

---

**Sent:** 10/10/2018 9:31:34 PM  
**Subject:** Online Submission

10/10/2018

MR Robert Hawke  
7 The Chase CH  
Little Lovett Bay NSW 2051  
rob@obeco.com.au

**RE: DA2018/1548 - 24 Bona Crescent MORNING BAY NSW 2105**

The Assessing Officer  
Northern Beaches Council  
Mona Vale

DA2018/1548 24 Bona Cres Morning Bay

I am a neighbour from Little Lovett Bay which is the next bay south of this location. My family are active boaters and walkers and this locale is well known to us from all aspects.

I have read the documents on the council's site and make the following submission to oppose the current plans for the new jetty and boatshed.

The arborists report details the loss of trees required to site the new boatshed in the planned position - it is incumbent on the council to consider options that would preserve some of this remnant foreshore bushland. This specific plot runs with water in heavy rains and the retention of trees is vital to slow the water and stabilise the land.

The boatshed and jetty will make impassable the common walk along the foreshore. As there is no path from the head of the bay it is the only way to walk out to the point without going back and up along the fire trail which rises behind all the houses. Foreshore movement is a recognised right of way and must be protected for us and future generations.

The jetty is also shown to be longer than its neighbours and as a boat user and local offshore resident I understand that this can cause difficulties for the neighbours either side in coming in to their pontoons. It is important that jetties are of the same predictable length and that neighbours and visitors are not making unsafe manoeuvres to come alongside. This group of houses is exposed for much of the year to strong nor'easterlies which blow onshore which makes for boisterous conditions for boats. Safety is an important issue here.

It is also common practice to have jetties of matching lengths for the aesthetic appeal of the bays - if jetties were jumble of lengths the area would not be the attraction it is.

The proposal should be rejected and more compliant concepts should be suggested to the applicants for the long term benefit of Pittwater.

Robert and Ingrid Hawke