



## Arboricultural Impact Assessment

**Client Name:** Brett Jeffries  
**Site Address:** 9-10 Taminga Street, Bayview, NSW  
**Authors Details:** Jack Williams  
**Date Prepared:** 7 March 2025  
**Email:** [hugh@hughtheArborist.com.au](mailto:hugh@hughtheArborist.com.au)  
**Phone:** 0426 836 701

## Table of Contents

1.	INTRODUCTION.....	3
2.	SCOPE OF THE REPORT .....	3
3.	LIMITATIONS .....	4
4.	METHODOLOGY .....	5
5.	SITE LOCATION & BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT WORKS ASSESSED .....	6
6.	OBSERVATIONS AND GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES .....	10
7.	ASSESSMENT OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS .....	12
8.	CONCLUSIONS .....	14
9.	RECOMMENDATIONS.....	15
10.	TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS.....	16
11.	CONSTRUCTION HOLD POINTS FOR TREE PROTECTION .....	24
12.	BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES .....	26
13.	LIST OF APPENDICES .....	27

## COPYRIGHT

### ©Hugh The Arborist 2025

The use of any or all sections of this report in any documentation relating to the site is permissible so long as the copyright is noted at the completion of all sections.

Any other use of this report, or any part thereof for any other purpose or in documentation for any other site is strictly prohibited. No part of this report may be reproduced, transmitted, stored in a retrieval system or updated in any form or by any means (electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise) without written permission of Hugh Millington.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Hugh the Arborist have been instructed by the client Brett Jeffries to provide an Arboricultural Impact Assessment Report for trees located on and adjoining the site in relation to a proposed development.
- 1.2 The site and tree inspections were carried out on 1 March 2025. Access was available to the subject site and adjoining public areas only.

**Table 1: Documents Provided for the Assessment**

Title	Author	Date	Revision
Detail Survey	Waterview Surveying Services	19/2/25	C
Stormwater Plan	NB Consulting Engineers	2/8/24	A
Retaining Wall and Driveway Plan	NB Consulting Engineers	8/8/24	A
Civil Drawings	NB Consulting Engineers	6/8/24	B

## 2. SCOPE OF THE REPORT

- 2.1 This report has been undertaken to meet the following objectives.
  - 2.1.1 Conduct a visual assessment from ground level of all significant trees located within 5 metres of development works. For the purpose of this report, a significant tree is a tree with a height equal to or greater than 5 metres.
  - 2.1.2 Determine the trees estimated contribution years and remaining, useful life expectancy and award the trees a retention value.
  - 2.1.3 Provide an assessment of the potential impact the proposed development is likely to cause to the condition of the subject trees in accordance with AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009).
  - 2.1.4 Provide pragmatic recommendations for the management of trees and mitigation of construction impacts on retained trees.
  - 2.1.5 Specify tree protection measures for trees to be retained in accordance with AS 4970-2009.

### **3. LIMITATIONS**

- 3.1 All of the observations were carried out from ground level. The accuracy of the assessment of the subject trees structural condition and health is limited to the visibility of the tree at the time of inspection.
- 3.2 Several trees have not been identified on the survey plan provided and their exact locations may therefore vary unless confirmed by a registered surveyor.
- 3.3 The tree inspections were visual from ground level only. No soil or tissue testing was carried out as part of the tree inspection. None of the surrounding surfaces adjacent to trees were lifted or removed during the tree inspections.
- 3.4 Root decay can sometimes be present with no visual indication above ground. It is also impossible to know the extent of any root damage caused by mechanical damage such as underground root cutting during the installation of services without undertaking detailed root investigation. Any form of tree failure due to these activities is beyond the scope of this assessment.
- 3.5 The report reflects the subject tree(s) as found on the day of inspection. Any changes to the growing environment of the subject tree, or tree management works beyond those recommended in this report may alter the findings of the report. There is no warranty, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies relating to the subject tree, or subject site may not arise in the future.
- 3.6 Tree identification is based on accessible visual characteristics at the time of inspection. As key identifying features are not always available the accuracy of identification is not guaranteed. Where tree species is unknown, it is indicated with a spp.
- 3.7 All diagrams, plans and photographs included in this report are visual aids only and are not to scale unless otherwise indicated.
- 3.8 Hugh The Arborist neither guarantees, nor is responsible for, the accuracy of information provided by others that is contained within this report.
- 3.9 While an assessment of the subject trees estimated useful life expectancy is included in this report, no specific tree risk assessment has been undertaken for any of trees at the site.
- 3.10 The ultimate safety of any tree cannot be categorically guaranteed. Even trees apparently free of defects can collapse or partially collapse in extreme weather conditions. Trees are dynamic, biological entities subject to changes in their environment, the presence of pathogens and the effects of ageing. These factors reinforce the need for regular inspections. It is generally accepted that hazards can only be identified from distinct defects or from other failure-prone characteristics of a tree or its locality.

3.11 Alteration of this report invalidates the entire report.

## **4. METHODOLOGY**

4.1 The following information was collected during the assessment of the subject tree(s).

- 4.1.1 Tree common name
- 4.1.2 Tree botanical name
- 4.1.3 Tree age class
- 4.1.4 DBH (Trunk/Stem diameter at breast height/1.4m above ground level) - millimetres.
- 4.1.5 Estimated height - metres
- 4.1.6 Estimated crown spread (Radius of crown) - metres.
- 4.1.7 Health
- 4.1.8 Structural condition
- 4.1.9 Amenity value
- 4.1.10 Estimated remaining contribution years (SULE)<sup>1</sup>
- 4.1.11 Retention value (Tree AZ)<sup>2</sup>
- 4.1.12 Notes/comments

4.2 An assessment of the trees condition was made using the visual tree assessment (VTA) model (Mattheck & Breloer, 1994).<sup>3</sup>

4.3 Tree diameter was measured using a DBH tape or in some cases estimated. All other measurements were estimations unless otherwise stated. The other tools I used during the assessment were a digital camera, Japanese made 170mm blade digging knife and a Leica DistoD410 digital laser tape.

4.4 All DBH measurements, tree protection zones, and structural root zones were calculated in accordance with methods set out in AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites (2009). See appendices for more information.

4.5 Details of how the observations in this report have been assessed are listed in the appendices.

---

<sup>1</sup> Barrell Tree Consultancy, *SULE: Its use and status into the New Millennium*, TreeAZ/03/2001, <http://www.treeaz.com/>.

<sup>2</sup> Barrell Tree Consultancy, *Tree AZ version 10.10-ANZ*, <http://www.treeaz.com/>.

<sup>3</sup> Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).

## **5. SITE LOCATION & BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DEVELOPMENT WORKS ASSESSED**

- 5.1 The site is located in the Northern Beaches Local Government Area (LGA), this assessment has been carried out in accordance with the following legislation and policy.
- 5.1.1 Warringah Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2011
  - 5.1.2 Warringah Development Control Plan (DCP) 2011
  - 5.1.3 State Environmental Planning Policy (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021
- 5.2 The site is located not located within a heritage conservation area and is not identified as a heritage item in the NSW Planning Portal Spatial Viewer. The site identified as containing biodiversity in the NSW Planning Portal Spatial Viewer.<sup>4</sup>
- 5.3 The development works assessed in this report include modifications to the shared driveway, a retaining wall and drainage services at 9 & 10 Taminga Street only.
- 5.4 Only two trees located within 5 metre of the proposed development works have been identified on the drawings provided for assessment, including tree 1 and 2. Tree 3-8 have been plotted on the drawings in appendix 1 based estimated distances during the site inspection. There may be additional trees to the South of 10 Taminga Street within 5 metres of the proposed development works, however there is a significant existing retaining wall that is restricting root growth into the area of the proposed works (see images below), the trees will therefore not be impacted.

---

<sup>4</sup> NSW Planning Portal Spatial Viewer, <https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/propertyreports/a34da3e8-2aa7-487b-bc1c-d8e43f769b73.pdf>, accessed 5 March 2025.






Showing the location of tree 3, 4 and 5.



Showing the location of tree 6, 7 and 8.









The area to South of number 10.

## **6. OBSERVATIONS AND GENERAL INFORMATION IN RELATION TO PROTECTING TREES ON DEVELOPMENT SITES**

- 6.1 Tree information:** Details of each individual tree assessed, including the observations taken during the site inspection, can be found in the tree inspection schedule in appendix 2, where the indicative tree protection zone (TPZ) and Structural Root Zone (SRZ) has been calculated for each of the subject trees. The TPZ and SRZ should be measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Each of the subject trees have been awarded a retention value based on the observations using the Tree AZ method. Tree AZ is used to identify higher value trees worthy of being a constraint to development and lower value trees that should generally not be a constraint to the development. The Tree AZ categories sheet (Barrell Tree Consultancy) has been included in appendix 3 to assist with understanding the retention values. The retention value that has been allocated to the subject trees in this report is not definitive and should only be used as a guideline.
- 6.2 Site Plans:** In appendix 1 site plans have been prepared, where the tree information including canopy spread, TPZ and SRZ have been overlaid onto the site plans.
- 6.3 Tree protection zone (TPZ):** The TPZ is the principle means of protecting trees on development sites and is an area required to maintain the viability of trees during development. It is commonly observed that tree roots will extend significantly further than the indicative TPZ, however the TPZ is an area identified in AS4970-2009 to be the area where root loss or disturbance will generally impact the viability of the tree. The TPZ is identified as a restricted area to prevent damage to trees either above or below ground during a development. Where trees are intended to be retained proposed developments must provide an adequate TPZ around trees. The TPZ is set aside for the tree's root zone, trunk and crown and it is essential for the stability and longevity of the tree. The TPZ also incorporates the SRZ (see below for more information about the SRZ). The TPZ is calculated by multiplying the DBH by twelve, with the exception of palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns, the TPZ of which have been calculated at one metre outside the crown projection.

- 6.4 **Structural Root Zone (SRZ):** This is the area around the base of a tree required for the tree's stability in the ground. An area larger than the SRZ always needs to be maintained to preserve a viable tree. The SRZ is calculated using the following formula:  $(DAB \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$ . There are several factors that can vary the SRZ which include height, crown area, soil type and soil moisture. It can also be influenced by other factors such as natural or built structures. Generally, work within the SRZ should be avoided. Soil level changes should also generally be avoided inside the SRZ of trees to be retained. Palms, other monocots, cycads and tree ferns do not have an SRZ. See the appendices for more information about the SRZ.
- 6.5 **Minor encroachment into TPZ:** Sometimes encroachment into the TPZ is unavoidable. Encroachment includes but is not limited to activities such as excavation, compacted fill and machine trenching. Minor encroachment of up to 10% of the overall TPZ area is normally considered acceptable, providing there is space adjacent to the TPZ for the tree to compensate and the tree is displaying adequate vigour/health to tolerate changes to its growing environment.
- 6.6 **Major encroachment into TPZ:** Where encroachment of more than 10% of the overall TPZ area is proposed the project Arborist must investigate and demonstrate that the tree will remain in a viable condition. In some cases, tree sensitive construction methods such as pier and beam footings, suspended slabs, or cantilevered sections, can be utilised to allow additional encroachment into the TPZ by bridging over roots and minimising root disturbance. Major encroachment is only possible if it can be undertaken without severing significant size roots, or if it can be demonstrated that significant roots will not be impacted. Root investigations may be required to identify roots that will be impacted during major TPZ encroachment.

## 7. ASSESSMENT OF CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

7.1 **Table 2:** In the table below the impact of the proposed development has been assessed.

Tree ID	Species	Retention value	TPZ radius (m)	SRZ radius (m)	TPZ encroachment	Discussion/ Conclusion	Recommendation
1	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	A1	4.9	2.4	Major	The existing driveway is located inside the TPZ. The proposed driveway will be extended closer to the tree in the TPZ and SRZ, indicating that the stability and/or condition of the tree could potentially be impacted. However, the driveway sections indicate that the grade of the driveway will not be modified at the chainage in line with the trunk in the SRZ. The sections indicate that the driveway will be elevated above existing soil grades in the East area of the TPZ. The majority of these works will occur within the footprint of the existing driveway and will not significantly impact the trees root system. However, the section of driveway and any related fill material located closer to the tree than the existing driveway will potentially impact the tree root system. To ensure that the tree is not adversely impacted, the section of driveway that extends closer to the tree in the TPZ should be constructed via tree sensitive methods to avoid impacting significant roots, such as using pier footings instead of continuous strip footings or batter, with the piers located to avoid impacting significant roots.	Tree sensitive construction
2	<i>Allocasuarina littoralis</i>	A1	5.3	2.5	Minor	The proposed driveway works will encroach into the TPZ by 2% (1.4m <sup>2</sup> ) but not into the SRZ, which is minor TPZ encroachment and indicates that the tree will not be impacted.	Retain and protect
3	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	A1	4.4	2.3	Minor	The tree is located on the slope on the upper side/South of the driveway and has not been identified on the site plans provided. Based on the trees estimated location, the proposed retaining wall/aggregate line and driveway/swale will encroach into the TPZ by 10% (5.8m <sup>2</sup> ) indicating the tree will not be significantly impacted and can be retained in a viable condition.	Retain and protect
4	<i>Ceratopetalum apetalum</i>	A1	2.4	1.8	None	The tree is located on the slope on the upper side/South of the driveway and has not been identified on the site plans provided. Based on the trees estimated location, there is no proposed encroachment into the TPZ.	Retain and protect





**HUGH**  
THE ARBORIST

Tree ID	Species	Retention value	TPZ radius (m)	SRZ radius (m)	TPZ encroachment	Discussion/ Conclusion	Recommendation
5	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	A1	4.3	2.5	None	The tree is located on the slope on the upper side/South of the driveway and has not been identified on the site plans provided. Based on the trees estimated location the tree will not be impacted.	Retain and protect
6	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i>	Z3	2.0	1.6	Minor	The tree is located on the slope on the upper side/South of the driveway and has not been identified on the site plans provided. Based on the trees estimated location the tree will not be impacted.	Retain and protect
7	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Z1	2.6	1.9	Major	The tree is located on the slope on the upper side/South of the driveway and has not been identified on the site plans provided. Modifications are proposed to the driveway in the TPZ and SRZ, however there is a large existing sandstone retaining wall and significant change in level between the trunk and these works, the tree will therefore not be impacted.	Retain and protect
8	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	A1	3.7	2.1	Major	The tree is located on the slope on the upper side/South of the driveway and has not been identified on the site plans provided. Modifications are proposed to the driveway in the TPZ, however there is a large existing sandstone retaining wall and significant change in level between the trunk and these works, the tree will therefore not be impacted.	Retain and protect

Report on trees at: 9-10 Taminga St, Bayview, NSW.  
Prepared for: Brett Jeffries.  
Prepared by: Jack Williams, hugh@hughtheArborist.com.au  
Date prepared: 7 March 2025.

## 8. CONCLUSIONS

8.1 **Table 3:** Summary of the impact to trees during the development.

Impact	Reason	Category A	Category Z
		A	Z
Trees to be removed	Building/landscape construction, new surfacing and/or proximity, condition or re-landscaping	None	None
Trees requiring tree sensitive construction	Removal of existing surfacing/structures and/or installation of new surfacing/structures	1	None
Trees to be retained	Removal of existing surfacing/structures and/or installation of new surfacing/structures	2, 3, 4, 5, 8	6, 7

## **9. RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 9.1 This report assesses the impact of a proposed development at the subject site to all significant trees located within five metres of development works. Eight trees have been identified and assessed.
- 9.2 In Appendix 1 site plans have been prepared, where the tree information including canopy spread, TPZ and SRZ have been overlaid onto the site plans provided.
- 9.3 No trees have been recommended to be removed to accommodate the development works.
- 9.4 One tree (tree 1) has been identified that could potentially be impacted by the proposed driveway construction and requires tree sensitive construction to be retained in a viable condition, see section 7 for more information.
- 9.5 All other trees can be retained in a viable condition, including tree 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8.
- 9.6 All trees to be retained must be protected in accordance with AS4970-2009, details of which are included in section 11.
- 9.7 No landscape plan has been assessed in this report. See section 11.10 for general guidance in relation to minimising the impact of proposed landscaping to retained trees and replacement tree planting.
- 9.8 No services plan has been assessed in this report, all services plans should be subject to review by a consulting Arborist. Where possible underground services should be located outside the TPZ of trees to be retained. All underground services located inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained must be installed via tree sensitive techniques in accordance with AS4970-2009, see section 11.11 for more information.
- 9.9 This report does not provide approval for tree removal or pruning works. All recommendations in this report are subject to approval by the relevant authorities and/or tree owners. This report should be submitted as supporting evidence with the development application.

## 10. TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS

- 10.1 Use of this report:** All contractors must be made aware of the tree protection requirements prior to commencing works at the site. This report and a copy of the site plans (Appendix 1) drawing must also be made available to any contractor prior to works commencing and during any on site operations. Appendix 1B includes the recommended location of tree protection overlaid onto the proposed site plan.
- 10.2 Project Arborist:** Prior to any works commencing at the site a project Arborist should be appointed. The project Arborist should be qualified to a minimum AQF level 5 and/or equivalent qualifications and experience and should assist with any development issues relating to trees that may arise. If at any time it is not feasible to carryout works in accordance with this, an alternative must be agreed in writing with the project Arborist.
- 10.3 Tree work:** All tree work should be carried out by a qualified and experienced Arborist with a minimum of AQF level 3 in arboriculture, in accordance with NSW Work Cover Code of Practice for the Amenity Tree Industry (1998) and AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).
- 10.4 Initial site meeting/on-going regular inspections:** The project Arborist is to hold a pre-construction site meeting with principal contractor to discuss methods and importance of tree protection measures and resolve any issues in relation to tree protection that may arise. In accordance with AS4970-2009, the project Arborist should carryout regular site inspections to ensure works are carried out in accordance with this document throughout the development process. Site inspections are recommended on a monthly frequency throughout the development.
- 10.5 Site Specific Tree Protection Recommendations:** It is the responsibility of the principal contractor to install tree protection prior to works commencing at the site (prior to demolition works) and to ensure that the tree protection remains in adequate condition for the duration of the development. The tree protection must not be moved without prior agreement of the project Arborist. The project Arborist must inspect that the tree protection has been installed in accordance with this document and AS4970-2009 prior to works commencing. See section 11.6 for requirements of tree protection. See Appendix 1 for indicative fencing location.

Tree ID	Tree Species	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Recommendations
1	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	4.9	2.4	Tree sensitive construction. Trunk protection. Temporary ground protection may be required in the TPZ area to the North of the existing driveway if




Tree ID	Tree Species	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Recommendations
				vehicles/contractors are to use this area during construction to prevent damage to roots.
2	<i>Allocasuarina littoralis</i>	5.3	2.5	Retain and protect. No tree protection required, the tree is located significantly away from the proposed works, and the protection requirements for tree 1 will be adequate to retain the tree.
3	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	4.4	2.3	Retain and protect. No tree protection required. The tree is located on the upper side of the slope from the proposed works, tree protection will only be required if contractors are to use the area of the TPZ to the South of the proposed retaining wall.
4	<i>Ceratopetalum apetalum</i>	2.4	1.8	Retain and protect. No tree protection required. The tree is located on the upper side of the slope from the proposed works, tree protection will only be required if contractors are to use the area of the TPZ to the South of the proposed retaining wall.
5	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	4.3	2.5	Retain and protect. No tree protection required. The tree is located on the upper side of the slope from the proposed works, tree protection will only be required if contractors are to use the area of the TPZ to the South of the proposed retaining wall.
6	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i>	2.0	1.6	Retain and protect. No tree protection required. The tree is located on the upper side of the slope from the proposed works, tree protection will only be required if contractors are to use the area of the TPZ to the South of the proposed retaining wall.
7	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	2.6	1.9	Retain and protect. No tree protection required, the existing retaining wall provide adequate protection.
8	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	3.7	2.1	Retain and protect. No tree protection required, the existing retaining wall provide adequate protection.

**Tree Protection Specifications:**


- 10.5.1 Trunk and Branch Protection: The trunk must be protected by wrapped hessian or similar material to limit damage. Timber planks (50mm x 100mm or similar) should then be placed around tree trunk. The timber planks should be spaced at 100mm intervals, and must be fixed against the trunk with tie wire, or strapping and connections finished or covered to protect pedestrians from injury. The hessian and timber planks must not be fixed to the tree in any instance. The trunk and branch protection shall be installed prior to any work commencing on site and shall be maintained in good condition for the entire development period.
- 10.5.2 Protective fencing: The protective fencing must be constructed of 1.8 metre 'cyclone chainmesh fence'. The fencing should only be removed for the landscaping phase, and this should be approved by the project Arborist. Where it is not feasible to install fencing at the specified location due to factors such restricting access to areas of the site or for constructing new structures, an alternative location and protection specification must be agreed with the project Arborist. Any modifications to the fencing locations must be approved by the project Arborist.
- 10.5.3 TPZ signage: Tree protection signage is to be attached to the protective fencing, displayed in a prominent position and the sign repeated at 10 metres intervals or closer where the fence changes direction. Each sign shall contain in a clearly legible form, the following information:
- Tree protection zone/No access.
  - This fence has been installed to prevent damage to the tree/s and their growing environment both above and below ground. Do not move fencing or enter TPZ without the agreement of the project Arborist.
  - The name, address, and telephone number of the developer/builder and project Arborist
- 10.5.4 Mulch: Any areas of the TPZ located inside the subject site must be mulched to a depth of 75mm with good quality mulch. Mulch must not be built-up around the trunk the trees as it can cause collar rot.
- 10.5.5 Ground Protection: Ground protection is required to protect the underlying soil structure and root system in areas where it is not practical to restrict access to whole TPZ, while allowing space for construction. Ground protection must consist of good quality composted wood chip/leaf mulch to a depth of between 150-300mm, laid on top of geo textile fabric, with timber/plywood boards overlaid. If vehicles are to be using the area, additional protection will be required such as rumble boards or track mats to spread the weight of the vehicle and avoid load points. Ground protection is to be specified and approved by the project Arborist as required.

10.5.6 Temporary irrigation: Temporary irrigation should distribute water evenly throughout the area of the TPZ. The irrigation should be used for at minimum two hours weekly throughout all stages of the development, and may be required a higher frequency, this should be advised by the project Arborist.



An image from AS4970-2009,<sup>5</sup> with example tree protection.

<sup>5</sup> Council Of Standards Australia, *AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites* (2009), page 16.



An image from AS4970-2009,<sup>6</sup> with example tree protection.

**10.6 Restricted activities inside TPZ:** The following activities must be avoided inside the TPZ of all trees to be retained unless approved by the project Arborist. If at any time these activities cannot be avoided an alternative must be agreed in writing with the project Arborist to minimise the impact to the tree.

- A) Machine excavation.
- B) Ripping or cultivation of soil.
- C) Storage of spoil, soil or any such materials
- D) Preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products.
- E) Refuelling.
- F) Dumping of waste.
- G) Wash down and cleaning of equipment.

<sup>6</sup> Council Of Standards Australia, *AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites* (2009), page 17.



- H) Placement of fill.
- I) Lighting of fires.
- J) Soil level changes.
- K) Any physical damage to the crown, trunk, or root system.
- L) Parking of vehicles.

- 10.7 **Demolition:** The demolition of all existing structures inside or directly adjacent to the TPZ of trees to be retained must be undertaken in consultation with the project Arborist. Any machinery is to work from inside the footprint of the existing structures or outside the TPZ, reaching in to minimise soil disturbance and compaction. If it is not feasible to locate demolition machinery outside the TPZ of trees to be retained, ground protection will be required. The demolition should be undertaken inwards into the footprint of the existing structures, sometimes referred to as the 'top down, pull back' method.
- 10.8 **Excavations:** The project Arborist must supervise and certify that all excavations and root pruning are in accordance with AS4373-2007 and AS4970-2009. For continuous strip footings, first manual excavation is required along the edge of the structures closest to the subject trees. Manual excavation should be a depth of 1 metre (or to unfavourable root growth conditions such as bed rock or heavy clay, if agreed by project Arborist). Next roots must be pruned back in accordance with AS4373-2007. After all root pruning is completed, machine excavation is permitted within the footprint of the structure. For tree sensitive footings, such as pier and beam, all excavations inside the TPZ must be manual. Manual excavation may include the use of pneumatic and hydraulic tools, high-pressure air or a combination of high-pressure water and a vacuum device. No pruning of roots greater 30mm in diameter is to be carried out without approval of the project arborist. All pruning of roots greater than 30mm in diameter must be carried out by a qualified Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3. Root pruning is to be a clean cut with a sharp tool in accordance with AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees (2007).<sup>7</sup> The tree root is to be pruned back to a branch root if possible. Make a clean cut and leave as small a wound as possible.
- 10.9 **Landscaping:** All landscaping works within the TPZ of trees to be retained are to be undertaken in consultation with a consulting Arborist to minimise the impact to trees. General guidance is provided below to minimise the impact of new landscaping to trees to be retained.
- All excavations for landscaping works should be manual and in accordance with section 11.9.
  - Replacement planting for all trees recommended for removal should be incorporated into the landscape plan. It is recommended that at minimum one tree for each tree

---

<sup>7</sup> Council Of Standards Australia, *AS 4373 Pruning of amenity trees* (2007) page 18

proposed to be removed are planted to maintain/increase overall canopy cover at the site when mature. Any replacement tree must be selected in accordance with AS2303-2015 Tree stock for landscape use.

- The location of new plantings inside the TPZ of trees to be retained should be flexible to avoid unnecessary damage to tree roots greater than 40mm in diameter.
- Level changes should be minimised. The existing ground levels within the landscape areas should not be lowered by more than 50mm or increased by more 100mm without assessment by a consulting Arborist.
- New retaining walls should be avoided. Where new retaining walls are proposed inside the TPZ of trees to be retained, they should be constructed from tree sensitive material, such as timber sleepers, that require minimal footings/excavations. If brick retaining walls are proposed inside the TPZ, considerer pier and beam type footings to bridge significant roots that are critical to the trees condition. Retaining walls must be located outside the SRZ and sleepers/beams located above existing soil grades.
- New footpaths and hard surfaces should be minimised, as they can limit the availability of water, nutrients and air to the trees root system. Where they are proposed, they should be constructed on or above existing soil grades to minimise root disturbance and consider using a permeable surface. Footpaths should be located outside the SRZ.
- Where fill/subbase is used inside the TPZ, fill material should be a coarse granular material that does not restrict the flow of water and air to the root system below. This type of material will also reduce the impact of soil compaction during construction.
- Any new fencing in the TPZ of trees should constructed carefully to avoid impacting significant roots. The location of fence posts should be flexible to allow for the retention of root greater than 40mm in diameter. The base of fence panels should be located above existing soil grades.

**10.10 Underground Services:** Where possible underground services should be located outside the TPZ of trees to be retained. All underground services located inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained must be installed via tree sensitive techniques. This should include either directional drilling methods or manual excavations to minimise the impact to trees identified for retention. No roots greater than 30mm in diameter should be severed during the installation of service pipes unless approved in writing by the project Arborist.

**10.11 Sediment and Contamination:** All contamination run off from the development such as but not limited to concrete, sediment and toxic wastes must be prevented from entering the TPZ at all times.

- 10.12 **Tree Wounding/Injury:** Any wounding or injury that occurs to a tree during the construction process will require the project Arborist to be contacted for an assessment of the injury and provide mitigation/remediation advice. It is generally accepted that trees may take many years to decline and eventually die from root damage. All repair work is to be carried out by the project Arborist, at the contractor's expense.
- 10.13 **Completion of Development Works:** After all construction works are complete the project Arborist should assess that the subject trees have been retained in the same condition and vigour. If changes to condition are identified the project Arborist should provide recommendations for remediation.

## 11. CONSTRUCTION HOLD POINTS FOR TREE PROTECTION

**11.1 Hold Points:** Below is a sequence of hold points requiring project Arborist certification throughout the development process. It provides a list of hold points that must be checked and certified. All certification must be provided in written format upon completion of the development. The final certification must include details of any instructions for remediation undertaken during the development. The principal contractor should be responsible for implementing all tree protection requirements.

Hold Point	Stage	Date Completed and Signature of Project Arborist Responsible
Project Arborist to hold pre construction site meeting with principal contractor to discuss methods and importance of tree protection measures and resolve any issues in relation to feasibility of tree protection requirements that may arise. Project Arborist to mark all trees approved for removal under DA consent.	Prior to development work commencing	
Project Arborist to assess and certify that tree protection has been installed in accordance with AS4970-2009 prior to works commencing at site.	Prior to development work commencing.	
In accordance with AS4970-2009 the project arborist should carryout regular site inspections to ensure works are carried out in accordance with the recommendations. Site inspections are recommended on a monthly frequency.	On-going throughout the development	
The removal of existing structures inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained, such as the existing buildings and hard surfaces must be supervised by the project Arborist.	Demolition	
Project Arborist to supervise all manual excavations and root pruning inside the TPZ of any tree to be retained. Project Arborist to approve all pruning of roots greater than 30mm inside TPZ. All root pruning of roots greater than 30mm in diameter must be carried out by a qualified Arborist/Horticulturalist with a minimum AQF level 3.	Construction	
Project Arborist to certify that all underground services including storm water inside TPZ of any tree to be retained have been installed in accordance with AS4970-2009.	Construction	
Project Arborist to approve relocation of tree protection for landscaping. All landscaping works within the TPZ of trees to be retained are to be undertaken in consultation with the project Arborist to minimise the impact to trees.	Construction/ Landscape	



After all demolition, construction and landscaping works are complete the project Arborist should assess that the subject trees have been retained in the same condition and vigour. If changes to condition are identified the project Arborist should provide recommendations for remediation.	Upon completion of development	
--	--------------------------------	--

## 12. BIBLIOGRAPHY/REFERENCES

- Council of Standards Australia, *AS4970 Protection of trees on development sites* (2009).
- Council of Standards Australia, *AS4373 Pruning of amenity trees* (2007).
- Mattheck, C. & Breloer, H., *The body language of trees - A handbook for failure analysis*, The Stationary Office, London, England (1994).
- Barrell, J. (2001), '*SULE: Its use and status in the new millennium*' in *Management of Mature Trees proceedings of the 4th NAAA Workshop*, Sydney, 2001. Barrell
- Barrell Tree Consultancy, *Tree AZ version 10.10-ANZ*, <http://www.treeaz.com/>.
- *Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011*,  
<https://legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/EPL/2011/649>.
- *Warringah Development Control Plan 2011*,  
<https://eservices.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au/ePlanning/live/pages/plan/book.aspx?exhibit=DGP>.
- State Environmental Planning Policy (*Biodiversity and Conservation*) 2021

### **13. LIST OF APPENDICES**

The following are included in the appendices:

Appendix 1: Site Plan

Appendix 2: Tree inspection schedule

Appendix 3: Health

Appendix 4: Amenity Value

Appendix 5: Age Class

Appendix 6: Structural Condition

Appendix 7: SULE Categories


Appendix 8: Retention Values

Appendix 9: Trees AZ

Appendix 10: TPZ Encroachment



Jack Williams  
Diploma of Arboriculture (AQF5)  
FdSc Arboriculture




LEGEND:

- CHAINAGES
- LINEWORK AND COLOURED HATCHING DENOTES PROPOSED CIVIL DRIVEWAY WORKS. EXTENTS TO BE CONFIRMED ON SITE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION
- LINEWORK AND COLOURED HATCHING DENOTES PROPOSED BITUMEN EXTENTS TO BE CONFIRMED ON SITE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION
- LINEWORK DENOTES SURVEY INFORMATION AND LEVELS BY OTHERS TO BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- COLOURED LINEWORK AND HATCHING DENOTES PROPOSED BUILDING EXTENTS. REFER ARCHITECTURALS FOR LATEST EXTENTS TO BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
- COLOURED LINEWORK DENOTES VARIOUS BONDARY OUTLINES
- PROPOSED MINOR CONTOUR
- PROPOSED MAJOR CONTOUR



DRIVEWAY ACCESS REFER  
DRAWING 2405100-C03

Scale check - 100mm when printed to scale



SITE LAYOUT PLAN

Hugh The Arborist Pty Ltd

hugh@hughthearborist.com.au  
www.hughthearborist.com.au  
0426836701

9-10 Taminga St Bayview NSW

SCALE :  
1 : 200 @ A3


DATE :  
10/03/2025

MAP FILENAME :  
Appendix 1B - Proposed Site Plan



Prepared by Jack Williams

Tree Legend





## Appendix 2 - Tree Inspection Schedule

Tree ID	Common Name	Botanical Name	Age Class	Height (m)	Canopy Spread Radius (m)	Stem 1	Stem 2	Stem 3	Stem 4	DBH (mm)	DAB (mm)	Health	Structure	Amenity Value	SULE	Retention Value	TPZ Radius (m)	SRZ Radius (m)	Notes
1	Cheese Tree	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Mature	8	2.5	410				410	480	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	4.9	2.4	None.
2	Black She Oak	<i>Allocasuaruna littoralis</i>	Mature	8	2	440				440	510	Good	Fair	Medium	2. Medium	A1	5.3	2.5	Trunk leans downslope.
3	Cheese Tree	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Mature	8	3	370				370	420	Good	Fair	Medium	2. Medium	A1	4.4	2.3	Asymmetric crown shape.
4	Coachwood	<i>Ceratopetalum apetalum</i>	Mature	9	2	200				200	230	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	2.4	1.8	None.
5	Cheese Tree	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Mature	8	3	200	300			361	500	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	4.3	2.5	None.
6	Illawara Flame	<i>Brachychiton acerifolius</i>	Semi-mature	6	1	160				160	190	Good	Good	Low	5. Small/Young	Z3	2.0	1.6	None.
7	Cheese Tree	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Semi-mature	5	2	170	130			214	270	Good	Fair	Low	5. Small/Young	Z1	2.6	1.9	Asymmetric crown shape.
8	Cheese Tree	<i>Glochidion ferdinandi</i>	Semi-mature	7	2.5	310				310	350	Good	Good	Medium	1. Long	A1	3.7	2.1	None.

### Explanatory Notes

**Tree Species** - Where species is unknown it is indicated with an 'spp'.

**Age Class** - Over mature (OM), Mature (M), Early mature (EM), Semi mature (SM), Young (Y).

**Diameter at Breast Height (DBH)** - Measured with a DBH tape or estimated at approximately 1.4m above ground level.

**Diameter Above root Buttresses (DAB)**: Measured with a DBH tape or estimated above root buttresses (DAB) for calculating the SRZ.

**Height** - Height from ground level to top of crown. All heights are estimated unless otherwise indicated.

**Spread** - Radius of crown at widest section. All tree spreads are estimated unless otherwise indicated.

**Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)** - DBH x 12. Measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Rounded to nearest 0.1m. For monocots, the TPZ is set at 1 metre outside the crown projection.

**Structural Root Zone (SRZ)** -  $(DAB \times 50)^{0.42} \times 0.64$ . Measured in radius from the centre of the trunk. Rounded up to nearest 0.1m.

**Health** - Good/Fair/Poor/Dead

**Structure** - Good/Fair/Poor

**Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE)** - 1. Long (40+years), 2. Medium (15 - 40 years), 3. Short (5 - 15 years), 4. Remove (under 5 years), 5. Small/young.

**Amenity Value** - Very High/High/Medium/Low/Very Low.

**Retention Value**: Tree AZ, see appendix 3 for categories.

### Appendix 3 – Assessment of Health

<u>Category</u>	<u>Example condition</u>	<u>Summary</u>
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Crown has good foliage density for species.</li> <li>• Tree shows no or minimal signs of pathogens that are unlikely to have an effect on the health of the tree.</li> <li>• Tree is displaying good vigour and reactive growth development.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tree is in above average health and condition and no remedial works are required.</li> </ul>
Fair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tree may be starting to dieback or have over 25% deadwood.</li> <li>• Tree may have slightly reduced crown density or thinning.</li> <li>• There may be some discolouration of foliage.</li> <li>• Average reactive growth development.</li> <li>• There may be early signs of pathogens which may further deteriorate the health of the tree.</li> <li>• There may be epicormic growth indicating increased levels of stress within the tree.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tree is in below average health and condition and may require remedial works to improve the trees health.</li> </ul>
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The may be in decline, have extensive dieback or have over 30% deadwood.</li> <li>• The canopy may be sparse or the leaves may be unusually small for species.</li> <li>• Pathogens or pests are having a significant detrimental effect on the tree health.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tree is displaying low levels of health and removal or remedial works may be required.</li> </ul>
Dead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tree is dead or almost dead.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The tree should generally be removed.</li> </ul>

## Appendix 4 Landscape Value

RATING	HERITAGE VALUE	ECOLOGICAL VALUE	AMENITY VALUE
1. SIGNIFICANT	The subject tree is listed as a Heritage Item under the Local Environment Plan (LEP) with a local, state or national level of significance or is listed on Council's Significant Tree Register	The subject tree is scheduled as a Threatened Species as defined under the Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (NSW) or the Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999	The subject tree has a very large live crown size exceeding 300m <sup>2</sup> with normal to dense foliage cover, is located in a visually prominent position in the landscape, exhibits very good form and habit typical of the species
	The subject tree forms part of the curtilage of a Heritage Item (building /structure /artefact as defined under the LEP) and has a known or documented association with that item	The tree is a locally indigenous species, representative of the original vegetation of the area and is known as an important food, shelter or nesting tree for endangered or threatened fauna species	The subject tree makes a significant contribution to the amenity and visual character of the area by creating a sense of place or creating a sense of identity
	The subject tree is a Commemorative Planting having been planted by an important historical person (s) or to Commemorate an important historical event	The subject tree is a Remnant Tree, being a tree in existence prior to development of the area	The tree is visually prominent in view from surrounding areas, being a landmark or visible from a considerable distance
2. VERY HIGH	The tree has a strong historical association with a heritage item (building/structure/artefact/garden etc) within or adjacent the property and/or exemplifies a particular era or style of landscape design associated with the original development of the site.	The tree is a locally-indigenous species, representative of the original vegetation of the area and is a dominant or associated canopy species of an Endangered Ecological Community (EEC) formerly occurring in the area occupied by the site.	The subject tree has a very large live crown size exceeding 200m <sup>2</sup> ; a crown density exceeding 70% (normal-dense), is a very good representative of the species in terms of its form and branching habit or is aesthetically distinctive and makes a positive contribution to the visual character and the amenity of the area
3. HIGH	The tree has a suspected historical association with a heritage item or landscape supported by anecdotal or visual evidence	The tree is a locally-indigenous species and representative of the original vegetation of the area and the tree is located within a defined Vegetation Link / Wildlife Corridor or has known wildlife habitat value	The subject tree has a large live crown size exceeding 100m <sup>2</sup> ; The tree is a good representative of the species in terms of its form and branching habit with minor deviations from normal (e.g. crown distortion/suppression) with a crown density of at least 70% normal); The subject tree is visible from the street and surrounding properties and makes a positive contribution to the visual character and the amenity of the area
4. MODERATE	The tree has no known or suspected historical association, but does not detract or diminish the value of the item and is sympathetic to the original era of planting.	The subject tree is a non-local native or exotic species that is protected under the provisions of this DCP.	The subject tree has a medium live crown size exceeding 40m <sup>2</sup> ; The tree is a fair representative of the species, exhibiting moderate deviations from typical form (distortion/suppression etc) with a crowndensity of more than 50% (thinning to normal); and The tree is visible from surrounding properties, but is not visually prominent – view may be partially obscured by other vegetation or built forms. The tree makes a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the area.
5. LOW	The subject tree detracts from heritage values or diminishes the value of a heritage item	The subject tree is scheduled as exempt (not protected) under the provisions of this DCP due to its species, nuisance or position relative to buildings or other structures.	The subject tree has a small live crown size of less than 40m <sup>2</sup> and can be replaced within the short term (5-10 years) with new tree planting
6. VERY LOW	The subject tree is causing significant damage to a heritage Item.	The subject tree is listed as an Environment Weed Species in the Local Government Area, being invasive, or is a known nuisance species.	The subject tree is not visible from surrounding properties (visibility obscured) and makes a negligible contribution or has a negative impact on the amenity and visual character of the area. The tree is a poor representative of the species, showing significant deviations from the typical form and branching habit with a crown density of less than 50% (sparse).
7. INSIGNIFICANT	The tree is completely dead and has no visible habitat value	The tree is a declared Noxious Weed under the Noxious Weeds Act (NSW) 1993 within the relevant Local Government Area.	The tree is completely dead and represents a potential hazard.

### **Appendix 5 - Age class**

Determining the exact age of a tree is difficult without carrying out potentially invasive testing. The age class of the subject tree has been estimated using the definitions below.

<b><u>Category</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
Young/Newly planted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Young or recently planted tree.</li></ul>
Semi Mature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Up to 20% of the usual life expectancy for the species.</li></ul>
Early mature/Mature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Between 20% - 80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.</li></ul>
Over mature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Over 80% of the usual life expectancy for the species.</li></ul>
Dead	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tree is dead or almost dead.</li></ul>

## **Appendix 6 - Structural condition**

<b><u>Category</u></b>	<b><u>Example condition</u></b>	<b><u>Summary</u></b>
Good	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Branch unions appear to be strong with no sign of defects.</li><li>• There are no significant cavities.</li><li>• The tree is unlikely to fail in usual conditions.</li><li>• The tree has a balanced crown shape and form.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The tree is considered structurally good with well developed form.</li></ul>
Fair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The tree may have minor structural defects within the structure of the crown that could potentially develop into more significant defects.</li><li>• The tree may have a cavity that is currently unlikely to fail but may deteriorate in the future.</li><li>• The tree is an unbalanced shape or leans significantly.</li><li>• The tree may have minor damage to its roots.</li><li>• The root plate may have moved in the past but the tree has now compensated for this.</li><li>• Branches may be rubbing or crossing.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The identified defects are unlikely to cause major failure.</li><li>• Some branch failure may occur in usual conditions.</li><li>• Remedial works can be undertaken to alleviate potential defects.</li></ul>
Poor	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The tree has significant structural defects.</li><li>• Branch unions may be poor or weak.</li><li>• The tree may have a cavity or cavities with excessive levels of decay that could cause catastrophic failure.</li><li>• The tree may have root damage or is displaying signs of recent movement.</li><li>• The tree crown may have poor weight distribution which could cause failure.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The identified defects are likely to cause either partial or whole failure of the tree.</li></ul>



### **Appendix 7 - Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE), (Barrel, 2001)**

A tree's safe useful life expectancy is determined by assessing a number of different factors including the health and vitality, estimated age in relation to expected life expectancy for the species, structural defects, and remedial works that could allow retention in the existing situation.

<b>Category</b>	<b>Description</b>
1. Long	Useful life expectancy over 40 years
2. Medium	Useful life expectancy 15 to 40 years
3. Short	Useful life expectancy 5 to 15 years
4. Remove	Useful life expectancy under 5 years
5. Small/Young	Trees that could be transplanted or replaced with similar specimen.
6. Unstable	Tree has become hazardous or structurally unstable.

## TreeAZ Categories (Version 10.04-ANZ)

**CAUTION:** TreeAZ assessments must be carried out by a competent person qualified and experienced in arboriculture. The following category descriptions are designed to be a brief field reference and are not intended to be self-explanatory. They must be read in conjunction with the most current explanations published at [www.TreeAZ.com](http://www.TreeAZ.com).

### Category Z: Unimportant trees not worthy of being a material constraint

**Local policy exemptions:** Trees that are unsuitable for legal protection for local policy reasons including size, proximity and species

<b>Z1</b>	Young or insignificant small trees, i.e. below the local size threshold for legal protection, etc
<b>Z2</b>	Too close to a building, i.e. exempt from legal protection because of proximity, etc
<b>Z3</b>	Species that cannot be protected for other reasons, i.e. scheduled noxious weeds, out of character in a setting of acknowledged importance, etc

**High risk of death or failure:** Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of acute health issues or severe structural failure

<b>Z4</b>	Dead, dying, diseased or declining
<b>Z5</b>	Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure <u>cannot</u> be satisfactorily reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, overgrown and vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc
<b>Z6</b>	Instability, i.e. poor anchorage, increased exposure, etc

**Excessive nuisance:** Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years because of unacceptable impact on people

<b>Z7</b>	Excessive, severe and intolerable inconvenience to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. dominance, debris, interference, etc
<b>Z8</b>	Excessive, severe and intolerable damage to property to the extent that a locally recognized court or tribunal would be likely to authorize removal, i.e. severe structural damage to surfacing and buildings, etc

**Good management:** Trees that are likely to be removed within 10 years through responsible management of the tree population

<b>Z9</b>	Severe damage and/or structural defects where a high risk of failure can be <u>temporarily</u> reduced by reasonable remedial care, i.e. cavities, decay, included bark, wounds, excessive imbalance, vulnerable to adverse weather conditions, etc
<b>Z10</b>	Poor condition or location with a low potential for recovery or improvement, i.e. dominated by adjacent trees or buildings, poor architectural framework, etc
<b>Z11</b>	Removal would benefit better adjacent trees, i.e. relieve physical interference, suppression, etc
<b>Z12</b>	Unacceptably expensive to retain, i.e. severe defects requiring excessive levels of maintenance, etc

**NOTE:** Z trees with a high risk of death/failure (Z4, Z5 & Z6) or causing severe inconvenience (Z7 & Z8) at the time of assessment and need an urgent risk assessment can be designated as ZZ. ZZ trees are likely to be unsuitable for retention and at the bottom of the categorization hierarchy. In contrast, although Z trees are not worthy of influencing new designs, urgent removal is not essential and they could be retained in the short term, if appropriate.


### Category A: Important trees suitable for retention for more than 10 years and worthy of being a material constraint

<b>A1</b>	No significant defects and could be retained with minimal remedial care
<b>A2</b>	Minor defects that could be addressed by remedial care and/or work to adjacent trees
<b>A3</b>	Special significance for historical, cultural, commemorative or rarity reasons that would warrant extraordinary efforts to retain for more than 10 years
<b>A4</b>	Trees that may be worthy of legal protection for ecological reasons (Advisory requiring specialist assessment)

**NOTE:** Category A1 trees that are already large and exceptional, or have the potential to become so with minimal maintenance, can be designated as AA at the discretion of the assessor. Although all A and AA trees are sufficiently important to be material constraints, AA trees are at the top of the categorization hierarchy and should be given the most weight in any selection process.

## **Appendix 9 – Examples of TPZ Encroachment**

Encroachment into the Tree Protection Zone is sometimes unavoidable. The following diagram shows examples of acceptable levels of encroachment and how they may be compensated for by providing additional space contiguous to the TPZ area.



Note: Less than 10% TPZ area and outside SRZ. Any loss of TPZ compensated for elsewhere.