

CONCEPT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION AT SECTOR 5 WARRIEWOOD VALLEY

Prepared For DENIS LEECH & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD

Report Nº: X04023-01 SEPTEMBER 2004



People & Svytems TO ENGINEER YOUR FUTUR

Brown Consulting (NSW) Pty Ltd

Consulting Environmental, Engineers & Managers ABN 30 109 434 513 Telephone 02 9900 1000 Facsimile 02 9900 1099 Level 2, 82 Waterloo Road Macquarie Park PO Box 1484 Macquarie Centre North Ryde NSW 2113 E-mail <u>sydney@wpbrown.com.au</u> Website <u>www.wpbrown.com.au</u>

SYDNEY CANBERRA BRISBANE MELBOURNE SUNSHINE COAST SINGAPORE BRUNEI

COMMERCIAL IN CONFIDENCE

All intellectual property rights, including copyright, in designs developed and documents created by the group of companies listed below remain the property of those companies. Any use made of such design or document without the prior written approval of the Group will constitute an infringement of the rights of the companies which reserves all legal rights and remedies in respect of any such infringement.

The information, including any intellectual property, contained in this proposal is confidential and proprietary to the Group. It may only be used by the person to whom it is provided for the stated purpose for which it is provided and must not be imparted to any third person without the prior written approval of the Group. The Group reserves all legal rights and remedies in relation to any infringement of its rights in respect of its confidential information.

> \bigcirc Brown Consulting (NSW) Pty Ltd

SEPT 2004

DOCUMENT CONTROL

X04023-01A

Issue	Date	Issue Details	Author	Checked	Approved
01	SEPT 04	Stormwater Management Report	JL	JL/ RP	RP
······					

Concept Stormwater Management Plan – Sector 5 Warriewood Valley FOR DENIS LEECH & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD

			4	1
	7.2.1	Estimated Flows	18	B
	7.2.2	Estimate of Design Flood Levels – Sector 5 overland Flow Path	10	ğ
	7.3	Stormwater Outlet Concept Design	19	3
8.0		MMENDATIONS		
		ENCES	2 1	

APPENDICIES

Appendix A	HEC-RAS Results – Design Geometry
Appendix B	Water Quality Details

1



CONCEPT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

SECTOR 5 – WARRIEWOOD VALLEY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Brown Consulting (NSW) Pty Ltd has been commissioned to provide a stormwater management plan for a development application at Sector 5 Warriewood Valley in the Pittwater local government area. This report and its associated drawings provide concept detail as to the stormwater management for the proposed residential development.

The following drawings should be read in conjunction with this stormwater management plan:

- D01 Existing Survey and Flood Extents
- D02 Stormwater Drainage Concept Plan
- D03 OSD Tanks and Sand Filter Details
- D04 Bio-retention Basin & Design Creek Details
- D10 Narrabeen Creek Design Plan & HEC-RAS Cross Sections
- D11 Narrabeen Creek Existing & Design Long Section
- D12 Narrabeen Creek Design Cross Sections Sheet 1
- D13 Narrabeen Creek Design Cross Sections Sheet 2
- D14 Narrabeen Creek Design Cross Sections Sheet 3
- D20 Overland Flowpath Sector 5
- D21 Overland Flowpath Long Section Sector 5
- D22 Overland Flowpath Cross Sections Sheet 1
- D23 Overland Flowpath Cross Sections Sheet 2

1.1 Site Location & Description

The site is located in the upper reaches of Narrabeen Creek, with the proposed development located to the south of Narrabeen Creek, which flows in an easterly direction. The site currently contains glasshouses and is predominantly cleared. Most riparian vegetation on Sector 5 is predominantly weed species and significant incision (up to 2 m depth) of the creek channel has occurred in places as a result of channel instability. In its existing condition, this section of Narrabeen Creek is considered a Class 3 fish habitat "Minimal Fish Habitat", although with riparian restoration would be considered a Class 2 habitat "Moderate Fish Habitat".

Concept Stormwater Management Plan – Sector 5 Warriewood Valley FOR DENIS LEECH & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD

		<u>#</u>	
7.2.1	Estimated Flows	10	B
7.2.2	Estimate of Design Flood Levels – Sector 5 overland Flow Path	10	Ö
7.3	Stormwater Outlet Concept Design	19 10	
	MMENDATIONS		
	ENCES		
		21	

APPENDICIES

Appendix A	HEC-RAS Results – Design Geometry
Appendix B	Water Quality Details

-

BROWN

CONCEPT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

SECTOR 5 – WARRIEWOOD VALLEY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Brown Consulting (NSW) Pty Ltd has been commissioned to provide a stormwater management plan for a development application at Sector 5 Warriewood Valley in the Pittwater local government area. This report and its associated drawings provide concept detail as to the stormwater management for the proposed residential development.

The following drawings should be read in conjunction with this stormwater management plan:

- D01 Existing Survey and Flood Extents
- D02 Stormwater Drainage Concept Plan
- D03 OSD Tanks and Sand Filter Details
- D04 Bio-retention Basin & Design Creek Details
- D10 Narrabeen Creek Design Plan & HEC-RAS Cross Sections
- D11 Narrabeen Creek Existing & Design Long Section
- D12 Narrabeen Creek Design Cross Sections Sheet 1
- D13 Narrabeen Creek Design Cross Sections Sheet 2
 D14 Narrabeen Creek Design Construction Sections Sheet 2
- D14 Narrabeen Creek Design Cross Sections Sheet 3
 D20 Overland Elowpath Sector 5
- D20 Overland Flowpath Sector 5
 D21 Overland Flowpath Long Castions
- D21 Overland Flowpath Long Section Sector 5
 D22 Overland Flowpath Cross Sections Starting
- D22 Overland Flowpath Cross Sections Sheet 1
 D23 Overland Flowpath Cross Sections Sheet 1
- D23 Overland Flowpath Cross Sections Sheet 2

1.1 Site Location & Description

The site is located in the upper reaches of Narrabeen Creek, with the proposed development located to the south of Narrabeen Creek, which flows in an easterly direction. The site currently contains glasshouses and is predominantly cleared. Most riparian vegetation on Sector 5 is predominantly weed species and significant incision (up to 2 m depth) of the creek channel has occurred in places as a result of channel instability. In its existing condition, this section of Narrabeen Creek is considered a Class 3 fish habitat "Minimal Fish Habitat", although with riparian restoration would be considered a Class 2 habitat "Moderate Fish Habitat".

BROWN

A steep bushland catchment of 4.12 ha drains through the site in a northerly direction through a low point that is ill-defined as it extends through the proposed development area on Sector 5. Drainage from this catchment is currently conveyed through the site by a series of small earth drains and pipe culverts, and in places the drainage line would only exist as sheet overland flow. This drainage discharges into Narrabeen Creek near the creek crossing with Jubilee Avenue.

The ephemeral drainage line passing through Sector 5 is considered a Class 4 fish habitat "Unlikely Fish Habitat" by the NSW Department of Primary Industry (Fisheries) due to the ephemeral nature of this drainage line, lack of vegetation, significant modification of the drainage line and the absence of any upstream or downstream significant aquatic habitat.

1.2 Warriewood Valley Stormwater Management Specification

This study has been developed in accordance with Pittwater Councils (2001) Stormwater Management Specification for the Warriewood Valley Urban Release Area. The key issues outlined by this specification include:

Stormwater Quantity Management

- Developed hydrograph must be within ±10% of the pre-developed hydrograph at any location on the rising or falling limb,
- Peak flow from the sector to be within ±5% of the peak flow given in Appendix A of the specification.
- Developed peak flow to be no greater than pre-developed conditions,
- All OSD aboveground structures to be located above the 100 year ARI flood level,
- Stormwater reuse to be utilised for the development

Flooding

- Estimation of flood levels for pre and post development,
- Floor levels to be +500 mm above the 1% AEP flood,
- The 50% AEP flood to be conveyed within the creek banks,
- Walkways & cycleways to be above 20% AEP flood level,
- Water quality control devices to be above the 20% AEP flood level,
- Flood hazard and evacuation associated with the PMF to be considered if it flows through residential areas.

Stormwater Quality

- Load based modelling of pre and post development using a daily load model for 90th 50th and 10th percentile rainfall years,
- Ensure post developed pollutant loads do not exceed existing loads,
- Concept design of stormwater treatment facilities,

Water Balance

Water balance modelling for pre and post development,

Watercourse & Creekline Preservation

- Establish baseline conditions by survey every 25 m,
- 1% AEP flood to be conveyed in creekline for post-development conditions,
- Use of natural channels in the design sections of the creek,
- 50% AEP flood to be conveyed below bank-full levels,
- Stormwater discharges to be adequately stabilised,

1.3 The Development Proposal

The DA is for the construction of 75 residential dwellings in Sector 5 Warriewood Valley, as shown in **Drawing D02**. A total of 55 dwellings are proposed adjacent to Narrabeen Creek, denoted Site A in this study. A further 20 dwellings are proposed in the south-east corner of Sector 5, denoted Site B.

The development of Sector 5 will utilise stormwater quality and quantity controls in accordance with industry 'best practice management', and more specifically to meet the objectives of Pittwater Council's *"Water Management Specification"*. This will include stormwater reuse for each dwelling in accordance with the NSW government's BASIX, in addition to utilising stormwater treatments such as bio-retention, sand filters and gross pollutant traps. The development will also provide on-site detention to maintain existing flow regimes, provide rehabilitation of Narrabeen Creek through Sectors 5 & 6 and provide a landscaped overland flow path for overland flows passing across Sector 5.



2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The hydrology of the proposed development area has been previously modelled by Lawson & Treloar Pty Ltd for Pittwater Council using the *XP-RAFTS* hydrological model. This model was a broad scale model covering most of the catchment of Narrabeen Creek, including Sector 5.

2.1 Hydrology

2.1.1 Narrabeen Creek

The existing peak flow at the upstream and downstream end of Sector 5 & 6 for various design floods as estimated by Lawson & Treloar Pty Ltd is shown in **Table 2.1**.

Table 2.1

.1 Peak Flows Upstream & Downstream of Sectors 5 & 6

PMF	1% AEP	2% AEP	5% AEP	20% AEP	50% AEP
62.8	14.1	11.5	8.6		13
75.0	17.0	13.6	10.1		1.5
	62.8	62.8 14.1	62.8 14.1 11.5	62.8 14.1 11.5 8.6	62.8 14.1 11.5 8.6 4.2

These flows relate to the runoff contributed from both Sectors 5 and 6.

2.1.2 Drainage Line through Bisecting Sector 5

A small ephemeral drainage line passes through the proposed subdivision. This drainage line has a catchment area of 4.12 ha upstream of the proposed subdivision. The catchment landuse is bushland and the average slope in this area is 15%.

The peak 100 year ARI flow from this catchment has been estimated using the RAFTS component of DRAINS as being 1.54 m³/s. This flow was estimated suing a Manning's value of 0.06 representing the bushland conditions and the same initial and continuing losses as adopted in the RAFTS model developed for Narrabeen Creek by Lawson & Treloar Pty Ltd.

2.2 Existing Flood Conditions in Narrabeen Creek

Flood levels for existing conditions were established by Lawson & Treloar for Pittwater Council from four cross sections through the site. The estimated 100 year ARI flood level varied from 20.77 to 19.79 m AHD through Sector 5. These flood levels were revised as part of this study using a more detailed survey through the site, which was taken at 25 m spacings along the creek and extended further onto the floodplain.

BROW

The HEC-RAS boundary condition adopted was the known surface water level as derived from the modelling undertaken by Lawson & Treloar Pty Ltd for each design flood (eg 17.92 m AHD for the 100 y ARI flood). The model was run in sub-critical mode.

Drawing D01 shows the PMF, 20 and 100 year ARI flood extents through Sector 5. **Table 2.2** shows that the revised 100 year ARI flood level varies from approximately 20.86 to 18.91 m AHD through the site. All floods except the PMF are completely contained within the existing channel of Narrabeen Creek.

Section	Q Total	Flood Level	Channel Velocity	Froude	<u> </u>	Mannings	
- <u></u>	(m3/s)	(m, AHD)	(m/s)		LOB	Channel	ROB
11	14.1	20.86	1.89	0.65	0.1	0.045	0.1
10	14.1	20.4	2.55	0.91	0.1	0.045	0.1
9	14.1	20.29	1.55	0.49	0.1	0.045	0.1
8	14.1	20.14	1.61	0.5	0.1	0.045	0.1
7	14.1	19.98	1.75	0.51	0.05	0.045	0.1
6	14.1	19.65	2.32	0.8	0.05	0.045	
5	14.1	19.66	1.35	0.39	0.05	0.045	0.1
4	14.1	19.6	1.18	0.33	0.05	0.045	0.1
3	14.1	19.55	1.13	0.35	0.05		0.1
2	14.1	18.99	2.85	1.01		0.045	0.1
1	17	18.91	1.59		0.05	0.045	0.1
0	Bridge			0.49	0.05	0.045	0.1

Table 2.2Existing 100 year ARI Flood Levels

2.3 Narrabeen Creek Corridor through Sector 5

Narrabeen Creek is considerably degraded adjacent to Sector 5, showing signs of scour and containing many weed species in the riparian area. Proposed creek works will aid in stabilising the creek and provide a revegetated riparian corridor.

Drawing D10 and Drawing D11 show the existing creek survey information in section and plan.

3.0 WATER BALANCE FOR SECTOR 5

A daily water balance model was developed for pre and post development of the site using a spreadsheet model. This type of approach is recommended by the EPA (1997) for estimating flows and pollutant loads at the development application stage.

3.1 Model Assumptions

This model used daily rainfall data from the Bureau of meteorology Station at Mona Vale to estimate daily runoff volumes. The period of record covered 31 years from January 1972 to the end of 2002. Evaporation data used were daily averages for each month.

Volumetric runoff coefficients and initial rainfall loss used in the model for each landuse included:

Existing Site	15%	4 mm
Roof Areas	100%	0 mm
Roads	85%	1 mm
Lots	18%	4 mm

For developed conditions, the stormwater reuse was assumed to occur only if no greater than 1 mm of rainfall had occurred that day, as although the water will be available for domestic use it is assumed most of the water will be used for irrigation. Daily rainwater demand was assumed to be 450 L/d/dwelling if available (for irrigation, washing machines and toilet flushing), which is conservative given that if >1 mm of rainfall occurred it is assumed there is no water demand from the rainwater tanks. Total rainwater storage for each dwelling is 8,000 L of storage.

Evaporation losses from the bio-retention basin were also considered in the modelling using average daily evaporation for each month in Sydney. Such losses were only applied while water was held in the bio-retention basin.

3.2 Water Balance Summary

The water balance modelling estimated the following total rainfall depths for statistically representative rainfall years:

1,090 mm

•	10 th Percentile Rainfall Year	744 mm
---	---	--------

- Average rainfall year
- 90th Percentile rainfall year 1,537 mm

BROWN

Table 3.1	Wate	r Balance N	Iodelling Resul	ts
	Pre-development Runoff (ML/y)		Post-Development Runoff with Stormwater Re-use (ML/y)	
Site	A	В	A	В
10 th Percentile Rainfall Year	5.88	0.84	2.66	0.52
Average rainfall year	8.60	1.23	4.69	0.75
90th Percentile rainfall year	14.26	2.03	9.86	1.21

The water balance model results are shown in Table 3.1.

The model shows that development of Sector 5 would not increase runoff volumes from that of existing conditions. This has been achieved by incorporating a substantial stormwater reuse component for each dwelling. However, environmental flows to Narrabeen Creek will not be reduced as the rainwater reuse is only from roof areas of the site and all roads and lot areas will continue to flow to Narrabeen Creek through the water quality treatment devices and on-site detention.

Figure 2.1 shows the storage in the rainwater tanks associated with the stormwater demand for an average rainfall year on Site A. It can be seen that for approximately 30% of the year stormwater would be unavailable for reuse on Site A.





Rainwater Tank Storage - Site A

4.0 STORMWATER DRAINAGE CONCEPT PLAN (SDCP)

A requirement of Pittwater Council is to provide a Stormwater Drainage Concept Plan (SDCP). This plan is shown in **Drawing D02**, which identifies:

- Location & size of SQIDS
- Location of diversion drains,
- Location and size of the OSD systems,
- 1% AEP and PMF extents post development
- Creekline corridors post development
- Piped drainage system
- Onsite retention facilities
- Surface overland flowpaths

5.0 STORMWATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT

5.1 Modelling Methodology

Stormwater quality was analysed using the daily water balance model developed for this study, coupled with a water quality model component, as recommended by Pittwater Council's (2001) *Warriewood Valley Water Management Specification*. It was necessary to combine the two models as the reduction in runoff volumes through water reuse would also reduce pollutant loads from the catchment. Such a relationship is not yet adequately modelled by water quality models such as MUSIC, which can only accommodate simplistic reuse from ponds.

The event mean concentrations (EMC) used for establishing the existing conditions for the site were taken from the average results of water quality sampling data for the 'Wet Conditions' classification at the McPherson Street Bridge, being:

 TN 	1.85 mg/L
------------------------	-----------

- TP 0.74 mg/L
- TSS 131 mg/L

For developed conditions, the catchment was subdivided into roof, landscaped and road areas. The EMC values used for these landuses were taken from Australian Runoff Quality-DRAFT (2003) which were adopted from Duncan (1999) and are shown in **Table 5.1**.

5

Table 5.1	le 5.1 Pollutant EMC Values & Ru	⁷ alues & Runo	noff Coefficient	
Landuse	TSS (mg/L)	TP (mg/L)	TN (mg/L)	
Roof Areas	35	0.13	0.60	
Landscaped Areas	150	0.40	1.85	
Roads	250	0.25	1.12	

Note: TN for landscaped areas was assigned as 1.85 mg/L which is greater than Duncan (1999)

5.2 Existing Pollutant Loads from Sector 5

The existing pollutant loads off Site A and B as estimated by the model are shown in Table 5.2.

Fable 5.2	Existing p	ollutant Lo	ads
* -	TSS	TN	TP
Percentile Year	kg/y kg/y		kg/y
	Site A		¥.
10 th	771	10.89	1.18
mean	1127	15.91	1.72
90 th	1869	26.37	2.85
	Site B		
10 th	109	1.55	0.62
mean	160	2.27	0.90
90 th	266	3.76	1.50

5.3 Proposed Stormwater Treatment Strategy – Site A

The water quality treatment for Site A will consist of:

- Stormwater re-use of dwelling roof runoff by utilising 8,000 L rainwater tanks,
- Gross pollutant traps to pre-treat road and lot drainage, and
- Two bio-retention basins which will receive flows from the gross pollutant trap.

The pollutant removal rates adopted for stormwater treatment devices are shown in **Table 5.3**. Data from Melbourne Water in Appendix B indicates that bio-retention basins may achieve higher pollutant removal rates than adopted in this study. The performance of sand filters was adopted from the *Water Sensitive Planning Guide for the Sydney Region*.

Table 5.3	Pollutant Load Reductions for Stormwater T		
	TSS	TP	TN
Bio-retention Basins	85%	77%	70%
GPT's	50-75%	20%	0%
Sand Filter	65%	58%	50%

5.3.1 Post Development Pollutant Loads – Site A

The estimates of pollutant loads from Site A with stormwater treatment are shown in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4	Site A - Poll	utant Loads	with Tre
Percentile Year	TSS kg/y	TN kg/y	TP
10th	96	3.62	<u>kg/y</u> 0.60
mean	160	5.81	0.98
90th	337	12.31	2.07
Mean Reduction	86%	64%	43%

5.3.2 Bio-retention Basin Concept Design

The design of the bio-retention basins was in accordance with Melbourne Waters WSUD Manual and other similar procedures. The design assumptions include:

Bio-retention Basin SizingFilter Media depth750 mmFilter Media Surface Area317 m² (Total)Extended Detention Depth300 mmTotal Basin Volume95 m³ (Total)Adopted Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity36 mm/h (adopted long term k)Maximum Time to Drain Basin24 hoursFilter Media SpecificationFilter Media Type

Filter Media Type	Sandy Loam (0.45 mm)
Maximum Hydraulic Conductivity	180 mm/h
Sub-surface Drain Type	Perforated PVC (min 0.5% grade)

Surface Treatment on Filter Media

Plants selected for use in bio-retention systems need to be able to tolerate periods of inundation, as these systems can be expected to have a proportion of the soil profile saturated for several days. The selection of a sandy loam soil with a hydraulic conductivity in the range of 40-180mm/h will normally ensure soils are not waterlogged, which has been accommodated in the concept design.

Plants with extensive fibrous root systems are generally preferred as they prevent the filter media from clogging. Plants with a spreading, rhizomatous or suckering habit are also preferred. The filter must be planted to ensure it does not clog, and a stone layer at the surface could be used if required, although no mulch should be placed on the filter.

Sub-surface Drainage



100 mm PVC perforated pipes will be placed in a 150-200 mm thick fine gravel layer below a 100 mm thick sand transition layer located immediately below the filter media. The grading of the transition layer should be:

٠	1.4 mm	100% passing
٠	1.0 mm	80%
٠	0.7 mm	44%

• 0.5 mm 8.4% passing

The maximum spacing of the perforated PVC pipes is to be maximum 2 m spacings centre to centre.

5.4 Proposed Stormwater Treatment Strategy - Site B

The water quality treatment for Site B will consist of:

- Stormwater re-use from dwelling roof runoff using 8,000L rainwater tanks,
- Gross pollutant traps to treat road and lot drainage, and
- Sand filters which will receive runoff from the gross pollutant trap and treat to remove nutrients, grease and hydrocarbons. These will discharge runoff to the OSD tanks.

5.4.1 Post Development Pollutant Loads – Site B

The model estimates of pollutant loads from Site B on Sector 5 are shown in Table 5.5.

Fable 5.5	Site B - Pollut	ant Loads wi	th Treatm
Percentile Year	TSS kg	TN kg	TP ka
10th	14.5	0.32	0.05
mean	28.3	0.55	0.09
90th	39.0	0.80	0.12
Mean Reduction	82%	76%	90%

5.4.2 Concept Water Quality Treatment Device Sizing – Site B

The GPT's will be sized to treat the 3 month ARI peak flow from the catchment. The sand filter will receive flows directed to it via the GPT's, effectively making them off-line, and has been sized based on the following assumptions:

Combined Runoff Volume (first 15 mm)	36.5 m ³
Combined Filter SA	57 m ²
Filter depth	600 mm
Filter Media	Clean, washed aggregate

6.0 ONSITE DETENTION - SECTOR 5

The Warriewood Valley Water Management Specification provides site storage requirements (SSR) and permissible site discharge (PSD) for Sector 5. These were estimated from the *RAFTS* modelling undertaken by Lawson & Treloar for Pittwater Council. These factors were determined as being:

SSR for 1% AEP 1 hr Storm $368 \text{ m}^3/\text{ha} = 740 \text{ m}^3$ for Site APSD for 1% AEP 1 hr Storm $0.331 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ PSD for 1% AEP 2 hr Storm $0.390 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

The PSD and SSR were verified using the *RAFTS* hydrological component of the *DRAINS* model for both catchments A and B in Sector 5. This software has a more advanced detention basin modelling component than RAFTS that allows multiple orifices to be modelled and hydrographs examined. Initial and continuing losses adopted were those used in the flood study by Lawson & Treloar Pty Ltd for Pittwater Council.

6.1 Onsite Detention Calculations for Site A

The hydrological parameters adopted for the existing catchment conditions were the same as for the RAFTS modelling undertaken by Lawson & Treloar, being:

Existing Mannings 'n'	0.05
Developed Mannings 'n'	0.025
Slope	5%
Contributing catchment area	2.01 ha
Impervious percentage	50%

Exceptions from the parameters used in the existing *RAFTS* model were the catchment area modelled, where it is proposed to develop only 2.01 ha of Site A and hydrologically isolate the remaining upslope catchment area from the rest of the site.

The proposed OSD system for Site A is shown in **Drawing D03**. The OSD system will utilise 560 m³ of storage located in storage provided under the access road. An additional 220 m³ of storage will be provided within the rainwater tanks for each dwelling, equating to 4 m³ of OSD storage per dwelling. It should be noted that BASIX states that 50% of the rainwater tank capacity can be counted towards OSD storage if the rainwater tank is connected into the household water supply, which is proposed. Therefore, the downpipes for each dwelling and inter-allotment drainage will be required to convey the roof runoff from the 100 year ARI storm.

The *DRAINS* modelling has estimated that the development of Site A would not increase the peak flow from that of existing conditions when using OSD, as shown in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1	Summary of Peak Flows - Site A			
ARI Storm	Critical Storm (min)	Existing Conditions	PSD	Developed With OSD
5	120	0.346	0.346	0.322
20	120	0.499	0.499	0.488
100	120	0.673	0.784	0.687

Note: The PSD of 0.784 for the 100y ARI storm is from the Warriewood Valley Water Management Specification

The concept outlet arrangement from the OSD tank is:

- Low level orifice 458 mm at centre RL 20.17 m
- High level Orifice 310 mm at centre RL 20.89 m
- Overflow weir at RL 21.35 m (Q100 level 21.37 m)
- Outlet using a 900 mm RCP at IL 19.04 m

The OSD system has been designed to ensure that there is no pronounced 'tail' on the falling limb of the hydrograph and that the times of peak and hydrograph shapes are similar (**Figure 6.1**). Furthermore, the system has been designed to account for the hydraulic grade line in the outlet pipe due to flooding in Narrabeen Creek.



Figure 6.1 2 hour 100 year ARI Storm Hydrograph – Site A



Figure 6.2 2 hour 5 year ARI Storm Hydrograph - Site A

6.2 Onsite Detention Calculations for Site B

This catchment was modelled in *DRAINS* using the *ILSAX* hydrological component, as a smaller sub-catchment in the RAFTS component will alter the peak flows from the catchment.

The upslope bushland catchment was excluded from the model as flows from this catchment will be diverted around the site and into the drainage line that is proposed to flow through Site A.

The existing peak flows for each design storm were adopted as the PSD for the site, except for the 100 year ARI storm where the PSD given in the Warriewood Water Management Specification was used. The PSD and results are shown in Table 6.2.

Table 6.2

Summary of	of Pea	k Flows	- Site B
------------	--------	---------	----------

ARI Storm	Critical Duration (min)	Existing Conditions (m ³ /s)	Developed Site With OSD (m ³ /s)
5	90	0.182	0.176
20	120	0.254	0.224
100	120	0.338 (PSD = 0.275)*	0.273

*PSD adopted from the Warriewood Valley Urban Release Area Water Management Specification (2001)



The proposed OSD system utilises two underground tanks to provide a combined storage of 232 m³ including 80 m³ of OSD storage in rainwater tanks in the dwellings draining to the OSD system. It is proposed that the tanks will be located underneath the roads in this area as shown in **Drawing D03**.

Concept design details for the OSD on Site B are provided below:

Tank 1 - Catchment area 0.377 ha

- Total OSD Storage (incl Rainwater tanks) 107 m³
- Low level outlet 220 mm orifice with Centre at RL 25.5m
- High level outlet weir at RL 27 m

Tank 2 - Catchment area 0.453 ha

- Total OSD Storage (incl rainwater tanks) 125 m³
- Low level outlet 265 mm orifice with centre at RL 21.5m
- High level outlet weir at RL 23 m

Unlike most conventional OSD systems, there is no sustained base-flow resulting from the attenuation of peak flows for all storms up to the 100 year ARI.

WATERCOURSE RESTORATION & FLOOD PROTECTION

6.3 Narrabeen Creek

Narrabeen Creek will be rehabilitated by providing revegetation of the riparian zone along with earthworks to stabilise the existing creek and manage flooding. The existing creek channel will be stabilised by creating benching in accordance with natural channel design principles. Small natural sandstone retaining walls of 300 mm height will extend above the bank full flow section of the channel throughout some sections of the creek. The creek bank above these retaining wall sections is proposed to be battered at a 1 in 6 grade to other 300 mm high benches until the design or natural surface level is reached. Concept creek design sections are shown in **Drawings D10, D11, D12, D13, D14** and **D04**.

We have been advised that the section of Narrabeen Creek on Sector 6 will have straight 1 in 3 batters, which has been adopted for the hydraulic modelling. Therefore, flood levels through this section of Narrabeen Creek will need to be re-viewed in the context of proposed works on the other side of the creek that fronts Sector 6 for the detailed design. In either case, changes to the flood levels estimated in this study are expected to be minimal for the detailed design.

6.3.1 Estimate of Design Flood Levels – Narrabeen Creek

Flood levels in Narrabeen Creek adjacent to Sector 5 were estimated using HEC-RAS, the cross sectional geometry from the proposed creek design and the estimate of flows derived by Lawson & Treloar for Sectors 5 & 6. The hydraulic modelling results are given in **Table 7.1**.

Table 7.1		Hydraulic Modelling Results & the Flood Planning Level									
Section	PMF (m, AHD)	100 y ARI (m, AHD)	100 y ARI Velocity (m/s)	20 y ARI (m, AHD)	2 y ARI (m, AHD)	Flood Planning Level * (m, AHD)					
11	21.85	20.35	3.07	20.04	19.41	20.85					
10	21.56	20.08	2.03	19.8	19.19	20.58					
9	21.56	20.0	1.60	19.7	19.03	20.50					
8	21.32	19.81	1.98	19.52	18.88	20.31					
7	21.21	19.65	2.05	19.36	18.73	20.15					
6	21.15	19.51	1.98	19.22	18.57	20.01					
5	21.12	19.43	1.79	19.13	18.45	19.93					
4	20.97	19.22	2.13	18.91	18.28	19.72					
3	20.98	19.09	1.97	18.77	18.12	19.72					
2	20.96	18.96	1.91	18.62	17.91						
1	20.9	18.83	2.11	18.54	17.77	19.46					
0	Bridge			10.04	11.14	19.33					

*Flood Planning Level = (Q100+500 mm for minimum floor level for adjacent buildings)

Mannings values adopted for the flood study included:

- 0.08 for overbanks (representing dense planting within the riparian zone), and
- 0.045 for the channel (representing scattered vegetation with rushes and macrophytes).

X04023-01

Table 7 1

Hydraulic controls included the road bridge immediately downstream of Sector 5.

Drawing D11 shows the design 100 year ARI flood levels along this section of creek. It can be seen that the design of the creek reduces flood levels from that of existing conditions as a consequence of increasing the conveyance capacity of Narrabeen Creek.

6.4 Overland Flow Path through Site A

A minor overland flow path is proposed to pass through the site in Site A. An ephemeral landscaped corridor has been provided to pass overland flows from the upstream 4.12 ha catchment, in addition to conveying the flows from the 0.81 ha catchment upslope of Site B.

6.4.1 Estimated Flows

The 100 year ARI flow from the total 4.93 ha upstream catchment is estimated as being 1.91 m³/s, of which 0.389 m³/s is diverted from the upslope catchment of Site B. A box culvert under the access road is proposed to convey these flows into the designated overland flow path through Site A. Two box culverts of 1800 mm width and 600 mm height will convey this flow without overtopping of the access road when 50% blocked. Their combined capacity without blockage is estimated at 2.84 m³/s at a headwater depth of 0.6 m. With blockage the culvert capacity is estimated at 2.0 m³/s at the same headwater depth, which exceeds the estimated 100 year ARI flow at this location.

The total peak flow arriving at the downstream end of the overland flow path is estimated at 2.01 m³/s for the 100 year ARI storm. Flows from this overland flow path will be piped in a 1,050 mm diameter RCP, where they will combine with flows from the OSD system for Site A, continue through a 1200 mm RCP and discharge into a stabilised energy dissipater at Narrabeen Creek. The access road will form an overland flow path for floods above the 100 year ARI.

This corridor consists of an overland flow path that leads to a series of self draining rock weirs. It should be noted that this structure is <u>not</u> designed to retain water, although in large storms ponding of water will occur behind the weirs and at the inlet to the pipe culvert.

A 300 mm high rock retaining wall will form a hard edge to the overland flow path. This will aid in reducing mosquito larvae development and preventing scour in extreme floods. All batters below and above the edge wall will be a maximum of 1(V) in 6(H).

6.4.2 Estimate of Design Flood Levels – Sector 5 overland Flow Path

The HEC-RAS hydraulic model was used to estimate flood levels in the proposed overland flow path through Sector 5. The model used a downstream boundary condition of a known surface water level, as the permeable rock weirs located across the overland flow path act as a hydraulic control for the water level in each section of the overland flow path. Therefore the results shown in **Table 7.2** do not extend beyond Section OF10.

Drawings D20, D21, D22 and **D23** show long sections and cross sections of this overland flow path along with the 100 year ARI flood level, which in the lower sections of the overland flow path is controlled by the inlet capacity of the pipe that conveys runoff to Narrabeen Creek. It can be seen that all adjacent dwellings are located a minimum of 500 mm above the 100 year ARI flood level. Furthermore, all dwellings fronting the access road have sufficient freeboard.

For storms greater than the 100 year ARI, or if significant blockage of the culvert occurred, the adjacent road would act as an overland flow path for those overflows allowing runoff to reach Narrabeen Creek. Dwellings adjacent to the road have sufficient freeboard provided.

		and the second s							
Section	Chainage	100 Y ARI Flood Level (m, AHD)	Energy Grade Elevation (m, AHD)	100 Y ARI Channel Velocity (m/s)					
1	90	28.49	28.72	2.14					
2	85	28.08	28.28	1.97					
3	80	27.90	28.17	2.32					
4	75	27.64	27.76	1.57					
5	70	27.07	27.36	2.39					
6	65	26.74	26.89	1.72					
7	60	26.36	26.55	1.93					
8	55	25.85	26.09	2.17					
9	50	25.58	25.70	1.54					
10	40	25.01	25.16	1.67					

Table 7.2	100 Year ARI flood Levels in the Overland Flow Path

6.5 Stormwater Outlet Concept Design

A single stormwater outlet to Narrabeen Creek is proposed. This outlet will contain a 1200 mm RCP discharging through a headwall situated in the riparian zone. The DRAINS model has estimated the flow velocity in the pipe to be a maximum of 2.75 m/s.

The outlet will incorporate a natural rip rap energy dissipater in accordance with a *Type A* - *Roads & Traffic Authority* dissipater to force a hydraulic jump and allow flow to return to subcritical. A total dissipater length of 10 m has been provided to prevent scour at the confluence of this outlet and Narrabeen Creek. The proposed creek design will require that this stormwater outlet be surrounded by a sandstone boulder retaining wall that will mesh with the proposed benched creek design.

X04023-01



Į.

7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

The stormwater management plan for Sector 5 Warriewood Valley has been prepared in accordance with Pittwater Councils Water Management Specification. The stormwater components used in the development will meet five principle objectives being:

- Ensuring that peak flows are maintained at a rate not exceeding that of existing conditions, while maintaining a similar deign storm hydrograph,
- Improving water quality in discharge from the site so that it is no worse than that of existing conditions,
- Ensuring that the average annual flows from the site are no greater than that of existing conditions,
- Promoting WSUD in the design,
- Rehabilitating the creek corridor along Narrabeen Creek ensuring long term channel stability and promoting revegetated benched riparian zones.

8.0 **REFERENCES**

- Duncan H.P. (1999). "Urban Stormwater Quality: A Statistical Overview". CRC for Catchment Hydrology.
- Institution of Engineers Australia (2004) Australian Runoff Quality DRAFT.
- Melbourne Water (2004). WSUD Engineering Procedures: Stormwater DRAFT.

NSW EPA (1997a). Managing Urban Stormwater: Council Handbook.

NSW EPA (1997b). Managing Urban Stormwater: Treatment Techniques.

Pittwater Council (2001). Warriewood Valley Urban Land Release Water Management Specification. Prepared by Lawson & Treloar Pty Ltd.

•	-
*	_





HEC-RAS RESULTS

BROW

ł











APPENDIX B

WATER QUALITY



Bioretention System Surface Area (as a % of Impervious Catchment)

Melbourne (reference site) Bioretention TP Removal



Concept Stormwater Management Plan – Sector 5 Warriewood Valley FOR DENIS LEECH & ASSOCIATES PTY LTD



Figure 6.4 Bioretention system TN removal performance in Melbourne

Appendix B



Figure 6.3 Bioretention system TP removal performance in Melbourne

X04023-01















\oplus^{-1}		068/01 992/11 692/1 0				161.01	£96:91	<u>sei</u>	Beckhere and Copyright ALL OIL SEY STOER OIL STE BY SUPERAINE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCT WRITTEN DIMENSIONS NOT SCALE. 2004 W P Brown & Parter
	DESKIN GRADELINE	DATUM 14 D100 LEVEL DESIGN EXISTING CHAINAGE		DESKH GRADELME	DATUMIN. Q100 LEVEL	DESIGN	EXISTING		RAP APP
					LL	1			N NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW NEW NE
									APTION APTION
									SSUED FOR DA APPROVAL
I									





Ē



DESIGN EXISTIN CHAINA	DESIGN EXISTING CHAINAG	DESIGN EXISTING CHAINAGE	Deviatine and Caprind II. ALL DIMENTE BY SUPPORT OF ALL ON STIE BY SUPPORT OF ALL ON TO CONSTRUCTION. WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ON UNT SCALE.
			DA APPROVAL DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION
			01 220600 ISSUED FOR DA APP

¥



DESIGN	EXISTING	CHAINAGE	DATUMS	DESIGN EXISTING			DATUM S	DESIGN	 CHAINAGE	Disclamer and Copyright: ALL DIMENSIONS TO BE CA ON SITE BY SUPERINTEND DEPOSE TO CONTEXTUNETOR	WRITTEN DIMENSIONS ON NOT SCALE.	O 2004 W P Brown & Partners P
											AK JL RAP	-
											ROVAL	DESCRIPTION AMENDMENTS
											2208/04 ISSUED FOR DA APPROVAL	
											2 2 2	

A1









50 22 20 22 32 5 50 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	759'52' 26'92' 55' 759'52' 16'92' 66'7	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Zopright Storks To BE CHI SIONS TO BE CHI SIONS TO BE CHI CONSTRUCTION SIONS TO A MENSIONS ONL MENSIONS ONL
State 158 BIN 9 26:1 24.65	SI SZ 61.92 0-	SECTION A State 150 M	Declariner and Copyrig ALL DIMENSION ON SITE BY SUPPIPATION CO CONS WRITTEN DIMEN NOT SCALE. OZ 2004 W P Brown
E22 52 E1 92 B9 5- E22 52 E1 92 B9 5- F25 52 F1 92 B1 9- 9 725 52 N1 92 69 9-	69952 2992 68'7- 59552 99932 66'5- 59652 5992 68'5- 68'5-		AK LI RAP
CHAINAGE CHAINAGE CHAINAGE	EXISTING EXISTING CHAINAGE		A APPROVAL DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION
		-	M ISSUED FOR A APPROVAL
			01 227090 HEV DATE

F