
From: DYPXCPWEB@northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au
Sent: 15/01/2024 11:33:11 AM
To: DA Submission Mailbox
Subject: TRIMMED: Online Submission

15/01/2024

MR PETER JARMAN
A501 / 7 SKYLINE PL
FRENCHS FOREST NSW 2086
[REDACTED]

RE: Mod2023/0617 - 5 Skyline Place FRENCHS FOREST NSW 2086

Having spoken with other like-minded residents of "Jardin", 7 Skyline Place, Frenchs Forest, we wish to lodge our strong objection to the Developer's plan to create an entry/exit for vehicles from Jardin Stage 2 via the Stage 1 carpark.

The existing Stage 1 exit/entry is of poor design, a high-risk accident site and is not fit-for-purpose, servicing the current completed Stage 1 development.

The design fault with the existing entry/exit requires vehicles entering or leaving the carpark to execute two concurrent 90-degree turns, a hard right and then a hard left between concrete columns and solid concrete walls.

A vehicle exiting is in a blind position in the course of the manoeuvre. Once they have committed to the exit they are on a head-on position with a vehicle that has made the right hand turn into the car park entry/exit ramp.

This is a frequent occurrence and one or more vehicles has to yield. It is not a two-way entry/exit ramp. It is one-way at a time. This is a design fault.

The vehicle or vehicles that yield have to reverse back over the footpath and onto Skyline Place. In doing so the first vehicle is doing it blindly as they cannot see either pedestrian or vehicular traffic due to reversing back past solid concrete walls on either side of the ramp. If there are other vehicles attempting to enter the ramp behind the first entering vehicle, they create a risky traffic predicament in Skyline Place for vehicles and pedestrian.

To compound the problem, when entering the ramp in the afternoon the western sun shines straight into eyes of the drivers. They are driving blind into the carpark and are expected to negotiate the two aforementioned 90-degree turns to enter the carpark. Their vision is impeded and they may not see exiting vehicles.

As it stands the current Stage 1 ramp does not safely support the movement of 138 car spaces of Stage 1. Again we state the design of the entry/exit ramp is not two-way as it caters for one-way flow only. It is not fit for purpose in Stage 1.

The Developer now wants to add another 200-plus cars to this, allowing for potentially over 340 vehicles to utilise the Stage 1 one-way exit/entry ramp.

It can only be considered foolhardy, with many residents well over 55. They should not be regularly challenged at the entry/exit point of their carpark.

When all apartments are sold and the commercial tenants are in place, the Developer will move on with no regard to the chaos left behind.

Now is the time to bring proper design and fit-for-purpose to the carpark entry/exit for Stage 2. The current proposal cannot be allowed to proceed. Stage 2 should have its own workable entry/exit submitted by the Developer.

