

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2021/0686
----------------------------	--------------

Responsible Officer:	Stephanie Gelder
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 395 DP 19651, 18 Norma Road PALM BEACH NSW 2108
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0240 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house
Zoning:	E4 Environmental Living
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Martin George Thurgarland Catherine Ann Thurgarland
Applicant:	Arclab Pty Ltd

Application Lodged:	03/09/2021
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions
Notified:	16/09/2021 to 30/09/2021
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	0
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposed development comprises of the following modifications to DA2020/0240:

- The roof form above proposed Level 3 has been modified to a flat roof;
- Enclose the decked courtyard with glass doors to create a dining room;
- New window to the east facing wall to the living area;
- The wet areas have switched location on the proposed Level 3 floor plan;
- The north facing terrace on Level 3 is 600mm deeper;
- The existing roof above the garage has been fully removed; and
- The privacy screen on the south elevation is now masonry.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - D12.8 Building envelope

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 395 DP 19651 , 18 Norma Road PALM BEACH NSW 2108
Detailed Site Description:	<p>The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the northern side of Norma Road.</p> <p>The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 20.115m along Norma Road and a depth of 53.815m. The site has a surveyed area of 608.9m².</p> <p>The site is located within the E4 Environmental Living zone from PLEP 2014 and accommodates a one & two storey dwelling house, attached garage and a swimming pool located north of the dwelling.</p> <p>The site slopes from the front southern boundary downwards to the rear northern boundary over approximately 5 metres.</p> <p>The site contains lawned areas within the front setback, however the site is otherwise heavily developed. There are no details of any threatened species on the site.</p> <p>Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development</p>

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by dwellings of similar size varying in architectural style and design.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

DA2020/0240

Development Application for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house.
Approved on 1 May 2020.

CC2021/0985

Construction Certificate for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house.
Dated 13 August 2021 by Zed Certifiers.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the

applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2020/0240, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55 (2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
<p>A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:</p>	
<p>(a) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and</p>	<p>The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2020/0240 for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The modification works comprises of works that constitute substantially the same development in that the bulk of the structure will remain unaltered. • The proposed changes are minor in scope and the modified roof form aims to lesson the bulk of the additions approved under DA2020/0240. • The modification does not alter the residential land use in the E4 Environmental Living zone of the site.
<p>(b) it has consulted with the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body (within the meaning of Division 5) in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent or in accordance with the general terms of an approval proposed to be granted by the approval body and that Minister, authority or body has not, within 21 days after being consulted, objected to the modification of that consent, and</p>	<p>Development Application DA2020/0240 did not require concurrence from the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body.</p>
<p>(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:</p> <p>(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,</p> <p>or</p> <p>(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority</p>	<p>The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, and the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan.</p>

Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 96 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on “Environmental Planning Instruments” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<p><u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.</p>

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	<p><u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p>
<p>Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality</p>	<p>(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report.</p> <p>(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.</p> <p>(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.</p>
<p>Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development</p>	<p>The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.</p>
<p>Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs</p>	<p>See discussion on “Notification & Submissions Received” in this report.</p>
<p>Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest</p>	<p>No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.</p>

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 16/09/2021 to 30/09/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

No referrals were sent in relation to this application

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No.A430138, dated 1 September 2021).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

10 Development on certain land within coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests area

- (1) *The following may be carried out on land identified as “coastal wetlands” or “littoral rainforest” on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map only with development consent:*
- (a) *the clearing of native vegetation within the meaning of Part 5A of the Local Land Services Act 2013,*
 - (b) *the harm of marine vegetation within the meaning of Division 4 of Part 7 of the Fisheries Management Act 1994,*
 - (c) *the carrying out of any of the following:*
 - (i) *earthworks (including the depositing of material on land),*
 - (ii) *constructing a levee,*
 - (iii) *draining the land,*
 - (iv) *environmental protection works,*
 - (d) *any other development.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development is not located on land identified as “coastal wetlands” or “littoral rainforest”.

11 Development on land in proximity to coastal wetlands or littoral rainforest

- (1) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land identified as “proximity area for coastal wetlands” or “proximity area for littoral rainforest” on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development will not significantly impact on:*
- (a) *the biophysical, hydrological or ecological integrity of the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest, or*
 - (b) *the quantity and quality of surface and ground water flows to and from the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development is not located on land identified as “proximity area for coastal wetlands” or “proximity area for littoral rainforest”.

12 Development on land within the coastal vulnerability area

Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the area identified as “coastal vulnerability area” on the Coastal Vulnerability Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that:

- (a) *if the proposed development comprises the erection of a building or works—the building or works are engineered to withstand current and projected coastal hazards for the design life of the building or works, and*
- (b) *the proposed development:*
 - (i) *is not likely to alter coastal processes to the detriment of the natural environment or other land, and*
 - (ii) *is not likely to reduce the public amenity, access to and use of any beach, foreshore, rock platform or headland adjacent to the proposed development, and*
 - (iii) *incorporates appropriate measures to manage risk to life and public safety from coastal hazards, and*

- (c) *measures are in place to ensure that there are appropriate responses to, and management of, anticipated coastal processes and current and future coastal hazards.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development is not located on land identified as “coastal vulnerability area”.

13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

- (1) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:*
- (a) *the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,*
 - (b) *coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,*
 - (c) *the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,*
 - (d) *marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,*
 - (e) *existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,*
 - (f) *Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,*
 - (g) *the use of the surf zone.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development is not located on land identified as “coastal environment area”.

- (2) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies*

unless the consent authority is satisfied that:

- (a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or
- (b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
- (c) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development is not located on land identified as “coastal environment area”.

14 Development on land within the coastal use area

(1)

- (a) has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
 - (i) existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
 - (ii) overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to foreshores,
 - (iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,
 - (iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
 - (v) cultural and built environment heritage, and
- (b) is satisfied that:
 - (i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or
 - (ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
 - (iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and
- (c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development is located on land identified as “coastal use area”, However, there are no issues raised with no likely adverse impacts in relation to the proposed development and the land being within a "coastal use area".

As such, it is considered that the application does comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and it is determined the proposed development will not result in an increased risk to coastal hazards.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	5.8m	5.8m	-	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
1.9A Suspension of covenants, agreements and instruments	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	6.5m - 8.1m	unaltered	Yes
Rear building line	6.5m	33.2m	unaltered	Yes
Side building line	2.5m (East)	5.2m - 6.2m	unaltered	Yes
	1m (West)	1.8m - 4.2m	unaltered	Yes
Building envelope	3.5m (East)	Within envelope	Within envelope	Yes
	3.5m (West)	Within envelope	Outside envelope	No
Landscaped area	60% (365.3m ²)	16% (97.9m ²)	unaltered	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.12 Palm Beach Locality	Yes	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B1.3 Heritage Conservation - General	Yes	Yes
B1.4 Aboriginal Heritage Significance	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B5.15 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes
C1.13 Pollution Control	Yes	Yes
C1.23 Eaves	Yes	Yes
D12.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D12.3 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D12.8 Building envelope	No	Yes
D12.14 Scenic Protection Category One Areas	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

D12.8 Building envelope

Description of non-compliance

The western elevation has a minor encroachment of the building envelope along the roof form of level 3.

Under Part D12.8 of the P21 DCP, buildings are to be sited within planes that are projected at 45 degrees from a height of 3.5 metres above ground level (existing) at the side boundaries to the maximum building height.

However, due to the irregular shape of the lot, there is a minimal portion of the western roof form that encroaches within the building envelope.

Merit assessment

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Outcomes of the Control as follows:

- *To achieve the desired future character of the Locality.*

Comment

The proposed modification is consistent with the desired future character of the Palm Beach Locality.

- *To enhance the existing streetscapes and promote a building scale and density that is below the height of the trees of the natural environment.*

Comment

The maximum height of the roof form is approximately 5.8m that is below the height of the trees of the natural environment.

- *To ensure new development responds to, reinforces and sensitively relates to spatial characteristics of the existing natural environment.*

Comment

The modified roof form to a flat roof responds sensitively to the spatial characteristics of the existing natural environment.

- *The bulk and scale of the built form is minimised.*

Comment

The modification to a flat roof results in a minimisation of bulk and scale of the roof form and is considered to be reduce the bulk when viewed from the southern elevation.

- *Equitable preservation of views and vistas to and/or from public/private places.*

Comment

The preservation of views and vistas remains to and/or from public/private places as the height of the roof form is unchanged.

- *To ensure a reasonable level of privacy, amenity and solar access is provided within the development site and maintained to neighbouring properties.*

Comment

A reasonable level of privacy, amenity and solar access is provided within the development site and maintained to adjoining properties.

- *Vegetation is retained and enhanced to visually reduce the built form.*

Comment

The use of a brick screen and planters along the southern elevation will assist in softening the built form.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of PLEP 2014, P21 DCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2021/0686 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0240 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 395 DP 19651, 18 Norma Road, PALM BEACH, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Site Analysis Plan, S&E Control, Waste Management Plan - 01-MC	23 August 2021	Arclab Pty Ltd
Level 2 Floor Plan - 02-MC	23 August 2021	Arclab Pty Ltd
Level 3 Floor Plan - 03-MC	23 August 2021	Arclab Pty Ltd
Northern Elevation, Southern Elevation & Western Elevation - 04-MC	23 August 2021	Arclab Pty Ltd
Eastern Elevation & Section A - 05-MC	23 August 2021	Arclab Pty Ltd

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:		
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
BASIX Certificate (No.A430138)	1 September 2021	Arclab Pty Ltd

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Waste Management Plan		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Waste Management Plan	5 March 2020	Arclab Pty Ltd

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Stephanie Gelder, Planner

The application is determined on 01/10/2021, under the delegated authority of:



Rodney Piggott, Manager Development Assessments