

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0978

Assessment Officer: Andrew Cowan

Property Address: Lot 30 DP 12186 43 Parkes Street MANLY VALE NSW 2093 Proposal Description: Demolition & construction of new dwelling including front carport, Plan Reference: Knight Mapleton dated 23/07/09

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	🔽 Yes 🗖 No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 450,000 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plar	ז		
Contribution based on total development cost of	S	\$ 450,000.00	
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Council Code
Total S94A Levy	0.95%	\$4,275	Rams
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$225	Rams
Total	1.0%	\$4,500	

Notification Required?

✓ Yes □ No

Period of Public Exhibition?

✓ 14 days □ 21 days □ 30 days □ N/A No. of Submissions: 1 - Withdrawn 10 November 2009.

Submissions Received?

•	Yes		0
	165	110	

Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? $\fbox{\ }$ Yes $\fbox{\ }$ No

SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000
Locality: G7 Innes Road
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Development to Housing Other
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3 Desired Future Character:



Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)

s the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement	t?
Yes No	

Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)

Built Form Controls:

Building Height (overall): Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 8.5m 11.0m	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 6.7m to ridge of proposed addition Complies: Yes No
Other	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling): Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 6.5m to underside of first floor level ceiling
Requirement: 7.2m Other	Complies: Yes No
Front Setback: Applicable:	Existing and unchanged
Requirement: 6.5m Other	 Proposed: 1.676m to proposed car port, 7.4m to proposed dwelling. It is to be conditioned that the car port be deleted. Exemption granted within the front setback for the provision of car parking is acceptable provided there are no structures. Complies: Yes No
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?: Yes No Requirement:	Corner Allotment:
□ 3.5m □ Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed:m Complies: Yes No



Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 1 dwelling / per 468.8sqm Complies: Yes No
Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No 40% (187.52sqm) 50% (sqm) Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 43.9% (214sqm) Complies: Yes No
Rear Setback: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 6.0m Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 14.4m to proposed dwelling Complies: Yes No
Outbuildings: Nil Requirement: 50% of rear setback Other	Outbuildings: Existing and unchanged Proposed:% Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees 5m / 45 degrees Other	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No Complies: Yes No



	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes Vo
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Ves No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	or
900mm	Proposed: 1m to proposed dwelling, nil setback to stiars that service private open space area
4.5m	Complies:
	Complies: Yes No
Other	
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Proposed: Nil setback to walkway and stairs that service side entrance, 1.2m to 2.2m to proposed dwelling
	Complies: Ves No
Other:	
General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:

CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	└─ Yes └─ Yes , subject to condition └─ No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	



□ _{Yes} I _{No}	Yes , subject to condition No
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	
	Complies:
CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes 🔽 No	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
	Complies:
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
	to be contaminated?
Yes No	└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	└── _{Yes} └── _{Yes} , subject to condition └── _{No}
Yes Vo	
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	-
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL50 Safety & Security	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
	Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security	
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable:	Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: Ves No	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: Ves No CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: Ves No CL51 Front Fences and Walls Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: Ves No CL51 Front Fences and Walls Applicable: Ves No	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:
CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL51 Front Fences and Walls Applicable: ✓ Yes ✓ No CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No



CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes Vo	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition No
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes Mo	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No The proposed dwelling will maintain solar access to
res no	adjoining properties at No.41 and No.45 Parkes Street as the private outdoor areas will receive at least 50% sunlight between 9am and 3pm on June 21.
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:



Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	The proposed dwelling maintains privacy to adjoining
	properties as it provides for openings that will be offset against those existing at adjoining properties. Furthermore,
	privacy screens are to be provided to the rear balcony to minimise opportunity for overlooking.
	It is to be conditioned that the finished floor level of the walkway adjoining the western boundary is to be at RL.
	15.60. This is deemed to be an adequate solution to
	address the privacy issue and negates the need for a 8m long privacy screen.
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
Ves No	The bulk of the building in its current form is deemed to be
	excessive as the car port is to be setback 1.65m form the front boundary. It is to be conditioned that the car port be
	deleted to maintain a consistent building bulk and built form
	with that existing in the street.
CL 67 Deefe	Complias
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings	✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL70 Site facilities	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: ▼Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ▼Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: Yes Yes No	✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL70 Site facilities	✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: Yes Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: Yes Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: ✓ Yes, subject to condition No Yes ✓ Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: Yes Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: Yes Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public Buildings Applicable: Yes Yes No CL70 Site facilities Applicable: Yes Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes, subject to condition No Yes Yes, subject to condition No



CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Ves No	res res, subject to condition no
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} / No	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
└─ _{Yes} IV _{No}	
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife	Complies:
Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
<pre>✓ Yes ✓ No</pre>	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:



Yes Vo	
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	
	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes 🔽 No	
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes Vo	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition \square No
Yes No	
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management	
Applicable:	\square Yes \square Yes , subject to condition \square No
□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition into
Yes Mo	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Ves V No	
Yes No	
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental	Complies:
effects	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
Yes No	Schedule 17 of the WLEP 2000 requires 2 car
	spaces per dwelling. The proposal provides for 2 car spaces within the car port. In this regard the
	proposal is satisfactory.



Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP 55 Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?

□_{Yes} ▼_{No}

Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?

□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}

Within 5m of an overhead power line ?

✓ Yes □ No

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}



REPs: Applicable?: [□]Yes ^ⅣNo

EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Ves No	Addressed via condition? ▼ Yes □ No
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable:	Addressed via condition?
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition? ✓ Yes □ No

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition



		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	Ves No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Арр	blicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	✓	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
✓	EPA Act 1979		SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
✓	EPA Regulations 2000		SEPP BASIX
	Disability Discrimination Act 1992	✓	SEPP Infrastructure
	Local Government Act 1993	✓	WLEP 2000
	Roads Act 1993	✓	WDCP
	Rural Fires Act 1997		S94 Development Contributions Plan
	RFI Act 1948	✓	S94A Development Contributions Plan
	Water Management Act 2000		NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
	Water Act 1912		Other

Swimming Pools Act 1992;

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Ves In No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Ves No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Ves INO
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	└─ _{Yes} └─ _{No} └─ _{N/A}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}



WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER G7 – Innes Road

The Innes Road locality will remain characterised by a mix of detached style housing and apartment style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses. The development of further apartment buildings within the locality will be confined to the "medium density areas" shown on the map.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality except in the areas marked as "medium density areas" on the map. In these medium density areas the scale of development is to relate favourably to existing apartment style housing in the area. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. The spread of indigenous tree canopy will be protected and enhanced throughout the locality. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Front Building Setback, Side Boundary Envelope and Side Setback Built Form Controls, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

The proposal maintain the detached style housing and apartment style housing in landscaped settings

• The proposal will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing

- · The proposal will maintain landscaped front gardens and consistent front setbacks
- The proposal will protect the spread of indigenous tree canopy
- The proposal will maintain the existing pattern of subdivision

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Side Boundary Envelope, and Side Setback Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Side Boundary Envelope

Requirement: Buildings must be sited within an envelope determined by projecting planes at 45 degrees from a height of 4 metres above natural ground level at the side boundaries.

Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst



Requirement:	
M / 45 degrees	Existing and unchanged
4m / 45 degrees	or
5m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Yes Vo
Other	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes Vo
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No

Area of inconsistency with control: The proposed dwelling breaches the western side boundary envelope by 1.4m to 1.5 for a distance of 11m. The eastern side boundary envelope is breached by 1.7m to 3.2m for a distance of 11.6m.

Merit consideration of non-compliance. The following considerations have been applied in assessment of the side boundary envelope variation:

<u>Requirement: Ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height or bulk</u> Comment: The proposed first floor level addition will not be visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk as it incorporates an articulated built form with a varied roof form and building materials. The height of the proposed first floor level will not exceed 8m to remain consistent with the surrounding built form within the streetscape.

Requirement: Preserve the amenity of the surrounding land

Comment: The proposed first floor addition will preserve the amenity to the surrounding land in terms of privacy, view loss and solar access. The proposed first floor addition provides openings along the western elevation that will be offset against existing windows at 41 & 45 Parkes street to maintain privacy. Solar access will be maintained to the private outdoor areas by virtue of the increasing setbacks. Views obtained from the principal private outdoor areas of adjoining properties will remain unaffected.

Requirement: Ensure that development responds to the site topography

Comment: The proposed first floor level addition responds to the site topography as it incorporates an articulated roof form and built form that is conducive to the site. Minimal excavation is required to facilitate construction of the proposed dwelling. Furthermore, the rear dwelling will not extend over the steep part of the site negate the need for further excavation

Requirement: Provide separation between buildings

Comment: Adequate separation is provided between buildings as a setback of 1m is provided to the western boundary and 2.2m to the eastern boundary. Windows are to be offset against those existing at 41 & 45 Parkes Street to maintain privacy.

Requirement: Provide opportunities for landscaping

Comment: Opportunity for landscaping is provided with 43.9% (214sqm) of the site provided as landscaped open space. Planting is to be provided within the front, rear and side setbacks to provide screening of the proposed dwelling.



Requirement: Create a sense of openness

Comment: The proposed dwelling will maintain a sense of openness as it provides for an articulated building form that incorporates a varied roof form and building materials. Adequate separation is provided to the eastern and western side boundaries. Adequate landscaping is proposed within the eastern side setback to provide screening of the proposed dwelling.

Side Setback

Requirement: The minimum setback from a building to a side boundary is 0.9 metre

Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
H	or
900mm	Proposed: 1m to proposed dwelling, nil setback to stairs that service private open space area
4.5m	Complies:
Other	
	Boundary Nth Sth Est 🔽 Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Proposed: 0.10 setback to walkway that service side entrance, 1.2m to 2.2m to proposed dwelling
	Complies: Yes No

Area of inconsistency with Control: The proposed walkway is to have a nil setback to the western side boundary resulting in a 0.9m non-compliance.

Merit consideration of non-compliance. The following considerations have been applied in assessment of the side setback variation:

<u>Requirement: Ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height or bulk</u> Comment: The proposed walkway along the western side boundary will not be visually dominant by virtue of it height and bulk as it will be 1m wide access way that will be consistent with the finished floor level of the dwelling. In tis regard the proposed walkway will not be visually dominant as it will integrate with the proposed dwelling.

Requirement: Preserve the amenity of the surrounding land

Comment: The proposed walk way will preserve the amenity of the surrounding land in terms of privacy, views and solar access. The finished floor level of the proposed walkway is to be at 16.470 with adjacent windows at No. 41 Parkes Street at RL. 14.6 and 17.28. A privacy screen is to be provided in part along the walkway to prevent overlooking. Furthermore, this areas is not deemed a highly usable space as it is only 1m wide. Solar access will be maintained to the private outdoor area in accordance with Clause 61 – Access to Sunlight.

Requirement: Ensure that development responds to the site topography

Comment: The proposed car port responds to the site topography by remaining consistent with the finished floor level of the proposed dwelling. As such minimal excavation is required to facilitate construction of the walkway.

Requirement: Provide separation between buildings

Comment: Adequate separation is provided between the proposed walkway and adjoining buildings. The proposed walkway is to be located 01.10m form the western property boundary, screening is to be provided that extends 0.65m above the balustrade to prevent overlooking into the adjoining property No.



41 Parkes Street. The walkway area is deemed to be an accessible space but not highly usable due to its limited width of 1m.

Requirement: Provide opportunities for landscaping

Comment: Opportunity for landscaping is proposed with 43.9% (214sqm) of the site provided as Landscaped Open Space. Grassed areas within the front and rear setback are to be provided to accommodate planting and the like to provide visual relief of the walkway and the dwelling as a whole within the streetscape.

Requirement: Create a sense of openness

Comment: The proposed walkway will maintain a sense of openness within the streetscape as it will be consistent with the finished floor level of the dwelling to integrate with the structure.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Side Boundary Envelope and Side Setback Built Form Controls (Development Standard) pursuant to Clause 20(1) is Supported.

OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION: NII



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site Features:

None

Under Storey Vegetation

Rock Outcrops

Caves

East / West

North East / South West

North West / South East

View of:

Ocean / Waterways



District Views

Bushland

□ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Other:

Bushfire Prone?

□ _{Yes} ■ _{No}

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils

□ _{Yes} <a>

 No

Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?

□ _{Yes} I _{No}

Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?

□ _{Yes} ■ _{No}

Any items of heritage significance located upon it?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?

└ Yes ^I No

Located within an area identified as potential land slip?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Is the development Integrated?

☐ Yes ☑ No
Does the development require concurrence?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?

✓ Yes □ No

Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?

□ _{Yes} <a>

✓ No



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's 1 & 2>?</section's </section>	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	Yes No If yes provide detail:

Signed

Date

Andrew Cowan, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Recommendation:

That Council as the consent authority

 \checkmark

GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and
- (b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:

- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
- **REFUSE development consent** to the development application subject to:
 - (a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.

"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"

Signed

Andrew Cowan Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Date

Signed

Date

Ryan Cole Team Leader, Development Assessment