

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0314 Assessment Officer: John Essenstam Property Address: Lot 61 DP 1132191, 11 Yulong Avenue TERREY HILLS NSW 2084 Proposal Description: Carport, Plan Reference: 1/2 and 2/2

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	🔽 Yes 🗖 No	✓ Yes □ No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	🔽 Yes 🗖 No	✓ Yes □ No
Section 4 – Application Determination	🔽 🗸 Yes 🗖 No	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 11950 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

□ _{Yes}
No

Notification Required?

✓ Yes No
Submissions Received?

Period of Public Exhibition?

✓ 14 days □ 21 days □ 30 days □ N/A
No. of Submissions:

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? \square Yes \blacksquare No

SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: A3 Terrey Hills Village
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Development to Housing Other
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

LOCALITY A3 TERREY HILLS VILLAGE

The Terrey Hills Village will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. The streets will continue to be characterised by open front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any



subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The relationship of the locality with the nearby bushland and the Ku-ring-gai National Park will be reinforced by enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and protecting and preserving the natural landscape including rock outcrops and remnant bushland. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged.

The Tepko Road industrial area (that is, all land with frontage to Tepko Road, including Lot 2 DP 530175 and Lot 4 547022) will remain a small-scale industrial and employment centre incorporating industries, warehouses and ancillary service uses. Future development will retain light industrial and warehousing activity without having adverse impact on adjoining residential land uses and activities. Future development in this area will be designed to complement the landscape setting of the locality, address the streets and soften the visual impact of industrial buildings. Where development in this area adjoins residential land, it is not to reduce the amenity enjoyed by adjoining occupants. In this regard, the built form of the development within the Tepko Road industrial area is to provide a transition to adjacent residential development, including reasonable landscaped setbacks from rear boundaries.

The locality will continue to be served by a local retail centre in the area shown on the map. Future development in this centre will be in accordance with the general principles of development control listed in clause 39 (Local retail centres).

Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)

Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?
Yes No

Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)

Built Form Controls:

Building Height (overall):	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 3730mm
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
☑ 8.5m	
□ _{11.0m}	
Other	



Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling): Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 7.2m Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 2400mm Complies: Yes No
Front Setback: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 6.5m Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 6.5m Complies: Yes No
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?: Yes No Requirement: 3.5m Other	Corner Allotment: Existing and unchanged Proposed:m Complies: Yes No
Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed:dwelling / persqm Complies: Yes No
Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No 40% (500sqm) 50% (sqm) Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 61% (763sqm) Complies: Yes No



Rear Setback: Applicable: Ves No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement: 6.0m	Proposed:m Complies: Yes No
Other	
Side Boundary Envelope: Applicable: Yes No Requirement:	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
 4m / 45 degrees 5m / 45 degrees Other 	or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks: Applicable: Yes No 900mm 4.5m Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Proposed: 12.8m Complies: Yes No Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Proposed: 7.365m Complies: Yes No
Other:	

General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
CL39 Local retail centres Applicable:	Complies:



CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes Vo	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} IV _{No}	
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
	to be contaminated?
Yes No	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{Yes} , subject to condition □ _{No}
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:



Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} 🕶 _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	└─ _{Yes} └─ _{Yes} , subject to condition └─ _{No}
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services Applicable:	✓ Yes _Yes , subject to condition No
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└── _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	└─ _{Yes} └─ _{Yes} , subject to condition └─ No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	└─ _{Yes} └─ _{Yes} , subject to condition └─ _{No}
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



Yes No	
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Ves No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	▼ Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	res res, subject to condition no
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I∕ _{No}	
	Complian
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes 🔽 No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	
Ves No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I∕ _{No}	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Ves No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Referred to Aboriginal Heritage Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	\Box Yes \Box Yes , subject to condition \Box No
└─ _{Yes} I No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a subdivision of land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes Vo	
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
□ _{Yes} I _{No}	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects Applicable:	Complies:
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?

□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}

If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

SEPP 55 Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?

□_{Yes} <a>Image: No

Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?

□ _{Yes}
No

Within 5m of an overhead power line ?

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?



REPs: Applicable?: └ Yes Ves No

EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
Yes No	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Ves No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Ves No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable:	Addressed via condition?
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition? ✓ Yes □ No

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition



		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Applic	cable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	✓	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
	PA Act 1979		SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
	A Regulations 2000		SEPP BASIX
🗖 Dis	sability Discrimination Act 1992	✓	SEPP Infrastructure
□ _{Lo}	cal Government Act 1993	✓	WLEP 2000
C Ro	ads Act 1993	✓	WDCP
Ru Ru	ral Fires Act 1997		S94 Development Contributions Plan
C RF	I Act 1948		S94A Development Contributions Plan
🗆 wa	ater Management Act 2000		NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
🗖 wa	ater Act 1912		Other

Swimming Pools Act 1992;

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	✓ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	└ _{Yes} └ _{No} ♥ _{N/A}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	▼ _{Yes} No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	▼ _{Yes} No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 1251sqm	Overhangs
Detail existing onsite structures:	Waterfalls
None	Creeks / Watercourse
Detached Garage	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
Detached shed	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Swimming pool	└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}
Cabana	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Pergola	North / South
Site Features:	East / West
None	North East / South West
Trees	View of:
Under Storey Vegetation	view of.
Rock Outcrops	Ocean / Waterways
Caves	Headland Yes No



District Views

Bushland

Yes No

Other:

Bushfire Prone?

□ _{Yes}
^I _{No}

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?

□ _{Yes} <a>

No

Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?

□ _{Yes} ■ _{No}

Any items of heritage significance located upon it?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?

└ Yes ^I No

Located within an area identified as potential land slip?

□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}

Is the development Integrated?

☐ Yes ☑ No
Does the development require concurrence?



Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?

✓ Yes
No

Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?

□ Yes 🔽 No



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's 1 & 2>?</section's </section>	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	✓ Yes ♥ No If yes provide detail:

Signed

Date

John Essenstam, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Recommendation:

That Council as the consent authority

 \checkmark

GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and
- (b) the consent lapsing within three (3)years from operation

 \Box

 \Box

GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:

(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.

Signed

Date

John Essenstam Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed

Date

Rodney Piggott Team Leader, Development Assessment