

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2018/0261				
Responsible Officer:	David Auster				
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 100 DP 1015283, 145 Old Pittwater Road BROOKVALE NSW 2100				
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2018/0237 granted for Business Identification Sign for existing Recreation Facility indoor				
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned B3 Commercial Core Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned IN1 General Industrial				
Development Permissible:	Yes				
Existing Use Rights:	No				
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council				
Land and Environment Court Action:	No				
Owner:	AMP Warringah Mall Pty Ltd Scentre Management Ltd				
Applicant:	Anytime Warringah				
Application lodged:	30/05/2018				
Integrated Development:	No				
Designated Development:	No				
State Reporting Category:	Commercial/Retail/Office				

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

Submissions Received:

Recommendation:

Notified:

Advertised:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

06/06/2018 to 22/06/2018

Not Advertised

Approval

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;

MOD2018/0261 Page 1 of 16



- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Development Control Plan - D23 Signs

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 100 DP 1015283 , 145 Old Pittwater Road BROOKVALE NSW 2100
Detailed Site Description:	The site is located adjacent to the northern entrance driveway of the Warringah Mall, off Cross Street. The proposed location of the sign is in a garden bed between the driveway and a parking area, outside where the Anytime Fitness gym is located, opposite Bunnings.





SITE HISTORY

The site has a history of use as the Warringah Mall. There are no relevant applications that affect the current application.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal involves increasing the height of the approved pylon sign by 820mm, and changing the

MOD2018/0261 Page 2 of 16



messaging on the sign to add a phone number. This is due to a new directional sign recently being installed in front of the approved signage location, making it harder to see.

In consideration of the application a review of (but not limited) documents as provided by the applicant in support of the application was taken into account detail provided within Attachment C.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2018/0237, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15(1A) - Other	Comments			
Modifications				
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:				
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact.			
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2018/0237.			
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Warringah Local Environment Plan 2011 and Warringah Development Control Plan.			
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that				

MOD2018/0261 Page 3 of 16



Section 4.15(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	None applicable.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	Division 8A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent. Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.			
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000, Council requested additional information and has therefore considered the number of days taken in this assessment in light of this clause within the Regulations. No Additional information was requested.			
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000			

MOD2018/0261 Page 4 of 16



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
	Clause 143A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report.
	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	No submissions received.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental

MOD2018/0261 Page 5 of 16



Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

MEDIATION

No requests for mediation have been made in relation to this application.

REFERRALS

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.
NSW Dept of Transport (Roads and Maritime Services) (Dev. On Classified Roads)	Roads and Maritime has reviewed the submitted application and raises no objection to the proposed business identification sign subject to the following condition being included in any consent issued by Council:
	1. Roads and Maritime has previously resumed and dedicated strips of land as road along the Pittwater Road and Condamine Street frontage of the subject property as shown by grey colour on the attached Aerial – "X" Therefore all buildings and structures including signage together with any improvements integral to the future use of the site are to be wholly within the freehold property unlimited in height or depth along the Pittwater Road & Condamine Street boundaries.
	The proponent should be advised that the subject property is within a broad investigation area for the B-Line Upgrade Project. Further information can be obtained by visiting the project website bline@transport.nsw.gov.au or by contacting the Team on 1800 048 751 or via Email:projects@transport.nsw.gov.au
	Planners Comment: The proposed signage is well away from the Pittwater Road and Condamine Street frontage of the property, being located wholly within the Warringah Mall site. The condition is included in the recommendation as per the requirement of the RMS, but will not affect the proposed sign.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the

MOD2018/0261 Page 6 of 16



application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for commercial purposes for a significant period of time. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the commercial land use.

SEPP 64 - Advertising and Signage

The proposed modifications to the sign do not significantly alter the environmental impacts, and the assessment against SEPP 64 under the original development application remains relevant, with minor amendments as follows.

Clauses 8 and 13 of SEPP 64 require Council to determine consistency with the objectives stipulated under Clause 3(1)(a) of the aforementioned SEPP and to assess the proposal against the assessment criteria of Schedule 1.

The objectives of the policy aim to ensure that the proposed signage is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of the locality, provides effective communication and is of high quality having regards to both design and finishes.

In accordance with the provisions stipulated under Schedule 1 of SEPP 64, the following assessment is provided:

Matters for Consideration	Comment	Complies
1. Character of the area Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?	There is no desired future character for the area. The proposed sign is generally consistent with the character of the Warringah Mall. Additionally, the sign is considered to achieve the objectives and requirements of the G4 Warringah Mall clause under the Warringah DCP.	YES
Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?	There is no particular theme for the area.	YES
2. Special areas Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?	The site is not in or near any of the listed special areas.	YES
3. Views and vistas Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	No views will be unreasonably impacted upon.	YES

MOD2018/0261 Page 7 of 16



Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	The sign is similar in height and design to an existing nearby pole sign, and is well below the level of the nearby roofs of buildings.	YES
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	The sign will not create any unreasonable viewing impacts on other signage.	YES
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The sign is similar in size and scale to an existing pole sign in the same area of the Warringah Mall site. It is considered generally appropriate.	YES
Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The sign contributes to visual interest.	YES
Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	No existing signage requires rationalisation or simplification.	YES
Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	There is no unsightliness that requires screening.	YES
Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality?	The sign is well below the building and tree canopy level.	YES
5. Site and building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located?	The proposed sign is 3.42m high, and similar to an existing nearby pole sign. It is compatible with the immediate area.	YES
Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	There are no particularly important features of the site or building in the immediate area.	YES
Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both?	The sign is sufficiently innovative and imaginative.	YES
6. Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed?	Yes the logo is the only thing on the sign.	YES
7. Illumination Would illumination result in unacceptable glare, affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft, detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation?	The site is not near any residential buildings, and is in a location which will not affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft.	YES
Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary?	A condition of consent will require the illumination to be adjustable.	YES
Is the illumination subject to a curfew?	No curfew is necessary in this location. It is expected the sign will be turned off outside of the	YES

MOD2018/0261 Page 8 of 16



	gym opening hours.	
8. Safety Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road, pedestrians or bicyclists?	There is no reason to expect the sign would reduce safety.	YES
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	Sightlines will not be impacted in any significant way.	YES

Accordingly, the proposed signage is considered to be of a scale and design suitable for the locality. The proposal is therefore deemed to be consistent with the provisions of the SEPP and its underlying objectives.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	11m	2.6m	3.42m	N/A	Yes

Compliance Assessment

MOD2018/0261 Page 9 of 16



Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
6.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.3 Flood planning	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	Merit Assessment	Well within the Warringah Mall site and is accepatable with regard to setbacks to any of the site boundaries	No change of location	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	Merit Assessment	Well within the Warringah Mall site and is accepatable with regard to setbacks to any of the site boundaries	No change of location	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B6 Merit Assessment of Side Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B10 Merit assessment of rear boundary setbacks	Yes	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D23 Signs	No	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	Yes	Yes
E7 Development on land adjoining public open space	Yes	Yes
E8 Waterways and Riparian Lands	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

MOD2018/0261 Page 10 of 16



	<u>-</u>	Consistency Aims/Objectives
E11 Flood Prone Land	Yes	Yes
Part G Special Area Controls	Yes	Yes
G4 Warringah Mall	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

D23 Signs

Description of non-compliance and/or inconsistency

The application proposes one piece of signage consisting of the following:

Sign	Requirement	Width	Height	M ²	Complies
Pole or pylon sign (erected on a pole or pylon independent of any building or other structure)	Shall not be less than 2.6 metres above ground level; Shall not exceed 6 metres in height above the existing natural ground level; Must have a maximum area of no more than 4sqm on any single face; Shall not project beyond the boundary of the premises; and No more than one pole/pylon sign per site is permitted.	1.8m	3.42m	1.66sqm	No - The site is the Warringah Mall site, and there are multiple signs across the site including pole/pylon signs.

Merit consideration

The proposed modification only involves an amendment to the height of the sign. The previous merit assessment remains relevant, and is adopted for the purposes of assessment of the modification as follows:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To encourage well designed and suitably located signs that allow for the identification of a land use, business or activity to which the sign relates.

<u>Comment</u>: The proposed sign is simple and identifies the business (Anytime Fitness). It is a standard rectangular shaped sign on a pole, and is considered to be well designed.

To achieve well designed and coordinated signage that uses high quality materials.

MOD2018/0261 Page 11 of 16



<u>Comment</u>: The sign is well designed. It is constructed from a pole and a lightbox made of acrylic panels. It is considered to adequately achieve this objective.

 To ensure that signs do not result in an adverse visual impact on the streetscape or the surrounding locality.

<u>Comment</u>: The sign is within the Warringah Mall site, and will be one of many signs on the property. It will have no significant adverse visual impact on the streetscape or surrounding locality.

• To ensure the provision of signs does not adversely impact on the amenity of residential properties.

<u>Comment</u>: There are no residential properties in close proximity to the location of the proposed sign in the Warringah Mall site.

• To protect open space areas and heritage items or conservation areas from the adverse impacts of inappropriate signage.

<u>Comment</u>: There are no open space areas, heritage items or conservation areas in close proximity to the location of the proposed sign.

An assessment of the application has also found the development to be consistent with the requirements of *State Environmental Planning Policy No. 64 - Advertising and Signage*.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in section 5(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Warringah Section 94A Development Contribution Plan

MOD2018/0261 Page 12 of 16



Section 94 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2018/0261 for Modification of Development Consent DA2018/0237 granted for Business Identification Sign for existing Recreation Facility indoor on land at Lot 100 DP 1015283,145 Old Pittwater Road, BROOKVALE, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp

MOD2018/0261 Page 13 of 16



Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
Rev04 - Elevations	09/05/2018	Legends Production Management
Rev04 - Photo montage		Legends Production Management
Rev04 - Photo montage		Legends Production Management

d) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans. (DACPLB01)

B. Add Condition 1B to read as follows:

Compliance with Other Department, Authority or Service Requirements

The development must be carried out in compliance with all recommendations and requirements, excluding general advice, within the following:

Other Department, Authority or Service	EDMS Reference	Dated
Roads and Maritime	RMS Referral Response	26 June 2018
Services		

(NOTE: For a copy of the above referenced document/s, please see Application Tracking on Council's website www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au)

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination and the statutory requirements of other Department, Authority or Body's. (DACPLB02)

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

David Auster, Planner

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Anna Williams, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2018/0261 Page 14 of 16



ATTACHMENT A

Notification Plan Title

Date

14/05/2018

ATTACHMENT B

Notification Document

Title

Date

2018/344286

2018/336351

Notification Map

Plan - Notification

06/06/2018

MOD2018/0261 Page 15 of 16



ATTACHMENT C

	Reference Number	Document	Date
بالر	2018/344272	ARP Notification Map	20/02/2018
人	2018/336349	Builders Quote	14/05/2018
人	2018/336351	Plan - Notification	14/05/2018
J.	2018/336348	Applicant Details 2	22/05/2018
بالم	2018/336352	Report - Statement of Environmental Effects	22/05/2018
	MOD2018/0261	Warringah Mall 145 Old Pittwater Road BROOKVALE NSW 2100 - Section 96 Modifications - Section 96 (1a) Minor Environmental Impact	30/05/2018
	2018/330488	DA Acknowledgement Letter - Anytime Warringah	30/05/2018
	2018/334920	Would like application status update - MOD2018/0261 Warringah Mall 145 Old Pittwater Road Brookvale	31/05/2018
L	2018/336345	Applicant Details	01/06/2018
J.	2018/336340	Modification Application Form	01/06/2018
	2018/344278	DA Acknowledgement Letter (not integrated) - Anytime Warringah	06/06/2018
J.	2018/344286	Notification Map	06/06/2018
, Total	2018/344287	Notification Letter - 4	06/06/2018
, inches	2018/390639	RMS Referral Response	26/06/2018
حار	2018/390960	RMS Referral Response	26/06/2018

MOD2018/0261 Page 16 of 16