

Livia Kekwick

From:
Sent: Tuesday, 14 December 2021 10:17 AM
To: Livia Kekwick
Subject: Fwd: DA2021/1032 8 Delecta ave Clareville

LIVIA KEKWICK...Bruce Mackay..6 Delecta
Wishes to be allowed to address panel

Panel members ,,,,,,I pose these questions to you and I would like a defining answer please now ,,,,,,from one or more panel members today.

Government bodies set rules why then are they ignored and not applied.

8 delecta Avenue is standing over the forshore building line on a very busy public beach,,,,, it has recently changed ownership,

Existing use rights don't apply,,

architects and Council have refused to work out a way to modify these drawings to comply with the building codes on this small narrow 632 square metre site,,,,with its many numerous breaches

Why then was number 6 delecta and number 8 delecta forced to adhere to a 40% footprint when building .

I'm sure there are many others that have been forced to comply to building lines and setbacks and the 40% landscape ratio in all scenic public areas when they were building. Six delecta will be looking at a 34 metre brick wall some 3 m + high average on our North facing side sunny side of our home.

A timber frame walkway built with sandstone pillars or timber pillars would allow light and breeze between the joined buildings if this design needs to be kept there would be then no arguments,,,this would then allow a lot more light and breeze into number 6 .

Current proposal is like the Wall of China it blocks too much breeze and light from our front entrance and my office I work from home.

I would think any of the honorable panel members would Object as we are if a similar proposal was planned at your Home residence where you live .

This over building is unnecessary and only planned this way to keep the front Beach encroachment intact by RAMA architects. Council and Rama both have ignored our request to screen the beach balcony as it's overlooking our main bedroom, lounge and looking down directly on our pool ,,

The amended drawings without any consultation make no change to the impact on 6 delecta by reducing the 34 m tall wall or reduction that is noticeable on on size and bulk it will spoil our amenity for light and breeze ,,,,At our front entrance and my work desk please explain why this should be allowed after viewing our architect model drawings of what is proposed ,,,,,the result should be clearly evident on Viewing,,,,,

Who would like to answer these questions for us,

Bruce and Judy Mackay