25 June 2024 Sean Gartner Gartner Trovato Architects Suite 13, L1 Pittwater Place, 10 Park Street MONA VALE NSW 2103 +61 2 9979 4411 sean@g-t.com.au gartnertrovato.com.au



RE: BDAR Letter for 25-27 Kevin Avenue, Avalon

Ecological Consultants Australia (ECA) trading as Kingfisher Urban Ecology & Wetlands has been engaged by Gartner Trovato Architects to provide additional information in relation to the RFI from Council pertaining to the proposed development at 25-27 Kevin Avenue, Avalon triggering/or not entry into the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme (BOS).

ECA has reviewed the RFI from Council, the updated plans in particular the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (Treeism March 2024 retaining trees: #50 Spotted Gum (large) and trees #52 and #53 Red Bloodwoods (medium size). Only work required is removing some dead wood. The dead wood has been inspected and is not currently habitat – being too thin with no hollows or other cavities.



Plate 7 – Tree 52 – Remove deadwood as marked red to clear for scaffolding/built form.

Source Arborist Report March 2024 Extract from Arborist Report (March 2024)



Plate 8 – Tree 53 – (and INSET) Red lines note branches requiring removal. Inset notes point of junction back to an upright epicormic branch most likely needing pruning to for clearances.



1	1	N	N	N	N	`	N	`	`	`	•	•	}
	3.2.22	Tree 52	Bloodwo	od – loc	ated or	the su	bject site	è.					
Stru	ictura	l Root Zon	e impact	<u>s</u> :									-
	•	All propos	sed work	s are loc	ated ou	ıtside tl	he SRZ of	f this tree	2.				-
Tre	e Prot	ection Zor	ne impact	s:									
	•	An encroa	achment	of 10.9%	6 has be	en esti	mated fo	or the pro	posed	buildin	g footpr	int,	1
٢		placing it encroach		-					triggers	s Clause	3.3.4 - '	TPZ	-
7	•	The prima is (b) The roots and	potential	loss of I	root ma								-
(·	In relation will not b			(h), Lev	el 1 Flo	or is pro	posed to	be car	ntilever	ed, thus	the roots	-
Pr	uning	impacts:											
	•	works. S	l of dead b caffolding ain viable	; will nee	d to be	built ar	ound the			-			
	3.2.2	3 Tree 53	Bloodwo	ood – loo	cated or	n the su	bject site	e.					
St	ructu	al Root Zo	ne impac	<u>ts</u> :									
	•	All propo	osed work	s are loo	cated ou	utside tl	he SRZ of	this tree					1
Tr	ee Pro	otection Zo	one impac	: <u>ts</u> :									
	•	All works	s are outs	ide the o	calculate	ed TPZ o	of this sp	ecimen.					
Pr	uning	impacts:											
I.	•	(see Plat back dur viable th branch, t	num, one te 8 Appe ring work e south-w this would tolerate t	ndix 5). s by can vest fork d equate	Ideally efully st (see Pla to app	the ren trapping ate 8 INS roximat	naining o g around SET) may ely 40% o	anopy to it to the require p	the so stem o runing al live o	outh-we of Tree to the u anopy b	st could 50. If th pright ep	be held is is not picormic	

An assessment of the Biodiversity Values Mapping indicate Tree 51, 12m high and 8m spread; *Angophora costata*, and Tree 54 Turpentine, 16m high, has its truck and canopy outside of the Biodiversity Values Mapped area.

The figure below is the Biodiversity Values Mapping (accessed 2024) superimposed with the proposed development and tree numbers.





Close up location of Tree 51 and 54 are shown on the plan below with both canopies and trunks out of the BV mapped area.



Tree 51 and 54 outside the Biodiversity Mapping.



BOS thresholds

The BOS applies to local development (assessed under Part 4 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979) that triggers the Biodiversity Offsets Scheme threshold or is likely to significantly affect threatened species based on the test of significance in section 7.3 of the Biodiversity Conservation Act 2016.

The Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017 sets out the threshold level for when the BOS will be trigger. The threshold has two elements:

- whether the amount of native vegetation being cleared exceeds an area threshold
- whether the impacts occur on an area mapped on the Biodiversity Values Map published by the Environment Agency Head

Area Clearing Threshold

The area threshold varies depending on the minimum lot size associated with the property (700 m²) and the threshold for clearing (0.25 ha or more), above which the BAM and offsets scheme apply (Figure 1). The proposal would not clear 0.25 ha or more of native vegetation and therefore, does not trigger the area clearing threshold.

Minimum lot size associated with the property	Threshold for clearing, above which the BAM and offsets scheme apply
Less than 1 ha	0.25 ha or more
1 ha to less than 40 ha	0.5 ha or more
40 ha to less than 1000 ha	1 ha or more
1000 ha or more	2 ha or more

Figure 0. Area clearing thresholds as per the BOS entry requirements. Source: <u>https://www.environment.nsw.gov.au/topics/animals-and-plants/biodiversity-offsets-scheme/about-the-biodiversity-offsets-scheme/when-does-bos-apply</u>

Biodiversity Values Map

The Biodiversity Values (BV) Map identifies land of high biodiversity value, as defined by clause 7.3(3) of the Biodiversity Conservation Regulation 2017. The Biodiversity Offsets Scheme applies to the clearing of native vegetation and other biodiversity impacts prescribed by clause 6.1 of the Biodiversity Regulation 2017 on land identified on the BV Map (Figure 2).

The proposal does not require the clearing of native vegetation and other biodiversity impacts prescribed by clause 6.1 of the Biodiversity Regulation 2017 on land identified on the BV Map and therefore, does not trigger entry into the BOS.





Figure 2. Biodiversity Values Map. Source: Biodiversity Values Map and Threshold Tool https://www.lmbc.nsw.gov.au/Maps/index.html?viewer=BOSETMap

Conclusion

The proposal does not trigger the area clearing or Biodiversity Values Map threshold as per the BOS entry requirements.

No native trees will be lost. Grasses are 100% exotic.

Ground and shrub plants are exotic except for the shrubs being retained. One Cheese Tree was noted to 'go' by Arborist however it doesn't need to go due to development nor does the applicant plan to remove it, so it does not trigger the requirement for a Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (BDAR).

Statement of Authorship

This letter is by Brooke Thompson whose qualifications are BSc Conservation Biology and approved by Geraldene Dalby-Ball who qualifications are BSc Ecology and Botany Hons I with over 25 years' experience in flora and fauna impacts and specialising in projects within Sydney urban areas.

Name	Position	Date		
Geraldene Dalby-Ball	Director/Principal Ecologist	24 th June 2024		

Ecological Consultants Australia Pty Ltd trading as Kingfisher Urban Ecology & Wetlands Sydney, Melbourne, Brisbane

