*

Comment:

No tree removal is proposed as part of this application.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of P21DCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D4.6 Side and rear building line

The development includes a new corrugated Colorbond roof to the rear of the dwelling to replace an existing roof structure above an alfresco area. The new Colorbond roof will be located approximately 4.9m to the rear boundary, an encroachment of 1.6m and a variation of 24.62%. Notwithstanding, the non-compliance is existing with the new roof structure to be located in the same location as the existing roof and not encroaching further into the rear setback area, therefore maintaining consistency with the existing dwelling, while achieving the outcomes of the control.

D4.10 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

The development provides for a total landscaped area of 336.5m² or 41.96%, a variation of 30.67%.

Notwithstanding, the development is restricted to the existing building footprint with the proposal not seeking to expand on the building footprint and reduce the existing soft landscaping. On this basis, the proposal and existing non-compliance is not considered unreasonable and is able to satisfy the outcomes of the control.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019.

A monetary contribution of \$3,625 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$362,500.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2021/0180 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 38 DP 7254, 1893 Pittwater Road, BAYVIEW, subject to the conditions printed below:

DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

1. **Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation**

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Site Plan, Sheet No 1 of 15, Issue C	16/02/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs
Existing Ground Floor and First Floor Demolition Plans, Sheet No 4 of 15, Issue C	16/02/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs
Proposed Ground Floor and First Floor Plans, Sheet No 5 of 15, Issue C	16/02/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs
Eastern and Southern Elevations, Sheet No 6 of 15, Issue D	20/05/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs
Western and Northern Elevations, Sheet No 7 of 15, Issue D	20/05/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs
Section A-A, Sheet No 8 of 15, Issue D	20/05/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:		
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
BASIX Certificate, A405041	31/1/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Waste Management Plan		
Drawing No/Title.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	19/2/2021	Sydney Extension and Designs

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. **Prescribed Conditions**

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.
- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
 - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.
- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

3. **General Requirements**

- (a) Unless authorised by Council:
Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

- 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
- 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
- No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

- 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.
- (c) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (d) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (e) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (f) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (g) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (h) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (i) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (j) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the

- erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (k) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
- i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished
- The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.
- (l) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (m) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.
- (n) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
- (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

 - (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
 - (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
 - (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
 - (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
 - (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
 - (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
 - (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
 - (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
 - (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of

residents and the community.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

4. **Policy Controls**

Northern Beaches 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

A monetary contribution of \$3,625.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019. The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$362,500.00.

The monetary contribution is to be paid prior to the issue of the first Construction Certificate or Subdivision Certificate whichever occurs first, or prior to the issue of the Subdivision Certificate where no Construction Certificate is required. If the monetary contribution (total or in part) remains unpaid after the financial quarter that the development consent is issued, the amount unpaid (whether it be the full cash contribution or part thereof) will be adjusted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the applicable Consumer Price Index. If this situation applies, the cash contribution payable for this development will be the total unpaid monetary contribution as adjusted.

The proponent shall provide to the Certifying Authority written evidence (receipt/s) from Council that the total monetary contribution has been paid.

The Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019 may be inspected at 725 Pittwater Rd, Dee Why and at Council's Customer Service Centres or alternatively, on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

This fee must be paid prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

5. **Security Bond**

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$1,500 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

6. Compliance with Standards

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

7. Removing, Handling and Disposing of Asbestos

Any asbestos material arising from the demolition process shall be removed and disposed of in accordance with the following requirements:

- Work Health and Safety Act;
- Work Health and Safety Regulation;
- Code of Practice for the Safe Removal of Asbestos [NOHSC:2002 (1998)];
- Guide to the Control of Asbestos Hazards in Buildings and Structures [NOHSC: 3002 (1998);
- Clause 42 of the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2005; and
- The demolition must be undertaken in accordance with Australian Standard AS2601 – The Demolition of Structures.

Reason: For the protection of the environment and human health.

8. Installation and Maintenance of Sediment Control

Prior to any works commencing on site, including demolition, sediment and erosion controls must be installed in accordance with Landcom's 'Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction' (2004). Techniques used for erosion and sediment control on site are to be adequately maintained and monitored at all times, particularly after periods of rain, and shall remain in proper operation until all development activities have been completed and the site is sufficiently stabilised with vegetation.

Reason: To protect the surrounding environment from the effects of sedimentation and erosion from the site.

CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

9. House / Building Number

House/building number is to be affixed to the building to be readily visible from the public domain.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: Proper identification of buildings.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Ashley Warnest, Planner

The application is determined on 02/06/2021, under the delegated authority of:



Lashta Haidari, Acting Development Assessment Manager