

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Development Application No. DA2008/1342

Assessment Officer: Kevin Short

Property Address: Lot 69, DP 12578, No. 52 Riverview Parade North Manly.

Proposal Description: Demolition of a dwelling house, outbuilding and detached garage and

construction of a dwelling house, driveway and associated landscaping works.

Plan Reference: Architectural drawings Revision B DA01/6, DA02/6 and DA04/6 prepared by Ana

Lage Architecture dated January 2009.

Landscape Concept Plan Drawing No.15 08 prepared by Deluxe Landscape Installations dated June 2008.

Stormwater Concept Plan Drawing No.03/6 prepared by Ana Lage Architecture dated August 2008.

Development Details: The development involves the demolition of the existing dwelling house, outbuilding (laundry) and detached brick garage and construction of a two storey dwelling house, two single garages and driveway.

The proposed dwelling is to consist of the following:

- A ground floor living room, kitchen, dining room, uncovered verandah, bathroom, laundry, cellar, bedroom and two (2) single garages;
- A first floor bathroom, balcony, television and rumpus room and three (3) bedrooms.

The detached outbuilding and garage, located in the rear western portion of the site, are to be demolished and their building footprints converted to landscaped open space.

The external materials and finishes of the proposed dwelling include a timber verandah, tiled balcony, metal roofing, timber framed windows and fascia, timber privacy screens, timber and steel balustrades, external walls of rendered concrete and a sandstone slat column on the eastern elevation.

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	▼ Yes □ No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	▼ Yes □ No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	▼ Yes □ No	▼ Yes □ No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$465, 000.00

Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

Yes No			
Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plan			
Contribution based on total development cost of	\$	465, 000.00	
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Council Code
Total S94A Levy	0.95%	\$4417.50	6923
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$232.50	6924
Total	1.0%	\$4650.00	



Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
Yes No	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A
Submissions Received?	No. of Submissions: Nil
Yes No	
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development	nent?
SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT	
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS	
WLEP 2000	
Locality: G2 Riverview Parade	
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Deve	elopment to Housing Other
Category of Development: Category 1 Category	y 2 Category 3
Desired Future Character: G2 Riverview Parade Loc	ality
The Riverview Parade locality will remain charac settings interspersed by a range of complementa	terised by detached style housing in landscaped ary and compatible uses.
Future development will also maintain the visual housing in the locality. The streets will be character consistent front building setbacks. Unless exempted in this locality statement, any subdivision of land size and configuration of existing allotments in the	terised by landscaped front gardens and bitions are made to the housing density standard is to be consistent with the predominant pattern,
Future extensions or additions to an existing dwe dwelling using materials and colours consistent v	
Future development will use materials and colou locality.	rs sympathetic to the existing buildings of the
Future development adjacent to Manly Lagoon was buildings and the lagoon to ensure minimal visual for flood storage.	
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's	s (Section 2 Assessment not required)
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Yes No	e Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?



Built Form Controls: G2 Riverview Parade Locality

Building Height (overall):	Proposed: 8.35m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement:	
▼ 8.5m	
11.0m	
Other	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Proposed: 6.73
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement:	
▼ 7.2m	
Other	
Front Setback:	Proposed: 6.54m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement:	
№ 6.5m	
Other	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	
Yes No	
Housing Density:	
Applicable: Yes No	
Landscape Open Space:	Proposed: 43.5% (242.90m²)
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
✓ 40% (222.56m²)	
50%	
Other	



warringa	an Council
Rear Setback:	Proposed: 14.91m to lower floor deck.
Applicable: Yes No	15.06 to primary dwelling. Complies: Yes No
Requirement:	Tes 116
6.0m	
Other	
Outbuildings: NA.	
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	Complies: Yes No
Other	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Note: 0.20mm of the roof eaves are within the projected building envelope. The remaining building elements are not within the projected building envelope.
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks: Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: res NO	Proposed: 0.90m
D	
900mm	Complies: Yes No
4.5m	
Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Proposed: 1.23m
	Complies: Yes No



General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
	Note: The schedule of colours and external materials submitted with the application are of earthy and natural tones which will allow the proposed dwelling to harmonise with the surrounding landscape and bushland settings.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ► No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	res res , subject to condition ino
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to containon rec
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to contained.
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition into
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	100 , subject to contained 140



CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Note: Curl Curl Creek adjoins the rear (western) boundary of the site and is subject to flooding from the Manly Lagoon flood plain. Accordingly, the application was accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment Report, Flood Evacuation Plan and Waterway Impact Statement, all of which were referred to Council's Catchment Management Department for comment. Following this process, Council's Catchment Management Department raise no objection to the proposed dwelling and conclude that the proposed dwelling is an acceptable design response to the risk of flooding.
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses is the site likely
	to be contaminated?
Yes No	☐ Yes ✓ No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	▼ Yes □ No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
res No	Note: The subject site is identified as Acid Sulphate Soil Category 4. The proposed development will not require excavation in excess of 2.0m, and therefore, an Acid Sulphate Soils Management Plan is not required.
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	0 11
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
	Note: Existing fences to be retained and given the site is 'flood affected', any new fencing will require a new
	Development Application.
CL 52 Development Near Parks Buchland	Complies:
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland Reserves & other public Open Spaces	·
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Note: The rear (western) boundary adjoins Curl Curl Creek
Yes No	and the proposed development does not involve any works within close proximity to the 'bank' of the creek. Given the creek 'bank' is a 'public open space', the proposal will not inhibit public access over the embankment or appear to privatise the landscape character of the 'creek'. Further, the demolition of the detached garage to the rear (west) of the site and conversion of it to landscaped open space will complement the landscape setting of the site and improve



	the visual transition between open space and the proposed built form of the development.
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res , subject to condition ino
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	,,
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. co , caspot to condition
	Note: The rear (western) boundary adjoins Curl Curl Creek
	and the proposed dwelling is located over 20.0m from the creek. In this regard the proposed development will have
	an acceptable impact on Curl Curl Creek.
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Note: Views of Curl Curl Creek and bushland to the
	rear of the site are available from the rear private open space areas upper and lower floor levels of the
	adjoining site to the east and west (No.50 and No.54
	Riverview Parade). The proposed development will not unreasonably impact on these views.
	not unleasonably impact on these views.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Ves □ No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. so , subject to contained.
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Too your good to contained.
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Note: Two (2) single garages are proposed and have been
	designed to integrate into the northern and eastern elevation of the proposed dwelling. Accordingly, the visual
	impact of each garage on the streetscape is minimised, particularly as only one (1) single garage can be viewed
	from the street. Therefore, the proposed parking facilities
	will not dominate the streetscape.
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	100 , oubject to contained 140



CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ✓ No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
165 140	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes □ No	Note: Two (2) single garages are proposed.
103 140	()
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Note: The dimensions of the two (2) single garages are
res inu	3.20m by 5.47m and 3.402m by 5.4m.
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Note: The subject site is flood affected and it is noted that 'no fill' is required or suggested to be used to elevate the
	building above the flood level. The proposed dwelling is to
	be elevated by 'drop edge beam and subfloor' construction with subfloor openings incorporated into the design which
	will allow flood water penetration.
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	res res, subject to condition ino
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife	
Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to contaition rec
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	. 25 . 25 , 225 jobi to containen.
Yes No	



Warringah Council		
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
□ Yes No		
Schedules:		
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino	
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Yes No	res res, subject to contaiton in	
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:	
subdivision of land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes ✓ No		
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:	
remediation work Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
	res res , subject to condition rive	
Yes No		
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Yes No		
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:	
plans of management	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Yes No		
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:	
development Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
	res res, subject to condition in	
Yes No		
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:	
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
□ Yes No	1.22 1.35 , 502,521 10 001101011 110	
	Commission	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	



Warri	ngah Council	
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}		
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes No		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:	
Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru	ments:	
SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No		
SEPP Basix: Applicable?		
Yes No		
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification? Yes No		
BASIX Certificate No.215482S.		
SEPP 55 Applicable?		
Yes No		
Based on the previous land uses if the site like	ely to be contaminated?	
Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?		
Yes No		
SEPP Infrastructure		
Applicable?		
Yes No		
Is the proposal for a swimming pool: No		
Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?		
Yes No		
Within 5m of an overhead power line ?		
Yes No		
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?		
Yes No		



REPs: Applicable?:	Yes	✓ No
--------------------	-----	------

EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
☐ Yes No	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Yes No
Yes No	
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
☐ Yes No	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Yes No	165 116
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for	Addressed via condition?
Disability Access) Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
☐ Yes No	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Ves □ No
Yes No	165 140

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	Yes No	Satisfactory
	Note: The Development Application was referred to Council's Engineering Department for comment, and subject to	Satisfactory, subject to condition
conditions of consent, no issues were raised.	Unsatisfactory	
	However, it is important to note that the conditions imposed on the consent relate to 'flood' issues and require amendments to the ground floor plan of the proposed dwelling.	
	Specifically, the conditions require the height of the two (2) single garage levels and cellar room to be raised to 2.9m AHD and the invert height of the 'Subfloor Openings' to match the existing ground surface levels or 2.25m AHD.	
	Having reviewed the submitted architectural drawings, this is readily achievable. This has been conveyed to the applicant	



	wanngan c	Julicii	
Landscape Assessment	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	☐ Yes ► No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	☐ Yes ► No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:			
EPA Act 1979	on oncies.	SEPP BA	SIX
_			
EPA Regulations 2000	<u> </u>		
Roads Act 1993	=		
SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection S94A Development Contributions F		velopment Contributions Plan	

SEPP No. 71 - Coastal Protection

The proposal has been identified as being located within the Coastal Zone. Accordingly, State Environmental Planning Policy No.71 – Coastal Protection (SEPP 71) applies to the subject site.

Clause 7 of SEPP 71 states that the matters for consideration set out in Clause 8 must be taken into consideration by a consent authority when it determines a development application to carry out development on land to which the policy relates.

The proposed development has been assessed against the matters of consideration, as set out in Clause 8 of SEPP 71 – Coastal Protection, and found to be consistent with all matters of consideration. Accordingly, no amendments to the proposed development are required with respect to SEPP 71 – Coastal Protection.



SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	Yes No N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ Yes □ No

SECTION 2 - ISSUES

As noted in Section 1 of this report, the proposed development has been assessed as being consistent with the DFC for the locality and General Principles of Development Control. In addition, the proposal is complaint with the Built Form Controls for the locality and no submissions were received as a result of the notification process. Accordingly, Section 2 is not applicable in this instance.

SECTION 3 - SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 556.4m²



Detail existing onsite structures:	Caves
Dwelling	
	Overhangs
Detached Garage	Waterfalls
Detached shed laundry)	Creeks / Watercourse (within close proximity to the rear (western boundary)
•	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
Site Features:	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
Trees	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Under Storey Vegetation	·
Rock Outcrops	Yes No
Bushfire Prone?	Located within an area identified as a Wave
Yes No	Impact Zone?
Yes No Flood Prone?	Yes No
Yes No (refer to discussion in the	Any items of heritage significance located upon it?
previous section of this report)	☐ Yes No
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils Yes No (The site contains Category 4	Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?
Acid Sulphate Soils, and as discussed	Yes No
previously in this report, the proposed development will not adversely impact on the soils.	
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?	☐ Yes No
Yes No	Is the development Integrated?
Yes No The rear (western) boundary is approximately	Yes No
7.5m from Curl Curl Creek.	Does the development require concurrence?
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay	Yes No
estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tida waterway within the area mapped within th NSW Coastal Policy?	Is the site owned or is the DA made by the
Yes No	☐ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}
Yes No Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?	Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?
	Yes No
Yes No The rear (western) boundary is approximately 7.5m from Curl Curl Creek, and the proposed development has been designed to negate the affects of flooding from the creek, including	Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?
conditions imposed on the consent to raise the	e

garage floor level.



<u>Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:</u> Kevin Short, Development Assessment Officer, on the 10 December 2009.

asses	the site inspection confirm the sment undertaken against the int EPI's ?	Yes No	
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?			
Signe	d Date		
Kevin	Short, Development Assessmen	nt Officer	
SECT	ION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMI	NATION	
Conc	usion:		
	roposal has been considered agair sed development is considered to be	nst the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Active:	t 1979 and the
▽ Sa	atisfactory		
□ Uı	nsatisfactory		
Reco	mmendation: APPROVAL		
That	Council as the consent authority		
V	GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSI	ENT to the development application subject to:	
	(a) the conditions detailed with(b) the consent lapsing within t	in the associated notice of determination; and three (3) from operation	
	GRANT DEFERRED COMMEN	ICEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:	
	(b) limit the deferred commend		onal consent
	REFUSE development consent	to the development application subject to:	
	(a) the reasons detailed within	the associated notice of determination.	



Signed	Date	
Kevin Short, Developmer	nt Assessment Officer	
The application is determined under the delegated authority of:		
Signed	Date	

Steven Findlay, Team Leader, Development Assessment