

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2022/0039	
Responsible Officer:	Adam Croft	
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 12 DP 1105469, 12 Montpelier Place MANLY NSW 2095	
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0148 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool	
Zoning:	Manly LEP2013 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential	
Development Permissible:	Yes	
Existing Use Rights:	No	
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council	
Land and Environment Court Action:	No	
Owner:	John Patrick Kelleher Christine Gail Kelleher	
Applicant:	Vaughan Patrick Milligan	

Application Lodged:	10/02/2022	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Refer to Development Application	
Notified:	Not Notified	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil	
Recommendation:	Approval	

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal seeks consent for the modification of the approved western boundary fencing.

The proposed fencing changes necessitate the amendment/deletion of the previously imposed conditions 19 and 19A, as discussed under 4.1.10 Fencing.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

• An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act



1979, and the associated regulations;

- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 - 6.5 Terrestrial biodiversity Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.10 Fencing

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 12 DP 1105469 , 12 Montpelier Place MANLY NSW 2095
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one allotment located on the south-western corner of Montpelier Place.
	The site is generally regular in shape with a primary frontage of 12.055m, secondary frontage of 48.495m and corner splay of 4.2m along the private road, Montpelier Place. The site has a surveyed area of 752.8m ² .
	The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates an existing dwelling house.
	The site slopes 7m from rear (south) to front (north).
	The site contains landscaped front and rear yards, with a number of significant trees and other vegetation within the rear setback.
	Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development
	Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by detached dwellings and townhouses.

Map:





SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

- DA2020/0148 Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool -Approved 27 April 2020.
- Mod2020/0667 Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0148 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool Approved 2 February 2021.
- Mod2021/0638 Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0148 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool Approved 5 November 2021.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the



Assessment Report for DA2020/0148, Mod2020/0667 and Mod2021/0638, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55 (2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments	
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:		
(a) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2020/0148, Mod2020/0667 and Mod2021/0638 for the following reasons: "(2) Other modifications A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if— (a) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at	
	all), and" <u>Comment:</u> The proposed changes maintain the single residential use and do not alter the intent of the lot to be developed. The modification is limited to the proposed boundary fencing.	
	The modified development is not considered to result in any significant departures from the previous approval in relation to visual and amenity impacts.	
(b) it has consulted with the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body (within the meaning of Division 5) in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent or in accordance with the general terms of an approval proposed to be granted by the approval body and that Minister, authority or body has not, within 21 days after being consulted, objected to the modification of that	Development Application DA2020/0148 did not require concurrence from the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body.	



Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
consent, and	
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:	The application was not notified as the proposed modification relates to a
(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,	boundary fence, and evidence of an agreement between the adjoining
or	property owners has been provided.
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 96 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Manly Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.



Section 4.15 'Matters for	Comments
Consideration'	<u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.
	<u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	<u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	<u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Manly Development Control Plan section in this report.
economic impacts in the locality	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the
interest	refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application was not notified.

REFERRALS

No referrals were sent in relation to this application

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005

The subject property is located within the Foreshores and Waterways Area therefore the provisions of this plan apply to this development.

An assessment of the proposal against Clause 2(2) (aims of the SREP), Clause 14 (nominated



planning principles), Clause 22 (relating to public access to and use of foreshores and waterways), Clause 23 (relating to maintenance of a working harbour), Clause 24 (relating to interrelationship of waterway and foreshore uses), Clause 25 (relating to foreshore and waterways scenic quality), Clause 26 (relating to maintenance, protection and enhancement of views) and Clause 27 (relating to boat storage facilities) has been undertaken. The proposal is considered to be consistent with the above provisions of the SREP. Given the scale of the proposed modification and the works proposed referral to the Foreshores and Waterways Planning and Development Advisory Committee was not considered necessary.

SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

Clauses 13 and 14 do not apply as the land is within the Foreshores and Waterways Area of the SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

The proposal is unlikely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on the subject site or surrounding land.

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

Is the development permissible? Yes		
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

There are no principal development standards under Part 4 of the Manly LEP to consider as part of this assessment.

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Stormwater management	Yes
6.5 Terrestrial biodiversity	Yes
6.9 Foreshore scenic protection area	Yes
6.13 Design excellence	Yes



Detailed Assessment

6.5 Terrestrial biodiversity

The previously imposed conditions relating to wildlife access remain applicable to the development.

Manly Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

There are no built form controls under Part 4 of the Manly DCP to consider as part of this assessment.

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)	Yes	Yes
3.3.1 Landscaping Design	Yes	Yes
3.3.2 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing	Yes	Yes
3.4.2 Privacy and Security	Yes	Yes
3.4.3 Maintenance of Views	Yes	Yes
3.7 Stormwater Management	Yes	Yes
3.8 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
4.1.10 Fencing	Yes	Yes
4.4.5 Earthworks (Excavation and Filling)	Yes	Yes
5.3 St Patrick's Estate, Manly	Yes	Yes
5.4.1 Foreshore Scenic Protection Area	Yes	Yes
5.4.2 Threatened Species and Critical Habitat Lands	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.1.10 Fencing

The proposal relates to the modification of the approved swimming pool and western boundary fencing, which was subject to previously imposed conditions 19 and 19A that read as follows:

19. Boundary Fencing

The proposed fencing along the southern and western boundaries of the site is to be:

- i. contained wholly within the subject site;
- ii. a height of 1.8m above existing ground level at the property boundary;

iii) designed to incorporate the relevant conditions of consent in relation to biodiversity.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To balance privacy, visual and biodiversity impacts.

19A. Pool Fencing

The proposed pool fencing is to be located inside the western boundary of the site and is to be separate



from the western boundary fence.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To minimise the visual impact of the development.

Comment:

The amended boundary fence design results in a lower overall height that maintains a greater level of consistency with the sloping topography of the land, while providing adequate privacy to the rear private open spaces of the subject site and 11 Montpelier Place. Evidence of an agreement between the owners of Nos. 11 and 12 Montpelier Place in relation to the proposed amended fence design has also been submitted with this application.

The proposed modification is supportable, subject to the amendment of condition 19 and the deletion of condition 19A to read as follows:

19. Boundary Fencing

The proposed fencing along the southern and western boundaries of the site is to be:

i. contained wholly within the subject site;

ii. DELETED;

iii) designed to incorporate the relevant conditions of consent in relation to biodiversity.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To balance privacy, visual and biodiversity impacts.

19A. DELETED

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.



This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2022/0039 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0148 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool on land at Lot 12 DP 1105469,12 Montpelier Place, MANLY, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
DA02 Proposed Plans - Lower Ground and Ground	Rev. C, 21 January 2022	Ilario Cortese Architects	
DA04 Proposed Elevations	Rev. C, 21 January 2022	Ilario Cortese Architects	

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

B. Amend Condition 19. Boundary Fencing to read as follows:



19. Boundary Fencing

The proposed fencing along the southern and western boundaries of the site is to be:

i. contained wholly within the subject site;

ii. DELETED;

iii) designed to incorporate the relevant conditions of consent in relation to biodiversity.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To balance privacy, visual and biodiversity impacts.

C. Delete Condition 19A. Pool Fencing to read as follows:

19A. DELETED

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Ascroto

Adam Croft, Planner

The application is determined on 18/02/2022, under the delegated authority of:

Rodney Piggott, Manager Development Assessments