Preliminary Geotechnical Assessment.

Client Name: Mr & Mrs Heinz

Project: Proposed alterations and additions 179 Alfred Street, Narraweena Narraweena NSW 2099

1.0 Landslip Risk Class

| LANDSLIP RISK CLASS (Highlight indicates Landslip Risk Class of property) |
|---|
| A - Geotechnical Report not normally required |
| B - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required |
| C - Geotechnical Report is required |
| D - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required |
| E - Geotechnical Report required |

2.0 Proposed Development

- **2.1** Change of shape of small portion of existing roof at the W side of the house.
- 2.2 Widening of existing entry stair. Apart from new stair footings, no excavations are required. No fills are shown on the plans.
- 2.3 Details of the proposed development are shown on drawings prepared by Michal Korecky, drawing numbered 19047, Sheets 1 to 11, Issue 1, dated 15/11/2019.

Michal Korecky MEngSc, MIE Aust Mob: 0438148944 Ph/fax: 99813332 koreckym@gmail.com

3.0 Site Location

3.1 The site was inspected on the 25th November, 2019.

3.2 This residential property has a NW aspect. The block runs longways to the E with the slope to the north-east. It is located on the gently graded upper middle reaches of a hillslope. The Sydney 1:100 000 Geological sheet indicates the site is underlain by Hawkesbury Sandstone that is described as a medium to coarse grained quartz sandstone with very minor shale and laminite lenses. Sandstone bedrock is expected to underlie the surface at relatively shallow depths and is also exposed under and adjacent to the house. The natural surface of the block has been altered with an excavation for a pool on the E side of the property. The proposed development will not alter the surface further.

3.3 The site shows no indications of historical movement in the natural surface that could have occurred since the property was developed. We are aware of no history of instability on the property.

4.0 Site Description

The natural slope rises across the property at an average angle of <15°. At the road frontage, a concrete driveway runs to a car port on the ground floor of the house. Between the road frontage and the house is a gently sloping garden area. The part two-storey brick house is supported on brick walls. The external supporting walls of the house display no significant signs of movement. There is pool to the east of the site that displays no signs of ground movement that could be related to slope instability. The area surrounding the house is mostly paved or lawn-covered. No signs of movement associated with slope instability were observed on the grounds. No cliffs or large rock faces were observed on the property or in the near vicinity. The adjoining neighbouring properties were observed to be in good order as seen from the road and the subject property.

Michal Korecky MEngSc, MIE Aust Mob: 0438148944 Ph/fax: 99813332 koreckym@gmail.com

5.0 Recommendations

The proposed development and site conditions were considered and applied to the Council Flow Chart.

Provided good engineering and building practice are followed, no further Geotechnical assessment is recommended for the proposed development.

Prepared

mus

Michał Korecky Civil Engineer ME, MIEAust Mob: 0438148944 Ph/fax: 99813332

Endorsed White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.

Bulit

Ben White M.Sc.Geol., AuslMM., CP GEOL. whitegeo.com.au Ph:02/7900 3214 No.222757 Engineering Geologist

Michal Korecky MEngSc, MIE Aust Mob: 0438148944 Ph/fax: 99813332 koreckym@gmail.com

Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart – Northern Beaches Council (Warringah)



Michal Korecky MEngSc, MIE Aust Mob: 0438148944 Ph/fax: 99813332 koreckym@gmail.com

Information about your Preliminary Assessment

This Preliminary Assessment relies on visual observations of the surface features observed during the site inspection. Where reference is made to subsurface features (e.g. the depth to rock) these are interpretations based on the surface features present and previous experience in the area. No ground testing was conducted as part of this assessment and it is possible subsurface conditions will vary from those interpreted in the assessment.

In some cases, we will recommend no further geotechnical assessment is necessary despite the presence of existing fill or a rock face on the property that exceed the heights that would normally trigger a full geotechnical report, according to the Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart. Where this is the case, if it is an existing fill, it is either supported by a retaining wall that we consider stable, or is battered at a stable angle and situated in a suitable position on the slope. If it is a rock face that exceeds the flow chart limit height, the face has been deemed to be competent rock that is considered stable. These judgements are backed by the inspection of over 5000 properties on Geotechnical related matters.

The proposed excavation heights referred to in section 2.0 of this assessment are estimated by review of the plans we have been given for the job. Although we make every reasonable effort to provide accurate information excavation heights should be checked by the owner or person lodging the DA. If the excavation heights referred to in in section 2.0 of this assessment are incorrect we are to be informed immediately and before this assessment is lodged with the DA.