


# **Marine Habitat Survey**

## **158B & C (Lots 52 & 53) McCarrs Creek Road, Church Point**

Prepared For: Phil Corbett

Report Date: 28 June 2023





## H2O Consulting Group Pty Ltd

PO Box 3257, Erina NSW 2250  
Email: [info@h2oconsultinggroup.com.au](mailto:info@h2oconsultinggroup.com.au)  
Web: <https://h2oconsultinggroup.com.au>  
Ph: 0414 848 105

### Document Details

|                |  |
|----------------|--|
| Report Title   | Marine Habitat Survey                                    |
| Project Title  | 158B & C (Lots 52 & 53) McCarrs Creek Road, Church Point |
| Prepared For   | Phil Corbett   |
| Report Date    | 28 June 2023   |
| Project Number | 2242   |
| Project Team   | David Cummings, Alex Swanson, Marc Green                 |

### Document Control

| Version | Author                | Reviewer                     | Date       |
|---------|-----------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| R0      | Alex Swanson BMarBiol | David Cummings BSc (Hon) PhD | 28/06/2023 |

### Disclaimer:

The information provided in this document is based on knowledge, understanding and field observations at the time of review of associated materials and/or site survey. The report should be read and considered in its entirety including consideration of the limitations described in the report.

H2O Consulting Group Pty Ltd has completed this assessment in accordance with the relevant federal, state and local legislation. H2O Consulting Group Pty Ltd accepts no liability or responsibility for contents of this report in respect of any use of, or reliance upon, by any third party or for any use other than the purposes for which it was commissioned. Unauthorised use of this document is prohibited.

© 2023 H2O Consulting Group Pty Ltd. This report is copyright.

# Table of Content

---

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Background.....                              | 4  |
| Objectives .....                             | 4  |
| Regional Context .....                       | 4  |
| Existing Information .....                   | 5  |
| Description of the Proposed Development..... | 8  |
| Study Methods .....                          | 10 |
| Survey Results.....                          | 11 |
| Intertidal Habitat.....                      | 11 |
| Subtidal Habitat.....                        | 12 |
| Invasive Species .....                       | 14 |
| Threatened Species.....                      | 15 |
| Conclusions and Recommendations .....        | 16 |
| References.....                              | 18 |

## **Background**

H2O Consulting Group was engaged by Phil Corbett to provide a Marine Habitat Survey of the seabed and surrounding marine habitat at 158B & C (Lots 52 & 53 in DP547759), Church Point, hereafter referred to as Lots 52 & 53. The property adjoins Pittwater and falls within the Local Government Area of Northern Beaches Council.

The purpose of the Marine Habitat Survey is to support a development application for a new walkway, jetty, ramp, pontoon and berthing area at the above address. Under Part 4 of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*, NSW Department of Primary Industries (NSW DPI) is a 'determining authority' for integrated developments such as this, where there is potential that marine vegetation may be harmed.

In NSW, the *Fisheries Management Act 1994* (FM Act) provides conservation and protection of fisheries resources, fish habitat and threatened aquatic species in NSW waters. Under the FM Act as well as the New South Wales *Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016* (BC Act) and the Commonwealth *Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) there are requirements for the protection of estuarine vegetation such as mangroves, saltmarsh and seagrass.

NSW DPI may make further assessment, evaluations and recommendations that may include stipulating additional mitigation measures as a consent condition for the proposed development after review of this report.

This survey at Lots 52 & 53 McCarrs Creek Road, Church Point has been conducted in accordance with the *Policy and Guidelines for Fish Habitat Conservation and Management* (Fairfull 2013).

## **Objectives**

The objectives of this Marine Habitat Survey are to:

- Provide a clear description of the proposal, marine environment including presence of threatened and/or invasive species and any relevant hydrological features.
- Where present identify, describe (species & density) and map marine vegetation in the area effected and adjacent areas.
- Identify potential impacts from the proposed development and where appropriate recommend mitigation measures to ameliorate any environmental effects on the marine environment.

## **Regional Context**

Pittwater Estuary (hereafter Pittwater) is a drowned valley estuary north of Sydney that adjoins Broken Bay at the mouth of the Hawkesbury River. It is approximately 10 km in length and 1 km in width (WBM 2006), with an estuary area of 18.4 km<sup>2</sup> and a total catchment area of 50.8 km<sup>2</sup> (NSW DPE 2023). Pittwater is a distinctive natural asset of the Northern Beaches and comprises of numerous estuarine habitats including beaches, rocky shores, mangroves, saltmarsh and mud flats (NBC 2023). The Subject Site is located on the eastern shore of the lower reaches of McCarrs Creek at the southern end of Pittwater (Figure 1).

The nearest protected aquatic habitat is the Barrenjoey Head Aquatic Reserve located at the confluence of the Hawkesbury River and the ocean, extending around from Palm Beach to Station Beach, which is



approximately 9.3 km from the Subject Site. There are no aquaculture activities within the vicinity of the Subject Site, and commercial fishing activities in Pittwater no longer occur.



Figure 1: Locality of the proposed development in McCarrs Creek.

## Existing Information

Mangroves, saltmarsh and seagrasses are common and important to estuarine productivity and ecological function in Pittwater. Extensive development of the surrounding catchment and accommodation of over 3000 moorings has had a significant impact on aquatic vegetation, especially seagrass beds in Pittwater (WBM 2006). Controlling developments, urban storm water runoff and streamline erosion in the upper catchment remain key management actions in preserving the aquatic environment of Pittwater (Pittwater Council 2005).

NSW DPI habitat maps indicate the common occurrence of seagrasses *Posidonia australis*, *Zostera capricorni* and *Halophila ovalis*, mangroves and salt marsh communities in Pittwater. In six NSW estuaries including Pittwater, *P. australis* has been listed as an Endangered Population and added to Threatened Species Schedules under the FM Act (NSW DPI 2012a), while more recently *P. australis* seagrass meadows of the Manning-Hawkesbury Ecoregion Ecological Community have been listed as Endangered under the EPBC Act. Additionally, Coastal Saltmarsh has been listed as an Endangered Ecological Community on the NSW North Coast, Sydney Basin and South East Corner Bioregions under the BC Act, which also corresponds with the listing of Subtropical and Temperate Coastal Saltmarsh as a Vulnerable Ecological Community under the EPBC Act. Previous mapping conducted by NSW DPI indicates the presence of extensive fringing *Z. capricorni* seagrass beds and mangrove stands in shallow waters in

McCarrs Creek, particularly in the upper reaches. A moderately sized bed of *Z. capricorni* has been previously mapped close to shore to the northeast of the Subject Site (Figure 2).

Along the New South Wales coastline, Black Rockcod (*Epinephelus daemeli*) may utilise deeper shoreline areas along rocky drop-offs where ledges, overhangs and caves occur. The Black Rockcod has been listed as a Vulnerable fish species under the FM Act as they have been historically overharvested and risks remain from fishing, climate change and water pollution (NSW DPI 2012b). More recently White's Seahorse (*Hippocampus whitei*) and the Cauliflower Soft Coral (*Dendronephthya australis*) have been listed as an Endangered species under the FM Act. The natural habitats of the White's Seahorse include sponge gardens, seagrass meadows and soft corals, while it is also known to use artificial habitats such as protective swimming net enclosures and jetty pylons (NSW DPI 2019). The Cauliflower Soft Coral occurs sporadically in estuaries including Port Stephens and Brisbane Water, where they grow in abundance, typically in areas with a sandy seabed and high current flow (NSW DPI 2021).

The Pittwater State of the Environment Report (Pittwater Council 2005) indicates the management and control of the spread of the invasive green algae *Caulerpa taxifolia* as a significant ecological issue for aquatic habitats within Pittwater. *Caulerpa taxifolia* is a fast growing alga endemic to tropical waters of Australia that has rapidly colonised areas outside its natural range including within Pittwater. Mapping conducted in Pittwater by NSW DPI indicates that *C. taxifolia* is widespread around Scotland Island and occurs in the vicinity of the Subject Site (NSW DPI 2015).






Figure 2: NSW DPI Fisheries mapping in the vicinity of the Subject Site (Source: NSW DPI 2023).  
● Subject Site



## Description of the Proposed Development

The proposed development modifications include the following:

- Construction of new walkway (6.1 x 1.5 m),
- Construction of new timber jetty (14.8 x 1.5 m), realigned with existing stone jetty,
- Construction of new ramp (6.0 x 1.5 m),
- Construction of new pontoon (7.2 x 2.4 m) and three stabilising piles; and
- Construction of two new berthing areas (9.0 x 5.0 m each) with two mooring piles each.

The proposed structures will originate from the natural shoreline on the Subject Site and extend approximately 28 m west into McCarrs Creek (Figure 3 and 4).



Figure 3: Existing natural waterfront at the Subject Site.





Figure 4: Plans of the proposed waterfront structures at the Subject Site.

## Study Methods

The site survey was undertaken at 1057 hrs on the 13th of June 2023. Weather conditions were overcast with minimal to no wind, while water visibility was good at approximately 2.0 m. Tidal predictions for McCarrs Creek on the day were a 0.5 m low tide at 1022 hrs.

The Study Area was limited to the potential habitat within 10 m of the proposed works. Inspections were undertaken around the existing structures at the subject site and extended approximately 50 m from the shore. The survey was conducted by inspection from the shore and in the water using a Chasing M2 ROV with UHD video and still imagery capture capability. Marine habitat and features of interest were photographed with the ROV. Marine habitat was described based on dominant flora and fauna observed. For seagrass habitat, density (abundance) and patchiness (sociability) was estimated using categories for each seagrass species present as per King and Barclay (1986) (See Table 1).

| <b>Density</b>    |   |
|-------------------|---|
| Low               | Sparse growth, up to 15% cover  |
| Medium            | Moderate growth 15 – 50% cover  |
| High              | Abundant growth greater than 50% cover                                  |
| <b>Patchiness</b> |   |
| Clumps            | Individual strands or clumps (less than 1 m <sup>2</sup> )              |
| Patches           | Patches of between 1 and 5 m <sup>2</sup>                               |
| Beds              | An area of relatively continuous seagrass greater than 5 m <sup>2</sup> |

Data obtained during the site survey along with aerial imagery was used to develop habitat maps for the Study Area.

## Survey Results

The adjoining shoreline is a natural waterfront that backs onto a densely vegetated escarpment, rising approximately 40 m to McCarrs Creek Road, with a westerly aspect across McCarrs Creek. The waterfront at the Subject Site comprised of naturally vegetated rock escarpment, rock platform and boulders, a mixed muddy, sandy and silty shore and a moderately sized fallen tree. Waterfront to the northeast of the Subject Site comprised of naturally vegetated shoreline before residential jetties, ramp and pontoons. To the southwest, structures include a seawall, jetty, ramp and pontoon (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Waterfront structures to the (a) northeast and (b) southwest of the Subject Site.

### Intertidal Habitat

Intertidal habitat at the Subject Site comprised of rock boulders and small rock platforms, soft sandy, silty and muddy fine sediment, with a branch from a moderately sized fallen tree across the lower intertidal section.

Rock boulders and small rock platforms at the bottom of the vegetated escarpment provided habitat for the high intertidal zone with small, dense patches of Sydney Rock Oysters (*Saccostrea glomerata*) on lower sections. Large rock boulders across the lower section of the site in the mid intertidal zone supported larger assemblages of *S. glomerata*, as well as other invertebrates, including the sessile Variegated Limpet (*Cellana tramoserica*) and the mobile Gold-mouth Conniwink (*Bembicium auratum*) and Striped-mouth Conniwink (*B. nanum*) (Figure 6). The fallen branch provided additional habitat for these mobile species. Soft sediment in the mid and low intertidal zones was observed to be rich with epibenthic fauna given the high amount of bioturbation, likely attributed to Smooth Shore Crabs (*Cyclograpsus audouinii*) which were observed under boulders in low intertidal areas. In this area, some recently settled Grey Mangrove (*Avicennia marina*) seedlings were observed attempting to colonise in the sediment, however, no established mangrove saplings or trees were observed within the Study Area. These seedlings were not observed to be within the proposed design footprint. The low intertidal zone at the water's edge was observed to have accumulations of shell debris, with any boulders bordering the Mean Low Water Mark (MLWM) supporting similar communities as in mid intertidal zones.





Figure 6: Typical intertidal habitat at the Subject Site, showing (a) large rock boulders with assemblages of invertebrate species, (b) common intertidal invertebrate communities with *S. glomerata*, *C. tramoserica*, *B. auratum* and *B. nanum*, (c) bioturbated sandy and silty sediment and (d) Mangrove seedling in the low intertidal zone.

## Subtidal Habitat

Subtidal habitat consisted of a moderately sloping, silty, soft sediment with some small rock boulders and rubble bordering the shore.

The silty seabed in shallow areas of the Subject Site was covered by a high amount of shell debris and detritus from the nearby terrestrial vegetation. Rock and rubble close to shore on the northeastern side provided substrata for occasional assemblages of Sydney Rock Oysters (*Saccostrea glomerata*), however, other utilisation of habitat in this area was minimal except for sporadic occurrences of filamentous algal growths (Figure 7).

In deeper areas approximately 8-10 m from shore, the sediment across the Subject Site became heavily bioturbated, indicating the presence of epibenthic fauna such as polychaetes, crustaceans or fish (Figure 7). On the southwest side of the Subject Site approximately 10 m from shore, an isolated low-density clump of *Zostera capricorni* seagrass and a low-density clump of *Halophila ovalis* seagrass were observed



adjacent to each other around the -2.0 m depth contours (Figure 7) and approximately 7 m to the south of the proposed structure (Figure 8). Native seagrass was limited to these clumps, however, between 35 and 40 m from shore at the -5.0 m depth contour a bed (6.0 m<sup>2</sup>) of the invasive *Caulerpa taxifolia* was observed in medium density (Figure 7), and approximately 15 m seaward of the proposed structure (Figure 8).

Subtidal habitat across the remainder of the Subject Site was limited to unvegetated, silty, bioturbated soft sediment.

During the site survey no fish species were observed, however, species that are likely to be common at the Subject Site at times include Yellowfin Bream (*Acanthopagrus australis*), Luderick (*Girella tricuspidate*), Yellow-finned Leatherjacket (*Meuschenia trachylepis*), Silver Biddy's (*Gerres subfasciatus*), Australian Sawtail (*Prionurus microlepidotus*), Sea Mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) and Tailor (*Pomatomus saltatrix*).




Figure 7: Typical subtidal habitat of (a) shallow subtidal habitat with rock boulders, detritus, shell debris and filamentous algal growth, (b) silty, soft sediment heavily bioturbated, (c) low-density clump of *Z. capricorni*; and (d) medium-density bed of *C. taxifolia*.





## Invasive Species

The invasive green alga *C. taxifolia* was observed in a medium density bed on the silty soft sediment on the western border of the Study Area.

## Threatened Species

The Endangered Coastal Saltmarsh community does not occur at the Subject Site.

The seagrass *P. australis*, which is considered an Endangered Population under the FM Act within Pittwater and an EEC in this region under the EPBC Act does not occur at the Subject Site.

The Study Area possessed minimal habitat for the Vulnerable Black Rockcod (*E. daemeli*) in the given the absence of suitable drop-offs, crevices and overhangs. Furthermore, the proposed works have minimal potential to pose a threat to this species.

The Endangered White's Seahorse (*H. whitei*) was not observed during the survey. Potential Syngnathidae habitat was not observed in the Study Area, with a very limited amount of suitable seagrasses, and lack of macroalgae or artificial habitat such as swimming nets.

The Endangered Cauliflower Soft Coral (*D. australis*) was not observed during the survey.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

Effects on the marine environment from the proposed structures are likely to include:

- Increased potential for siltation on nearby habitats during construction works with potential to mobilise sediments. The sedimentation generated from this proposal is expected to be minimal and can be further mitigated with the use of suitable sediment controls.
- Increased short-term turbidity during works to install new piles. This may result in some short-term reductions in water quality and very localised potential for increased sedimentation. Any disturbance from this proposal will be short-term, likely dissipate quickly and unlikely to be of an ecological significance given the close proximity to the deeper tidal channel.
- Disturbance of benthic habitat during installation of the piles. Piles will be constructed in small areas of habitat that consist of unvegetated, silty, soft sediment, with any disturbance confined to potential epibenthic fauna in the immediate vicinity.
- Increased shading of the subtidal habitat from permanent in water structures and temporary shading from berthed vessels. The area of affected habitat consists of unvegetated silty, soft sediment and does not include any seagrasses, which are more susceptible to shading impacts. The small clumps of *Z. capricorni* and *H. ovalis* are approximately 7 m to the south of the proposed footprint and are unlikely to be affected by shading.
- Disturbance to and potential removal of some intertidal habitat during construction of walkway, jetty and associated piles. Boulders that lay within the design footprint may need to be repositioned to accommodate for new structures. Species affected are limited to common intertidal invertebrates and will likely colonise on nearby rock habitat.
- Increased shading of the intertidal habitat from permanent walkway and jetty structures. The area of affected habitat consists of unvegetated silty, soft shoreline and rock boulders and does not include any intertidal macroalgae or seagrasses, which are more susceptible to shading.
- Generation of underwater noise during piling works. This may have behavioural and physiological impact on any marine fauna in close proximity to the piling works. Any presence of marine fauna typically susceptible to underwater noise, is likely to be very transient in this area.
- Creation of artificial habitat from the permanent presence of additional in-water structures. This may increase fish presence and provide additional substrate for sessile invertebrates. Given the presence of biota on nearby artificial structures, it is expected that these structures would be colonised by biota similar to those found on these nearby structures.

The proposed development is not expected to have any impacts on any threatened marine species or ecological communities, including those that may occur transiently in subtidal areas at the locality at times. Any additional impacts on marine habitat from the proposed development will be confined to a small area of unvegetated, silty, bioturbated soft sediment habitat. Nearby sparse seagrasses *Z. capricorni* and *H. ovalis* are unlikely to be directly affected by the proposed works, including shading impacts. The opportunistic re-establishment of the shoreline by mangrove seedlings is likely to continue where suitable environmental conditions occur. These seedlings should also be left undisturbed where they do establish around the jetty to provide natural shoreline protection..

The invasive green alga *Caulerpa taxifolia* was observed in high densities at the western border of the Subject Site. The proposed works may facilitate the further spread of this species. It is essential that care is

to be taken to ensure construction equipment is clean before leaving site and does not facilitate the spread of this species to new sites.

There remains some potential that construction works as part of this proposal could impact on adjacent or nearby marine habitat and its quality. To manage potential impacts during construction on marine habitat and species the following safeguards are recommended for adoption:

- Appropriate sediment and erosion control measures should be implemented in accordance with the 'Blue Book' (Landcom 2004).
- Piling should include a soft start procedure to allow marine fauna such as fish to move away from the areas safely. This should consist of a start at 50% piling impact energy increased gradually over 10 minutes.
- Hydrocarbon booms should be in place to contain any unplanned spills from construction barges or other mechanical equipment used on the site.
- Construction equipment should be washed down and thoroughly cleaned prior to mobilisation and de-mobilisation from the site.
- No materials should be stored or placed on the seabed.
- All materials, debris and rubbish should be removed from the site at the end of construction works.
- All construction equipment should be checked regularly for leaks, a spill kit should be kept on site.

In summary, the proposed development at Lots 52 & 53 McCarrs Creek Road, Church Point is not expected to have any ecologically significant impacts on marine habitat. Those impacts that do occur will be confined to some short-term disturbance to areas of unvegetated, silty soft sediment with epibenthic fauna. The adoption of measures identified in this report can minimise and mitigate any further potential impacts on aquatic habitat, particularly to nearby seagrass beds.



## References

- Creese, R. G., Glasby, T. M., West, G. and Gallen, C. (2009). *Mapping the habitats of NSW estuaries*. Industry & Investment NSW Fisheries Final Report Series 113. Port Stephens, NSW.
- Fairfull, S. (2013), *Policy and guidelines for fish habitat conservation and management*. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Fisheries, Woolongbar, NSW.
- Hedge L.H., Johnston E.L., Ayoung S.T., Birch G.F., Booth D.J., Creese R.G., Doblin M.A., Figueira W.F., Gribben P.E., Hutchings P.A., Mayer Pinto M, Marzinelli E.M., Pritchard T.R., Roughan M. and Steinberg P.D. (2013). Sydney Harbour: A systematic review of the science, Sydney Institute of Marine Science, Sydney, Australia.
- King, R J. and Barclay, J. B. (1986). Aquatic angiosperms in coastal saline lagoons of New South Wales II. Quantitative assessment of *Zostera capricorni*. *Proceedings of the Linnean Society of NSW* 109: 41-50.
- Landcom (2004). *Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction*. 4th Edition, Landcom, NSW Government.
- Northern Beaches Council (NBC). (2023). 'Pittwater Waterway', <https://www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au/environment/coast-and-waterways/pittwater-waterway>, Accessed online June 2023
- NSW DPE, (2023), Pittwater, Accessed June 2023, <https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/water/estuaries/estuaries-of-nsw/pittwater>
- NSW DPI (2008). *Commercial Fishing in New South Wales*. Primefact 825, New South Wales Department of Primary Industries.
- NSW DPI (2012a). *Endangered populations in NSW, Posidonia australis in Port Hacking, Botany Bay, Sydney Harbour, Pittwater, Brisbane Waters and Lake Macquarie*, Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Ecosystems Unit, Port Stephens Fisheries Institute, NSW
- NSW DPI (2012b). Black Rockcod (*Epinephelus daemeli*) recovery plan. NSW Department of Primary Industries Fisheries Ecosystems Unit, Port Stephens Fisheries Institute, NSW.
- NSW DPI (2016) Caulerpa (*Caulerpa taxifolia*) in NSW, Prime Fact 1471, NSW Department of Primary Industries NSW.
- NSW DPI (2019). White's Seahorse (*Hippocampus whitei*). Primefact 1702, NSW DPI Fisheries. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Threatened Species Unit, NSW.
- NSW DPI (2021). Cauliflower Soft Coral (*Dendronephthya australis*). Primefact INT21/391176, NSW DPI Fisheries. NSW Department of Primary Industries, Threatened Species Unit, NSW
- NSW DPI (2023). NSW Fisheries Spatial Data Portal. NSW Department of Primary Industries. Accessed June 2023, <https://www.dpi.nsw.gov.au/about-us/science-and-research/spatial-data-portal>

WBM (2006). *Pittwater Estuary Management Study 2006*. Prepared for Pittwater Council, Mona Vale, NSW.