## **DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Application Number:	DA2018/1496		
Responsible Officer:	Maxwell Duncan		
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 3 SP 11382, 3 / 4 Reddall Street MANLY NSW 2095		
Proposed Development:	Alterations and Additions to an existing residential flat building		
Zoning:	Manly LEP2013 - Land zoned R1 General Residential		
Development Permissible:	Yes		
Existing Use Rights:	No		
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council		
Delegation Level:	NBLPP		
Land and Environment Court Action:	: No		
Owner:	Ettienne Reinecke		
Applicant:	David Boddam - Whetham		
Application lodged:	10/09/2018		
Integrated Development:	No		
Designated Development:	No		
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions		
Notified:	18/10/2018 to 05/11/2018		
Advertised:	Not Advertised		
Submissions Received:	4		
Recommendation:	Approval		
Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 45,000.00		

## **ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION**

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
  to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
  Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest

- groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

## **SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES**

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 - 4.6 Exceptions to development standards

Manly Development Control Plan - 3.4.2 Privacy and Security

Manly Development Control Plan - 3.4.3 Maintenance of Views

Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.2 Height of Buildings (Incorporating Wall Height, Number of Storeys & Roof Height)

Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation

## SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 3 SP 11382 , 3 / 4 Reddall Street MANLY NSW 2095	
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of six (6) units located on the western side of Reddall Street.	
	The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 34.9m along Reddall Street and a depth of 14.3m. The site has a surveyed area of 492.7m².	
	The site is located within the General residential zone and accommodates a residential flat building.	
	The site slopes down from the southern end of the property to the northern end of the property approximately 1m.	
	Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development	
	Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by dwelling houses and residential flat buildings.	

Мар:



## SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

**BC33/2014-** (Unauthorised works) roof top structure located on roof of building, including internal stairway to access rooftop structure.

#### PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposed development is for alterations and additions to the existing building (unit 3). The works incorporate the following:

- Roof alterations.
- External alterations.
- New internal access.
- New balcony.
- New windows.

A building certificate for unauthorised works was approved by Council on 8 September 2015 (BC33/2014). The approved works related to the rooftop structure and internal stairs which provided access to the rooftop structure. The works proposed under this current application relate to further work to this element of the building.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)**

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for	Comments
Consideration'	

Section 4.15 Matters for	Comments	
Consideration'	Comments	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	None applicable.	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Manly Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.	
	Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.	
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000, Council requested additional information and has therefore considered the number of days taken in this assessment in light of this clause within the Regulations. No additional information was requested.	
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This clause is not relevant to this application.	
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.	
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This clause is not relevant to this application.	
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.	
	Clause 143A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.	

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Manly Development Control Plan 2013 section in this report.
	(ii) <b>Social Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) <b>Economic Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

## **EXISTING USE RIGHTS**

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

## **BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND**

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

## **NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 4 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Lorraine O'Callaghan	22 / 129 Bower Street MANLY NSW 2095
Mr Paul Anthony Gattas	409 / 44 Ashburner Street MANLY NSW 2095
Mr David Paul Wolski	28 Cliff Street MANLY NSW 2095
Ms Jo Morgan	716 / 44 Ashburner Street MANLY NSW 2095

The following issues were raised in the submissions and each have been addressed below:

- View Loss.
- Usability of Roof space.
- Construction hours.
- Privacy

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

## View loss

## Comment:

An assessment of the application against Clause 3.4.3 Maintenance of Views of the Manly Development Control Plan 2013 and the NSW planning principle Tenacity Consulting v Warringah Council [2004] NSWLEC 140 reveals that the application is consistent with the provisions of view sharing and does not unreasonably compromise Parkland and skyline views from adjoining properties.

This does not warrant the refusal of this application.

## • Useability of the roof space

## Comment:

Concern was raised in regards to the possible use of the roof as a outdoor living space if this application is to be approved.

A suitable condition of consent has been included as part of this recommendation to ensure that the roof is not used as a habitable space.

#### Construction hours.

## Comment:

Construction hours are limited to the following:

Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday, 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday, No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

These hours are imposed under the general requirements condition within this application.

## Privacy

## Comment:

Concern was raised in regards to the potential acoustic impact of the proposed balcony.

The privacy (acoustic and visual) impact of the balcony has been assessed in this report. In summary, the balcony is minor and will not result in a privacy impact that is considered unreasonable in the general residential zone.

## **MEDIATION**

No requests for mediation have been made in relation to this application.

## **REFERRALS**

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Building Assessment - Fire and Disability upgrades	The application has been investigated with respect to aspects relevant to the Building Certification and Fire Safety Department. There are no objections to approval of the development.
	Note: The proposed development may not comply with some requirements of the BCA. Issues such as these however may be determined at Construction Certificate stage.
NECC (Bushland and Biodiversity)	The proposed development has been assessed against the following Natural Environment controls: - MLEP Clause 6.5 (Terrestrial Biodiversity) - MDCP 5.4.2 (Threatened Species and Critical Habitat)
	The proposal will not result in a significant additional impact to soft open space or bandicoot habitat. It is therefore considered that, subject to conditions of consent to mitigate construction-related impacts to the endangered population of Long-nosed Bandicoots at North Head, the proposal is consistent with the controls.
NECC (Development Engineering)	Development Engineer has no objection to the application subject to the following condition of consent.
Waste Officer	Council's waste officer deemed the application acceptable, subject to conditions.
	Recommendation
	Approval subject to conditions

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.
NSW Police - Local Command (CPTED)	The proposal was referred to NSW Police- Local Command. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)\***

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and

LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

# State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

## **SEPP 65 - Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development**

Clause 4 of State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 – Design Quality for Residential Apartment Development (SEPP 65) stipulates that:

- (1) This Policy applies to development for the purpose of a residential flat building, shop top housing or mixed use development with a residential accommodation component if:
  - (a) the development consists of any of the following:
    - (i) the erection of a new building,
    - (ii) the substantial redevelopment or the substantial refurbishment of an existing building,
    - (iii) the conversion of an existing building, and
  - (b) the building concerned is at least 3 or more storeys (not including levels below ground level (existing) or levels that are less than 1.2 metres above ground level (existing) that provide for car parking), and
  - (c) the building concerned contains at least 4 or more dwellings.

#### Comment:

The proposed development is for the replacement of existing wall, new balconies and windows and new internal access. Given the works are not proposing any additional storeys nor increasing the density on site through additional dwellings the proposal is not considered to be a substantial redevelopment or refurbishment of the existing building. The proposal does not involve the erection of a new building and it is not a conversion of an existing building. Therefore the proposal does not meet any of the provisions under Part 4(1A) of the SEPP, and this policy does not apply.

## SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

## <u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity

power line.

## Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

## **Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013**

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	10.3m	21.1%	No
		(Existing 10.3m)		

**Compliance Assessment** 

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
6.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
6.4 Stormwater management	Yes
6.5 Terrestrial biodiversity	Yes
6.9 Foreshore scenic protection area	Yes
6.10 Limited development on foreshore area	Yes
6.12 Essential services	Yes

## **Detailed Assessment**

## 4.6 Exceptions to development standards

## **Description of non-compliance:**

Requirement:	8.5m
Proposed:	10.3m
Is the planning control in question a development standard?	YES
If numerical enter a % variation to requirement	21.1%

Assessment of request to vary a development standard:

The following assessment of the variation to Clause 4.3 - Height of Buildings development standard has taken into consideration the recent judgement contained within *Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118* and an assessment of the request to vary the development standard in accordance with the requirements of Clause 4.6 is provided below:

## Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards:

- (1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:
- (a) to provide an appropriate degree of flexibility in applying certain development standards to particular development,
- (b) to achieve better outcomes for and from development by allowing flexibility in particular circumstances.
- (2) Development consent may, subject to this clause, be granted for development even though the development would contravene a development standard imposed by this or any other environmental planning instrument. However, this clause does not apply to a development standard that is expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

#### Comment:

Clause 4.3 Heights of Buildings development standard is not expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

- (3) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless the consent authority has considered a written request from the applicant that seeks to justify the contravention of the development standard by demonstrating:
- (a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and
- (b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.
- (4) Development consent must not be granted for development that contravenes a development standard unless:
- (a) the consent authority is satisfied that:
- (i) the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by subclause (3), and
- (ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out, and
- (b) the concurrence of the Secretary has been obtained.

## cl 4.6 (4)(a)(i) (Justification) assessment:

- cl 4.6 (4)(a)(i) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that the applicant's written request seeking to justify the contravention of the development standard has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3). There are two separate matters for consideration contained within cl 4.6 (3) and these are addressed as follows:
- (a) that compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

## Comment:

The Applicant's written request (attached to this report as an Appendix) has demonstrated that the objectives of the development standard are achieved, notwithstanding the non-compliance with the development standard. The applicant's written request has demonstrated consistency with the objectives of the Building Height development standard as detailed below:

- The request submits that the proposal is consistent with the prevailing roof line, the request submits that the reconstruction of Unit 3 including its second storey component has been appropriately designed to maintain the existing roof parapet height.
- The request submits that the bulk and scale is reasonable. The requests notes that this due to many of changes being internal to Unit 3, and any changes visible from outside the building, such as the Juliet balcony, are minimal and use the land form and surrounding elements, such as the large tree to the north of the site, to its advantage.
- The request submits that the works will not alter the existing view lines to the foreshore.
- The request submits that the development does not significantly alter the existing overshadowing upon its southern neighbours, being No. 27A and 27B Cliff Street.
- (b) that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

## Comment:

In the matter of Initial *Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118*, Preston CJ provides the following guidance (para 23) to inform the consent authority's finding that the applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard:

'As to the second matter required by cl 4.6(3)(b), the grounds relied on by the applicant in the written request under cl 4.6 must be "environmental planning grounds" by their nature: see Four2Five Pty Ltd v Ashfield Council [2015] NSWLEC 90 at [26]. The adjectival phrase "environmental planning" is not defined, but would refer to grounds that relate to the subject matter, scope and purpose of the EPA Act, including the objects in s 1.3 of the EPA Act.'

## s 1.3 of the EPA Act reads as follows:

#### 1.3 Objects of Act(cf previous s 5)

The objects of this Act are as follows:

- (a) to promote the social and economic welfare of the community and a better environment by the proper management, development and conservation of the State's natural and other resources,
- (b) to facilitate ecologically sustainable development by integrating relevant economic, environmental and social considerations in decision-making about environmental planning and assessment,
- (c) to promote the orderly and economic use and development of land,
- (d) to promote the delivery and maintenance of affordable housing.
- (e) to protect the environment, including the conservation of threatened and other species of native animals and plants, ecological communities and their habitats,
- (f) to promote the sustainable management of built and cultural heritage (including Aboriginal cultural heritage).
- (g) to promote good design and amenity of the built environment,
- (h) to promote the proper construction and maintenance of buildings, including the protection of the health and safety of their occupants,
- (i) to promote the sharing of the responsibility for environmental planning and assessment between the different levels of government in the State,
- (j) to provide increased opportunity for community participation in environmental planning and assessment.

The Applicant's written request has demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard, as detailed below:

- The request submits that the proposal is not out of character with the general townscape and is compatible with the development along the northern end of Reddall Street in particular, which generally consists of brick residential flat buildings that vary from two storeys, being the subject site, to seven storeys, being No. 129 Bower Street, Manly.
- The request notes that the breach does not result from the building as a whole, and that the proposal does not seek to extend this breach but to maintain the existing roof parapet height of the partial second storey component of Unit 3.

In doing so, the applicant's written request has demonstrated that the proposed development is of a good design and will protect the amenity of the surrounding built environment therefore satisfying cl 1.3 (c)(g) of the EPA Act.

In this regard, the applicants written request has adequately demonstrated the that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard as required by cl 4.6 (3)(b).

Therefore, Council is satisfied that the applicant's written request has adequately addressed the matters required to be demonstrated by cl 4.6(3).

## cl 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) (Public Interest) assessment:

cl 4.6 (4)(a)(ii) requires the consent authority to be satisfied that:

(ii) the proposed development will be in the public interest because it is consistent with the objectives of the particular standard and the objectives for development within the zone in which the development is proposed to be carried out

#### Comment:

In considering whether or not the proposed development will be in the public interest consideration must be given to the underlying objectives of the Height of Buildings development standard and the objectives of the R1 General Residential zone. An assessment against these objectives is provided below.

## Objectives of development standard

The underlying objectives of cl 4.3 Height of Buildings development standard are:

(a) to provide for building heights and roof forms that are consistent with the topographic landscape, prevailing building height and desired future streetscape character in the locality,

## Comment:

The proposed development is considered to be of a scale, bulk, form and height than can be compatible with surrounding and nearby developments Reddall Street. The works proposed is consistent with the bulk and scale of the existing building. The proposed works are located within the existing building footprint. The works to the loft will provide for a better outcome in term of presentation to the streetscape, the works match the flat roof design of the rest of the building.

The development satisfies this objective.

(b) to control the bulk and scale of buildings,

## Comment:

The increase in bulk and scale as viewed from the street is minor. The addition of the balcony to the northern end of the building is consistent with the character of the streetscape when compared to adjoining residential flat buildings.

The development satisfies this objective.

- (c) to minimise disruption to the following:
  - (i) views to nearby residential development from public spaces (including the harbour and foreshores),
  - (ii) views from nearby residential development to public spaces (including the harbour and foreshores),
  - (iii) views between public spaces (including the harbour and foreshores

## Comment:

The proposal will not result in any unreasonable loss of views to and from private and public spaces. The proposed works are consistent with *Tenacity Consulting v Warringah* SC [2004] NSWLEC 140.

The development satisfies this objective.

(d) to provide solar access to public and private open spaces and maintain adequate sunlight access to private open spaces and to habitable rooms of adjacent dwellings,

## Comment:

The solar impacts of this aspect of the development are negligible and therefore acceptable in terms of the impact on habitable rooms of the adjoining properties and public open spaces.

The development satisfies this objective.

(e) to ensure the height and bulk of any proposed building or structure in a recreation or environmental protection zone has regard to existing vegetation and topography and any other aspect that might conflict with bushland and surrounding land uses.

#### Comment:

Not applicable.

#### Conclusion:

The proposed development satisfies the underlying objectives of the Height of buildings development standard.

## Zone objectives

The underlying objectives of the R1 General Residential zone

To provide for the housing needs of the community.

## Comment:

The proposal retains the existing residential use of the site.

To provide for a variety of housing types and densities.

## Comment:

The proposal retains the existing housing type and density

 To enable other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents

#### Comment:

N/A

#### Conclusion:

For the reasons detailed above, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the R1 General Residential zone.

## cl 4.6 (4)(b) (Concurrence of the Secretary) assessment:

cl. 4.6(4)(b) requires the concurrence of the Secretary to be obtained in order for development consent to be granted.

Planning Circular PS 18-003 dated 21 February 2018, as issued by the NSW Department of Planning, advises that the concurrence of the Director-General may be assumed for exceptions to development standards under environmental planning instruments that adopt Clause 4.6 of the Standard Instrument. In this regard, given the consistency of the variation to the objectives of the zone, the concurrence of the Director-General for the variation to the Building Height Development Standard is assumed by the Local Planning Panel.

## **Manly Development Control Plan**

## **Built Form Controls**

Built Form Controls - Site Area: 492.7m <sup>2</sup>	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
4.1.2.1 Wall Height	North: 6.5m	10m	N/A	No
4.1.2.3 Roof Height	Height: 2.5m	0.3m (matching existing)	N/A	Yes
4.1.4.1 Street Front Setbacks	Prevailing building line / 6m	consistent with prevailing setback	N/A	Yes, see comments related to setbacks.
4.1.4.2 Side Setbacks and Secondary Street Frontages	3.3m (based on northern wall height)	4m	N/A	Yes

	Windows: 3m	4m	N/A	Yes
4.1.4.4 Rear Setbacks	8m	5.4m	N/A	No
4.1.5.1 Minimum Residential Total Open Space Requirements Residential Open Space Area: OS1/2/3/4	Open space above ground 25% 40sqm of total open space	26sqm	N/A	Yes

<sup>\*</sup>Note: The percentage variation is calculated on the *overall* numerical variation (ie: for LOS - Divide the proposed area by the numerical requirement then multiply the proposed area by 100 to equal X, then 100 minus X will equal the percentage variation. Example:  $38/40 \times 100 = 95$  then 100 - 95 = 5% variation)

## **Compliance Assessment**

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)	Yes	Yes
3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing	Yes	Yes
3.4.2 Privacy and Security	Yes	Yes
3.4.3 Maintenance of Views	Yes	Yes
3.5 Sustainability - (Greenhouse Energy Efficiency, Thermal Performance, and Water Sensitive Urban Design)	Yes	Yes
3.6 Accessibility	Yes	Yes
3.7 Stormwater Management	Yes	Yes
3.8 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
3.10 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
4.1.2 Height of Buildings (Incorporating Wall Height, Number of Storeys & Roof Height)	Yes	Yes
4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation	Yes	Yes
4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping	Yes	Yes
4.1.7 First Floor and Roof Additions	Yes	Yes
5.4.1 Foreshore Scenic Protection Area	Yes	Yes
5.4.2 Threatened Species and Critical Habitat Lands	Yes	Yes

## **Detailed Assessment**

## 3.4.2 Privacy and Security

## Merit consideration:

The development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

Objective 1) To minimise loss of privacy to adjacent and nearby development by:

- appropriate design for privacy (both acoustical and visual) including screening between closely spaced buildings; and
- mitigating direct viewing between windows and/or outdoor living areas of adjacent buildings.

## Comment:

The proposed balcony to the north side of the building will not result in any unreasonable privacy impacts upon adjoining properties or the public open space. The balcony as proposed has a useable area of 1.76sqm (3.9m x 0.45m), the usability of such a balcony size is relatively low and not unreasonable in this R1 General Residential zone. The resulting acoustic impact of a balcony this size is not unreasonable. In regards to visual impact, the proposed balcony does not directly overlook any living room windows or private open space of adjoining properties. The adjoining building north of the balcony is No. 2 Reddall Street. The proposed balcony is setback at least 14m from the building and any south facing windows. There is no potential for direct overlooking between properties. The potential visual impact is negligible.

Objective 2) To increase privacy without compromising access to light and air. To balance outlook and views from habitable rooms and private open space.

#### Comment:

The new balcony and north facing door from level 1 will allow for increased sunlight access to habitable rooms of unit 3 of the subject site, while not unreasonably compromising privacy between adjoining buildings.

Objective 3) To encourage awareness of neighbourhood security.

#### Comment:

The proposal retain and open frontage to allow for passive surveillance of the street.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MDCP and the objectives specified in section 1.3(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

#### 3.4.3 Maintenance of Views

## Merit consideration:

The development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

Objective 1) To provide for view sharing for both existing and proposed development and existing and future Manly residents.

## Comment:

The proposed development will result in a negligible loss of view from No. 28 Cliff Street, Manly to Queenscliff Beach ocean views. The loss of views is not unreasonable and will maintain adequate view sharing between properties.

Objective 2) To minimise disruption to views from adjacent and nearby development and views to and from public spaces including views to the city, harbour, ocean, bushland, open space and recognised landmarks or buildings from both private property and public places (including roads and footpaths).

The proposal results in a disruption of views from the adjacent development however the view loss is not unreasonable and has been assessed below with regard to the planning principle established by the NSW Land and Environment Court.

Council received one (1) submission from surrounding residents in relation to view loss from the original plans submitted. The Manly DCP refers to the planning principal within Tenacity Consulting v Warringah [2004] NSWLEC 140 when considering the impacts on the views of the adjoining properties which is provided below:

\_

The first step is the assessment of views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (for example of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, for example a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured.

#### Comment:

## No. 28 Cliff Street, Manly

The views affected from this property would be partial oceans views to the north of the site and subject site. The views are filtered through existing development and trees to the north towards Queenscliff beach.

The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example, the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic.

## Comment:

## No. 28 Cliff Street, Manly

The views affected from this property are obtained from an outlook over the front boundary from the first floor living room and adjoining balcony and second floor master bedroom and balcony from both a standing and sitting position. It is noted that views obtained from a sitting position are of lesser quality than those from a standing position.

The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20 percent if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating.

#### Comment:

## No. 28 Cliff Street, Manly



Photo 1- First floor living room (standing).



Photo 2- First floor balcony off living room (standing).



Photo 3- Second floor balcony off bedroom (standing)

In regards to No. 28 Cliff Street, the affected views are obtained over the front boundary from the first floor living room and adjoining balcony, which is highly valued and second floor master bedroom and balcony from both a standing and sitting position. Although the views from this area are highly valued the impact on the totality of views is negligible. The occupants will continue to enjoy water views to the north. The site has affected views that are unlikely to be unreasonably impacted by future development.

The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable.

#### Comment:

The proposal is non-compliant with clause 4.3 height of buildings development standard under the Manly LEP 2013, and as such even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. Qualitatively and consideration of the building height non-compliance and existing views the proposed impact upon views from No. 28 Cliff Street is negligible.

The proposal responds appropriately to the available views through the provisions of appropriate

view corridors over the top of proposed loft utilising a flat roof to ensure highly valued views including the land water interface are retained and the impact on properties in terms of view loss is negligible. The impact of the new eastern wall to the loft will not unduly impact views.

Given the above, it is considered that the proposal is acceptable and viewing sharing is achieved.

Objective 3) To minimise loss of views, including accumulated view loss 'view creep' whilst recognising development may take place in accordance with the other provisions of this Plan.

#### Comment:

In regards to 'view creep' the proposal does not include unreasonable bulk which could lead to unreasonable future view loss.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MDCP 2013 and the objectives specified in section 1.3(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

## 4.1.2 Height of Buildings (Incorporating Wall Height, Number of Storeys & Roof Height)

This Clause relies upon the objectives of Clause 4.3 under MLEP 2013. An assessment of the proposal against the objectives of Clause 4.3 has been provided within this report. This assessment has found the proposal to be consistent with the objectives of Clause 4.3.

## 4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation

## **Description of non-compliance**

Clause 4.1.4.1 of the Manly DCP requires dwelling be setback at least 6m from the front boundary.

Clause 4.1.4.4 of the Manly DCP requires dwelling be setback at least 8m from the rear boundary

**Front setback-** 4.9m, 18.3% variation to the numeric control. **Rear setback-** 5.4m, 32.5% variation to the numeric control.

## Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

Objective 1) To maintain and enhance the existing streetscape including the desired spatial proportions of the street, the street edge and the landscape character of the street.

## Comment:

The proposed works particularity the new balcony is not unreasonable in the streetscape. The works are consistent with other residential flat buildings within Reddall Street and the overall Manly area.

Objective 2) To ensure and enhance local amenity by:

providing privacy;

- providing equitable access to light, sunshine and air movement; and
- facilitating view sharing and maintaining adequate space between buildings to limit impacts on views and vistas from private and public spaces.
- defining and adding character to the streetscape including the provision of adequate space between buildings to create a rhythm or pattern of spaces; and
- facilitating safe and adequate traffic conditions including levels of visibility around corner lots at the street intersection.

## Comment:

Amenity considerations including privacy, solar access and view sharing are all maintained as part of this proposal.

Objective 3) To promote flexibility in the siting of buildings.

## Comment:

Flexibility is provided in this circumstance given the small size scale of the works proposed, and there being no unreasonable amenity impacts that will result from the proposed works.

Objective 4) To enhance and maintain natural features by:

- accommodating planting, including deep soil zones, vegetation consolidated across sites, native vegetation and native trees;
- ensuring the nature of development does not unduly detract from the context of the site and particularly in relation to the nature of any adjoining Open Space lands and National Parks; and
- ensuring the provisions of State Environmental Planning Policy No 19 Urban Bushland are satisfied.

## Comment:

Given there is no change to the existing building footprint, the need for increased landscaping on site is unwarranted.

Objective 5) To assist in appropriate bush fire asset protection zones.

#### Comment:

The subject site is not located in a bush fire zone.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MDCP and the objectives specified in section 1.3(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

## THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

#### CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

#### **POLICY CONTROLS**

## **Manly Section 94 Development Contributions Plan**

S94 Contributions are not applicable to this application.

## CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2018/1496 for Alterations and Additions to an existing residential flat building on land at Lot 3 SP 11382, 3 / 4 Reddall Street, MANLY, subject to the conditions printed below:

## **DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS**

## 1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

## a) Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp					
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By			
DWG.M1/ Site/location Plan	N/A	David Boddam-Whetham			
DWG. M/ D1/ Floor plan level 3	N/A	David Boddam-Whetham			
DWG. M/D2/ Floor plan level 2	N/A	David Boddam-Whetham			
DWG/ MD 3A/ East Elevation	N/A	David Boddam-Whetham			
DWG/ MD 4/ North and South Elevation	N/A	David Boddam-Whetham			
DWG MD 4.1 and 5.1/ Section and proposed West Elevation	N/A	David Boddam-Whetham			

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

## 2. Prescribed Conditions

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
  - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
  - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
  - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.

- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
  - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
    - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
    - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
  - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
    - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
    - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
  - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
  - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
  - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
  - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative Requirement (DACPLB09)

## 3. **General Requirements**

(a) Unless authorised by Council:

Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

- 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
- 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
- No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (c) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (d) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (e) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (f) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (g) No building, demolition, excavation or material of any nature and no hoist, plant and machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (h) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (i) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (j) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
  - i) Building/s that are to be erected
  - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
  - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
  - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
  - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (k) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
  - (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable

cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2008
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
- (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community. (DACPLB10)

## FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

## 4. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$1,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

## CERTIFICATE

## 5. **Stormwater Disposal**

Stormwater shall be disposed of to an existing approved system or in accordance with Northern Beaches Council's MANLY SPECIFICATION FOR ON-SITE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 2003.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal and stormwater management arising from development.

## 6. No Bright Lighting - Bandicoot Habitat

No bright lighting or motion detectors are to be installed to illuminate the lawn or garden areas. A modest amount of low lighting may be used for safety purposes only.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to issue of a Construction Certificate.

**Reason:** Bright lighting disturbs Long-nosed Bandicoots and disrupts normal Bandicoot foraging, sheltering and nesting activity.

## 7. Access Spaces - Bandicoot Habitat

Any new gates or fences are to be designed to include gaps appropriate to maintain bandicoot access through and within the site. Gaps are to be at least 150mm high and 300m wide. This condition does not apply to pool fencing.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to issue of the Construction Certificate.

**Reason:** To maintain bandicoot access to existing and proposed habitat within and surrounding the site.

#### 8. External Finishes to Roof

The external finish to the roof shall have a medium to dark range (BCA classification M and D) in order to minimise solar reflections to neighbouring properties. Any roof with a metallic steel finish is not permitted.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure that excessive glare or reflectivity nuisance does not occur as a result of the development. (DACPLC03)

## CONDITIONS TO BE COMPLIED WITH DURING DEMOLITION AND BUILDING WORK

## 9. Road Reserve

The public footways and roadways adjacent to the site shall be maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public Safety.

## 10. Traffic Control During Works

Lighting, fencing, traffic control and advanced warning signs shall be provided for the protection of the works and for the safety and convenience of the public and others in accordance with RMS Traffic Control At Work Sites Manual and to the satisfaction of the Principal Certifying Authority. Traffic movement in both directions on public roads, and vehicular access to private properties is to be maintained at all times during the works

Reason: Public Safety

## 11. Pre-clearance Survey Required – Bandicoot Habitat

A pre-clearance survey for Long-nosed Bandicoot activity and presence is required prior to the removal of any vegetation, material or debris stockpiles. Clearing may only proceed if the survey concludes that no Long-nosed Bandicoots are present within the area to be cleared. All clearing must initially be carried out with hand tools to identify whether any bandicoots are sheltering within the area to be cleared (e.g. at the base of vegetation or under deep litter). Machinery may only be used once it has been confirmed that no bandicoots are sheltering within the area to be cleared. Clearing must be carried out at dusk and completed within one day so that bandicoots do not reoccupy partially cleared areas overnight. A record of each inspection is to be made in the daily inspection register log-book. The log-book is to be made available to the Principal Certifying Authority.

**Reason:** To avoid injury or death of Long-nosed Bandicoots which may be utilising stockpiles of vegetation, materials or debris.

## 12. Waste/Recycling Requirements (Waste Plan Submitted)

During demolition and/or construction the proposal/works shall be generally consistent with the submitted Waste Management Plan

Reason: To ensure waste is minimised and adequate and appropriate waste and recycling facilities are provided. (DACWTE01)

# CONDITIONS WHICH MUST BE COMPLIED WITH PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

## 13. **Stormwater Disposal**

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a suitably qualified person. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

## 14. Fire Safety Matters

At the completion of all works, a Fire Safety Certificate will need to be prepared which references all the Essential Fire Safety Measures applicable and the relative standards of Performance (as per Schedule of Fire Safety Measures). This certificate must be prominently displayed in the building and copies must be sent to Council and the NSW Fire Brigade.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Interim / Final Occupation Certificate.

Each year the Owners must send to the Council and the NSW Fire Brigade an annual Fire Safety Statement which confirms that all the Essential Fire Safety Measures continue to perform to the original design standard.

Reason: Statutory requirement under Part 9 Division 4 & 5 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000.

## 15. Waste/Recycling Compliance Documentation

Evidence of disposal for recycling from the construction/demolition works shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure waste is minimised and recycled. (DACWTF02)

## ON-GOING CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE COMPLIED WITH AT ALL TIMES

# 16. **Maintain Fauna Access and Landscaping Provisions – Manly LEP Clause 6.5**All fauna access/movement and landscaping provisions specified in these conditions of consent are to be implemented and maintained for the life of the development.

**Reason:** To maintain fauna access to existing and proposed habitat within and surrounding the site.

## 17. Dead or Injured Wildlife - Manly LEP Clause 6.5

If construction activity associated with this development results in injury or death of a native mammal, bird, reptile or amphibian, a registered wildlife rescue and rehabilitation organisation must be contacted for advice.

**Reason:** To mitigate potential impacts to native wildlife resulting from construction activity.

#### 18. **Non-trafficable area.**

The proposed roof area is to be non-trafficable.

Reason: To ensure privacy to adjoining properties. (DACPLGOG1)