

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2021/0163
----------------------------	--------------

Responsible Officer:	Penny Wood
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 10 DP 15762, 13 Bruce Street MONA VALE NSW 2103
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2019/0833 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house
Zoning:	E4 Environmental Living
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Amanda Alice Eilbeck
Applicant:	Amanda Alice Eilbeck

Application Lodged:	20/04/2021
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions
Notified:	28/04/2021 to 12/05/2021
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	0
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This modification application seeks consent for the following alterations and additions to an existing residential dwelling:

- Demolition of an existing internal retaining wall to the lower ground floor;
- Excavate the lower ground floor in a westerly direction by 0.8m - 3.2m to increase the floor area and re-configure the layout This will includes a larger bathroom and robe area to bedroom 2, a larger rumpus room, new WC and reconfigured bathroom/laundry.
- A new glass louvre window to the northern wall o the proposed laundry/bathroom The new window will replace an existing sub floor access opening.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan - D14.13 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 10 DP 15762 , 13 Bruce Street MONA VALE NSW 2103
Detailed Site Description:	<p>The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the eastern side of Bruce Street.</p> <p>The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 18.3m along Bruce Street and a maximum depth of 33.7m. The site has a surveyed area of 525.8m².</p> <p>The site is located within the E4 Environmental Living Zone and accommodates a one and two storey residential dwelling with a detached garage.</p> <p>The site has a westerly orientation, with significant ocean views to the east. The site is located on a slope of approximately 6.85m (23%).</p> <p>The site has one (1) large canopy tree located in the yard in the north eastern corner. Vegetation, such as hedging and low-lying shrubs, are located within the front setback. The rear yard has a large turfed area.</p> <p>Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development</p> <p>Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by</p>

one (1) and two (2) storey residential developments of similar size.

A site visit was conducted on 4 May 2021.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

2 November 2004

A Development Application (N0799/04) was lodged with Council for the demolition of an existing dwelling and construction of a new dwelling and swimming pool. This application was refused by the Development Unit (DU) based on the following reasons:

- Non-compliance with the foreshore building line requirements of Pittwater 21 DCP.
 - Non-compliance with the front building line requirements of Pittwater 21 DCP, noting that the front building line requirement relates to the proposed garage and swimming pool.
 - The loss of privacy and amenity impacts associated with the proposed terrace areas relating to 15 Bruce Street, Warriewood.
 - Non-compliance with the view sharing requirements of Pittwater 21 DCP.
 - Insufficient information being provided in respect to the access driveway requirements of Pittwater 21 DCP.
 - Non-compliance with the controls and outcomes specified in Section D14.1 of Pittwater 21 DCP relating to the scale of the proposed verandah structures on the eastern elevation.
- Subsequently, the applicant submitted a formal application under Section 82A for Council's reconsideration of the refusal, with amended architectural drawings provided. This application was ultimately withdrawn on 10 May 2006, at the request of the applicant.

29 September 2006

A Development Application (N0634/06) was lodged with Council for alterations and additions to an existing residential dwelling. This application was subsequently approved, subject to conditions. A

Construction Certificate (CC0116/07) was submitted to Council on 22 January 2007.

13 February 2008

A Development Application (N0056/08) was lodged with Council for alterations and additions to an existing residential dwelling. This application was subsequently approved, subject to conditions. Two (2) Construction Certificates (CC0307/09 and CC0419/10) was submitted to Council - the first being for the alterations and additions to the dwelling, and the second being for the landscape works and swimming pool.

11 April 2014

A Modification Application (N0056/08/S96/1) was lodged with Council including changes to the garage roof and floor and amendments to the lower ground level. This application was subsequently approved, subject to conditions.

13 November 2019

A Development Application (DA2019/0833) was lodged with Council for Alterations and Additions to a dwelling house. This application was subsequently approved, subject to conditions.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2019/0833, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:	
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	<p>Yes</p> <p>The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given the development will not alter the approved built form of the dwelling, the modification is considered to be of minimal

Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
	<p>environmental impact.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The proposed modification will maintain compliance with the SEPP Coastal Management (2018). • The development does not propose any works forward of the Foreshore Building Line.
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	<p>The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2019/0833 for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The development will maintain the same built form as what was approved with no changes to building height, setback, building envelope or landscapes open space; • The development will not alter the existing impacts to the amenity of surrounding dwellings.
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with: (i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	<p>The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Pittwater Local Environment Plan 2011 and Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan.</p>
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	<p>No submissions were received in relation to this application.</p>

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on “Environmental Planning Instruments” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<p><u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.</p> <p><u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.</p> <p><u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent</p> <p><u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of</p>

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	<p>Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.</p> <p><u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	<p>(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report.</p> <p>(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.</p> <p>(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.</p>
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on “Notification & Submissions Received” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 28/04/2021 to 12/05/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
NECC (Bushland and	The proposed modification will not result in any additional impacts to

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Biodiversity)	the biodiversity values of the site, and the development is in compliance with the relevant biodiversity planning controls.
NECC (Riparian Lands and Creeks)	<p>The application proposes increased area of excavation to a larger ground floor area. Geotechnical investigations did not encounter groundwater, however if water enters the excavation at any time during construction then works must cease and conditions adhered to.</p> <p>With the application of these conditions it is considered unlikely that the proposal will have an adverse impact on the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, ecological and hydrological environment. It is therefore recommended for approval subject to conditions.</p>

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The modification application was not referred to Ausgrid for comment.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A331967_02, dated 19 April 2021).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was not referred to Ausgrid.

SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

10 Development on certain land within coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests area

- (1) *The following may be carried out on land identified as “coastal wetlands” or “littoral rainforest” on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map only with development consent:*
- (a) *the clearing of native vegetation within the meaning of Part 5A of the Local Land Services Act 2013,*
 - (b) *the harm of marine vegetation within the meaning of Division 4 of Part 7 of the Fisheries Management Act 1994,*
 - (c) *the carrying out of any of the following:*
 - (i) *earthworks (including the depositing of material on land),*
 - (ii) *constructing a levee,*
 - (iii) *draining the land,*
 - (iv) *environmental protection works,*
 - (d) *any other development.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development works are minor given they are proposed within the existing footprint therefore are not considered to impact on the coastal processes or the land being within a littoral rainforest area.

11 Development on land in proximity to coastal wetlands or littoral rainforest

- (1) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land identified as “proximity area for coastal wetlands” or “proximity area for littoral rainforest” on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development will not significantly impact on:*

- (a) *the biophysical, hydrological or ecological integrity of the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest, or*
- (b) *the quantity and quality of surface and ground water flows to and from the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development works are minor given they are proposed within the existing footprint therefore are not considered to impact on the coastal processes or the land being within proximity to a coastal wetlands area.

12 Development on land within the coastal vulnerability area

Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the area identified as “coastal vulnerability area” on the Coastal Vulnerability Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that:

- (a) *if the proposed development comprises the erection of a building or works—the building or works are engineered to withstand current and projected coastal hazards for the design life of the building or works, and*
- (b) *the proposed development:*
 - (i) *is not likely to alter coastal processes to the detriment of the natural environment or other land, and*
 - (ii) *is not likely to reduce the public amenity, access to and use of any beach, foreshore, rock platform or headland adjacent to the proposed development, and*
 - (iii) *incorporates appropriate measures to manage risk to life and public safety from coastal hazards, and*
- (c) *measures are in place to ensure that there are appropriate responses to, and management of, anticipated coastal processes and current and future coastal hazards.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed

development works are minor given they are proposed within the existing footprint therefore are not considered to impact on the coastal processes or the land being within a coastal vulnerability area.

13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

- (1) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:*
- (a) *the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,*
 - (b) *coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,*
 - (c) *the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,*
 - (d) *marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,*
 - (e) *existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,*
 - (f) *Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,*
 - (g) *the use of the surf zone.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development works are minor given they are proposed within the existing footprint therefore are not considered to impact on the coastal processes or the land being within a coastal environment area.

- (2) *Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:*
- (a) *the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or*
 - (b) *if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or*
 - (c) *if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.*

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and the proposed development. The proposed development works are minor given they are proposed within the existing footprint therefore are not considered to impact on the coastal processes or the land being within a coastal environment area.

14 Development on land within the coastal use area

- (1)
- (a) *has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:*
 - (i) *existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,*
 - (ii) *overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to*

- foreshores,
- (iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,
 - (iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
 - (v) cultural and built environment heritage, and
- (b) is satisfied that:
- (i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or
 - (ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
 - (iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and
- (c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development.

Comment:

The proposed works are not considered to impact on the visual amenity of the scenic coast as the proposal is well designed and sited.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

This clause has been assessed against the subject site and it is determined the proposed development will not result in an increased risk to coastal hazards.

As such, it is considered that the application does/does not comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.0m	8.0m	N/A	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
7.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
7.2 Earthworks	Yes
7.6 Biodiversity protection	Yes
7.7 Geotechnical hazards	Yes
7.8 Limited development on foreshore area	Yes
7.10 Essential services	Yes

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Front building line	6.5m	8.7m	unaltered	Yes
Rear building line	FBL applies	FBL applied and compliant	unaltered	Yes
Side building line	2.5m (northern boundary)	3.2m	unaltered	Yes
	1m (southern boundary)	1.1m	unaltered	Yes
Building envelope	3.5m (north)	Outside envelope (existing)	unaltered	No (unaltered)
	3.5m (south)	Outside envelope (eaves)	unaltered	No (unaltered)
Landscaped area	60%	37.2%	unaltered	No (unaltered)

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted	Yes	Yes
A4.14 Warriewood Locality	Yes	Yes
B3.1 Landslip Hazard	Yes	Yes
B4.17 Littoral Rainforest - Endangered Ecological Community	Yes	Yes
B5.13 Development on Waterfront Land	Yes	Yes
B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation	Yes	Yes
B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.2 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
C1.3 View Sharing	Yes	Yes
C1.4 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
C1.5 Visual Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.6 Acoustic Privacy	Yes	Yes
C1.7 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
C1.12 Waste and Recycling Facilities	Yes	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
D14.1 Character as viewed from a public place	Yes	Yes
D14.3 Building colours and materials	Yes	Yes
D14.7 Front building line	Yes	Yes
D14.8 Side and rear building line	Yes	Yes
D14.11 Building envelope	Yes	Yes
D14.13 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land	No	Yes
D14.17 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas	Yes	Yes
D14.18 Scenic Protection Category One Lands	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

D14.13 Landscaped Area - Environmentally Sensitive Land

The landscaped area falls short of the required 60% landscaped area prescribed for the site. The proposed development will not further reduce the non-compliant landscaped area.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any

unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2021/0163 for Modification of Development Consent DA2019/0833 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 10 DP 15762, 13 Bruce Street, MONA VALE, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
4.55 - Site Plan	26 March 2021	Richard Cole Architecture
4.55 - Lower Ground Floor Plan	26 March 2021	Richard Cole Architecture
4.55 - Elevation - North	26 March 2021	Richard Cole Architecture
4.55 - Section AA	26 March 2021	Richard Cole Architecture

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:		
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By
BASIX Certificate No. A331967_02	19 April 2021	Richard Cole Architecture
4.55 Application Amendment - Geotechnical Advice	30 March 2021	Martens Consulting Engineers

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Penny Wood, Planner

The application is determined on 14/05/2021, under the delegated authority of:



Steven Findlay, Manager Development Assessments