

ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT

Prepared for Kieran Burns- 3D Habitat

Site address 14 Ernest St, Balgowlah

<u>Prepared by</u>	David Gowenlock AQF5 (Director of Seasoned Tree Consulting)
<u>Address</u>	PO BOX 3044 Asquith NSW 2077
<u>Mobile</u>	0415 961 074
<u>Email</u>	david@seasonedtreeconsulting.com.au
<u>ABN</u>	73 606 422 351
<u>Date</u>	24 February 2022



Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION	. 2
2.	SCOPE OF THE REPORT	. 3
3.	LIMITATIONS	. 3
4.	METHODOLOGY	. 4
5.	SITE LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL	. 5
6.	OBSERVATIONS AND GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON	
	DEVELOPMENT SITES	. 6
7.	ASSESSEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS	
8.	CONCLUSIONS	
9.	Photographs	
10.	RECOMMENDATIONS	16
11.	ARBORICULTURAL WORK METHOD STATEMENT (AMS) AND TREE PROTECTION	
	REQUIREMENTS	17
12.	HOLD POINTS	
13.	BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES	24
APPEN	IDIX 1 – TREE LOCATION/ EXISTING SITE PLAN	26
Appen	IDIX 1A – PROPOSED SITE PLAN AND TREE PROTECTION PLAN	27
Appen	IDIX 2- TREE INSPECTION SCHEDULE	28

LIST OF OTHER APPENDICES

- Appendix 3 Tree Health
- Appendix 4 Tree Protection Zone
- Appendix 5 Structural Root Zone
- Appendix 6 Amenity Value
- Appendix 7 Age Class
- Appendix 8 Structural Condition
- Appendix 9 SULE Categories
- Appendix 10 Trees AZ

1. INTRODUCTION



1.1 This report has been commissioned by Kieran Burns of 3D Habitat to provide an Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report in relation to trees located on or close to the site that may be affected by a proposed development.

Title	Author	Date	Reference on document
Proposed Site Plan	3D Habitat	03/12/2021	Issue 1
Plan showing details and levels	CMS Surveyors	17/03/2020	Rev 2

- 1.2 One site inspection was carried out for the purpose of this assessment on 18th February 2022. The site inspection was undertaken to collect tree and site data.
- 1.3 The weather during the site inspection was clear and calm with good visibility.

2. SCOPE OF THE REPORT

- 2.1 This report has been undertaken to meet the following objectives.
- 2.2 Conduct a visual assessment from ground level of all trees located on or close to the site.
- 2.3 Determine the trees estimated contributing years, remaining useful life expectancy and award the tree a retention value.
- 2.4 Provide an assessment of the potential impact the proposed development is likely to have on the condition of the subject trees in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
- 2.5 Recommend methods to mitigate development impacts where appropriate.
- 2.6 Recommend pragmatic tree protection measures for any tree to be retained in accordance with AS4970 Protection of Trees on Development Sites 2009.

3. LIMITATIONS



- 3.1 Observations and recommendations are based on the single site inspection. The findings of this report are based on the observations and site conditions at the time inspection.
- 3.2 All observations were carried out from ground level. No detailed additional testing was carried out on trees or soil on site and none of the surrounding surfaces were lifted for investigation.
- 3.3 Root decay can sometimes be present with no visual indication above ground. It is also impossible to know the extent of any root damage caused by mechanical damage such as underground root cutting during the installation of services without undertaking detailed root investigation. Any form of tree failure due to these activities is beyond the scope of this assessment.
- 3.4 The report reflects the subject tree(s) as found on the day of inspection. Any changes to the growing environment of the subject tree, or tree management works beyond those recommended in this report may alter the findings of the report. There is no warranty, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies relating to the subject tree, or subject site may not arise in the future.
- 3.5 Tree identification is based on accessible visual characteristics at the time of inspection. As key identifying features are not always available the accuracy of identification is not guaranteed. Where tree species is unknown, it is indicated with a spp.
- 3.6 All diagrams, plans and photographs included in this report are visual aids only and are not to scale unless otherwise indicated.
- 3.7 Seasoned Tree Consulting neither guarantees, nor is responsible for, the accuracy of information provided by others that is contained within this report.
- 3.8 While an assessment of the subject trees estimated useful life expectancy is included in this report, no specific tree risk assessment has been undertaken for any of trees at the site.
- 3.9 Where trees are stated as retainable under the current proposal, this will only become a reality if all recommendations and specifications are followed exactly.
- 3.10 The ultimate safety of any tree cannot be categorically guaranteed. Even trees apparently free of defects can collapse or partially collapse in extreme weather conditions. Trees are dynamic, biological entities subject to changes in their environment, the presence of pathogens and the effects of ageing. These factors reinforce the need for regular inspections. It is generally accepted that hazards can only be identified from distinct defects or from other failure-prone characteristics of a tree or its locality.
- 3.11 Alteration of this report invalidates the entire report.

4. METHODOLOGY



- 4.1 The following information was collected during the assessment of the subject tree(s).
- 4.2 Tree common name
- 4.3 Tree botanical name
- 4.4 Tree age class
- 4.5 DBH (Trunk/Stem diameter at breast height/1.4m above ground level) millimetres.
- 4.6 Estimated height metres
- 4.7 Estimated crown spread (Diameter of crown) metres
- 4.8 Health
- 4.9 Structural condition
- 4.10 Amenity value
- 4.11 Estimated remaining contribution years (SULE)¹
- 4.12 Retention value (Tree AZ)²
- 4.13 Notes/comments
- 4.14 An assessment of the trees condition was made using the visual tree assessment (VTA) model (Mattheck & Breloer, 1994).³
- 4.15 Tree diameter was measured using a DBH tape or in some cases estimated. All other measurements were estimations unless otherwise stated. The other tools I used during the assessment were a digital camera and a Leica DistoD410 digital laser tape.
- 4.16 All DBH measurements, tree protection zones, and structural root zones were calculated in accordance with methods set out in AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009) ⁴ and in some cases estimated. See appendices for information.
- 4.17 Details of how the observations in this report have been assessed are listed in the appendices.

5. SITE LOCATION AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL

¹ Barrell Tree Consultancy, SULE: Its use and status into the New Millennium, TreeAZ/03/2001, http://www.treeaz.com/.

² Barrell Tree Consultancy, Tree AZ version 10.10-ANZ, <u>http://www.treeaz.com/</u>.

³ Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).

⁴ Council of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).



- 5.1 The site is located in the suburb of Balgowlah in the Northern Beaches Council LGA. This assessment has been carried out in accordance with the following documents and legislation;
 - 5.1.1 Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013
 - 5.1.2 Manly Development Control Plan (DCP) 2013
 - 5.1.3 State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas 2017).
- 5.2 The site is a gently sloping block from the street to the back yard, in a residential area. The block has an existing single storey house located in the middle of the block, with large driveway, garage and lawn and garden areas.
- 5.3 The block is zoned as R2: Low density residential and is approx. 875sqm in size.
- 5.4 The proposal consists of demolition of the existing house with construction of a new house with integrated garage, pool and landscaping features.



Tile 1: Site location ⁵

6. OBSERVATIONS AND GENERAL INFORMATION IN



RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES

- 6.1 **Tree information**: Details of each individual tree assessed, including the observations taken during the site inspection can be found in the tree inspection schedule in appendix 2, where the indicative tree protection zone (TPZ) for the subject trees has been calculated. The TPZ and SRZ should be measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Trees have been awarded a retention value based on site observations. The system used to award the retention value is Tree AZ. Tree AZ is used to identify higher value trees worthy of being a constraint to development and lower value trees that should generally not be a constraint to the development. A field sheet of Tree AZ categories sheet (Barrell Tree Consultancy) has been included at the end of the report to assist with understanding the retention values. The retention value that has been allocated to the subject trees in this report is not definitive and should only be used as a guideline.
- 6.2 **Site plans:** Appendix 1 contains an existing site plan identifying tree locations and an overlay of the indicative TPZ and SRZ of each tree. Appendix 1A contains the proposed site plans, trees retained through the development and Appendix 1B tree protection advice.
- 6.3 **Tree protection zone (TPZ)**: The TPZ is principle means of protecting trees on development sites and is an area required to maintain the viability of trees during development. It is commonly observed that tree roots will extend significantly further than the indicative TPZ, however the TPZ is an area identified AS4970-2009 to be the extent where root loss or disturbance will generally impact the viability of the tree. The TPZ is identified as a restricted area to prevent damage to trees either above or below ground during a development. Where trees are intended to be retained proposed developments must provide an adequate TPZ around trees. The TPZ is set aside for the tree's root zone, trunk and crown and it is essential for the stability and longevity of the tree. The tree protection also incorporates the SRZ (see below for more information about the SRZ). The TPZ of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns has been calculated at one metre outside the crown projection. Appendix 4 contains additional information about the TPZ including information about calculating the TPZ and examples of TPZ encroachment.
- 6.4 **Structural Root Zone (SRZ)**: This is the area around the base of a tree required for the trees stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always needs to be maintained to preserve a viable tree. There are several factors that can vary the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally work within the SRZ should be avoided. Soil level changes should also generally be avoided inside the SRZ of trees to be retained. Palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns do not have an SRZ. See appendix 5 for more information about the SRZ.



- 6.5 **Minor encroachment into TPZ**: Sometimes encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable. Encroachment includes but is not limited to activities such as excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching. Minor encroachment of up to 10% of the overall TPZ area is normally considered acceptable, providing there is space adjacent to the TPZ for the tree to compensate <u>and</u> the tree is displaying adequate vigour/health to tolerate changes to its growing environment.
- 6.6 **Major encroachment into TPZ**: Where encroachment of more than 10% of the overall TPZ area is proposed an Arborist must investigate and demonstrate that the tree will remain in a viable condition. In some cases, tree sensitive construction methods such as pier and beam footings, suspended slabs, or cantilevered sections, can be utilised to allow additional encroachment into the TPZ by bridging over roots and minimising root disturbance. Major encroachment is only possible if it can be undertaken without severing significant size roots, or if it can be demonstrated that significant roots will not be impacted.

7. ASSESSEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

7.1 **Table 2:** The table below contains a summary of the impact of proposed development impact to all trees included in the assessment.

Tree	Common	Retention	TPZ	SRZ	TPZ Area	TPZ Energeshment		
ID	name	value	radius (m)	Radius (m)	(sq m)	Encroachment		
						See Appendix 1A		
						Major	The tree is located within the front nature strip, and is of exceptional size and quality, hence the AA rating.	Tree to be retained and protected.
							Works proposed for within the SRZ and TPZ consist of driveway crossover replacement.	
							Other works proposed for within the TPZ consist of the driveway pavers being removed and a concrete driveway slab being replaced within the same area.	
							The crossover is very cracked and broken which is highly likely to be from the roots of this tree being in direct contact with this concrete. It is recommended that a semiflexible and slightly permeable product (Bitumen being one example) is used to replace this crossover, with a maximum of 100mm of excavation from existing ground levels to be undertaken. Project Arborist supervision will be required for the removal and replacement of this crossover.	
							The existing paved driveway is in good condition with no signs of root disruption immediately under the paved area. I presume the pavers/ tiles are laid on an existing concrete slab due to the material style of paver/tile and the lack of movement. As long as the replacement concrete driveway area within the TPZ can be removed and replaced at the same levels, this proposed work will have no impact to the tree, with the tree being able to be retained in a viable condition.	
							There is a proposed stepper path through the front garden bed. As long as this can be installed at natural ground levels, this will be of no impact to the tree.	
1							It is recommended that the crossover and existing driveway be retained until the new house is built and replaced at the very end of construction.	
	Blackbutt	AA	14.4	3.9	651.4		This will provide for ground protection for this tree during construction.	
2						Footprint	The tree is exempt due to size and is proposed for removal.	Remove.
	Murraya	Z1						
						Nil	The tree is located within the western neighbours rear yard.	Tree to be retained and protected.
3							There is no encroachment from proposed plans.	
							The tree being able to be retained in a viable condition.	
	Bottlebrush	Z10	3.6	2.1	40.7			



Tree ID	Common name	Retention value	TPZ radius	SRZ Radius	TPZ Area (sq m)	TPZ Encroachment		
			(m)	(m)		See Appendix 1A		
						Nil	The tree is located within the western neighbours rear yard.	Tree to be retained and protected.
4							There is no encroachment from proposed plans.	and protected.
4							The tree being able to be retained in a viable condition.	
	West Australian Peppermint	A1	5.4	2.5	91.6			
			5.1	2.5	51.0	Major	The tree is located within the backyard area and is an exempt species.	Tree to be removed and replaced.
5							There are proposed landscaping features within the TPZ of this tree, and the tree will likely be structurally compromised from these proposed works.	
	Bangalow palm	Z3					The tree is recommended for removal and replacement.	
6						n/a	No tree here	n/a
7, 8	No tree here					n/a	Has been removed by owner, Council approval was granted previously	n/a
7,0						n/a		174
	Removed by owner							
						Minor	The tree is located within the eastern neighbours back yard.	Tree to be retained and protected.
9							There is a calculated minor encroachment from proposed plans which include the built form of the swimming pool.	
	Broad-leaved paperbark	A2	4.8	2.4	72.4		The tree being able to be retained in a viable condition.	
10						Footprint	The tree is exempt due to its small size and is within the footprint of the pool area.	Remove.
11	Poinsettia	Z1				n/a	No tree here	n/a
						100		1.0
	No tree here							
						Major	The tree is located within the backyard area and is an exempt species.	Tree to be removed and replaced.
12							There are proposed building works within the TPZ of this tree, and the tree will likely be structurally compromised from these proposed works.	
	Bangalow palm	Z3					The tree is recommended for removal and replacement.	



Tree ID	Common name	Retention value	TPZ radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	TPZ Area (sq m)	TPZ Encroachment See Appendix 1A	Discussion/ Conclusion	Recommendation
						Major	 The tree is located within the eastern neighbours back yard and is an exempt species under Northern Beaches Council tree management policy. Nevertheless, it must still be protected as it is the neighbours tree. There is a calculated major encroachment from the proposed demolition of the existing house and construction of the new house. As there is existing house footings and concrete slab in a similar position to the proposed house, this impact will be low and acceptable to the tree, as long as extensive supervision of the removal of the existing footings and construction of the new footings is undertaken by a project Arborist. The existing concrete path is proposed to be retained along the side fence line, which will protect the root system of this tree. Retaining this concrete path is a key recommendation for the management of this tree throughout development. Canopy pruning will be required as the branches of this tree touch the existing house. This pruning must be undertaken by a qualified arborist, in line with the Australian Standard AS4373-2007 (Pruning of Amenity trees). The correct pruning of this tree will not harm the structure or lessen the vitality of this tree. 	Tree to be retained and protected.
13	Mango tree	Z3	4.2	2.3	55.4		The tree will be able to be retained in a viable condition with arboriculturally sensitive construction methods being employed and extensive project Arborist supervision undertaken.	

8. CONCLUSIONS

8.1 **Table 3:** Summary of the impact to trees during the development;

Impact	Reason		
		А	Z
Trees to be removed	Building construction, new surfacing and/or proximity, trees in	2 (1 tree)	5, 10, 12 (3 trees)
	poor condition, or for the creation of the Inner APZ		
Retained trees that will be	Removal of existing surfacing/structures	1, 9	13
subject to TPZ encroachment	and/or installation of new surfacing/structures	(2 trees)	(1 tree)
Trees to be retained that will	Space for development	4	3
not be subject to TPZ encroachment		(1 tree)	(1 tree)
Trees requiring further investigation (Root Mapping)	Soil characteristics, topography and level changes within the TPZ	None	None

*** Trees numbered 6, 7, 8 and 11 (total of 4 trees) do not exist within this site



9. PHOTOGRAPHS









Image f: T13, which requires pruning away from the existing (and proposed) building



10. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 10.1 This report assesses the impact of a proposed development at the site on 13 trees located on or close to the site in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009). Trees numbered 6, 7, 8 and 11 (total of 4 trees) do not exist within this site so are not mentioned from here on in.
- 10.2 For the construction of the development, it is recommended that **trees numbered 2, 5, 10 and 12 (total of 4 Trees)** are removed and replaced. Tree numbered 5, 10 and 12 and all exempt trees.
- 10.3 It is recommended that **trees numbered 1, 3, 4, 9 and 13 (total of 5 Trees)** all be retained and protected for the life of the development.
- 10.4 Tree protection measures are required for all 5 trees to be retained- 1, 3, 4, 9 and 13.
- 10.5 Canopy reduction pruning will be required for Tree 13 for the branches that touch the existing house. This pruning must be undertaken by a qualified arborist and adhere to the Australian Standard AS4373-2007 (Pruning of Amenity trees).
- 10.6 All construction activity is to comply with Australian Standard AS4970 Protection of Trees on Development Sites (2009), sections 7, 11 and 12 of this report.
- 10.7 This report does not provide approval for tree removal or pruning works. All recommendations in this report are subject to approval by the relevant authorities and/or tree owners. This report should be submitted as supporting evidence with any tree removal/pruning or development application.
- 10.8 All works within the TPZ and SRZ of retained trees are to be overseen by an AQF5 Consulting Arborist to assist with minimising the development impact.
- 10.9 All services plans should be subject to review by a AQF5 Consulting Arborist. Where possible underground services should be located outside the TPZ of trees to be retained. All underground services located inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained must be installed via tree sensitive techniques. This should include either directional drilling methods or manual excavations to minimise the impact to trees identified for retention.



11. ARBORICULTURAL WORK METHOD STATEMENT (AMS) AND TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

- 11.1 **Use of this report:** All contractors must be made aware of the tree protection requirements prior to commencing works at the site and be provided a copy of this report.
- 11.2 **Project Arborist:** Prior to any works commencing at the site a project Arborist should be appointed. The project Arborist should be qualified to a minimum AQF level 5 and/or equivalent qualifications and experience and should assist with any development issues relating to trees that may arise. If at any time it is not feasible to carryout works in accordance with this, an alternative must be agreed in writing with the project Arborist.
- 11.3 Tree work: All tree work must be carried out by a qualified and experienced Arborist with a minimum of AQF level 3 in arboriculture, in accordance with NSW Work Cover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998) and AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
- 11.4 Initial site meeting/on-going regular inspections: The project Arborist is to hold a pre-construction site meeting with principle contractor to discuss methods and importance of tree protection measures and resolve any issues in relation to tree protection that may arise. In accordance with AS4970-2009, the project Arborist should carryout regular site inspections to ensure works are carried out in accordance with this document throughout the development process. I recommend regular site inspections on a frequency based on the longevity of the project- this is to be agreed in the initial meeting.

11.5 Site Specific Tree Protection Recommendations:

Table 4: Individual tree protection requirements, see Appendix 1B for locations and further guidance.

Tree Number	Protection specification
1, 3, 4, 9 and 13	- Retain and protect.
	 Tree protection fencing is required as per the Tree
	Protection Plan.
	 TPZ signage is required on the fencing.
	 The fencing can only be moved upon the approval of
	the project arborist.
1	 Driveway crossover and driveway to be retained
	during demolition and construction of the house
	structure and only replaced once house construction
	is complete
	 Existing retaining wall must stay and not be replaced
13	- Existing concrete path down the side of house to be
	retained to 1.2m width off boundary



- 11.6 **Tree protection Specifications:** It is the responsibility of the principle contractor to install tree protection prior to works commencing at the site (prior to demolition works) and to ensure that the tree protection remains in adequate condition for the duration of the development. The tree protection must not be moved without prior agreement of the project Arborist. The project Arborist must inspect that the tree protection has been installed in accordance with this document and AS4970-2009 prior to works commencing.
- 11.7 **Protective fencing:** Where it is not feasible to install fencing at the specified location due to factors such restricting access to areas of the site or for constructing new structures, an alternative location and protection specification must be agreed with the project Arborist. Where the installation of fencing in unfeasible due to restrictions on space, trunk and branch protection will be required (see below). The protective fencing must be constructed of 1.8 metre 'cyclone chainmesh fence'. The fencing must only be removed for the landscaping phase and must be authorised by the project Arborist. Any modifications to the fencing locations must be approved by the project Arborist.
- 11.8 **TPZ signage:** Tree protection signage is to be attached to the protective fencing, displayed in a prominent position and the sign repeated at 10 metres intervals or closer where the fence changes direction. Each sign shall contain in a clearly legible form, the following information:
 - Tree protection zone/No access.
 - This fence has been installed to prevent damage to the tree/s and their growing environment both above and below ground. Do not move fencing or enter TPZ without the agreement of the project Arborist.
 - The name, address, and telephone number of the developer/builder and project Arborist
 - 11.9 **Trunk and Branch Protection**: The trunk must be protected by wrapped hessian or similar material to limit damage. Timber planks (50mm x 100mm or similar) should then be placed around tree trunk. The timber planks should be spaced at 100mm intervals, and must be fixed against the trunk with tie wire, or strapping and connections finished or covered to protect pedestrians from injury. The hessian and timber planks must not be fixed to the tree in any instance. The trunk and branch protection shall be installed prior to any work commencing on site and shall be maintained in good condition for the entire development period.
 - 11.10 **Mulch**: Any areas of the TPZ located inside the subject site (only trees to be retained directly adjacent to site works must be mulched to a depth of 75mm with good quality composted wood chip/leaf mulch.



11.11 **Ground Protection:** Ground protection is required to protect the underlying soil structure and root system in areas where it is not practical to restrict access to whole TPZ, while allowing space for construction. Ground protection must consist of good quality composted wood chip/leaf mulch to a depth of between 150-300mm, laid on top of geo textile fabric. If vehicles are to be using the area, additional protection will be required such as rumble boards or track mats to spread the weight of the vehicle and avoid load points. Ground protection is to be specified by the project Arborist as required.



An image from AS4970-2009,⁶ with example tree protection.

⁶ Council of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009), page 16.







- 11.12 **Restricted activities inside TPZ:** The following activities must be avoided inside the TPZ of all trees to be retained unless approved by the project Arborist. If at any time these activities cannot be avoided an alternative must be agreed in writing with the project Arborist to minimise the impact to the tree.
 - A) Machine excavation.
 - B) Ripping or cultivation of soil.
 - C) Storage of spoil, soil or any such materials
 - D) Preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products.
 - E) Refueling.
 - F) Dumping of waste.
 - G) Wash down and cleaning of equipment.
 - H) Placement of fill.
 - I) Lighting of fires.
 - J) Soil level changes.
 - K) Any physical damage to the crown, trunk, or root system.
 - L) Parking of vehicles.

⁷ Council of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009), page 17.



- 11.13 **Demolition:** The demolition of all existing structures inside or directly adjacent to the TPZ of trees to be retained must be undertaken in consultation with the project Arborist. Any machinery is to work from inside the footprint of the existing structures or outside the TPZ, reaching in to minimise soil disturbance and compaction. If it is not feasible to locate demolition machinery outside the TPZ of trees to be retained, ground protection will be required. The demolition should be undertaken inwards into the footprint of the existing structures, sometimes referred to as the 'top down, pull back' method.
- 11.14 Excavations and root pruning: The project Arborist must supervise and certify that all excavations and root pruning are in accordance with AS4373-2007 and AS4970-2009. For excavations within the TPZ, manual excavation is required along the edge of the structures closest to the subject trees. Manual excavation should be a depth of 1 metre (or to unfavourable root growth conditions such as bed rock or heavy clay, if agreed by project Arborist). Next roots must be pruned back in accordance with AS4373-2007. After all root pruning is completed, machine excavation is permitted within the footprint of the structure. For tree sensitive footings, such as pier and beam, all excavations inside the TPZ must be manual. Manual excavation may include the use of pneumatic and hydraulic tools, highpressure air or a combination of high-pressure water and a vacuum device. No pruning of roots greater 30mm in diameter is to be carried out without approval of the project arborist. All pruning of roots greater than 10mm in diameter must be carried out by a qualified Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3. Root pruning is to be a clean cut with a sharp tool in accordance with AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007). The tree root is to be pruned back to a branch root if possible. Make a clean cut and leave as small a wound as possible.
- 11.15 **Landscaping:** All landscaping works within the TPZ of trees to be retained are to be undertaken in consultation with a consulting Arborist to minimize the impact to trees. General guidance is provided below to minimise the impact of new landscaping to trees to be retained. The location of new plantings inside the TPZ of trees to be retained should be flexible to avoid unnecessary damage to tree roots greater than 30mm in diameter.
- 11.16 **Sediment and Contamination:** All contamination run off from the development such as but not limited to concrete, sediment and toxic wastes must be prevented from entering the TPZ at all times.
- 11.17 **Tree Wounding/Injury:** Any wounding or injury that occurs to a tree during the construction process will require the project Arborist to be contacted for an assessment of the injury and provide mitigation/remediation advice. It is generally accepted that trees may take many years to decline and eventually die from root damage. All repair work is to be carried out by the project Arborist, at the contractor's expense.
- 11.18 **Completion of Development Works:** After all construction works are complete the project Arborist should assess that the subject trees have been retained in the same condition and vigour. If changes to condition are identified the project Arborist should provide recommendations for remediation.



12. HOLD POINTS

12.1 **Hold Points:** Below is a sequence of hold points requiring project Arborist certification throughout the development process. It provides a list of hold points that must be checked and certified. All certification must be provided in written format upon completion of the development. The final certification must include details of any instructions for remediation undertaken during the development.

Hold Point	Stage	Responsibility	Certification	Complete Y/N and date
Project Arborist to hold pre construction site meeting with principle contractor to discuss methods and importance of tree protection measures and resolve any issues in relation to feasibility of tree protection requirements that may arise.	Prior to work commencing.	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
Project Arborist to assess and certify that tree protection has been installed in accordance with section 11 and AS4970-2009 prior to works commencing at site.	Prior to development work commencing.	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
In accordance with AS4970-2009 the project arborist should carryout regular site inspections to ensure works are carried out in accordance with the recommendations. I recommend site inspections on a monthly frequency.	Ongoing throughout the development	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
Project Arborist to supervise all manual excavations and demolition inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained.	Construction	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
Project Arborist to certify that all pruning of roots greater than 40mm in diameter has been carried out in accordance with AS4373-2007. All root pruning must be carried out by a qualified Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3.	Construction	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
Project Arborist to certify that all underground services including storm water inside TPZ of any tree to be retained have been installed in accordance with AS4970-2009.	Construction	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	



All landscaping works within the TPZ of trees to be retained are to be undertaken in consultation with the project Arborist to minimize the impact to trees.	Landscape	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
After all construction works are complete the project Arborist should assess that the subject trees have been retained in the same condition and vigor and authorize the removal of protective fencing. If changes to condition are identified the project Arborist should provide recommendations for remediation.	Upon completion of construction	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	
Any wounding or injury that occurs to a tree during the demolition/construction process will require the project arborist to be contacted for an assessment of the injury and provide mitigation/remediation advice. All remediation work is to be carried out by the project arborist, at the contractor's expense.	Ongoing throughout the development	Principle contractor	Project Arborist	



13. BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES

- Council of Standards Australia, AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
- Council of Standards Australia, AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
- Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).
- Barrell Tree Consultancy, *SULE: Its use and status into the New Millennium*, TreeAZ/03/2001, <u>http://www.treeaz.com/</u>.
- Barrell Tree Consultancy, *Tree AZ version 10.10-ANZ*, <u>http://www.treeaz.com/</u>. Property.
- State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas 2017).



14. LIST OF APPENDICES

The following are included in the appendices:

Appendix 1 - Tree Location/ Site Plan Appendix 1A – Proposed Site Plan and Tree Protection Plan Appendix 2 - Tree inspection schedule Appendix 3 - Health Appendix 4 – Tree Protection Zone Appendix 5 – Structural Root Zone Appendix 6 – Amenity Value Appendix 7 – Age Class Appendix 8 – Structural Condition Appendix 9 – SULE Categories Appendix 10 – Trees AZ

APPENDIX 1 – TREE LOCATION/ EXISTING SITE PLAN



26

APPENDIX 1A – PROPOSED SITE PLAN AND TREE PROTECTION PLAN



APPENDIX 2- TREE INSPECTION SCHEDULE

Tree Inspection Site: 40 Curban Street Balgowlah Heights

Surveyed by: David Gowenlock Date of Inspection: 8th December 2021 Tagged: No

Tree ID	Tree Species	DBH (CM)	TPZ radius (M)	TPZ Area (Sq.M)	DAB (CM)	SRZ radius (M)	Height (M)	Spread (M)	Age Class	Health	Structure	Amenity value	SULE (yrs.)	TreeAZ retention Value	Comments
1	<i>Eucalyptus pilularis,</i> Blackbutt	120	14.4	651.4	150	3.9	25	25	AA	Mature	Good	Very high	>40	AA	Very large tree, very high retention value. Permeable and flexible replacement crossover will be required
2	<i>Murraya paniculata</i> , Murraya								Z1					Z1	Exempt due to being under 5m in size, no data recorded as a result
3	<i>Callistemon salignus,</i> Bottlebrush	30	3.6	40.7	35	2.1	8	7	Z10	Mature	Good	High	5 > 15	Z10	Neighbours tree. Codominant. Relatively short lived. DBH estimated, tree located on neighbouring property
4	Agonis flexuosa, West Australian Peppermint	45	5.4	91.6	50	2.5	10	11	A1	Mature	Good	High	15 > 40	A1	Neighbours tree
5	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana, Bangalow palm								Z3					Z3	Exempt due to species, no data recorded as a result
6 7,	No tree here													-	No tree here. Owner stated a Phoenix palm was removed from this position.
7, 8	Removed by owner													-	Has been removed by owner, Council approval was granted
9	<i>Melaleuca quinquenervia</i> , Broad-leaved paperbark	40	4.8	72.4	45	2.4	12	10	A2	Mature	Good	High	15 > 40	A2	
10	Poinsettia								Z1					Z1	Exempt due to being under 5m in size, no data recorded as a result
11	No tree here													-	No tree here
12	Archontophoenix cunninghamiana, Bangalow palm								Z3					Z3	Exempt due to species, no data recorded as a result
13	<i>Mangifera indica</i> , Mango tree	35	4.2	55.4	40	2.3	7	7	Z3	Mature	Good	Medium	15 > 40	Z3	Prune requires to accommodate new building. Touching existing building. All surface in subject property is concreted

Explanatory Notes

Tree Species - Botanical name followed by common name in brackets. Where species is unknown it is indicated with an '*spp*'.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) - Measured with a DBH tape or estimated at approximately 1.4m above ground level. If trees are inaccessible due to dense bush or being located in private property they are generally estimated.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) - DBH x 12. Measured in radius from the center of the trunk. Rounded to nearest 0.1m. For monocots, the TPZ is set at 1 meter outside the crown projection.

TPZ Area (Sq.M)- The area of the TPZ calculated in square metres.

Diameter Above root Buttresses (DAB): Measured with a DBH tape or estimated above root buttresses (DAB) for calculating the SRZ.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ) - (DAB x 50) ^{0.42} x 0.64. Measured in radius from the center of the trunk. Rounded up to nearest 0.1m.

Height - Height from ground level to top of crown. All heights are estimated unless otherwise indicated. Spread - Radius of crown at widest section. All tree spreads are estimated unless otherwise indicated. Age Class - Over mature (OM), Mature (M), Early mature (EM), Semi mature (SM), Young (Y), Dead (D).

Health - Good/Fair/Poor/Dead

Structure - Good/Fair/Poor

Amenity Value - Very High/High/Medium/Low/Very Low.

Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) - 1. Long (40+years), 2. Medium (15 - 40 years), 3. Short (5 - 15 years), 4. Remove (under 5 years), 5. Small/young.

TreeAZ retention Value- See Appendix 10

Category **Example condition** Summary Good Crown has good foliage density for • The tree is in above average ٠ species. health and condition and no • Tree shows no or minimal signs of remedial works are required. pathogens that are unlikely to have an • The tree is considered effect on the health of the tree. structurally good with well • Tree is displaying good vigour and reactive developed form. growth development. • Branch unions appear to be strong with no sign of defects. There are no significant cavities. • The tree is unlikely to fail in usual conditions. The tree has a balanced crown shape and • form. Fair The tree may be starting to dieback or The tree is in below average • • have over 25% deadwood. health and condition and may • Tree may have slightly reduced crown require remedial works to improve the trees health. density or thinning. The identified defects are • There may be some discolouration of • foliage. unlikely cause major failure. Average reactive growth development. • Some branch failure may occur • in usual conditions. There may be early signs of pathogens which may further deteriorate the health of • Remedial works can be the tree. undertaken to alleviate potential There may be epicormic growth indicating defects. • increased levels of stress within the tree. • The tree may have minor structural defects within the structure of the crown that could potentially develop into more significant defects. • The tree may a cavity that is currently unlikely to fail but may deteriorate in the future. The tree is an unbalanced shape or leans • significantly. • The tree may have minor damage to its roots. The root plate may have moved in the past ٠ but the tree has now compensated for this. Branches may be rubbing or crossing. Poor • The may be in decline, have extensive • The tree is displaying low levels dieback or have over 30% deadwood. of health and removal or remedial works may be • The canopy may be sparse or the leaves required. may be unusually small for species. • Pathogens or pests are having a The identified defects are likely to cause either partial or whole significant detrimental effect on the tree failure of the tree. health. The tree has significant structural defects. Branch unions may be poor or weak. • The tree may have a cavity or cavities with excessive levels of decay that could cause catastrophic failure. • The tree may have root damage or is displaying signs of recent movement. • The tree crown may have poor weight distribution which could cause failure. Dangerous The tree is dead or almost dead. The tree should generally be

The tree is an imminent danger to people

٠

or property.

removed.

Appendix 3 – Condition/Overall health



Appendix 4 - Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)

The tree protection zone (TPZ) is the principle means of protecting trees on development sites. The TPZ is a combination of the root area and crown area requiring protection. It is an area isolated from construction disturbance, so that the tree remains viable. The TPZ incorporates the structural root zone (SRZ).

Determining the TPZ

The radius of the TPZ is calculated for each tree by multiplying its DBH × 12.

 $TPZ = DBH \times 12$

Where

DBH = trunk diameter measured at 1.4 m above ground

Radius is measured from the centre of the stem at ground level. A TPZ should not be less than 2 m nor greater than 15 m (except where crown protection is required).

Minor encroachment into the TPZ

Where encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable it is generally accepted that encroachment of under 10% of the total TPZ is possible without carrying out detailed root investigations. This minor loss of root area is normally compensated by the roots developing elsewhere.

Major encroachment into the TPZ

If an encroachment of more than 10% is proposed into the TPZ it would be necessary to demonstrate that the tree would remain viable. None destructive root investigations may be required to determine any potential impact the encroachment may have on the tree.







Encroachment into the tree protection zone (TPZ) is sometimes unavoidable. Figure D1 provides examples of TPZ encroachment by area, to assist in reducing the impact of such incursions.



NOTE: Less than 10% TPZ area and outside SRZ. Any loss of TPZ compensated for elsewhere.



Appendix 5 - Structural root zone (SRZ)

This is the area around the base of a tree required for the trees stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always need to be maintained to preserve a viable tree as it will only have a minor effect on the trees vigour and health. There are several factors that determine the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally work within the SRZ should be avoided.

Determining the SRZ

An indicative SRZ radius can be determined from the diameter of the trunk measured immediately above the root buttresses. Root investigation could provide more information about the extent of the SRZ. The following formula should be used to calculate the SRZ.

SRZ radius = $(D \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$

where

D = trunk diameter in m, measured above the root buttress.

Note - The SRZ for trees with trunk diameters less than 0.15 will be 1.5m.

Appendix 6 - Amenity value

To determine the amenity value of a tree we assess a number of different factors which include but are not limited to the information below.

- The visibility of the tree to adjacent sites.
- The relationship between the tree and the site.
- Whether the tree is protected by any statuary conditions.
- The habitat value of the tree.
- Whether the tree is considered a noxious weed species.



Appendix 7 - Age class

If can be difficult to determine the age of a tree without carrying out invasive tests that may damage the tree, so we have categorised there likely age class which is defined below.

<u>Category</u>	Description
Young/Newly planted	Young or recently planted tree.
Semi Mature	 Up to 20% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
Early mature/Mature	 Between 20% - 80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
Over mature	 Over 80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.
Dead	Tree is dead or almost dead.



Appendix 8 - Structural condition

Category	Example condition	Summary
Good	 Branch unions appear to be strong with no sign of defects. There are no significant cavities. The tree is unlikely to fail in usual conditions. The tree has a balanced crown shape and form. 	The tree is considered structurally good with well developed form.
Fair	 The tree may have minor structural defects within the structure of the crown that could potentially develop into more significant defects. The tree may a cavity that is currently unlikely to fail but may deteriorate in the future. The tree is an unbalanced shape or leans significantly. The tree may have minor damage to its roots. The root plate may have moved in the past but the tree has now compensated for this. Branches may be rubbing or crossing. 	 The identified defects are unlikely cause major failure. Some branch failure may occur in usual conditions. Remedial works can be undertaken to alleviate potential defects.
Poor	 The tree has significant structural defects. Branch unions may be poor or weak. The tree may have a cavity or cavities with excessive levels of decay that could cause catastrophic failure. The tree may have root damage or is displaying signs of recent movement. The tree crown may have poor weight distribution which could cause failure. 	The identified defects are likely to cause either partial or whole failure of the tree.



Appendix 9 - Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE), (Barrel, 2001)

A trees safe useful life expectancy is determined by assessing a number of different factors including the health and vitality, estimated age in relation to expected life expectancy for the species, structural defects, and remedial works that could allow retention in the existing situation.

Category	Description
1. Long - Over 40 years	 (a) Structurally sound trees located in positions that can accommodate future growth. (b) Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the long term by remedial tree care. (c) Trees of special significance for historical, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to secure their long term retention.
2. Medium - 15 to 40 years	 (a) Trees that may only live between 15 and 40 more years. (b) Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance reasons. (c) Trees that could live for more than 40 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting. (d) Trees that could be made suitable for retention in the medium term by remedial tree care.
3. Short - 5 to 15 years	 (a) Trees that may only live between 5 and 15 more years. (b) Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed for safety or nuisance reasons. (c) Trees that could live for more than 15 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting. (d) Trees that require substantial remedial tree care and are only suitable for retention in the short term.
4. Remove - Under 5 years	 (a) Dead, dying, suppressed or declining trees because of disease or inhospitable conditions. (b) Dangerous trees because of instability or recent loss of adjacent trees. (c) Dangerous trees because of structural defects including cavities, decay, included bark, wounds or poor form. (d) Damaged trees that are clearly not safe to retain. (e) Trees that could live for more than 5 years but may be removed to prevent interference with more suitable individuals or to provide space for new planting. (f) Trees that are damaging or may cause damage to existing structures within 5 years. (g) Trees that will become dangerous after removal of other trees for the reasons given in (a) to (f). (h) Trees in categories (a) to (g) that have a high wildlife habitat value and, with appropriate treatment, could be retained subject to regular review.
5. Small/Young	 (a) Small trees less than 5m in height. (b) Young trees less than 15 years old but over 5m in height. (c) Formal hedges and trees intended for regular pruning to artificially control growth.



Appendix 10- TreeAZ Categories

TreeAZ Categories (Version 10.04-ANZ)

CAUTION: TreeAZ assessments <u>must</u> be carried out by a competent person qualified and experienced in arboriculture. The following category descriptions are designed to be a brief field reference and are <u>not</u> intended to be self-explanatory. They <u>must</u> be read in conjunction with the most current explanations published at <u>www.TreeAZ.com</u>.

Category Z: Unimportant trees not worthy of being a material constraint

Local policy exemptions: Trees that are unsuitable for legal protection for local policy reasons including size, proximity and species

- **Z1** Young or insignificant small trees, i.e. below the local size threshold for legal protection, etc
- Z2 Too close to a building, i.e. exempt from legal protection because of proximity, etc
- **Z3** Species that cannot be protected for other reasons, i.e. scheduled noxious weeds, out of character in a setting of acknowledged importance, etc

High risk of death or failure: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of acute health issues or severe structural failure

Z4	Dead, dying, diseased or declining		
Z5	Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure <u>cannot</u> be satisfactorily reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, overgrown and vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc		
Z 6	Instability, i.e. poor anchorage, increased exposure, etc		
	Excessive nuisance: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of unacceptable impact on people		
Z 7	Excessive, severe and intolerable inconvenience to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. dominance, debris, interference, etc		
Z8	Excessive, severe and intolerable damage to property to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. severe structural damage to surfacing and buildings, etc		
Good management: Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years through responsible management of the tree population			
Z 9	Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure can be <u>temporarily</u> reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc		
Z10	Poor condition or location with a low potential for recovery or improvement, i.e. dominated by adjacent trees or buildings, poor architectural framework, etc		
Z11	Removal would benefit better adjacent trees, i.e. relieve physical interference, suppression, etc		
Z12	Unacceptably expensive to retain, i.e. severe defects requiring excessive levels of maintenance, etc		

NOTE: Z trees with a high risk of death/failure (Z4, Z5 & Z6) or causing severe inconvenience (Z7 & Z8) at the time of assessment and need an urgent risk assessment can be designated as ZZ. ZZ trees are likely to be unsuitable for retention and at the bottom of the categorization hierarchy. In contrast, although Z trees are not worthy of influencing new designs, urgent removal is not essential and they could be retained in the short term, if appropriate.

Category A: Important trees suitable for retention for more than 10 years and worthy of being a material constraint

A1	No significant defects and could be retained with minimal remedial care
A2	Minor defects that could be addressed by remedial care and/or work to adjacent trees
Δ 4	Special significance for historical, cultural, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to retain for more than 10 years
A4	Trees that may be worthy of legal protection for ecological reasons (Advisory requiring specialist assessment)

NOTE: Category A1 trees that are already large and exceptional, or have the potential to become so with minimal maintenance, can be designated as AA at the discretion of the assessor. Although all A and AA trees are sufficiently important to be material constraints, AA trees are at the top of the categorization hierarchy and should be given the most weight in any selection process.