

Heritage Referral Response

Application Number:	Mod2020/0085
Date:	30/03/2020
То:	David Auster
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 6 DP 3632, 3 Riverview Road AVALON BEACH NSW 2107

Officer comments

HERITAGE COMMENTS

Discussion of reason for referral

The proposal has been referred to Heritage as the subject property is a heritage item:

Little House (Yoorami) - 3 Riverview Road, Avalon Beach

Details of heritage items affected

Details of the item as contained within the Pittwater heritage inventory is as follows:

Statement of significance:

Little House (Yoorami) at 3 Riverview Road, Clareville, built c1965 to a design by the architect Douglas Snelling, has historic and aesthetic significance as an early example of Late Twentieth-Century Sydney Regional architecture showing direct influences by Frank Lloyd Wright. Typical modernist features include: horizontal emphasis in the structure, free asymmetrical massing, flat roof, clerestory windows, timber deck, exposed structure, retention and adaptation to the natural setting and use of natural materials.

Physical description:

The house is located on a terraced sloped site covered with large rocks, trees and ferns with scenic views over Pittwater. The view from the street is screened by luxuriant vegetation creating a natural bush setting. It is not possible to see it from the public domain.

The house is a one-storey, skillion roof building organised around a central patio with a pool. A little stream of water runs below the house that is gently adapted on the sandstone rocks facing the water. The property has four double bedrooms, five bathrooms, a billiard room, cellar, commercial kitchen, in-ground pool and guest/staff accommodation. It also includes boating facilities with a two-storey boat house, landing ramp, slipway and deepwater jetty with two mooring pens.

Other relevant heritage listings		
Sydney Regional	No	
Environmental Plan (Sydney		
Harbour Catchment) 2005		
Australian Heritage Register	No	
NSW State Heritage Register	No	
National Trust of Aust (NSW)	No	
Register		
RAIA Register of 20th	Yes	
Century Buildings of		
Significance		
MOD2020/0085	i	Page 1 of 2



Other	N/A			
Consideration of Application				
	a numb	er of changes to the approved plans. The key change for		
	•	suite into a garage and its linkage to the house. Additionally		
		e conditions on the pool, entrance foyer, external soffits and		
external brickwork. The application was referred to an external heritage advisor for comment given their involvement in the previous applications.				
The advisor noted that the statement provided with the application was helpful and detailed the				
productive discussions between the applicants and Heritage. They went on to note that the proposed				
garage and link to the dwelling was considered to be tolerable and of minor impact. It would also not				
challenge the core significance of the house.				
However the advisor raised concerns with the proposed treatment to the external brickwork. They				
noted that a proposed treatment option including rendering had been discussed at length with the				
applicants but they would recommend against any change. They were of the opinion that it would				
significantly change the presentation of the house and would not be within general conservation principles. They additionally noted the aesthetic change would also impact upon the understanding of				
the design and choices of the original architect and client. Thus Heritage will recommend the				
retention of the condition that the	ne exteri	nal face brickwork be retained as is.		
With regards to the other condi	tions co	suncil staff, the external beritage advisor and the applicants		
With regards to the other conditions, council staff, the external heritage advisor and the applicants met prior to the lodgement of this application to discuss them. Heritage was satisfied by the				
explanations provided by the a	pplicants	s and thus is happy to remove the heritage conditions		
regarding the pool and its apro	n, the er	trance foyer changes and the soffit painting.		
Therefore Heritage recommends approval with the retention of one condition.				
Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of PLEP.				
Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No				
Has a CMP been provided? No				
Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No - provided with initial application Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No - provided with initial application				
Further Comments				
COMPLETED BY: Brendan Gavin, Principal Planner				
DATE: 30 March 2020				

The proposal is therefore unsupported.

Note: Should you have any concerns with the referral comments above, please discuss these with the Responsible Officer.

Recommended Heritage Advisor Conditions:

Nil.