
From: DYPXCPWEB@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au
Sent: 9/04/2025 2:22:26 PM
To: DA Submission Mailbox
Subject: TRIMMED: Online Submission

09/04/2025

MR Daniel Clarke
8 - 8 ST
North Narrabeen NSW 2101
[REDACTED]

RE: DA2025/0266 - 116 Nareen Parade NORTH NARRABEEN NSW 2101

Dear Council,

The complete lack of infrastructure upgrades and maintenance means that even before the demolition starts no truck is legally allowed into any of the streets to access the site. This is from either Pittwater Rd or Powderworks road.

The State Government and Council as well as the developer need to make Nareen Parade amenable to both traffic and pedestrians. This work can not commence until Nareen Parade is widened on the park side, has lights and a footpath. This is a major pedestrian and bike thoroughfare for the many school students and local residents.

Allowing these trucks through without reparation will cause major damage to the already damaged roads.

Traffic management plan provides insufficient information. The map currently states trucks will pass through a street that is very narrow, and park on grounds owned by Energy Australia. No permission certificates are attached. It really doesn't look like there is an adequate plan at all in regards to traffic.

Will the site be maintained (grass cut etc) and access still be provided for residents on Eungai and Tatiara?

What strategies are in place during demolition for flood risk? There is a potential of significant land slippage in the south western corner. All water from this site feeds into lagoon via the wetlands into the ocean. The potential for contamination is huge. There is no flood risk assessment attached to this D.A.

I also believe this D.A is not complete without a geotechnical report. The rear stairs of the building literally holds the up hill behind it. The demolition can not proceed without this risk assessment.

I can't see what special measures have been taken for this site in particular. The waste management plan is just a cut and paste from the last job. Nothing is tailored for this fragile site.

It is also a bit disingenuous to not include what the next phase after demolition is. What will the building heights be, how many lots, of what size? What is proposed? I think this information needs to be made transparent for the demolition to be approved.

The developer has made no effort to engage the community to talk about plans.

Sincerely,
Daniel Clarke