
From: DYPXCPWEB@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au
Sent: 23/07/2024 10:45:41 AM
To: DA Submission Mailbox
Subject: Online Submission

23/07/2024

MS Diane Dromgold
221 McCarrs Creek RD
Church Point NSW 2105
[REDACTED]

RE: DA2024/0849 - 231 - 233 McCarrs Creek Road CHURCH POINT NSW 2105

I find myself, yet again, objecting to the destruction of the environment in this area. A house that spans 2 block and rises three stories will be imposing on land that close to the road.

I note several things of specific concern:

1. There appears to be no genuine attempt to live within the environment, but rather to dominate it.
2. There is no genuine commitment to maintain trees, even high value ones which once gone will never come back.
3. The submission ignores the wildlife using and living in the area. Really, this development is not consistent with living in the existing environment.
4. I note there is reference to Church Point undergoing 'urban renewal'. Most of us moved here because it's not 'urban'. If council plans to continue to break the social contract with existing residents then this needs to be discussed and compensated.
5. The remedy for 'storm water management' is laughable. A 5k litre tank which then empties onto the road will cause untold pain to people on the lower side of the road. There is no effective road side storm water management. For all construction currently underway the impact has been horrific. In the last storm McCarrs Creek Rd was cut off due to run off from construction forcing water across the road. In 26 years we've never seen this before. The solution to storm water management for new build, can't be, 'let's make it someone else's problem'.
6. There is reference to 'all facilities being available'. That's simply untrue. The electricity connection on the high side of McCarrs Rd is flaky and unreliable. To the point where we maintain a generator! Also, do the new owners understand that connection to the sewer along here, means maintaining holding tanks on their property, macerating the sewerage and then drip feeding it to the very small connection at the road?

In all this is a selfish build, and I know we already live here. Couple of things the rules were more onerous when our home was built (we bought it many years after it's construction) and the footprint had to be minimised. We are now the caretakers of a vibrant natural environment. We don't agree to it being eroded. In the end, now that we as a society know

better, we should do better. This land should not become part of any 'urban renewal plan'.

Buy it back or insist on building in keeping with the environment. Please and thank you.