

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2020/0081
Responsible Officer:	Tony Collier
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 1 DP 710661, 2 Delmar Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2017/1183 granted for a Mixed Use Development comprising retail shops and shop top housing
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned B4 Mixed Use
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Delegation Level:	DDP
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Margaret Mary Wells Simon Andrew Wykeham Wells Timothy William Wykeham Wells Peter Guilford Leonard Yvette Maree Leonard
Applicant:	Landmark Group Australia Pty Ltd

Application Lodged:	02/03/2020
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Mixed
Notified:	28/07/2020 to 11/08/2020
Advertised:	14/03/2020
Submissions Received:	2
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposal involves modifications of Development Consent DA2017/1183 granted by the Sydney North Planning Panel (SNPP) for demolition works and construction of a Mixed Use Development, comprising retail shops and shop top housing.

In a communication from the Panel on 10 August 2020 which included the document '*Instruction of the Functions Exercisable by Council on behalf of Sydney District or Regional Planning Panels - Applications to Modify Development Consents*' pursuant to Clause 123BA of the Environmental



Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000. The Instruction took effect on 1 August 2020 and applies to applications to modify development consents made but not determined before 1 August 2020.

The Instruction stipulates that a council is not to determine an application under section 4.55(2) of the Act to modify a development consent granted by a regional panel if the application:

- proposes amendments to a condition of development consent recommended in the council assessment report but which was amended by the panel, or
- proposes amendments to a condition of development consent that was not included in the council assessment report but which was added by the panel, or
- meets the criteria relating to conflict of interest, contentious development or departure from development standards set out in Schedule 1 to this instruction.

Note: Clause 123BA of the Regulation requires councils to determine all other applications for the modification of development consents under section 4.55(2) of the Act, as well as applications for the modification of development consents under section 4.55(1) and section 4.55(1A) of the Act.

The Development Application (DA2017/1183) was determined by the SNPP but no condition was recommended by Council and amended by the Panel and no condition was added by the Panel.

The application meets the criteria under Schedule 1 of the Instruction in that:

a) There is no conflict of interest;

b) The application is not contentious development as there are only 2 submissions received in response to the public exhibition of the proposal; and

c) The application does not include a departure from the Height of Buildings Development Standard already approved by the Panel.

The application therefore satisfies the requirements of the Instruction and may be determined by Council.

The site is located on the southern corner of the intersection of Delmar Parade and Pittwater Road and is situated within the B4 Mixed Use zone and the Dee Why Town Centre as defined by the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.

The assessment has found that the proposed development is satisfactory in relation to built form, character and streetscape, and internal and external residential amenity.

The public exhibition of the application resulted in two (2) individual submissions being received which raised concerns with the proposed development. The issues raised include:

- Southern setback (Level 4 and above) may prejudice the development and impact on the amenity of No. 816 Pittwater Road;
- Impact on business at No. 816 Pittwater Road if the CTMP notice period is reduced from 14 days to 5 days;
- Reduction to the floor-to-ceiling height of the basement and impact on through-site linkage to No. 816 Pittwater Road; and
- Retention of requirement for a median island on Delmar Parade as condition under DA2017/1183.

The issues raised in the submissions have been addressed in the "Public Notification" section of this



report and in summary they do not warrant refusal of the proposal.

Accordingly, based on the detailed assessment contained in this report, it is recommended that the application be approved subject to conditions attached to this report.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The application seeks to modify consent DA2017/1183 granted by the SNPP on 12 September 2018, for a mixed use development comprising retail shops and shop top housing, by amending the overall design of the development to include the following key changes.

The Applicant states that the changes are:

"..to achieve a significant improvement to the apartment layouts and residential amenity, a rationalised basement and ground floor layout with better streetscape interface, and a significant improvement to the architectural merit of the design. The amended proposal retains the same number of apartments as those which were originally approved"

Architecture

The modified design departs from the verticality of the approved podium and separated tower format and employs horizontal layering through the use of continual lines of brick balcony balustrades within the first 4 storeys of the podium and exposed floor level edges within the recessed levels above.

The figures below show the development as approved under DA2017/1183 and as proposed by this modification.



The development as approved under DA2017/1183.





The development as modified (view from Delmar Parade).



The development as modified (view from Pittwater Road).

This design appears as a more streamlined building which is accentuated by the curve to all levels at the corner of Pittwater Road and Delmar Parade.

The colour and material scheme used in the development emphasises the contextual relationship between the podium and tower elements with the heavier tones used in the podium and lighter tones used in the tower.

Internally, the modification includes extensive changes to the commercial area at ground floor level and to the apartment mix and shape at the levels above.

The changes reduce the southern side setbacks at the basement levels from 1.5m to nil and the above podium levels from 6.0m to 3.0m.

Internal Changes

Basement Level 2 (SFL 21.700)



- Eastern and south-western walls moved to nil setback;
- South-eastern wall setback increased from 5.6m to 8.2m;
- Increase of residential parking spaces from 48 spaces to 55 spaces;
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs;
- Relocation of driveway ramp to the southern boundary;
- Reduction of bike parking from 80 spaces to 73 spaces; and
- Increase in storage from 159m³ to 193m³.

Basement Level 1 (SFL 24.900)

- Eastern and south-western walls moved to nil setback;
- South-eastern wall setback increased from 5.6m to 8.2m;
- Reduction of residential parking from 31 spaces to 22 spaces;
- Retention of 15 visitor parking spaces;
- Increase of retail spaces from 1 space to 10 spaces;
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs;
- Relocation of driveway ramp to the southern boundary;
- Increase of bike parking from nil to 8 spaces; and
- Increase of storage from 157m³ to 231m³.

Ground Level (SFL 28.100)

- Retail Café GFA increased from 76.3m² to 208m²;
- Commercial premises consolidated from 4 units to 2 units (combined GFA increased from 229m² to 325m²);
- Reduction of retail parking spaces from 14 spaces to 7 spaces;
- Replacement of 25m² deep soil area (relocated to the first floor) and 2 retail spaces with OSD tank, sewer zone and plant room;
- Reconfiguration of waste storage rooms, services and amenities;
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs; and
- Relocation of internal driveway ramp to the southern boundary.

Level 1 (SFL 32.400)

- Southern setback reduced from 6.1m to 6.0m;
- Reduction of 1 bedroom units from 11 units to 9 units;
- Increase of 2 bedroom units from 2 units to 5 units;
- Increase to wall length along south-western boundary;
- Redesign of unit configurations;
- Removal of the enclosed 'Community Space' within the centre of the development;
- Consolidation of triangular 'Communal Courtyard' at the southern end of the development;
- Inclusion of landscaped 'Communal Courtyard' along the eastern edge of the development; and
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs.

Level 2 (SFL 35.500)

- Southern setback reduced from 6.1m to 6.0m;
- Reduction of 1 bedroom units from 11 units to 9 units;



- Increase of 2 bedroom units from 3 units to 5 units;
- Increase to wall length along south-western boundary;
- Redesign of unit configurations;
- Replacement of Unit 23 with walkways over Level 1 'Communal Courtyard'; and
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs.

Level 3 (SFL 38.600)

- Southern setback reduced from 6.1m to 6.0m;
- Reduction of 1 bedroom units from 11 units to 9 units;
- Increase of 2 bedroom units from 3 units to 5 units;
- Increase to wall length along south-western boundary;
- Redesign of unit configurations;
- Replacement of Unit 37 with walkways over Level 1 'Communal Courtyard'; and
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs.

Level 4 (SFL 41.700)

- Southern setback reduced from 6.1m to 6.0m;
- Reduction of 1 bedroom units from 11 units to 9 units;
- Increase of 2 bedroom units from 3 units to 5 units;
- Increase of 3 bedroom units from Nil to 2 units;
- Increase to wall length along south-western boundary;
- Redesign of unit configurations;
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs.

Level 5 (SFL 44.800)

- Southern setback reduced from 6.1m to 6.0m;
- Reduction of 1 bedroom units from 10 units to 1 unit;
- Increase of 2 bedroom units from Nil to 9 units;
- Increase to wall length along south-western boundary;
- Redesign of unit configurations; and
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs.

Level 6 (SFL 47.900)

- Southern setback reduced from 6.1m to 6.0m;
- Reduction of 1 bedroom units from 10 units to 1 unit;
- Increase of 2 bedroom units from Nil to 5 units;
- Increase of 3 bedroom units from Nil to 3 units;
- Increase to wall length along south-western boundary;
- Redesign of unit configurations; and
- Relocation of lift core (2 x lift shafts) and fire stairs.

Numerically, the modification amends DA2017/1183 in the following manner:



	DA2017/1183	Modification	Difference
Building Height	23.7m - Building	23.5m - Building	-0.2m
	23.78m - Lift Overrun	23.78m - Lift Overrun	No change
Residential			
1 Bedroom	63 (88.7%)	30 (42.2%)	-33
2 Bedroom	8 (11.3%)	36 (50.7%)	+28
3 Bedroom	0	5 (7.1%)	+5
Total	71	71	No change
Retail/Cafe GFA	76.3m ²	208m²	+131.7m ²
Commercial GFA	229m²	325m ²	+96m ²
Car Parking			
Residential	79	77	- 2 spaces
Visitor	15	15	No change
Commercial	15	17	+2 spaces
Total	109	109	No change
Bike Parking	80	81	+1 space
Storage (Basement)	316m ³	424m ³	+108m ³
Communal Area	460.6m ²	575.2m ²	+114.6m ²
Landscaped Area	321.8m ²	346.7m ²	+24.9m ²

Proposed Amendment to Conditions

The application requests specific amendment to the following conditions:

- Condition 2 Amendments to the Approved Plans;
- Condition 6(k) General Requirements;
- Condition 15 Stormwater Disposal;
- Condition 26 Treatment of Southern (Front) Elevation; and
- Condition 28 Construction Traffic Management Plan.

The amendments are discussed as follows:

Condition 2. Amendments to the Approved Plans

The applicant seeks to delete Condition 2(a) as they claim that Condition No. 2(a) is no longer relevant as the amendments to the design have resolved this issue.

Relevantly, Condition 2(a) requires:

"The following amendments are to be made to the approved plans:

a) Units 45, 46 and 47 are to have exclusive use of the open terrace area immediately in front of those units which form part of the Level 4 podium facing Pittwater Road. In this regard, those areas are to form an extension of the private open space areas for the units. The remainder of the landscaped and paved terrace areas on this part of Level 4 are to be for communal use. Suitable screens are to be erected to ensure that the private spaces are separate to the communal spaces and privacy is afforded to the individual apartments".

The modified design negates the need to impose Condition 2(a) as this was related to the original



design which has changed in the modified version.

Therefore, the requirements of Condition 2(a) as imposed, may be deleted. However, new amendments will be required for the modified plans and these are included in an amended Condition 2(a).

Condition 6. General Requirements

The applicant seeks to delete sub-condition (k) as there are no swimming pools in the development.

The condition imposed under sub-condition (k) is not applicable to the development as a swimming pool is not included in the proposal.

Therefore, Condition 6(k), as imposed, may be deleted.

Condition 15. Stormwater Disposal

The applicant seeks to delete the wording "the plans must indicate the provision of a rainwater tank in accordance with the BASIX certificate" as the amended development does not rely on the need for a rainwater tank in the BASIX Certificate.

Council's Development Engineer has confirmed that the above wording may be deleted from Condition 15.

Therefore, Condition 15 is to be amended to read as follows:

15. Stormwater Disposal.

Plans indicating all details relevant to the collection and disposal of stormwater from the site, buildings, paved areas and where appropriate adjacent catchments, shall be submitted prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal and stormwater management arising from the development.

Condition 26. Treatment of Southern (Front) Elevation

The applicant seeks to delete Condition 26 as the amended design resolves the issue raised in the condition.

The modification application includes design options to the southern wall which abuts No. 816 Pittwater Road however, on review of these options, neither satisfies the aesthetic of the building nor the intent of the condition. In this respect, it is considered that the Condition 26 be amended to read as follows:

26. Treatment of the southern wall facing 816 Pittwater Road.

The external podium wall facing No. 816 Pittwater Road is to be finished with a design (including complimentary colours and textures) sufficient to avoid a blank wall, which relates to the architecture of the building.

The final design is to be approved by Council's Manager Development Assessment and is to be incorporated into the final architectural plans prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.



Reason: To ensure the aesthetic quality of the development enhances the streetscape.

Condition 28. Construction Traffic Management Plan

The applicant seeks to amended bullet point 10 of Condition 28 which that "the proposed manner in which adjoining property owners will be kept advised of the timeframes for completion of each phase of development/construction process. It must also specify that a minimum Fourteen (14) days notification must be provided to adjoining property owners prior to the implementation of any temporary traffic control measure".

The applicant seeks to change the 14 day notification requirement to 5 days as they claim that "a 14 day notice period is excessive as it significantly impacts flexibility for the construction program for the site and does not adequately allow for unforeseen circumstances such as weather, delivery issues etc. It is considered that a 5 day period as requested is more than sufficient notice for the implementation of temporary traffic measures".

AMENDED PLANS

Amended plans were received as follows:

<u>26 June 2020</u>

This amendment addressed issues raised by Development Assessment. The amendments involved the following:

Basement and Ground Floor

- Relocation of deep soil zone at the south-eastern corner from the basement level to the Level 1 podium.
- Inclusion of inter-allotment sewer line, OSD tank and plant at the south-eastern corner from the basement level.
- Minor reconfiguration of plant and car parking
- Widening of driveway entry from 6.3m to 6.6m.

Level 1

- Expansion of communal open space at the south-eastern end of the site.
- Rationalisation and reconfiguration of the landscaped communal open space area within the central part of the site and along the eastern edge of the site.
- Reconfiguration of inner-facing courtyards of the apartments facing Pittwater Road to improve acoustic privacy.
- Increase to the entry courtyard of Unit 111 to rationalise service cupboards.
- Minor reconfiguration of units 113 and 114 to respond to landscape amendments.

Level 2 and 3

- Rationalisation of circulation space to respond to reconfigured communal open space at Level 1 and to improve acoustic amenity.
- Addition of a vertical planting structure to the circulation corridor (adjacent to Units 204 and 304) to improve amenity.
- Increase to the entry courtyard of Units 211 and 311 to rationalise service cupboards.
- Removal of balcony blade wall to Units 207 and 307 to provide a more contiguous and usable



private open space area.

<u>Level 4, 5 and 6</u>

- Rationalisation of circulation space to respond to reconfigured communal open space at Level 1 and to improve acoustic amenity.
- Minor increase to the area of Units 408 and 508 to rationalise service cupboards.
- Addition of a vertical planting structure to the circulation corridor (adjacent to Units 403 & 404; 503 & 504 and 602 & 603) to improve amenity.
- Additional of landscaping to the southern terrace of Unit 401.
- East facing windows to Units 406 to 410, 506 to 510 and 606 to 609 changed to opaque glass.
- Addition of 0.5m high privacy screen to the east facing balconies of Units 407 to 410, 507 to 510 and 606 to 609.

The amended plans were renotified between 22 July 2020 and 11 August 2020.

16 July 2020

This amendment addressed issues raised by Council's Traffic Engineer. The amendments were internal which shallowed out the internal driveway and relocated internal car parking spaces as a result of the realigned driveway. Given the minor nature of the amendments, renotification of the amended plans was not considered to be necessary.

10 August 2020

This amendment addressed issues raised by Council's Waste Management Department. The amendments were internal to the bin storage rooms together with minor changes to the external doors accessing those rooms. Additionally, the amendments included recycling bin storage solution to the residential levels above. Given the minor nature of the amendments, renotification of the amended plans was not considered to be necessary.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.



SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Assessment - Dee Why Town Centre Contributions Plan 2019 Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 - 4.3 Height of buildings Warringah Development Control Plan - C3 Parking Facilities

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 1 DP 710661 , 2 Delmar Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site is located at No. 2 Delmar Parade, Dee Why and is legally described as, Lot 1 in Deposited Plan 710661.
	The site is located on the south-western junction of Pittwater Road and Delmar Parade and has street frontages to Pittwater Road of 40.98m and Delmar Parade of 29m, generally at the southern entry to the Dee Why Town Centre.
	The site is 2,060m² in area.
	The site currently accommodates a two storey commercial building (Repco Auto Repairs and National Australia Bank Branch), which includes an open air customer car park to the rear. Vehicle access is currently gained from Delmar Parade.
	Development surrounding the subject site is a mix of commercial, retail and residential buildings. To the north of the site is 822 Pittwater Road, which is a 7 to 8 storey, mixed use building. To the east of the site is a commercial office development.
	To the south of the site is No. 814 - 816 Pittwater Road, which is currently used by Avis Car Hire and further to the south, is the Stony Range Garden. The sole frontage and access point to the Avis site is off Pittwater Road. This Avis site was the subject of previous approval, which was granted by Land and Environment Court on 26 June 2008 for a 31 residential unit development, however this consent was never activated and has since lapsed.
	West of the site on the opposite side of Pittwater Road is a service station, a residential flat building and detached dwellings in the R2 zone.
	The land is moderately sloping with a level difference of approximately 1.5m between the south western corner and the north eastern corner.

Map:





SITE HISTORY

The Development Application (DA2017/1183) was considered at the SNPP on 13 June 2018. In the Assessment Report, Council outlined a case for refusal of the application based on the following grounds:

- 1. Site isolation of the adjoining property to the south, 814-816 Pittwater Road, Dee Why (known as the Avis site);
- 2. Approval from the NSW Roads and Maritime Services (RMS) was not provided;
- Non-compliances with the requirements of State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development (SEPP 65) and associated Apartment Design Guide (ADG);
- 4. Non-compliance with the Building Height Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings development standard of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011;
- 5. Non-compliance with the requirements of Warringah Development Control Plan (WDCP 2011), in relation to site amalgamation, number of storeys, Clause C2 Traffic, Access and Safety and Clause C4 Stormwater.

At the meeting, the Panel concurred with the reasons for refusal in the Assessment Report, however made the decision to defer the determination of the application to allow the Applicant to attend to and respond to the following:

- "An offer of purchase submitted to the owner of the adjoining Avis site based on an independent valuation and a response from the owner including the valuation (or evidence of lack of response);
- In case of a negative or no response, the provision of a right-of-way through the subject site that could be utilised as access to the Avis site in case it gets redeveloped in the future;
- Evidence of support from the RMS for the traffic impact of the proposal;
- A minimum setback of 6m from the front southern boundary above podium level and from the rear southern boundary from the ground;
- Improved access to and quality of the communal open space at podium level, which is to be



achieved by the deletion of Unit 45 and above;

- Provision of deep soil planting along the eastern boundary at ground level to a minimum area of 5m x 5m;
- Re-calculation of the GFA on the basis that garbage facilities not in the basement and corridors which are enclosed on their long side are included as floor space;.

The Panel noted that, *"if the above issues are satisfactory resolved, the panel may accept that an increase in the height of the development above the current control (3.0m) may be acceptable. Similarly, the Panel may accept that a four-storey has merit on this site".*

A Supplementary Report was prepared and submitted to the Panel on 12 September 2018, which addressed the above matters.

The Panel approved the application on 12 September 2018.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2017/1183, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55 (2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55 (2) - Other	Comments
Modifications	
A consent authority may, on app	blication being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to
act on a consent granted by the	consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the
regulations, modify the consent	if:
(a) it is satisfied that the	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that
development to which the	Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the
consent as modified relates is	same as those already approved under DA2017/1183 for the
substantially the same	following reasons:
development as the	
development for which	Consideration of whether a development to which the consent as
consent was originally granted	modified relates is substantially the same development as the
and before that consent as	development for which consent was originally granted, Justice



Section 4.55 (2) - Other	Comments
Modifications	
originally granted was modified (if at all), and	Bignold established the following test in the Moto Projects (No 2) Pty Ltd v North Sydney Council (1999) 106 LGERA 289 where His Honours states:
	"[54] The relevant satisfaction required by s96(2)(a) to be found to exist in order that the modification power be available involves an ultimate finding of fact based upon the primary facts found. I must be satisfied that the modified development is substantially the same as the originally approved development. [55] The requisite factual finding obviously requires a comparison between the development, as currently approved, and the development as proposed to be modified. The result of the comparison must be a finding that the modified development is "essentially or materially" the same as the (currently) approved development. [56] The comparative task does not merely involve a comparison of the physical features or components of the development as currently approved and modified where that comparative exercise is undertaken in some type of sterile vacuum. Rather, the comparison involves an appreciation, qualitative, as well as quantitative, of the developments being compared in their proper contexts (including the circumstances in which the development consent was granted)."
	The applicant has provided the following justification to support their argument that the modifications are substantially the same:
	"Test 1: Is the proposal a modification of the original proposal, in that it does not radically transform the original proposal?
	The modification to the approved development represents a refinement and improvement and is not a radical transformation because it does not alter the fundamental nature of the approved development. Whilst some components are proposed to be changed more than other components, collectively the amended development is conceptually the same as that which has previously been approved. The proposed amendment remains for the demolition of existing structures and the construction of a 7 storey mixed use buildings with a 4 storey podium and 3 storey component above; the general site and building layout remains the same; the collection of residential and non-residential uses remain the same, the exact number of residential apartments remains the same, there is little change to the non-residential quantum, and the vehicle access and egress and internal circulation is essentially the same. The proposed internal reconfigurations and other rationalisations do not render the approved development radically transformed when considered within the context of the overall approval for the site.
	Test 2: Is the proposed development essentially or materially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted?



Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
	In considering whether the proposed modified development is materially or essentially the same, it is necessary to undertake both a quantitative and qualitative assessment of the differences. The comparative task needs to assess not only the physical features of the changes, but also the environmental impacts of the changes.
	<u>Quantitive Assessment</u> On an assessment of the quantitative components of the consent which are approved, the application as proposed to be amended is essentially and materially the same development and therefore substantially the same development.
	<u>Qualitative Assessment</u> The site layout, arrangement of uses and building configuration across the site is essentially the same as that which has been approved. In particular:
	 The amended proposal continues to comprise a recessed 3 storey element above a 4 storey podium and 2 basement levels. The commercial component is located in the same position on the ground floor and continues to address and activate the street interfaces. The same number of residential apartments are retained and are still positioned in a perimeter edge arrangement such that they face both streets and the eastern boundary. The top three levels continue to be recessed with the same street setback above the podium. The amended proposal maintains the same overall configuration of the site, quantum of residential units and mix of uses, building location, and a similar size and form. Whilst the architectural expression has been rationalised, this does not represent a radical departure from the approved development and represents a reasonable design progression which achieves an improvement when compared to the approved development.
	Qualitatively, the amended proposed is considered to be essentially and materially the same as the approved development for the site when considered holistically as it retains predominantly the same: • Purpose for a mixed use development comprising
	 Purpose for a mixed use development comprising commercial and residential uses; Site arrangement including location of uses; Range of indicative uses and activities; and Vehicle access arrangements, internal circulation and exit arrangements, and car parking location.



Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
	<i>Test 3: Is the way in which the development is to be carried out essentially or materially the same?</i>
	There is no change to the way in which the development is to be carried out.
	Test 4: Does the proposed modification affect an aspect of the development that was important, material or essential to the development when it was originally approved?
	Development consent is approved for a mixed use development of the site. The proposal as amended retains all of the same indicative uses, features and facilities of the approved development.
	The physical arrangement of open space, buildings and vehicular access and internal circulation necessary to facilitate the development all remain essentially the same and whilst the open space provision has been reconfigured this is to achieve an improved outcome.
	There is no aspect of the approved development which was important, material or essential to the development when it was approved which is proposed to be removed or substantially altered. Accordingly, the proposed amendments to the approved development do not affect an aspect of the development that was considered an essential or critically important component of the overall development as originally approved.
	In conclusion, having regard to the guidance provided by the relevant case law and having undertaken a detailed comparison of the quantitative and qualitative elements of the development, the proposed Section 4.55(2) modification application to the approved Development Consent DA2017/1183 has been demonstrated to satisfy the "substantially the same development test" pursuant to Section 4.55(2)(a)".
	Reviewing the above comments and the court judgement by Justice Bignold established in the <i>Moto Projects (No 2) Pty Ltd v North</i> <i>Sydney Council (1999) 106 LGERA 289,</i> it is concurred with that the proposed modification is consistent with the (original) consent and can be considered under Section 4.55 of the Act.
 (b) it has consulted with the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body (within the meaning of Division 5) in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent or in accordance with the general terms of an approval proposed 	Development Application DA2017/1183 did not require concurrence from the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body.



Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
to be granted by the approval body and that Minister, authority or body has not, within 21 days after being consulted, objected to the modification of that consent, and	
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Warringah Environmental Plan 2011 and Warringah Development Control Plan.
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 96 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land).



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
environmental planning instrument	Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018.
	This matter was addressed in the assessment of DA2017/1183 where the 'Due Diligence Contamination Investigation Report', prepared by Douglas Partners dated February 2016 concluded that
	<i>"From the results of the preliminary investigations generally low levels of contaminants appear to be present in soil and groundwater at the site.</i>
	The presence of hydrocarbon odours in two bores (BH1 and 2) indicates the potential for Contamination to be present in the central area of the site, although any such contamination (if encountered) is anticipated to be manageable during the construction process (bulk excavation, tanked basement, etc.). Therefore, it is expected that the site can be made suitable for the proposed development. This would need to be confirmed by undertaking a detailed site investigation (in the order of four to five additional test bores including one further centrally located groundwater well)".
	That application was also referred to Council's Environmental Health Officer who raised no objection to the proposal subject to conditions. Accordingly, based on the information submitted, it was considered that the requirements of SEPP had been satisfied and the land was considered to be suitable for the development subject to conditions.
	The modification of DA2017/1183 (i.e. this application) does not alter the depth of the excavation to accommodated the basement carpark and, although the basement marginally increases in area, is not considered to compromise the findings of the 'Due Diligence Contamination Investigation Report' nor the conditions imposed in DA2017/1183.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
2000)	Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission



Section 4.15 'Matters for	Comments
Consideration'	
	of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This documentation was submitted with the modification application.
	<u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	<u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This Clause is not relevant to this application.
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	<u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report.
environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS



Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 28/07/2020 to 11/08/2020 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 2 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mrs Geraldine Anne Moorman	12 / 19 - 23 Delmar Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099
Tomasy Planning	1073 Pittwater Road COLLAROY NSW 2097

Two (2) submissions have been received, raising the following concerns:

- Reduction to the southern side boundary setback (Level 4 and above) and impact this may have in prejudicing future development of the neighbouring property to the south.
- Additional overshadowing of neighbouring property to the south.
- Amendment of the Construction Traffic Management Plan from 14 day notice period to 5 day notice period and its impact on business to the south.
- Reduction to the height of the basement from 4.5m to 4.3m and the impact this would have on the future through-site-link to the neighbouring property to the south.
- Traffic flow into the carpark and imposed Condition 27 which requires the installation of a median island in Delmar Parade to restrict right-turn movements.

The above issues are discussed in detail below:

• Reduction to the southern side boundary setback (Level 4 and above) and impact this may have in prejudicing future development of the neighbouring property to the south.

The submission states:

"The Statement of Environmental Effects (SoEE) refers to "the application seeks approval for a range of refinements to the development". The SoEE also states, "The amended proposal retains the same number of apartments as those which were originally approved and also adheres to the heights and majority of the setbacks established by the approved development". In respect of the changes to the approved setback, it is noted that on the southern boundary, which abuts our client's property, from level 4 and above, the building now has a reduced setback from the southern boundary of 3m. The modification has also introduced screened secondary windows to the residential apartments that abut the common boundary of our client's property. Notwithstanding that the 3m setback is ADG compliant, it is imperative that this reduced setback does not prejudice any future redevelopment on our client's property. It is therefore important for Council to acknowledge that any future development on 814-816



Pittwater Road, along the northern boundary shall be developed with a setback being ADG compliant, as per the modification now before Council. Our client should not be prejudiced as a result of the reduced setback and the introduction of windows (which were not, previously, part of the approved development) along the southern boundary of the proposed building.

In respect of the reduced setback of the building on level 4 and above, the applicant should provide further documentary evidence that there is no increase in shadow impacts on our client's property as a result of moving the building closer to the southern boundary".

Comment

The setback of the south-facing wall to Levels 4, 5 and 6 abutting No. 816 Pittwater Road has been reduced from a variable distance of between 4.5m and 6.0m to 3.0m. With exception to the angled privacy screens which conceal narrow vertical profile windows to a bedroom, the wall is blank and therefore satisfies the Design Guidance under Clause 3F of the ADG.

Notwithstanding, the applicant has provided concept plans for No. 816 Pittwater Road to demonstrate that No 816 Pittwater Road would not be prejudiced in its development potential and unreasonably impacted by the subject application. The figures below show the possible configuration of development at No. 816 Pittwater Road.





LEVEL 4

The 3D 'view from the sun' schematics below expand on the above diagrams to illustrate the overall appearance of the concept in conjunction with the subject development:





As can be seen, because of the orientation of No. 816 Pittwater Road to the subject site (and the 'V' shaped layout of the the subject development) the concept would enable acceptable levels of amenity.

This issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Amendment of the Construction Traffic Management Plan from 14 day notice period to 5 day notice period and its impact on business to the south.

The submission states:

"Implications relating to the amendments to the CTMP from a 14 day notice period to a 5 day notice period: if this part of the modification were to be approved by Council, it would have severe ramifications on our client's business, which is a car and truck rental facility. We respectfully request Council not support a 5 day notice period. If Council is of the opinion that this 14-day period can be reduced, it should be at least 10 working days. Our clients and their management team for the Avis Rental Car facility need an absolute minimum of 10 working days so that they can plan around this type of disruption to their day-to-day business".

Comment

The submission refers to Condition 28 which relevantly states (in part):

28. Construction Traffic Management

As a result of the site constraints, limited vehicle access and parking, a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) and report shall be prepared by an RMS accredited person and submitted to and approved by the certifying authority prior to issue of any Construction Certificate.

The CTMP must address following:

• The proposed manner in which adjoining property owners will be kept advised of the timeframes for completion of each phase of development/construction process. It must also specify that a minimum Fourteen (14) days notification must be provided to adjoining property owners prior to the implementation of any temporary traffic control measure.

The CTMP shall be prepared in accordance with relevant sections of Australian Standard 1742 – "Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices", RMS' Manual – "Traffic Control at Work Sites".

All fees and charges associated with the review of this plan is to be in accordance with Council's



Schedule of Fees and Charges and are to be paid at the time that the Construction Traffic Management Plan is submitted.

Reason: To ensure public safety and minimise any impacts to the adjoining pedestrian and vehicular traffic systems. Confirming appropriate measures have been considered for site access, storage and the operation of the site during all phases of the construction process in a manner that respects adjoining owner's property rights and protects amenity in the locality, without unreasonable inconvenience to the community. The CTMP is intended to minimise impact of construction activities on the surrounding community, in terms of vehicle traffic (including traffic flow and parking) and pedestrian amenity adjacent to the site.

Council's Traffic Engineer has reviewed Condition 28 and the request to amend the condition and advise that the relevant part of the condition may be amended to read as follows:

• The proposed manner in which adjoining property owners will be kept advised of the time frames for completion of each phase of development/construction process. It must also specify that a minimum five (5) days notification must be provided to adjoining property owners prior to the implementation of any temporary traffic control measure with the exception for any temporary road closure, for which a minimum fourteen (14) days notification must be provided.

The condition has been expanded to specify the notification periods required for different phases of the development and emphasising that the 14 day notification period is only applicable to temporary road closures.

Condition 28 has been amended accordingly.

This issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Reduction to the height of the basement from 4.5m to 4.3m and the impact this would have on the future through-site-link to the neighbouring property to the south.

The submission states:

"At the time the approval was granted for DA2017/1183, a Condition was placed on the Consent to ensure that adequate arrangements had been made regarding vehicular access including service vehicles from Delmar Parade to our client's property.

It would appear from the review of the plans submitted with the Modification and the SoEE that supported the application, it is proposed to reduce the height of the basement from 4.5m to 4.3m. The height of the basement that forms part of the vehicular access arrangements to our client's property were set at 4.5m. Any reduction in the height would severely impact upon their site link/basement connection, which was approved under DA2017/1183. The terms of the side and shape of the agreed access arrangements were the subject of protracted negotiations with the previous owner and in consultation with Council's Assessment Officer. The terms of the Condition relating to access are set out on the following page and the approved drawings that accompanied the Development Consent for DA2017/1183 clearly depict the height of the basement".

<u>Comment</u>

The submission refers to Condition 65 which states:



65. Right of Access (through-site vehicle link)

A right of access (under the provisions of Section 88B of the Conveyancing Act) is to be created on the final plan of subdivision and the accompanying 88B instrument to ensure a through-site vehicle access within the ground level to No. 814 -816 Pittwater Road, Dee Why (Avis site) as detailed on the approved plans.

The terms of the right of way (available from Northern Beaches Council), are to be prepared by a registered surveyor to Northern Beaches Council's standard requirements at the applicant's expense.

Activation of the through site link will only occur upon redevelopment and physical commencement of a mixed-use development on 814-816 Pittwater Rd Dee Why (Avis Site).

Details demonstrating compliance with this condition are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any Subdivision Certificate.

Reason: To allow the reasonable development of the neighbouring site.

The modified proposal reduces the floor-to-ceiling height of the ground floor from 4.5m to 4.3m (thereby lowering the overall building height by 0.2m).

The reduced floor-to-ceiling height does not impede vehicular movement through the site as the 4.3m high floor-to-ceiling enables the travel of normal passenger vehicles and Council's Heavy Rigid Vehicles (which requires a clearance height of 3.9m) to service waste.

Furthermore, Condition 65 will remain imposed under DA2017/1183.

This issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Traffic flow into the carpark and imposed Condition 27 which requires the installation of a median island in Delmar Parade to restrict right-turn movements.

The submission states:

"I have no issue with the internal layout changes proposed for this 71 unit development at 2 Delmar Parade.

My submission is that council does not lose sight of the approval condition of the existent application relating to traffic flow into this building's carpark. The approval requires as follows as a condition in Condition 27 " Median island along Delmar Parade to restrict right turn movements in and out of the access driveway". This was put in place based on RMS recommendation as this end of Delmar Parade next to Pittwater Road is already both a u turn hotspot and also traffic streams across into Delmar from the northbound Pittwater Road lane when the traffic flow is held back at the Sturdee Parade lights. This is the only gap in the constant Pittwater road traffic flow that residents can use to be able to get across

MOD2020/0081



into their own street. So if a vehicle stops/pauses to consider entering this building's carpark it is a high risk of rear ending collision from the following cars. So the conditions from the original approval need to stay in place.

Also Condition 28 on the conditions also require a traffic management plan during construction - which will need some significant safety review as trucks may need to come down Delmar Parade which already has narrow stretches, blind corners and weight limits - as these vehicles will not be able to - as per Condition 27 condition - just come off Pittwater road and turn directly right into the site due to the close proximity of the site access point to Pittwater Road. For if the RMS says its not safe for general traffic into the constructed building to do that right turn , then it cant be safe for large trucks to do it either.

So this submission is okay with the interior changes but a reminder to council that conditions related to traffic management in the original approval are still valid - as the local residents were clear to council that Delmar Parade already has a number of traffic safety matters and these were factored in during the approval process for 2 Delmar Parade.

From a personal perspective I am making it clear that I do not agree that these RMS conditions will solve the safety matters on Delmar Parade - however, given how the planning panel chairperson explained to us that a DA will never be knocked back on the basis of traffic issues, the RMS conditions are better than nothing".

Comment

With exception to the minor amendment to Condition 28 (see commentary above related to the Construction Traffic Management Plan), Conditions 27 and 28 remain as originally imposed under DA2017/1183.

This issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Building Assessment - Fire and	The application was referred to Council's Development Assessment Department who dic provided:
Disability upgrades	"The application has been investigated with respects to aspects relevant to the Building objections to approval of the development subject to inclusion of the attached conditions
	Note: The proposed development may not comply with some requirements of the BCA a be determined at Construction Certificate Stage".
Environmental Health (Industrial)	The application was referred to Council's Environmental Health Department who did not
Landscape Officer	The application was referred to Council's Landscape Officer who did not raise any object
	"The landscape plans provided with the application are noted.
	Subject to conditions as provided, no objections to the proposed landscape works are ra

REFERRALS



Internal Referral Body	Comments
	regarding street tree planting as the landscape plans do not address this aspect".
	Conditions imposed by Council's Landscape Officer are included in the draft conditions c
NECC (Development	The application was referred to Council's Development Engineer for review. The followin
Engineering)	"The new stormwater drainage plans detailing the provision of On site Detention are sati
	Additionally the new basement configuration is to be tanked in accordance with the Geot approximately 4m below the existing ground levels.
	Also the basement entry point has changed and a wider vehicle crossing is required".
	Conditions imposed by Council's Development Engineer are included in the draft condition
NECC (Stormwater and	The application was referred to Council's Stormwater and Floodplain Engineer who did n provided:
Floodplain Engineering – Flood risk)	"The proposed modifications do not alter the minimum floor level of the basement car pa risk is still appropriately managed by the existing conditions of consent for flooding".
Strategic and Place Planning	The application was referred to Council's Strategic and Place Planning Department who been provided:
	"The application is identified within the Dee Why Town Centre under Warringah Local Er Centre Planning Proposal and associated amendments to Warringah Development Cont 2020 and applies to this application, which was lodged on 2 March 2020.
	The proposed modifications largely relate to internal layout and configurations, design ar acceptable in terms of Council's Strategic Planning, provided that Development Assessn Why Town Centre of WLEP 2011 and Part G1 Dee Why Town Centre under WDCP 201
	The application has been considered under Part 7 Dee Why Town Centre of the WLEP 2 2011 where it was considered to satisfy the relevant controls.
Strategic and Place	The application was referred to Council's Senior Urban Designer for review The followin
Planning	"The proposed modifications cannot be supported for the following reasons:
(Urban Design)	1. The SEPP 65 Apartment Design Guide (ADG pg 37) provides guidelines on build ADG states that when applying separation distances to buildings on adjoining site boundary will apply. The minimum separation distance for buildings 5 storey and building setback will be required at the fifth storey and above to all common boun building envelopes which includes balconies. The building separation distance at non-habitable rooms i.e. 6m setback to common boundaries. Additional shadow of protect solar access to surrounding future developments.
	2. ADG requirement of the maximum number of apartments off a circulation core of



Internal Referral Body	Comments		
		f the proposed units facing Pittwa d amenity (ADG pg 97). The sola its (ADG pg 79).	•
	material treatment. The De towers on top of building p top of the podium. The 3m	' built form approach needs more e Why Town Centre Masterplan odium built form as per the appro- increase in building height is als main amenities. The facade trea	rationale behind the a oach of the previously so introduced to make
		nsed street awning is to be no le ne kerb. Where street trees are i	
	current location. This locatio	et park proposed in the south-eas n could also be considered as a f future basement access for cars	uture access point to th
	Delmar Parade. Given that the habitable ro	ponse to the issues raised by th ters, the merits of the proposal f	rom a planning perspe arranged to face outw ter Road and Delmar I
	Height	Level	Rooms
	12m (Requires 6m setbac		6m to 8m
	12III (Requires off setbac	k) <u>1</u> 2	6m to 8m
		3	6m to 8m
	25m (Requires 9m setbac		6m to 8m
		5	6m to 8m
		6	6m to 8m
	Note: Distances are to the		
	area along the eastern side this respect, and given tha	mpliances at Level 1 (balconies) of the development. As such, t they are separated from the bo inreasonably impact upon the bu	hese terraces are bour undary by the addition
	The remainder of the sepa	rations at Levels 1 to 3 comply v	vith the requirement of
	With respect to the non-co	mpliances at Levels 4. 5 and 6. i	t is noted that the Livir



Internal Referral Body	Comr	nents			
		obscure glazing while the eastern edges of the balconies include landscaped pla mounted at the top of wall of each planter box.			
		that design could be refine combined 9m wide drivew	sign concepts have been subm ed to offset its habitable rooms ay and footpath which is to ext g at No. 4 Delmar Parade). The	and balconies from tho end along the entire we	
		Separation	Level	Rooms	
		12m (2 x 6m)	1	15m to 2	
			2	15m to 2	
			3	15m to 2	
		18m (2 x 9m)	4	15m to ²	
			5	15m to 2	
			6	15m to ⁻	
	2.	constraint of the site at thi Delmar Parade, particular	compliant, from a performance s elevation given the irregular l ly given the regular shape and um number of apartments off a	ot shape without unreas large developable area	
		1		14	
		2		14	
		3		14	
		4		10	
		5		10	
		6		9	
		would otherwise be availa The corridors lead out ont	from an open plan corridor sys ble in an enclosed corridor sys o (and over) the central common an enclosed corridor system.	tem.	
		The internal walkway has apartments facing Pittwate	been redesigned at all levels to er Road.	o better respond to the i	



Internal Referral Body	Comn	nents
		With respect to solar access, the applicant has submitted detailed 'view-from-the- balconies of the apartments facing Pittwater Road receive between 1m ² and 7.7n satisfies the Design Guidance of Clause 4A which stipulates " <i>to maximise the ben</i> <i>open spaces, a minimum of 1m² of direct sunlight, measured at 1m above floor level,</i>
		The figure below illustrates the quantum of solar access received (as shaded in y Road.
		This is considered to be acceptable on merit.
	3.	Although the modified design presents a more horizontal aspect than the vertical Centre, it is considered to include sufficient vertical elements that complement the built form. The figure below details the strong vertical elements which are built into the linea
		The modified design of the building is considered to be appropriate to its context as it provides a more streamlined form which visually flows into and out of the Ce
		Unlike tower elements which are most commonly sited within the core of the cent Pittwater Road) is a book-end to the Centre which, in a similar manner to 844 Pitt transition of scale between the bigh rise tower developments within the Centre ar

transition of scale between the high-rise tower developments within the Centre ar



Internal Referral Body	Comments
	This is considered to be acceptable on merit.
	4. The underside of the awning (which forms the underside of the balconies within the walkway which extends around the northern and western perimeter of the building the sloping topography at the southern end if the site, this end of the walkway is s
	Although the height of the underside of the awning is not set at 3.2m from the foo that the awning does not extend over the footpath, and instead is sited within the only.
	The width of the awning is 4.0m and is setback 3.4m from the face of the kerb. Th trees which has been addressed by Council's Landscape Officer via condition.
	This is considered to be acceptable.
	5. The deep soil planting pocket park which was proposed in the south-eastern corr Level 1 to form an extension of the communal areas. This relocation was conside of the "pocket park" from the remainder of the site and enabling a more cohesive
	The elevated landscaped area does not impede the through-site link to No. 816 F
	The figure below shows the communal open space area (formerly the sunken po
Strategic and Place Planning (S94	The application was referred to Council's Contributions Officer with respect to the possib Plan 2019. The following comments have been provided:



Internal Referral Body	Comments
Warriewood Valley)	"The contributions plan applicable to the modification application is the contributions plan was the Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018. Condition 8 relates to the pa section 7.12 of the EP&A Act and the Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2016
	The modification application does not identify a change to the original development cost. modification application is unlikely to increase the overall cost of development, the modif is required".
	Assessment Planner Comment The applicant has submitted a letter from a Quantity Surveyor (Newton Fisher Group) w
	"We in our capacity as Quantity Surveyors can confirm that we have reviewed the S4.55 project located at Dee Why. Having reviewed the documentation the proposed Developm
	The estimated cost of works for DA2017/1183 was \$20,303,413. Therefore, the modifica development.
Traffic Engineer	The application was referred to Council's Traffic Engineer who did not raise any objection
	" <u>Car Park Design</u>
	 The swept path analysis indicates that the access driveway will accommodate tw which is satisfactory. The amended right of way has improved the two-way through flow within the right of way has improved the two-way through flow wit
	 bay and the ramp going down to the basement car park. It is proposed in the traffic consultant's response to the earlier Council's commen provided at the conflict points at the following locations:
	between the site access and the loading bay
	 between the basement one ramp and loading bay between the aisle and access into 814-816 Pittwater Road
	• In addition to the above traffic measures the following are to be installed in the c_i
	 priority control (give way) at the intersection of the right of way and ramp give vehicles on the right of way signal system for the loading dock
	<u>Parking Allocation</u> The amended right of way has resulted in the removal a disable parking spaces and ass the parking spaces, the parking allocation for all uses shall meet the minimum requireme following:
	 18 retail parking spaces. 14 residential visitor spaces. 57 resident spaces.
	In this regards two of the residential spaces are to be allocated to resident visitor spaces



Internal Referral Body	Comments	
	Installation of Security Gate The resident parking spaces are to be separated from the non-residential parking spaces regarding the placements of the security gate, the resident visitor spaces can be located the security gate is not possible otherwise. <u>Customer Parking</u> All retail parking spaces are to be available for the customers use during the retail busine the car parking is to clearly signposted at the entrance of the car park. <u>Conclusion</u> The proposal can be supported by traffic team subject to conditions". Conditions imposed by Council's Traffic Engineer are included in the draft conditions of conditions.	
Waste Officer	 The application was referred to Council's Waste Management Department who did not raprovided: "The proposal is approved with conditions. The doors that provide access to the waste storage room from the kerb need to b for servicing without obstructing access and maneuvering of bins. To allow unobstructed access for collection staff to service the bins, the pathway Collection Point on Delmar Parade needs to: a) be solid, concrete, continuous, non-slip b) be a maximum ramp gradient of 1 in 8. c) have a minimum opening of 1,500mm provided in the balustrade immediately of the Collection Point on Delmar Parade". 	
	Conditions imposed by Council's Waste Management Department are included in the	

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.
NSW Roads and Maritime Services (Traffic Generating Development)	The application was referred to the RMS pursuant to Section 138 of the Roads Act, 1993.
	In their response, the RMS did not raise any objection to the proposed modifications. No additional conditions were imposed beyond those already imposed under DA2017/1183.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*



All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated.

This matter was addressed in the assessment of DA2017/1183 where the 'Due Diligence Contamination Investigation Report', prepared by Douglas Partners dated February 2016 concluded that

"From the results of the preliminary investigations generally low levels of contaminants appear to be present in soil and groundwater at the site.

The presence of hydrocarbon odours in two bores (BH1 and 2) indicates the potential for Contamination to be present in the central area of the site, although any such contamination (if encountered) is anticipated to be manageable during the construction process (bulk excavation, tanked basement, etc.). Therefore, it is expected that the site can be made suitable for the proposed development. This would need to be confirmed by undertaking a detailed site investigation (in the order of four to five additional test bores including one further centrally located groundwater well)".

That application was also referred to Council's Environmental Health Officer who raised no objection to the proposal subject to conditions. Accordingly, based on the information submitted, it was considered that the requirements of SEPP had been satisfied and the land was considered to be suitable for the development subject to conditions.

The modification of DA2017/1183 (i.e. this application) does not alter the depth of the excavation to accommodated the basement carpark and, although the basement marginally increases in area, is not considered to compromise the findings of the 'Due Diligence Contamination Investigation Report' nor the conditions imposed in DA2017/1183.

SEPP 65 - Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development

The development is required to comply with SEPP 65, and the associated Apartment Design Guide (ADG) provides additional details and guidance for applying the nine design quality principles outlined in SEPP.

As per the provisions of Clause 4 outlining the application of the policy, the provisions of SEPP are applicable to the assessment of this application.



As previously outlined within this report Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a Design Verification Statement from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This documentation has been submitted.

Clause 28 of SEPP requires that in determining a development application for consent to carry out development to which SEPP 65 applies, a consent authority is to take into consideration (in addition to any other matters that are required to be, or may be, taken into consideration):

a. The advice (if any) obtained from the design review panel, and

b. The design quality of the development when evaluated in accordance with the design quality principles, and

c. The ADG.

DESIGN REVIEW PANEL

Northern Beaches Council does not have an appointed Design Review Panel.

DESIGN QUALITY PRINCIPLES

Principle 1: Context and Neighbourhood Character

Good design responds and contributes to its context. Context is the key natural and built features of an area, their relationship and the character they create when combined. It also includes social, economic, health and environmental conditions.

Responding to context involves identifying the desirable elements of an area's existing or future character. Well designed buildings respond to and enhance the qualities and identity of the area including the adjacent sites, streetscape and neighbourhood. Consideration of local context is important for all sites, including sites in established areas, those undergoing change or identified for change.

<u>Comment</u>

The site is located within the Dee Why Town Centre as defined by the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.

Contextually, the site features as a gateway into and out of the Centre being the last of two notable sites situated at the southern end of the Centre.

The modified design of the building is considered to be appropriate to this context as it provides a more streamlined form which visually flows into and out of the Centre than the approved tower scheme. Unlike tower elements which are most commonly sited within the core of the centre, the subject site (and its neighbour to the south (i.e. 816 Pittwater Road) is a bookend to the Centre which, in a similar manner to 844 Pittwater Road at the northern end of the Centre, establishes a transition of scale between the high-rise tower developments within the Centre and the more modest built forms around the periphery of the Centre.

The images below show a comparison of the northern and southern ends of the Town Centre and their similar context as they visually perform as important transitional bookends to the centre.





View of No. 844 Pittwater Road looking south-east towards the core of the Dee Why Town Centre.



Comparative view of the subject site looking north-east towards the core of of the Dee Why Town Centre.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 2: Built Form and Scale

Good design achieves a scale, bulk and height appropriate to the existing or desired future character of the street and surrounding buildings.

Good design also achieves an appropriate built form for a site and the building's purpose in terms of building alignments, proportions, building type, articulation and the manipulation of building elements. Appropriate built form defines the public domain, contributes to the character of streetscapes and parks, including their views and vistas, and provides internal amenity and outlook.

Comment

The proposed development is considered to contain a number of examples of good design in terms of its built form, including the composition of building setbacks, levels of articulation, use of balconies, podiums, fine-edged roof forms, recessing and strongly defined elements.

The proportions, transitions, materials and elongated north-south flow of the building are considered to be favourable aspects of its built form. The building responds well to its particular location, being a 'Gateway Site'. The facades of the building provide some good transitions, incorporating areas of strength to the corner and relief where required to the neighbouring properties to the south and to Pittwater Road.

The development incorporates strong vertical design elements to emphasise the corner status of the building and the gateway significance of the site.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

MOD2020/0081


Principle 3: Density

Good design achieves a high level of amenity for residents and each apartment, resulting in a density appropriate to the site and its context.

Appropriate densities are consistent with the area's existing or projected population. Appropriate densities can be sustained by existing or proposed infrastructure, public transport, access to jobs, community facilities and the environment.

<u>Comment</u>

The modified proposal achieves the same density as that approved under DA2017/1183.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 4: Sustainability

Good design combines positive environmental, social and economic outcomes. Good sustainable design includes use of natural cross ventilation and sunlight for the amenity and liveability of residents and passive thermal design for ventilation, heating and cooling reducing reliance on technology and operation costs. Other elements include recycling and reuse of materials and waste, use of sustainable materials, and deep soil zones for groundwater recharge and vegetation.

Comment

The proposed works include demolition of all structures currently on the site and excavation works to accommodate the new development.

The applicant has submitted an updated Waste Management Plan which, in conjunction with the Construction Management Plan required by the approved DA2017/1183 detail the disposal and recycling of demolition and excavation materials.

In addition, an updated BASIX certificate (see Certificate No. 1068650M-05 dated 28 August 2020) for the residential component of the development has been submitted with the application. The certificate confirms that the development is capable of achieving the water and energy targets and has obtained a pass for thermal comfort.

Furthermore, the application is also accompanied by an ABSA Certificate (refer to certificate No. WDMBTPK06A dated 20 February 2020) which indicates that the development will achieve an average NatHERS star rating of 6.8 out of 10 under the Nationwide House Energy Rating Scheme.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 5: Landscape

Good design recognises that together landscape and buildings operate as an integrated and sustainable system, resulting in attractive developments with good amenity. A positive image and contextual fit of well designed developments is achieved by contributing to the landscape character of the streetscape and neighbourhood.

Good landscape design enhances the development's environmental performance by retaining positive natural features which contribute to the local context, coordinating water and soil management, solar access, micro-climate, tree canopy, habitat values, and preserving green networks. Good landscape design optimises usability, privacy and opportunities for social interaction, equitable access, respect for neighbours' amenity, provides for practical establishment and long term management.



Comment

The modified proposal includes a landscape scheme which responds to the streetscape through the provision of a landscaped podium edge facing Pittwater Road and a lower landscaped podium facing No. 4 Delmar Parade and No. 816 Pittwater Road.

The communal landscaping scheme is more fragmented that that approved under DA2017/1183 but benefits from the ability to provide a variety of spaces instead of being generally contained within one level. This continues to enable social interaction but also allows for privacy, equitable access and respect for neighbouring amenity.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 6: Amenity

Good design positively influences internal and external amenity for residents and neighbours. Achieving good amenity contributes to positive living environments and resident well being.

Good amenity combines appropriate room dimensions and shapes, access to sunlight, natural ventilation, outlook, visual and acoustic privacy, storage, indoor and outdoor space, efficient layouts and service areas, and ease of access for all age groups and degrees of mobility.

Comment

The modified development provides a high level of amenity both internally and externally.

Given the challenge of the irregular shape of the site and its location to a major arterial road, with exception to a number of minor matters of inconsistency with the Apartment Design Guide (each of which is supportable on merit or via condition) the modified design provides for appropriate room dimensions and shapes, access to sunlight, natural ventilation, outlook, visual and acoustic privacy, storage, indoor and outdoor space, efficient layouts and service areas, and ease of access for all age groups and degrees of mobility.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 7: Safety

Good design optimises safety and security, within the development and the public domain. It provides for quality public and private spaces that are clearly defined and fit for the intended purpose. Opportunities to maximise passive surveillance of public and communal areas promote safety.

A positive relationship between public and private spaces is achieved through clearly defined secure access points and well lit and visible areas that are easily maintained and appropriate to the location and purpose.

Comment

The modified design provides a more cohesively contained development than the tower design approved under DA2017/1183.

The modified design satisfies the principles of CPTED and includes a positive relationship between public and private spaces is achieved through clearly defined secure access points and well lit and visible areas that are easily maintained and appropriate to the location and purpose.

Generally, the development provides secure access which is separated from all vehicular access



points. All apartments provide balconies and windows which provides passive surveillance over Pittwater Road, Delmar Parade and the properties to the east (i.e. No. 4 Delmar Parade).

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 8: Housing Diversity and Social Interaction

Good design achieves a mix of apartment sizes, providing housing choice for different demographics, living needs and household budgets.

Well designed apartment developments respond to social context by providing housing and facilities to suit the existing and future social mix. Good design involves practical and flexible features, including different types of communal spaces for a broad range of people, providing opportunities for social interaction amongst residents.

Comment

The provision of a mix of apartment sizes in this location is considered reasonable due to the site's close proximity to major bus interchanges, commercial facilities and opportunities within the DYTC and being within walking distance to the beach and public amenities and facilities and the future Dee Why Town Centre redevelopment.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

Principle 9: Aesthetics

Good design achieves a built form that has good proportions and a balanced composition of elements, reflecting the internal layout and structure. Good design uses a variety of materials, colours and textures.

The visual appearance of well designed apartment development responds to the existing or future local context, particularly desirable elements and repetitions of the streetscape.

Comment

The modified development exhibits a high standard of architectural aesthetic which would contribute positively to the transitional streetscape at the southern end of the Town Centre.

The design incorporates a substantial use of glazing, recessed balconies, architectural framing and a variety of materials and textures which are integrated and will provide a visual "uplift' of this site and this portion of Town Centre.

The building provides a modern and contemporary form with a well-considered use of physical and material articulation and modulation to provide a distinctive and strongly defined corner element to the southern gateway of the Town Centre. The quality of urban design would provide for further impetus for redevelopment and enhancement of the transitional town centre streetscapes.

Accordingly, it is considered that the modified proposal satisfies this principle.

APARTMENT DESIGN GUIDE

The following table is an assessment against the criteria of the 'Apartment Design Guide' as required by SEPP 65.

Development Criteria / Guideline



Control		
Part 3 Siting t	he Development	-
Site Analysis	Does the development relate well to its context and is it sited appropriately?	Consistent A Site Analysis Plan (in conjunction withe Statement of Environmental Effect has been submitted with the applicate The application also includes the following reports to inform the assessment of the application: SEPP 65 Design Statement; Geotechnical Site Investigation; and Traffic and Parking Assessment
Orientation	Does the development respond to the streetscape and site and optimise solar access within the development and to neighbouring properties?	Consistent As discussed elsewhere in this repor under the Design Quality Principles a within the relevant clauses under the WDCP 2011, the development respo to the streetscape and site and optim solar access within the development to neighbouring properties.
Public Domain Interface	Does the development transition well between the private and public domain without compromising safety and security? Is the amenity of the public domain retained and enhanced?	Consistent The development is considered to provide a satisfactory transition betwee the private and public domains without compromising safety and security.
Communal and Public Open Space	 Appropriate communal open space is to be provided as follows: 1. Communal open space has a minimum area equal to 25% of the site 2. Developments achieve a minimum of 50% direct sunlight to the principal usable parts of the communal open space for a minimum of 2 hours between 9am and 3pm on 21 June (mid winter) 	Consistent Given the site area of 2,060m ² , the development is required to provide 515m ² communal open space. The development provides 575.2m ² communal open space (which include soft landscaping, seating areas and associated walkways). This is an increase of 114.6m ² from DA2017/1183 which proposed 321.8 Without the development of No. 4 De Parade (to the east), the principal communal open space arrayed along eastern side of the development wou receive 100% direct sunlight for a 2 h period between 9am and 3pm on 21



				June.
				Subject to the future development of Delmar Parade, the principal communi open space area will receive a minim 50% direct sunlight for a 2 hour perio between 9am and 3pm on 21 June w will be primarily gained between 10ar and Noon (inclusive).
Deep Soil Zones	Deep soil zones a minimum require		ollowing	Acceptable on merit
	Site area	Minimum dimensions	Deep soil zone (% of site area)	Given the site area of 2,060m ² , the development is required to provide a (144.2m ²) deep soil zone within a minimum dimension of 6.0m.
	Less than 650m ² 650m ² –	- 3m	7%	The development does not provide and deep soil landscaping within the
	1,500m ² Greater than	6m		minimum dimension of 6.0m. Howeve does provide an increase to the overa landscaping on the site by 24.9m ² wit notable areas being contained within
	1,500m ² Greater than 1,500m ² with significant existing tree	6m		consolidated pockets at the central courtyard area and along the eastern edge of the podium.
	limited or level (e.g. constraine centres); • there is 10	cluding where: on and building t no space for de central busines ed sites, high de 00% site covera I uses at ground I does not achie ceptable stormw ould be achieved	ypology have ep soil at ground s district, nsity areas, or in ge or non- l floor level. eve deep soil vater l and alternative	It is noted that DA2017/1183 provider 116.6m ² deep soil area within the minimum dimension of 6.0m. Notwithstanding, and in accordance v the Design Guidance of Clause 3E-1, development is located within the De- Why Town Centre and is constrained its shape and that there is 100% site coverage of non-residential uses at th ground floor level. Furthermore, the development achievant acceptable stormwater management provides alternative forms of planting the podium level.
Visual Privacy	Minimum required buildings to the s follows:	-		Acceptable on merit The habitable rooms and balconies ir development are arranged to face
	Building height	Habitable rooms and balconies	Non- habitable rooms	outward to Pittwater Road, Delmar Parade and towards No. 4 Delmar Parade.



Up to 12m (4 storeys)	6m	3m
Up to 25m (5-8 storeys)	9m	4.5m
Over 25m (9+ storeys)	12m	6m

Note: Separation distances between buildings on the same site should combine required building separations depending on the type of rooms.

Gallery access circulation should be treated as habitable space when measuring privacy separation distances between neighbouring properties. Given that the habitable rooms and balconies facing Pittwater Road and Delmar Parade comply with the separation requirements due to the rc widths, the following assessment considers the separation to No. 4 Del Parade only:

Height	Level	Rooms	Balcor
12m Req 6m	1	6m to 8m	4m & 5
	2	6m to 8m	6m
	3	6m to 8m	6m
25m Req 9m	4	6m to 8m	7m
	5	6m to 8m	7m
	6	6m to 8m	7m

Note: Distances are to the side boundary.

With respect to the non-compliances Level 1 (balconies), it is noted that the features are terraces which abut the communal open space area along the eastern side of the development. As such, these terraces are bounded by screen fencing and hedging to mainta privacy. In this respect, and given tha they are separated from the boundary the additional landscaping within the communal area, it is not considered t these features would unreasonably impact upon the building separation c No. 4 Delmar Parade.

The remainder of the separations at Levels 1 to 3 comply with the requirement of Clause 3F-1.

With respect to the non-compliances Levels 4, 5 and 6, it is noted that the Living Rooms have been fitted with highlight windows and obscure glazin while the eastern edges of the balcor include landscaped planter boxes wh are backed by 0.5m high privacy scre mounted at the top of wall of each pla



box.

Furthermore, although design concer have been submitted which demonstithat No. 4 Delmar Parade can be developed to compliance, that design could be refined to offset its habitable rooms and balconies from those proposed in the subject development particularly given the combined 9m w driveway and footpath which is to ext along the entire western side of No. 4 Delmar Parade (i.e. between the subj site and the future building at No. 4 Delmar Parade). The combination of combined 9m wide driveway and the proposed separations are detailed be

Separation	Level	Rooms	Balco
12m	1	15m to	13m to
(2 x 6m)		17m	14m
	2	15m to 17m	15m
	3	15m to 17m	15m
18m (2 x 9m)	4	15m to 17m	16m
	5	15m to 17m	16m
	6	15m to 17m	16m

Note: Distances are building-to-build

As can be seen, the non-compliant separation distances are at Levels 4, 6.

With respect to the non-compliances Levels 4, 5 and 6, it is noted that the Living Rooms have been fitted with highlight windows and obscure glazin while the eastern edges of the balcor include landscaped planter boxes wh are backed by 0.5m high privacy scre mounted at the top of wall of each pla box.

Although numerically non-compliant, from a performance aspect it is considered that the solutions present adequately address the constraint of



Pedestrian Access and entries	Do the building entries and pedestrian access connect to and addresses the public domain and are they accessible and easy to identify? Large sites are to provide pedestrian links for access to streets and connection to destinations.	site at this elevation given the irregula lot shape without unreasonably impa- upon the development potential of no Delmar Parade, particularly given the regular shape and large developable area of that site which will allow for design variation. Consistent The development provides an access and legible building entry which defin the private property from the public
Vehicle Access	Are the vehicle access points designed and located to achieve safety, minimise conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles and create high quality streetscapes?	domain. Consistent The development includes a 6.6m widdriveway and crossover which facilitate adequate sightline distances along the distances alo
Riovelo and	For dovelopment in the following locations:	adjacent footpath. The driveway is sited in the same location as approved under DA2017/1183.
Bicycle and Car Parking	 For development in the following locations: On sites that are within 80m of a railway station or light rail stop in the Sydney Metropolitan Area; or On land zoned, and sites within 400m of land zoned, B3 Commercial Core, B4 Mixed Use or equivalent in a nominated regional centre The minimum car parking requirement for residents and visitors is set out in the Guide to Traffic Generating Developments, or the car parking requirement prescribed by the relevant council, whichever is less. The car parking needs for a development must be provided off street. Parking and facilities are provided for other modes of transport.	Consistent The site is located within a B4 Mixed zone. A comparison between the RMS Guid Traffic Generating Developments and <i>Warringah Development Control Plar</i> 2011 (WDCP) reveals that the two parking rates are identical. Therefore, the parking requirements of the WDCP have been applied. The development provides car parkin surplus to the requirements of the WI (see Clause 'C3 - Parking Facilities' in WDCP section of this report) by 13.3



	Visual and environmental impacts are minimised.	spaces.
		Bicycle parking The ADG does not include any nume requirement for the provision of bicyc parking. This is addressed under Cla C3(A) of the WDCP 2011 whereby th development has been found to com with the requirements of
		All parking is provided within a groun floor parking area which is (with exception to the entry) concealed from view from the street and adjoining properties.
	ning the Building	
Amenity Solar and Daylight Access	 To optimise the number of apartments receiving sunlight to habitable rooms, primary windows and private open space: Living rooms and private open spaces of at least 70% of apartments in a building are to receive a minimum of 2 hours direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid 	Consistent The development provides 94.3% (67 apartments which receive a minimum 2 hours direct sunlight between 9am 3pm at mid winter.
	 • A maximum of 15% of apartments in a building receive no direct sunlight between 9 am and 3 pm at mid winter. 	Consistent The development includes 5.6% (4) apartments which do not receive dire sunlight between 9am and 3pm at mi winter.
Natural Ventilation	 The number of apartments with natural cross ventilation is maximised to create a comfortable indoor environment for residents by: At least 60% of apartments are naturally cross ventilated in the first nine storeys of the building. Apartments at ten storeys or greater are deemed to be cross ventilated only if any enclosure of the balconies at these levels allows adequate natural ventilation and cannot be fully enclosed. 	Consistent The development provides 87.3% (62 apartments which are naturally cross ventilated.
	• Overall depth of a cross-over or cross- through apartment must not exceed 18m, measured glass line to glass line.	Consistent The overall depth of cross-through



				aparu	ments do not exceed 18m.		
Ceiling Heights			ed floor level to finished n ceiling heights are:		istent levelopment provides the follow		
	Minimum ce	Minimum ceiling height			floor-to-ceiling heights:		
	Habitable 2.7m rooms			•	Habitable Rooms: 2.8m		
	Non- habitable	2.4m		•	Non-Habitable Rooms: 2.8m Commercial: 3.8m		
	For 2 storey apartments		or main living area floor or second floor, where its				
		area d	oes not exceed 50% of the nent area				
	Attic spaces	1.8m at edge of room with a 30 degree minimum ceiling slope					
	If located in mixed used promote future flexibility of use areas						
Apartment Size and Layout	Apartments ar minimum inter	•	red to have the following as:		istent levelopment provides the follov		
Layout							
	Apartment	type	Minimum internal area		ment sizes:		
	Apartment Studio		Minimum internal area 35m ²		ment sizes:		
					ment sizes: 1 Bedroom: 50m² to 86m².		
	Studio		35m ²		ment sizes:		
	Studio 1 bedroom		35m ² 50m ²		ment sizes: 1 Bedroom: 50m² to 86m². 2 Bedroom: 75m² to 102m².		
	Studio 1 bedroom 2 bedroom 3 bedroom The minimum bathroom. Add	interna	35m ² 50m ² 70m ²		ment sizes: 1 Bedroom: 50m² to 86m². 2 Bedroom: 75m² to 102m².		
	Studio 1 bedroom 2 bedroom 3 bedroom The minimum bathroom. Ado minimum inter A fourth bedro	interna ditional rnal area	35m ² 50m ² 70m ² 90m ² I areas include only one bathrooms increase the	apartı • •	ment sizes: 1 Bedroom: 50m² to 86m². 2 Bedroom: 75m² to 102m².		
	Studio1 bedroom2 bedroom3 bedroom3 bedroomThe minimumbathroom. Addminimum interA fourth bedrooincrease the meach.Every habitableexternal wall vnot less than formation	interna ditional mal area oom and ninimun le room vith a to 10% of	35m ² 50m ² 70m ² 90m ² I areas include only one bathrooms increase the a by 5m ² each.	s Cons Each wall w	ment sizes: 1 Bedroom: 50m² to 86m². 2 Bedroom: 75m² to 102m². 3 Bedroom: 91m² to 111m². Sedroom: 91m² to 111m². istent room has a window in an exter with a total minimum glass area ss than 10% of the floor area of		
	Studio1 bedroom2 bedroom3 bedroom3 bedroomThe minimumbathroom. Addminimum interA fourth bedrooincrease the meach.Every habitableexternal wall vnot less than forDaylight and arooms.	interna ditional mal area oom and ninimun le room vith a to 10% of t air may	35m ² 50m ² 70m ² 90m ² I areas include only one bathrooms increase the a by 5m ² each. I further additional bedroom n internal area by 12m ² must have a window in an otal minimum glass area of the floor area of the room. not be borrowed from other	s Cons Each wall w not le room.	ment sizes: 1 Bedroom: 50m² to 86m². 2 Bedroom: 75m² to 102m². 3 Bedroom: 91m² to 111m². istent room has a window in an exter with a total minimum glass area ss than 10% of the floor area of		



	The non-complia	nt units are:
	Unit	Depth
	101	8.2m
	109	8.7m
	201	8.2m
	209	8.7m
	301	8.2m
	309	8.7m
	401	8.6m
	402	8.6m
	403	8.6m
	404	8.6m
	502	8.6m
	602	8.6m
	impact upon the i habitable rooms, the primary living	sidered to unreason internal amenity of the particularly given the area is located towa the kitchen is locate
	question are larg minimum require through, thereby of amenity (such	hat of more narrowe
Master bedrooms have a minimum area of 10m ²	Acceptable sub	ject to condition
and other bedrooms 9m ² (excluding wardrobe space).		t includes the followi master bedrooms wl th the 10m²
	Unit	Room Area
	404/004/004	9m²
	101/201/301	9111
	101/201/301	9m ²



				105/205/305	5 9m²	
				106/206/306	6 9m²	
				114/214/314	9.4m ²	2
				402	9m²	
				502	9.5m ²	2
				The above ro achieve the re affecting the i relevant apar A condition is consent to ad	nternal amen tment. included in th	without ity of the
	Bedrooms have a minimum	n dimensior	n of 3.0m	Consistent		
	and must include built in wa for freestanding wardrobes 3.0m minimum dimension.			All bedrooms	have a minim ling built in wa	
	Living rooms or combined I have a minimum width of:	iving/dining	g rooms	Consistent		
	 3.6m for studio and 4m for 2 and 3 bedr 	All apartments achieve widths of great than 4m.				
	The width of cross-over or or apartments are at least 4m deep narrow apartment laye	Consistent All cross-through apartments have wi greater than 4.0m.				
Private Open Space and Balconies	All apartments are required balconies as follows:	Acceptable of		the follow		
	Dwelling Type	Minimum Area	Minimum Depth	private open	space and ba	cony size:
	Studio apartments	4m ²	-	Туре	Area	Depth
	1 bedroom apartments	8m ²	2m	1 Bedroom	8m ² to 19m ²	2m
	2 bedroom apartments	10m ²	2m	2 Bedroom	10m ² to	2m
	3+ bedroom apartments	12m ²	2.4m		75m ²	
	The minimum balcony dept	3 Bedroom	18m² to 67m²	2m to 2.4		
	For apartments at ground le			Units 607 and apartments w of 2.0m. Althor requirement, edge of the b planter boxes landscaped e unreasonably usability of th Acceptable of	bugh this is be it is noted tha alconies inclu which would dge to the ba mpacting up e balcony are	cony deptl low the 2. t the outer de 1.0m w provide a lconies wit on the
	similar structure, a private c		•			



	instead of a balcony. It must have a minimum area of 15m ² and a minimum depth of 3m.		opment includes sited at the podiu	-
			ng private open or each unit:	space is
		Unit	Area	Depth
		101	8m ² & 11m ²	1m to 2.4
		102	8m² & 10m²	1m to 1.8
		103	8m ² & 11m ²	1m to 2n
		104	8m ² & 10m ²	1m to 1.8
		105	8m²	1m to 3n
		106	8m²	1m to 3n
		107	5m² & 12m²	1m to 3n
		108	11m ²	1m to 1.
		109	21m ²	2m
		110	3m ² & 15m ²	1m to 2n
	111	24m²	1m to 3.	
		112	23m ²	1m to 3.
		113	11m ²	1m to 1.
		114	31m ²	1.8m to -
		110 face of Road and I balconies t considered apartments acceptable shape and Units 111 t communal east and th podium ap Unit 113 (v apartment)	ve units, apartme utward and towa Delmar Parade a o those streets a l as typical podiu s. This is conside o outcome given orientation of the open space area nus are more rep artments. With e which is a 1 bedre these units achi e with the ADG.	rds Pittwa and thus h and are no m ered to be the unique e site. owards th a which fa resentativ xception to com
Common	The maximum number of apartments off a	Acceptabl	e on merit	
Circulation and Spaces	circulation core on a single level is eight.	The development provides the follow		
	Where Design Criteria 1 is not achieved, no more than 12 apartments should be provided off a	per level:		
	circulation core on a single level.	Level	No. A	partment
		1	14	-
	Achieving the design criteria for the number of			
	apartments off a circulation core may not be	2	14	



Apartment	Ensure the developmen	t provides a range of	Consistent		
Configuratio					
Pollution	Siting, layout and design of the building is to minimise the impacts of external noise and pollution and mitigate noise transmission.		The developm minimise acou neighbouring road network	nent is designed to ustic impact from development and the lo as well as minimising n to neighbouring land us	
Privacy Noise and	Noise sources such as garage doors, driveways, service areas, plant rooms, building services, mechanical equipment, active communal open spaces and circulation areas should be located at least 3m away from bedrooms.		areas, plant ro mechanical eo spaces and ci	ors, driveways, service ooms, building services quipment, private open irculation areas are loca a away from bedrooms.	
Acoustic	At least 50% of the required located within the apartreside the sources such as g	ment.	The development provides a total of 518m ³ of which 50% is located within basement car park.and 50% is locate within each respective apartment.		
	3+ bedroom apartments	10m ²			
	2 bedroom apartments	8m ²		room x 5 = 50m³ Required = 518m³	
	Studio apartments 1 bedroom apartments	4m ² 6m ²	2 Bedr	room x 30 = 180m ³ room x 36 = 288m ³	
	Dwelling Type	Storage size volume	storage:		
Storage	In addition to storage in bedrooms, the following	kitchens, bathrooms and storage is provided:		nent requires the followi	
	lift is 40.	artments sharing a single	Not applicab	IE	
	 other innovative design solutions that provide high levels of amenity. 			lead out onto (and over mmunal open space are ding an enhanced sense n would otherwise be n enclosed corridor syste	
	 apartments. access to ample ventilation in cor common areas for generous corridor minimum ceiling 	•	The development benefits from an or plan corridor system which allows for greater level of access to daylight ar natural ventilation than would otherw be available in an enclosed corridor system.		
	should be demonstrated	l, including:	6	9	
	for common lobbies, co	ria, a high level of amenity ridors and apartments	′ 4 5	10	



Mix	apartment types and sizes that is appropriate in supporting the needs of the community now and into the future and in the suitable locations within the building.	The development provides the followi apartment mix: 1 Bedroom: 30 (42.2%) 2 Bedroom: 36 (50.7%) 3 Bedroom: 5 (7.1%)
Ground Floor Apartments	Do the ground floor apartments deliver amenity and safety for their residents?	Not applicable
Facades	Ensure that building facades provide visual interest along the street and neighbouring buildings while respecting the character of the local area.	Consistent The proposed building includes progressive setbacks and good levels vertical and horizontal articulation suc that the resulting building alignments and proportions that are generally balanced and reflective of the interna layout and structure. Through the provision of progressive setbacks, articulation and the use of a variety of materials, the development considered to contribute towards the existing or future local context of the commercial and residential character the local area.
Roof Design	Ensure the roof design responds to the street and adjacent buildings and also incorporates sustainability features. Can the roof top be used for common open space? This is not suitable where there will be any unreasonable amenity impacts caused by the use of the roof top.	Consistent The development includes a flat roof form which is considered to be consis with development in the local area an Town centre. The roof is non-trafficable and canno
Landscape Design	Was a landscape plan submitted and does it respond well to the existing site conditions and context.	Used for common open space. Consistent The landscape design for the development includes a reasonable le of vegetation to contributes towards t architectural scale of the proposed building without under-utilizing or overcrowding the site such that it imp upon solar access and which is considered to contribute towards the landscape character of the streetscap and neighbourhood while providing fc acceptable levels of internal and exte amenity.
Planting on Structures	When planting on structures the following are recommended as minimum standards for a range	Consistent



	of plant s	sizes:				The development includes 1m x 1m v high planters at Level 1 and at Levels
	Plant type	Definition	Soil Volume	Soil Depth	Soil Area	5 & 6 which enable the planting of shrubs.
	Large Trees	12-18m high, up to 16m crown spread at maturity	150m ³	1,200mm	10m x 10m or equivalent	
	Medium Trees	8-12m high, up to 8m crown spread at maturity	35m ³	1,000mm	6m x 6m or equivalent	
	Small trees	6-8m high, up to 4m crown spread at maturity	9m ³	800mm	3.5m x 3.5m or equivalent	
	Shrubs			500- 600mm		
	Ground Cover			300- 450mm		
	Turf			200mm		
Universal Design	developr	ast 20% of t ment incorp e's silver lev	orate the	Livable H	ousing	Consistent The development includes 15 apartm (i.e. 21%) which satisfy the Livable Housing Guideline's silver level unive design features.
Adaptable Reuse	contemp	litions to ex orary and c s identity an	ompleme	entary and	enhance	Not applicable
Mixed Use		development and does i omain?			• •	Consistent The development has accessibility to regular public transport routes along
	levels of	dential uses buildings in be appropri	areas w	here resid		Pittwater Road. The ground floor accommodates reta and car parking only. All residential u is located on the levels above.
Awnings and Signage	activity, a Awnings	wnings alor active fronta are to com ribute to the	ages and plement	over build the buildin	ing entries. g design	Consistent Awnings are located along the Pittwa Road and Delmar Parade street



	Signage must respond to the existing streetscape character and context.	frontages and compliment the design the building. Signage is not part of this application
Performance		
Energy Efficiency	Have the requirements in the BASIX certificate been shown in the submitted plans?	Consistent The BASIX Certificate submitted with application (see Certificate No. 1068650M-05 dated 28 August 2020) indicates that the development will achieve above target scores for wate (40) and energy (34) usage while Thermal Comfort will achieve a target pass.
Water Management and Conservation	Has water management taken into account all the water measures including water infiltration, potable water, rainwater, wastewater, stormwater and groundwater?	Consistent The application includes Drainage Pla and a Geotechnical Site Investigation which takes into account water dispo- measures and the impact of the development on any groundwater seepage.
Waste Management	Has a waste management plan been submitted as part of the development application demonstrating safe and convenient collection and storage of waste and recycling?	The application includes a Waste Management Plan which demonstrate safe and convenient collection and storage of waste and recycling.
Building Maintenance	Does the development incorporate a design and material selection that ensures the longevity and sustainability of the building?	Consistent The application includes a Schedule Materials and Finishes which ensures longevity and sustainability of the building.

STANDARDS THAT CANNOT BE USED TO REFUSE DEVELOPMENT CONSENT

Clause 30 of SEPP 65 Standards that cannot be used as grounds to refuse development consent or modification of development consent states that:

(1) If an application for the modification of a development consent or a development application for the carrying out of development to which this Policy applies satisfies the following design criteria, the consent authority must not refuse the application because of those matters:

(a) if the car parking for the building will be equal to, or greater than, the recommended minimum amount of car parking specified in Part 3J of the Apartment Design Guide,

(b) if the internal area for each apartment will be equal to, or greater than, the recommended minimum internal area for the relevant apartment type specified in Part 4D of the Apartment



Design Guide,

(c) if the ceiling heights for the building will be equal to, or greater than, the recommended minimum ceiling heights specified in Part 4C of the Apartment Design Guide.

Note. The Building Code of Australia specifies minimum ceiling heights for residential flat buildings.

<u>Comment</u>

The development satisfies the requirements of Clause 30(1)(a), (b) and (c).

(2) Development consent must not be granted if, in the opinion of the consent authority, the development or modification does not demonstrate that adequate regard has been given to:

- (a) the design quality principles, and
- (b) the objectives specified in the Apartment Design Guide for the relevant design criteria.
- (3) To remove doubt:

(a) sub-clause (1) does not prevent a consent authority from refusing an application in relation to a matter not specified in subclause (1), including on the basis of sub-clause (2), and
(b) the design criteria specified in sub-clause (1) are standards to which clause 79C (2) of the Act applies.

Note. The provisions of this clause do not impose any limitations on the grounds on which a consent authority may grant or modify development consent.

<u>Comment</u>

It is considered that adequate regard has been given to:

- (a) the design quality principles, and
- (b) the objectives specified in the Apartment Design Guide for the relevant design criteria.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. 1068650M-05 dated 28 August 2020).

The BASIX Certificate indicates that the development will achieve the following:

Commitment	Required Target	DA2017/1183 (Approved)	MOD2020/0081 (Proposed)
Water	40	42	40
Thermal Comfort	Pass	Pass	Pass
Energy	25	25	34



SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Clause 45 - Electricity Infrastructure

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

The application was referred to Ausgrid under clause 45(2) of State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised.

It is noted that Ausgrid provided their comments and conditions to the referral made under DA2017/1183 and, given that no fundamental change has been made to the approved development within the road reserve and to nearby electricity infrastructure, it is considered that the development would not compromise the conditions imposed by Ausgrid under DA2017/1183 pertaining to street lighting and the proximity of underground cables in Pittwater Road and Delmar Parade.

Clause 102 - Residential development adjacent to a road corridor

Clause 102 applies to residential development adjacent to a road corridor or freeway with an annual average daily traffic volume of more than 40,000 vehicles and which the consent authority considers would be likely to be adversely affected by road noise or vibration. The RMS has published traffic volume maps for NSW ('Traffic Volume Maps for Noise Assessment for Building on Land Adjacent to Busy Roads'). The noise assessment for the development is indicated on Map 12 as mandatory under Clause 102 of the SEPP Infrastructure.

Clause 102(2) also requires the consent authority to consider any guidelines that are issued by the Director-General for the purposes of this clause and published in the Gazette.

The supporting guidelines (as published by The Department of Planning in 2008) guide development adjacent to railway lines and along motorways, tollways, freeways, transit ways and other 'busy' roads. For new residential developments, internal noise levels of 35 dB (A) have been set for bedrooms during the night-time period and 40 dB (A) for other habitable rooms.

Clause 102(3) prohibits the consent authority from granting consent to residential development adjacent to a road corridor or freeway unless it is satisfied that appropriate measures will be taken to ensure that the above-mentioned LAeq levels are not exceeded. As the site is located adjacent to Pittwater Road which has volume in order of 47, 000 vehicles per day, this Clause applies to the proposed development.

In this regard, the applicant has submitted an acoustic report (prepared by Wilkinson Murray, dated 25 February 2020. In summary, the acoustic report recommends design measures to minimise the acoustic impact of the traffic on residential development.



Therefore, the subject application is considered to satisfy the provisions of Clause 102 subject to a condition to be included in the consent if the application is worthy of approval to adopt the recommendations of the acoustic report in the design of the proposed development, one of which relevantly states:

"Apartments facing Pittwater Road and Delmar Parade will require improved glazing to ensure that the acoustic amenity of future residences is protected. Preliminary recommendations provide options for upgraded laminated glazing".

Clause 106 - Traffic Generating Development

Pursuant to Clause 106(1) (a) the clause applies to new premises of the relevant size or capacity. (2) In this clause, "relevant size or capacity" means: "in relation to development on a site that has direct vehicular or pedestrian access to any road-the size or capacity specified opposite that development in Column 2 of the Table to Schedule 3".

Clause 106 'Traffic generating development' of the SEPP Infrastructure requires the application be referred to the RMS within seven days, and take into consideration any comments made within 21 days, if the development is specified in Schedule 3 of the SEPP Infrastructure.

Schedule 3 of SEPP Infrastructure requires that the following residential flat developments are referred to the RMS as Traffic Generating Development:

Purpose of Development	Size or Capacity (Site with access to any road)	Size of Capacity (Site with access to classified road or to a road that connects to classified road if access is within 90m of connection, measured along alignment of connecting road)
Apartment or residential flat building	300 or more dwellings	75 or more dwellings
Commercial premises	10,000m² in area	2,500m² in area
Refreshment rooms	200 or more motor vehicles	300m ²
Shops and commercial premises	4,000m²	1,000m ²

The development, as modified by this application, consists of:

- 71 residential apartments;
- 208m² GFA Retail/Cafe; and
- 340m² GFA Commercial Premises.

The modification maintains the approved number of residential apartments but seeks to increase the approved commercial floor area from 305.3m² GFA to 548m² GFA.

In their response, the RMS did not raise any objection to the proposed modifications. No additional conditions were imposed beyond those already imposed under DA2017/1183.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011



Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the develo	opment consistent with:
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Difference	Complies
4.3 - Height of Buildings		(0)		-0.2m No change	No No

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	N/A
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.3 Flood planning	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes
7.3 Objectives for development within Dee Why Town Centre	Yes
7.4 Development must be consistent with objectives for development and design excellence	Yes
7.5 Design excellence within Dee Why Town Centre	Yes
7.10 Allowance for external ancillary plant and roof access	Yes
7.13 Mobility, traffic management and parking	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.3 Height of buildings

In *Gann v Sutherland Shire Council [2008] NSWLEC 157*, the Court was prepared to distinguish an earlier line of authority, and hold that, since Section 96 (now 4.55) was a "free-standing" provision, it could be utilised to modify a consent even where (in that case) no SEPP 1 or Clause 4.6 Objection could be lodged.

By application of that case in the context of this application, the Council can consider (and approve) a modification that still results in a breach of the height control, without reference to SEPP 1 or Clause 4.6, relying instead on the "free-standing" power of Section 4.55.

In this regard, the matters for consideration under SEPP 1 or Clause 4.6 provide a reasonable and consistent means of assessing any Section 4.55 that is beyond the provisions of the planning controls.

Whilst this modification application will result in a height that exceeds the maximum permitted by Clause 4.3 of WLEP 2011, the application does not strictly need to address the requirements of Clause



4.6. This application has been made under Section 4.55 of the EP&A Act, which is a free-standing provision which, in itself, authorises the development to be approved notwithstanding any breach of development standards. Section 4.55 is subject to its own stand-alone tests (such as the substantially the same test and consideration of all relevant s.4.15 matters) and does not rely upon having a Clause. 4.6 variation objection in order to determine the modification.

Clause 4.6 regulates whether development consent may be granted, not whether an existing consent may be modified, and therefore does not apply to Section 4.55 modification applications.

Notwithstanding that Clause 4.6 does not apply to modification applications, the merits of the departure have been assessed and found that the development satisfies the underlying objectives of Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings under WLEP 2011 and the variation can be supported on its merit.

The modification reduces the approved breach of the development standard of 0.2m (i.e. from 23.1m to 22.9m). Notwithstanding, this assessment considers that the modified development is essentially and substantially the same development as previously approved under DA2017/1183 and therefore no assessment against the objectives of Clause 4.6 is required and the departure from the development standard is supported in this instance.

Warringah Development Control Plan

			1	
Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
B1 Wall height	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B2 Number of storeys	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B4 Site Coverage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Built Form Controls

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	N/A	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C3 Parking Facilities		
C3(A) Bicycle Parking and End of Trip Facilities	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C5 Erosion and Sedimentation	Yes	Yes
C6 Building over or adjacent to Constructed Council Drainage Easements	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	N/A	N/A
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	N/A	N/A
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	N/A	N/A
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D18 Accessibility and Adaptability	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D21 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes
E11 Flood Prone Land	Yes	Yes
3 Desired Character for the Dee Why Town Centre	N/A	Yes
4 Streetscape and Public Domain	Yes	Yes
5 Design and Architectural Diversity	Yes	Yes
7 Traffic and Parking	Yes	Yes
9 Sustainability	Yes	Yes
11 Landscaping	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

C3 Parking Facilities

The development provides the following on-site car parking:

Use	Appendix 1 Calculation	Required	Provided	Difference (+/-)
Residential 1 Bedroom (29) 2 Bedroom (37) 3 Bedroom (5)	0.6/dwelling 0.9/dwelling 1.4/dwelling	17.4 33.3 7	78	+20.3
Residential Visitors	1/5 dwellings	14.2 (15)	13	-1.2 (2)
Commercial (548m²)	1/23.8m² GLFA	23	17	-6
Total		95.7	108	+12.3



Note: The commercial rate has been used due to it's applicability within the Dee Why Town Centre for the retail / business component of shop top housing.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

Dee Why Town Centre Contributions Plan 2019

The application was referred to Council's Contributions Officer with respect to the possible application of the Dee Why Town Centre Contributions Plan 2019. The following comments have been provided:

"The contributions plan applicable to the modification application is the contributions plan in force at the time of the original development consent. This was the Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018. Condition 8 relates to the payment of development contributions was applied pursuant to section 7.12 of the EP&A Act and the Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018.

The modification application does not identify a change to the original development cost. Subject to confirmation from the assessment planner that the modification application is unlikely to increase the overall cost of development, the modification application is supported and no change to Condition 8 is required".

Assessment Planner Comment

The applicant has submitted a letter from a Quantity Surveyor (Newton Fisher Group) which advises:

"We in our capacity as Quantity Surveyors can confirm that we have reviewed the S4.55 architectural documentation in relation to the above noted project located at Dee Why. Having reviewed the documentation the proposed Development Value of \$20,303,413 is considered fair and reasonable".

The estimated cost of works for DA2017/1183 was \$20,303,413. Therefore, the modification application does not increase the overall cost of development.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the



conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Given the supporting comments provided by the applicant, and the court judgement by Justice Bignold established in the *Moto Projects (No 2) Pty Ltd v North Sydney Council (1999) 106 LGERA 289,* it is considered that the proposed modification is consistent with the (original) consent and can be considered under Section 4.55 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

The assessment of the application found the following areas of non-compliance:

State Environmental Planning Policy No 65 - Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development (Apartment Design Guide)

- Clause 3E Deep Soil Zones;
- Clause 3F Visual Privacy;
- Clause 4D Apartment Size and Layout;
- Clause 4E Private Open Space and Balconies; and
- Clause 4F Common Circulation and Spaces.

In each instance, the assessment of the above matters found that the development achieved consistency with the Design Guidance of the control and could be supported. In particular, the non-compliant separation under Clause 3F was considered to satisfy the performance solutions to adequately address the constraint of the site at the eastern elevation given the irregular lot shape without unreasonably impacting upon the development potential of No. 4 Delmar Parade. Other issues identified in the above list were also considered to be minor and supportable.

Of the above issues, special conditions have been included to address Clause 4D - 'Apartment Size and Layout' to increase the internal size of master bedrooms by 0.6m² to 1.0m².

The matter of the departure of the design from the approved tower element has been discussed and has been considered to be appropriate to its context as a gateway site into and out of the Dee Why Town Centre, as it provides a more streamlined form which visually flows into and out of the Centre than the original tower scheme.

The submissions received in response to the notification of the application raised the following concerns:

- Reduction to the southern side boundary setback (Level 4 and above) and impact this may have in prejudicing future development of the neighbouring property to the south.
- Additional overshadowing of neighbouring property to the south.
- Amendment of the Construction Traffic Management Plan from 14 day notice period to 5 day notice period and its impact on business to the south.
- Reduction to the height of the basement from 4.5m to 4.3m and the impact this would have on



- the future through-site-link to the neighbouring property to the south.
- Traffic flow into the carpark and imposed Condition 27 which requires the installation of a median island in Delmar Parade to restrict right-turn movements.

Each of the above issues has been considered and addressed in this report and were found to not warrant the refusal of the application. With exception to amending Condition 28 (which relates to the Construction Traffic Management Plan), no special conditions were included in the draft consent as a result of the submissions.

Subsequent to confirming that the modification application does not satisfy the referral criteria to be considered by the SNPP (as contained in the document '*Instruction of the Functions Exercisable by Council on behalf of Sydney District or Regional Planning Panels - Applications to Modify Development Consents*' pursuant to Clause 123BA of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000) which commenced on 1 August 2020, the application may be determined by Council under delegation from the SNPP.

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2020/0081 for Modification of Development Consent DA2017/1183 granted for a Mixed Use Development comprising retail shops and shop top housing on land at Lot 1 DP 710661,2 Delmar Parade, DEE WHY, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
TP01.08 (Revision C) - Basement Level 2	7 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.09 (Revision D) - Basement Level 1	7 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.10 (Revision D) - Ground Level	7 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.11 (Revision B) - Level 1	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.12 (Revision B) - Level 2	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.13 (Revision B) - Level 3	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.14 (Revision B) - Level 4	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.15 (Revision B) - Level 5	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP01.16 (Revision B) - Level 6	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP0117 (Revision A) - Roof Level	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
	1	1



TP02.05 (Revision B) - Site Elevations (North)	16 July 2020	Rothelowman
TP02.06 (Revision A) - Site Elevations (South)	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
TP12.04 (Revision B) - Notification - East Elevation	4 August 2020	Rothelowman
TP02.08 (Revision A) - Site Elevations (West)	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
TP03.01 (Revision A) - Site Section 1	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
TP03.02 (Revision A) - Site Section 2	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
TP03.03 (Revision A) - Site Section 3	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
TP03.04 (Revision A) - Site Section 4	25 June 2020	Rothelowman
TP09.01 (Revision B) - Exterior Finishes	4 September 2020	Rothelowman

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:			
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By	
Arboricultural Impact Assessment	November 2017	Urban Forestry Australia	
Geotechnical Report	January 2016	Douglas Partners	
Construction Management Plan	24 November 2017	Marchese Partners	
Provision for Fire Engineering (20196-L01)	26 June 2020	Innova Services	
Building Code of Australia Compliance Assessment Report	25 June 2020	Aramini + Leedham Consulting	
NCC Part J Energy Efficiency Report (01002) - Version	4 February 2020	Senica Consulting Group	
DA Noise Assessment (Version A) (19517)	25 February 2020	Wilkinson Murray	
Statement of Compliance Access for People with a Disability	21 February 2020	Accessible Building Solutions	

c) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Deferred Commencement Conditions of this consent as approved in writing by Council.

d) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

e) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

Landscape Plans			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
Landscape Plan - Ground Floor (Issue E) - Sheet 1 of 6	25 June 2020	Paul Scrivener Landscape	
Landscape Plan - Level 1 (Issue E) - Sheet 2 of 6	25 June 2020	Paul Scrivener Landscape	
Landscape Plan - Level 2 and Level 3 (Issue E) - Sheet 3 of 6	25 June 2020	Paul Scrivener Landscape	
Landscape Plan - Level 4 (Issue E) - Sheet 4 of 6	25 June 2020	Paul Scrivener Landscape	
Landscape Plan - Level 5 and Level 6 (Issue E) - Sheet 5 of 6	25 June 2020	Paul Scrivener Landscape	
Details (Issue E) - Sheet 6 of 6	25 June 2020	Paul Scrivener Landscape	



Waste Management Plan

Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
Site Waste Management Report (SW20/01001) - Version D	6 August 2020	Senica Consultancy Group	

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

B. Modify Condition 2 - Amendments to the approved plans to read as follows:

2.

Amendments to the approved plans

The following amendments are to be made to the approved plans:

(a) The internal areas (excluding wardrobe space) of the master bedrooms of the following apartments are to be be increased to a minimum of 10m²:

- 101 to 106; 114; 201 to 206; 214; 301 to 306; 314; 402 and 405.
- The doors that provide access to the waste storage room from the kerb are to be able to be latched in an open position flat against the wall for servicing without obstructing access and maneuvering of bins.
- To allow unobstructed access for collection staff to service the bins, the pathway and access between the Waste Storage Area and the Collection Point on Delmar Parade needs to:
 - a) be solid, concrete, continuous, non-slip
 - b) be a maximum ramp gradient of 1 in 8.

c) have a minimum opening of 1,500mm provided in the balustrade immediately outside the substation between the Waste Storage Area and the Collection Point on Delmar Parade. The eastern end of the walkway from the Waste Collection Room to the pathway adjacent to the approved driveway is to be open and free of any obstruction.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure privacy is maintained for residential apartments.

C. Modify Condition 3 - Compliance with other department, authority or service requirements to read as follows:

3.

Compliance with other department, authority or service requirements

The development must

be carried out in compliance with all recommendations and requirements,

excluding general advice, within the following:

Other Department, Authority or Service	EDMS Reference	Dated
Ausgrid	Response Ausgrid Referral	18/12/2017
Transport for NSW	Referral Response - RMS	1 April 2020



(NOTE: For a copy of the above-referenced document/s, please see Application Tracking on Council's website www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination and the statutory requirements of the other department, authority or bodies.

D. Amend Condition 6 - General Requirements to read as follows:

6. General Requirements

- (a) Unless authorised by Council: Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:
 - 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
 - 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
 - No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

• 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (c) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (d) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (e) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (f) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (g) No building, demolition, excavation or material of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (h) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling



centres.

- (i) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (j) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

E. Amend Condition 15 - Stormwater Disposal to read as follows:

15.

Stormwater Disposal

Plans indicating all details relevant to the collection and disposal of stormwater from the site, buildings, paved areas and where appropriate adjacent catchments, shall be submitted prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal and stormwater management arising from the development.

F. Amend Condition 16 - Submission Roads Act Application for Civil Works in the Public Road to read as follows:

16.

Submission Roads Act Application for Civil Works in the Public Road

The Applicant is to submit an application for approval for infrastructure works on Council's roadway. These works include the construction of a new kerb inlet pit within Delmar Parade to receive the stormwater discharge from the site . This inlet pit is to be connected to Councils adjoining stormwater gully pit via a 375mm RCP Pipe that is located in front of 4 Delmar Parade. The 375mm line is to run under the existing kerb and gutter.

Engineering plans for the new development works within the road reserve and this development consent are to be submitted to Council for approval under the provisions of Sections 138 and 139 of the Roads Act 1993.



The application is to include four (4) copies of Civil Engineering plans for the design of new street pit and pipe system which are to be generally in accordance with the Council's specification for engineering works - AUS-SPEC #1. The plan shall be prepared by a qualified civil engineer. The design must include the following information: The fee associated with the assessment and approval of the application is to be in accordance with Council's Fee and Charges.

The Council approval is to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate

Reason: To ensure engineering works are constructed in accordance with relevant standards and Council's specification.

G. Amend Condition 17 - On-Site Stormwater Detention to read as follows:

17. On-Site Stormwater Detention Details

The Applicant is to provide stormwater drainage plans detailing the provision of on-site stormwater detention in accordance with Northern Beaches Council's WARRINGAH WATER MANAGEMENT POLICY PL850, and generally in accordance with the concept drainage plans prepared by SGC, drawing number SW200,SW201,SW202,SW203,SW204,SW205,SW300 Issue C, dated 17/7/2020. Detailed drainage plans are to be prepared by a suitably qualified Civil Engineer, who has membership to the Institution of Engineers Australia, National Professional Engineers Register (NER) or RPENG Civil (Professionals Australia)

The drainage plans must address the following:

i. The discharge pipe from the onsite detention tank is to be connected to a new inlet pit to be constructed in Delmar Parade and connected by a 375mm Reinforced Concrete Pipe running under the kerbline to Councils adjoining stormwater pit (in front of 4 Delmar Parade).
ii. Detailed drainage plans, including engineering certification, are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater and stormwater management arising from the development.

H. Delete Condition 26 - Treatment of the southern wall
I. Amend Condition 28 - Construction Traffic
Management Plan to read as follows:
28. Construction Traffic Management

Plan

As a result of the site constraints, limited vehicle access and parking, a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) and report shall be prepared by an RMS accredited person and submitted to and approved by the Certifying Auhtority prior to the issue of any Construction Certificate. The

CTMP must address the following:

- The proposed phases of construction works on the site, and the expected duration of each construction phase;
- The proposed order in which works on the site will be undertaken, and the method statements on how various stages of construction will be undertaken;
- Make provision for all construction materials to be stored on site, at all times;
- The proposed areas within the site to be used for the storage of excavated materials,



construction materials and waste containers during the construction period;

- The proposed method of access to and egress from the site for construction vehicles, including access routes and truck rates through the Council area and the location and type of temporary vehicular crossing for the purpose of minimising traffic congestion and noise in the area, with no access across public parks or reserves being allowed;
- The proposed method of loading and unloading excavation and construction machinery, excavation and building materials, formwork and the erection of any part of the structure within the site. Wherever possible mobile cranes should be located wholly within the site;
- Make provision for parking onsite. All Staff and Contractors are to use the basement parking once available.
- Temporary truck standing/ queuing locations in a public roadway/ domain in the vicinity of the site are not permitted unless approved by Council prior.
- Include a Traffic Control Plan prepared by a person with suitable RMS accreditation for any activities involving the management of vehicle and pedestrian traffic.
- The proposed manner in which adjoining property owners will be kept advised of the timeframes for completion of each phase of development/construction process. It must also specify that a minimum five (5) days notification must be provided to adjoining property owners prior to the implementation of any temporary traffic control measure with the exception for any temporary road closure, for which a minimum fourteen (14) days notification must be provided.
- Include a site plan showing the location of any site sheds, location of requested Work Zones, anticipated use of cranes and concrete pumps, structures proposed on the footpath areas (hoardings, scaffolding or shoring) and any tree protection zones around Council street trees.
- Take into consideration the combined construction activities of other development in the surrounding area. To this end, the consultant preparing the CTMP must engage and consult with developers undertaking major development works within a 250m radius of the subject site to ensure that appropriate measures are in place to prevent the combined impact of construction activities, such as (but not limited to) concrete pours, crane lifts and dump truck routes. These communications must be documented and submitted to Council prior to work commencing on site.
- The proposed method/device to remove loose material from all vehicles and/or machinery before entering the road reserve, any run-off from the washing down of vehicles shall be directed to the sediment control system within the site;
- Specify that the roadway (including footpath) must be kept in a serviceable condition for the duration of construction. At the direction of Council, undertake remedial treatments such as patching at no cost to Council.
- The proposed method of support to any excavation adjacent to adjoining properties, or the road reserve. The proposed method of support is to be designed and certified by an appropriately qualified and practising Structural Engineer, or equivalent;
- Proposed protection for Council and adjoining properties;
- The location and operation of any on site crane; and

The CTMP shall be prepared in accordance with relevant sections of Australian Standard 1742 – "Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices", RMS' Manual – "Traffic Control at Work Sites".

All fees and charges associated with the review of this plan is to be in accordance with Council's Schedule of Fees and Charges and are to be paid at the time that the Construction Traffic Management Plan is submitted.

Reason: To ensure public safety and minimise any impacts to the adjoining pedestrian and vehicular traffic systems. Confirming appropriate measures have been considered for site access, storage and



the operation of the site during all phases of the construction process in a manner that respects adjoining owner's property rights and protects amenity in the locality, without unreasonable inconvenience to the community. The CTMP is intended to minimise impact of construction activities on the surrounding community, in terms of vehicle traffic (including traffic flow and parking) and pedestrian amenity adjacent to the site.

J. Add Condition 28A - Traffic Management and Control to read as follows:

28A. Traffic Management and Control

The Applicant is to submit an application for Traffic Management Plan to Council for approval prior to issue of the Construction Certificate. The Traffic Management Plan shall be prepared to RMS standards by an appropriately certified person.

Reason: To ensure appropriate measures have been considered for site access, storage and the operation of the site during all phases of the construction process.

K. Add Condition 28B - Tanking of Basement Level to read as follows:

28B. Tanking of Basement Level

The basement area is to be permanently tanked. The Applicant is to submit structural details of the tanking, prepared by a suitably qualified Engineer. Where temporary dewatering works are required on the development site during construction, the developer/applicant must apply for and obtain a bore license from the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage. The bore license must be obtained prior to commencement of dewatering works. All requirements of the NSW Office of Water are to be complied with and a copy of the approval must be submitted to the Certifying Authority. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To prevent ingress of sub-surface flows into the basement area and to comply with State Government Requirements.

L. Add Condition 28C - Shoring of Council's Road Reserve (Temporary Road Anchors) to read as follows:

28C. Shoring of Council's Road Reserve (Temporary Road Anchors)

Should the proposal require shoring to support an adjoining property or Council land, the Applicant shall provide the adjoining properties with engineering drawings, detailing the proposed shoring works for their consideration and approval.

Written approval from Council under Section 138 of the Roads Act 1993 is required if temporary ground anchors are to be used within Council's road reserve. The Owner's approval is to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure that owners consent is obtained for ancillary works, and to ensure the protection of adjoining properties and Council land.

M. Add Condition 28D - Vehicle Crossings Application to read as follows:

28D. Vehicle Crossings Application

The Applicant is to submit an application for driveway levels with Council in accordance with Section 138 of the Roads Act 1993. The fee associated with the assessment and approval of the application is to be in accordance with Council's Fee and Charges.



An approval is to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To facilitate suitable vehicular access to private property.

N. Add Condition 28E - Pre-Commencement Dilapidation Report to read as follows:

28E. Pre-Commencement Dilapidation Report

The applicant must prepare and submit a pre-commencement dilapidation report providing an accurate record of the existing condition of adjoining public property and public infrastructure (including roads, gutter, footpaths, etc). A copy of the report must be provided to Council, any other owners of public infrastructure and the owners of adjoining and affected private properties.

The pre-construction / demolition dilapidation report must be submitted to Council for review and then to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the any Construction Certificate and the commencement of any works including demolition.

Reason: Protection of Council's infrastructure during construction.

O. Add Condition 28F - Fire Safety to read as follows:

28F - Fire Safety

The Building Code of Australia fire safety measures as detailed and recommended in the Building Code of Australia (BCA) Compliance Assessment Report prepared by Aramini and Leedham Consulting, dated 24/02/2020, are to be taken into consideration as part of the assessment for the Construction Certificate.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be provided to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure adequate provision is made for Health, Amenity, access and Fire safety for building occupant health and safety.

P. Add Condition 28G - Access for Persons with a Disability to read as follows:

28G - Access for Persons with a Disability

The recommendations made in the Statement of Compliance- BCA Access Report prepared by Accessible Building Solutions, Job No. 219313 and dated 21/02/2020, are to be taken into consideration as part of the assessment of the Construction Certificate

Details demonstrating compliance are to be provided to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure adequate provision is made for Persons with a Disability.

Q. Add Condition 28H - On-Slab Landscape Planting and Associated Works to read as follows:

28H - On-Slab Landscape Planting and Associated Works

a) Details shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate indicating the proposed method of waterproofing to all internal walls and slab, and drainage of the concrete slab over which soil and planting is being provided.

b) Landscape treatment details shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate indicating the proposed soil volume, soil type, planting, automatic irrigation,



services connections, and maintenance activity schedule.

c) The following soil depths are required in order to be counted as landscaping:

- 300mm for lawn
- 600mm for shrubs
- 1metre for trees

Reason: To ensure appropriate and secure waterproofing and drainage is installed to direct water flow into the drainage system, and away from habitable areas.

R. Add Condition 29A - Tree Protection to read as follows:

29A - Tree Protection

(a) Existing trees which must be retained:

i) All trees not indicated for removal on the approved plans, unless exempt under relevant planning instruments or legislation

ii) Trees located on adjoining land

(b) Tree protection:

i) No tree roots greater than 25mm diameter are to be cut from protected trees unless authorised by a qualified Arborist on site.

ii) All structures are to bridge tree roots greater than 25mm diameter unless directed otherwise by a qualified Arborist on site.

iii) All tree protection to be in accordance with the recommendations of the Arboricultural Impact Assessment dated November 2019 prepared by Urban Forestry Australia and AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites, with particular reference to Section 4 Tree Protection Measures.

iv) All tree pruning within the subject site is to be in accordance with WDCP2011 Clause

E1 Private Property Tree Management and AS 4373 Pruning of amenity trees

v) All tree protection measures, including fencing, are to be in place prior to commencement of works.

Reason: To ensure compliance with the requirement to retain and protect significant planting on the site.

S. Amend Condition 32 - Vehicle Crossings to read as follows:

32. Vehicle Crossings

The Applicant is to construct one vehicle crossing 6.5 metres wide in accordance with Northern Beaches Council Drawing No A4-3330/ Normal and the driveway levels application approval. An Authorised Vehicle Crossing Contractor shall construct the vehicle crossing and associated works within the road reserve in plain concrete. All redundant laybacks and crossings are to be restored to footpath/grass. Prior to the pouring of concrete, the vehicle crossing is to be inspected by Council and a satisfactory "Vehicle Crossing Inspection" card issued.

A copy of the vehicle crossing inspection form is to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To facilitate suitable vehicular access to private property.

T. Add Condition 39A - Road Reserve to read as follows:



39A. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

U. Add Condition 39B - Progress Certification (Road and Subdivision) to read as follows:

39B. Progress Certification (Road and Subdivision)

The applicant shall provide written certification is to be provided by a suitably qualified engineer upon completion and/or as and when requested by the council for the following stages of works:

- (a) Silt and sediment control facilities
- (b) Laying of stormwater pipes and construction of pits in Delmar Parade
- (c) Proof Roll- Base-course laid and compacted **
- (d) Kerb and gutter construction
- (e) Pavement
- (f) Landscaping and vegetation
- (j) Clean-up of site, and of adjoining Council roadway and drainage system.
- (**To be tested by a recognised N.A.T.A. approved laboratory).

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To ensure compliance of civil works with Council's specification for engineering works.

V. Add Condition 39C - Civil Works Supervision to read as follows:

39C. Civil Works Supervision

The Applicant shall ensure all civil works approved in the Section 138 approval are supervised by an appropriately qualified and practising Civil Engineer.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority and/or Roads Authority.

Reason: To ensure compliance of civil works with Council's specification for engineering works.

W. Add Condition 39D - Footpath Construction to read as follows:

39D. Footpath Construction

The applicant shall construct a 1.5m wide concrete footpath in Delmar Parade in accordance with the following:

(a) All footpath works are to be constructed in accordance with Section 138 Road Act approval.(b) Council is to inspect the formwork prior to pouring of concrete to ensure the works are in accordance with Section 138 Road Act approval for footpath. Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority.

Reason: To ensure compliance of footpath works with Council's specification for engineering works.

X. Add Condition 39E - Notification of Inspections (Infrastructure Works to be Handed over the Council) to read as follows:

39E.



Notification of Inspections (Infrastructure Works to be Handed over the Council)

Council's Development Engineer is to be given 48 hours notice when the works reach the following stages:

(a) Installation of Silt and

Sediment control devices (b) Prior to backfilling of pipelines

(c) Prior to pouring of stormwater gully pits (d) Prior to

pouring of kerb and gutter (e) Subgrade level / basecourse

level (f) Sealing road pavement

NOTE: Any inspections carried out

by Council do not imply Council approval or acceptance of the work, and do not relieve the developer/applicant from the requirement to provide an engineer's

certification.

Reason: To ensure new Council infrastructure is constructed in accordance with Auspec 1 Council's design and specification standards.

Y. Amend Condition

42 - Reinstatement of Kerb to read as

follows:

42.

Reinstatement of Kerb

The Applicant shall reinstate all redundant

laybacks and vehicular crossings to conventional kerb and gutter, footpath or grassed verge as appropriate with all costs borne by the applicant.

Details

demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To

facilitate the preservation of on street parking spaces.

Ζ.

Amend Condition 44 - Stormwater Disposal

to read as follows:

44. Stormwater

Disposal

The stormwater drainage works shall be

certified as compliant with Council's Stormwater Drainage from Low Level

Properties Technical Specification and all relevant Australian Standards and

Codes by a NPER Civil Engineer registered with Engineer's Australia.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the

Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the

disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

AA. Add Condition 53A - Post-Construction Road Reserve Dilapidation Report (Major Development) to read as follows:

53A. Post-Construction Road Reserve Dilapidation Report (Major Development)

The applicant must bear the cost of all restoration works to Council's road, footpath and drainage assets damaged during the course of this development.

A Post Construction Dilapidation Report after the completion of all building works is to demonstrate that there is no damage to Council infrastructure prior to the refund of any security deposits.



Reason: To ensure security against possible damage to Council property.

BB.

Add Condition 53B - Positive Covenant and Restriction as to User for On-Site Stormwater Disposal Structures to read as follows:

53B. Positive Covenant and Restriction as to User for On-Site Stormwater Disposal Structures

The Applicant shall lodge the Legal Documents Authorisation Application with the original completed request forms (NSW Land Registry standard forms 13PC and/or 13RPA) to Council and a copy of the Works-as-Executed plan (details overdrawn on a copy of the approved drainage plan), hydraulic engineers' certification.

The Applicant shall create on the Title a restriction on the use of land and a positive covenant in respect to the ongoing maintenance and restriction of the on-site stormwater disposal structures within this development consent. The terms of the positive covenant and restriction are to be prepared to Council's standard requirements at the applicant's expense and endorsed by Northern Beaches Council's delegate prior to lodgement with the NSW Land Registry Services. Northern Beaches Council shall be nominated as the party to release, vary or modify such covenant.

A copy of the certificate of title demonstrating the creation of the positive covenant and restriction for on-site storm water detention as to user is to be submitted.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the on-site stormwater disposal system is maintained to an appropriate operational standard.

CC. Add Condition 53C - Installation of Traffic Measures in the Car Park to read as follows:

53C. Installation of Traffic Measures in the Car Park

The following traffic measures are to be installed in the car park:

- Traffic convex mirror between the site access and the loading bay.
- Traffic convex mirror between the basement one ramp and loading bay.
- Traffic convex mirror between the aisle and access into 814-816 Pittwater Road.
- Priority control (give way) at the intersection of the right of way and ramp going down to the basement car park by installation of give way linemarking/ signposting on the ramp to give way to the vehicles on the right of way.
- signal system for the loading dock

Reason: To improve sight distance and prevent vehicular conflict within the car park

DD. Add Condition 53D - Installation of Security Gate to read as follows:

53D. Installation of Security Gate

The resident parking spaces are to be separated from the non-residential parking spaces by installation of a security gate. Given the constraints regarding the placements of the security gate, the resident visitor spaces can be located out of the secured car parking area, should the installation of the security gate is not possible otherwise.

Reason: provision of secure car parking area for residential component



EE. Add Condition 53E - Landscape Completion Certificate to read as follows:

53E. Landscape Completion Certificate

a) Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, a landscape report prepared by a landscape architect or landscape designer shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority, certifying that the landscape works have been completed in accordance with the approved landscape plan and inclusive of any conditions of consent.

b) Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, a landscape report prepared by a landscape architect or landscape designer shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority, certifying that the landscape works have been established and maintained in accordance with the approved landscape plan.

Reason: To ensure that the landscape treatments are installed to provide landscape amenity.

FF. Add Condition 53F - Condition of Retained Vegetation (PLEP) to read as follows:

53F. Condition of Retained Vegetation (PLEP)

Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, a report prepared by an AQF Level 5 Arborist (or equivalent), shall be submitted to the Certifying Authority, assessing the health and impact of trees and vegetation required to be retained as a result of the proposed development, including the following information:

a) Compliance to Arborist recommendations for tree protection and excavation works.

b) Extent of damage sustained by vegetation as a result of the construction works.

c) Any subsequent remedial works required to ensure the long term retention of the vegetation.

Reason: To ensure compliance with the requirement to retain and protect significant planting on development sites, and protect the existing amenity that trees and/or bushland vegetation provide.

GG. Add Condition 53G - Required Planting to read as follows:

53G. Required Planting

Trees shall be planted in accordance with the following schedule:

Delmar Parade road reserve forward of the property, clear of driveway and intersection sightlines and generally in alignment with other street trees

No. of Trees	Species	Location	Minimum Pot Size
All trees	As indicated on the approved Landscape Plans	As indicated on the Landscape Plans	As indicated on the Landscape Plans
5	Tristaniopsis laurina 'Luscious'	Pittwater Road road reserve forward of the property, clear of driveway and intersection sightlines and generally in alignment with other street trees	100 litre
2	Tristaniopsis laurina 'Luscious'	Delmar Parade road reserve forward of the property, clear of driveway and	100 litre



	intersection sightlines and generally in alignment with other street trees	
--	---	--

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to the issue of any interim / final Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To maintain environmental amenity.

HH.

Add Condition 53H to read as follows:

53H. Treatment of the southern wall facing 816 Pittwater Road

The external podium wall facing No. 816 Pittwater Road is to be finished with a design (including complimentary colours and textures) sufficient to avoid a blank wall, which relates to the architecture of the building.

The final design is to be approved by Council's Manager Development Assessment and is to be incorporated into the final architectural plans prior to the issue of any Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the aesthetic quality of the development enhances the streetscape.

II.

Amend Condition 54 - Allocation of Spaces to read as follows:

54.

Allocation of Spaces

The parking allocation shall meet the minimum requirements in accordance with Warringah DCP which requires the following:

- 18 retail parking spaces
- 14 residential visitor spaces
- 57 resident spaces

A plan demonstrating the above is to be submitted to and approved by the certifying authority prior to construction certification.

Reason: compliance with Warringah DCP.

JJ. Add Condition 62A - Availability of Retail Parking Spaces to read as follows:

62A. Availability of Retail Parking Spaces

All retail parking spaces are to be available and accessible for the customers use during the retail business hours. The presence of customer parking spaces within the car parking is to be clearly signposted at the entrance of the car park.

Reason: To ensure the retail spaces are available.

KK. Add Condition 62B - Landscape Maintenance to read as follows:



62B. Landscape Maintenance

i) Trees shrubs and groundcovers required to be planted under this consent are to be mulched, watered and fertilized as required at the time of planting.

ii) If any tree, shrub or groundcover required to be planted under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar species to maintain the landscape theme and be generally in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan.

Reason: To maintain local environmental amenity.