



ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

27 ALLAWAH AVENUE, ELANORA HEIGHTS
2101

Prepared by Colin Curtis

AQF 5 Arboriculture Diploma

Tree Risk Assessment Qualified (TRAQ)

Consulting Member of Arboriculture Australia #2332

Member of the International Society of Arboriculture #228182

completearbor@mail.com

0413 801 557

Prepared for: Sue Preston

sue.preston@prpsydne.com.au

01/03/2020

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Complete Arborcare has been commissioned by Sue Preston to produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) regarding the proposed development of Lot 201/DP13643, No.27 Allawah Avenue, Elanora Heights 2101

Five (5) x trees upon and adjacent to the subject site were assessed to produce this report.

Following an assessment of construction impacts (detailed in section 7 of this report) the following recommendations (detailed in section 9 of this report) have been developed.

It has been concluded that trees **T1 & T3** will not be impacted from the proposed development due to both displaying only minor $\leq 10\%$ TPZ encroachments. Allowances for future root growth can be compensated elsewhere. No specific tree protection measures have been assessed to be installed due to the presence of an existing boundary fence.

It is recommended that tree sensitive construction measures, such as pier and beam are undertaken to ensure root conflicts are reduced upon tree **T2**. The location of piers should be established before works commence and non-destructive root investigation methods, which include hand digging, pneumatic or hydraulic means are to be employed. If roots greater than 50mm \varnothing are encountered, footing locations will need to be adjusted. Stem protection in accordance with clause 4.5.2 (trunk & branch protection) of AS 4970-2009 and Appendix C of this report must be installed before any works commence.

To ensure tree **T4 & T5** are not impacted from the proposed internal driveway/carport extension, construction should be undertaken at existing grade with minimal cut (hand excavation only) for the installation of the proposed paving. The final level must be altered locally to allow for 50mm separation between any roots >50mm in diameter and the paving subgrade. Any subgrade used as a bed for the proposed paving must be installed with minimum compaction. Tree protection fencing will be required to be installed before any works commence. The distance of fencing setback must allow for construction to take place. This protection must stay in place until the completion of all works & must be compliant with Section 4.3 (Protective Fencing) and Section 4.4 (Signs) of AS 4970 and Appendix D (Tree Protection Specifications) of this report.

To ensure tree **T4 & T5** are not impacted by footings from the proposed concrete entry stairs, it is recommended that tree sensitive construction measures, such as pier and beam are undertaken. The location of piers should be established before works commence and non-destructive root investigation methods, which include hand digging, pneumatic or hydraulic means are to be employed. If roots greater than 50mm \varnothing are encountered, footing locations will need to be adjusted. Tree protection fencing will be required to be installed before any works commence. The distance of fencing setback must allow for construction to take place. This protection must stay in place until the completion of all works & must be compliant with Section 4.3 (Protective Fencing) and Section 4.4 (Signs) of AS 4970 and Appendix D (Tree Protection Specifications) of this report.

Any root pruning that may be required (upon roots less than 50mm \varnothing), must be undertaken in accordance with Section 9 (Root Pruning) of AS 4373-2007.

It is recommended that an AQF Level 5 Arborist is engaged to oversee/meet any arboricultural matters that may arise if the proposed works are approved.

CONTENTS

27 Allawah Avenue, Elanora Heights 2101.	0
Executive Summary	i
1. Introduction	3
2. Legislation Requirements	3
3. The Site	4
4. Method	5
5. Observations	6
6. Tree Retention Values	7
7. Construction Impacts	8
8. Documents used in the Preparation of this Report	8
9. Recommendations/conclusions	9
10. Limitations on the Use of this Report	10
11. Assumptions	10
12. References	10
Relevant Appendices - Appendix A: Glossary Of Terms	11
Appendix B: Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (IACA 2010) – S.T.A.R.S. ©	12
Appendix C- Stem Protection Specifications	14
Appendix D - Tree Protection Specifications	15
APPENDIX E-TREE PROTECTION THROUGH DEVELOPMENT	17
PRE – DEVELOPMENT STAGE	17
DEVELOPMENT STAGE	18
CONCLUSION OF DEVELOPMENT	19

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Complete Arborcare has been commissioned by Sue Preston to produce an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) regarding the proposed development of Lot 201/DP13643, No. 27 Allawah Avenue, Elanora Heights 2101 (here after mentioned as the subject site).
- 1.2 This AIA is to be presented to Northern Beaches Council (NBC) as part of the DA. It has been prepared following the guidelines provided in the Australian Standard (AS) 4970-2009, Protection of Trees on Development Sites and AS 4373-2007, Pruning of Amenity Trees.

2. LEGISLATION REQUIREMENTS

- 2.1 The subject site is zoned E4-EnviroMetal Living (NSW Government - Planning & Environment, n. d.).
- 2.2 NBC (Northern Beaches Council, 2017) considers a tree to be:
 - (a) any palm or woody perennial plant greater than five (5) metres in height or any palm or woody perennial plant with a canopy greater than 10 m in width; or
 - (b) any native palm or native woody perennial plant at any stage of its lifecycle that is 0.5 metres or greater in height and is within any area mapped by Council as containing:
 - Threatened and High Conservation Habitat
 - Wildlife Corridors
 - Native Vegetation known or potential habitat for threatened species, populations or ecological communities
- 2.3 State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas) 2017 (NSW Government, 2017) has been considered in the preparation of this report. The aims of the policy are to;
 - (a) to protect the biodiversity values of trees and other vegetation in non-rural areas of the State, and
 - (b) to preserve the amenity of non-rural areas of the State through the preservation of trees and other vegetation.

3. THE SITE



Figure 1: The subject site outlined in red (*nearmap 2019*).

4. METHOD

- 4.1 The subject site & trees were visually assessed from ground level on the 10th November 2019. The *Genus/species* of the subject trees were recorded as well as dimensions of Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) and Diameter at Base (DAB), along with crown and canopy width. Height and age of the trees were estimated as well as the percentage of deadwood. The subject trees were given a condition / vigour rating and signs and symptoms of pests and diseases were noted (if apparent). Structural defects were looked for and comments recorded.
- 4.2 Calculations have been made using guidelines supplied in AS 4970-2009, specifically in relation to:
- Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
 - Structural Root Zone (SRZ)
 - Live Crown Size (LCS)
- 4.3 The trees have been allocated a landscape significance rating of Low, Medium or High using the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*© (IACA, 2010). Stars assessment criteria includes:
- Condition and Vigour
 - Form, species specific
 - Provenance, age and botanical significance
 - Heritage and Ecological significance
 - Size, shape, and local amenity value
 - Restrictions to tree growth

Appendix B contains the assessment criteria in full.

- 4.4 The trees have been given a Useful Life Expectancy (ULE) rating, categorised as either:
- Long – 40+ years
 - Medium – 15-40 years
 - Short – 5-15 years
 - Consider for removal - <5 years
- 4.5 Any vegetation not mentioned in this report was either an exempt species, dead or had no TPZ encroachments.

5. OBSERVATIONS

5.1 Listed in Table 1 below are observations from the subject tree relating to:

- Health and condition.
- Deadwood. An overall % has been estimated.
- Structural defects and comments.
- Any signs/symptoms of pest and disease attack.

Tree No.	Common Name <i>Genus/species</i>	Vigour/ Condition	Dead wood %	Structural Defects	Pests/ Disease
1	Jacaranda <i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>	G/F	≤5	None observed	None observed
2	Lemon Scented Gum <i>Corymbia citriodora</i>	G/G	≤5	None observed	None observed
3	Crepe Myrtle <i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>	F/F	≤5	None observed	None observed
4	Scribbly Gum <i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	G/G		None observed	None observed
5	Scribbly Gum <i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	F/F	10%	None observed	None observed

Table 1: Tree Observations

5.2 Listed in Table 2 below are measurements from the subject tree relating to:

- Age.
- Tree height.
- Lowest scaffold branch.
- Canopy spread – measured to the North, South, East and West (N,S,E,W).
- Diameter at breast height (DBH).
- Diameter above buttress (DAB).

Tree No.	<i>Genus/species</i>	Age	Height (m)	Lowest Scaffold (m)	Spread (m)				DBH (cm)	DAB (cm)
					N	S	E	W		
1	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>	M	10	1.5	4	5	6	3	38	70
2	<i>Corymbia citriodora</i>	M	12	2	11	10	10	6	75	90
3	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>	M	5	1	5	3	4	2	40	22
4	<i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	M	12	5	3	7	6	6	68	63
5	<i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	M	10	2	4	4	5	5	57	52

Table 2: Tree Measurements

5.3 Listed in Table 3 Below are calculations from the subject trees relating to:

- Tree Protection Zone (TPZ)
- Structural Root Zone (SRZ)
- Live Crown Size (LCS)

Tree No.	Genus/species	SRZ (m)	TPZ (m)	Live Crown Size (m ²)
1	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>	2.85	4.56	81
2	<i>Corymbia citriodora</i>	3.17	9	336
3	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>	1.75	4.8	48
4	<i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	2.73	8.16	120
5	<i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	2.51	6.84	80

Table 3: Tree Calculations

6. TREE RETENTION VALUES

6.1 Trees have been allocated a retention value using the priority Matrix in the *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (STARS)© (IACA, 2010). The Matrix uses the Landscape Significance rating combined with the Useful Life Expectancy (ULE) to determine a retention value of either;

- Priority for Retention (High) – All measures must be taken to retain and protect these trees. If the guidelines set out in AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites cannot be used to protect the trees, design modification or re-location of the proposed development should be considered.
- Consider for Retention (Medium) – Retention of these trees should remain a priority. If the trees are adversely affecting the proposed development and all protection measures have been considered but are not viable, removal can be considered.
- Consider for Removal (Low) – Retention of these trees is not important. No modification to design should be considered for their retention.
- Priority for Removal – Trees in an irreversible decline, weed species or hazardous trees. These trees should be removed.

Tree No.	Genus/species	Landscape Significance Rating	Useful Life Expectancy	Retention Value
1	<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i>	Low	Medium	Low
2	<i>Corymbia citriodora</i>	High	Long	High
3	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>	Low	Medium	Low
4	<i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	Medium	Medium	Medium
5	<i>Eucalyptus haemastoma</i>	Medium	Medium	Medium

Table 4: Tree Retention Values

7. CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS

7.1 Listed in table 5 below are likely impacts from the proposed construction upon the trees.

Tree No.	Proposed encroachments into TPZ and/or canopy	Likely Impacts from the proposed construction (Discussion)
1	A minor $\leq 10\%$ encroachment into the TPZ. No canopy encroachment has been calculated to occur.	Due to the TPZ encroachment being only minor and any root loss can be compensated for elsewhere, no impact should result from the proposed construction.
2	A major 50% encroachment into the TPZ/SRZ. No canopy encroachment has been calculated to occur.	Excavations for the proposed construction may result in loss of roots greater than 50mm \varnothing . This type of activity could result in tree decline & /or compromised stability.
3	A minor $\leq 10\%$ encroachment into the TPZ. No canopy encroachment has been calculated to occur.	Due to the TPZ encroachment being only minor and any root loss can be compensated for elsewhere, no impact should result from the proposed construction.
4	Other than existing encroachments, an additional major 16% encroachment into the TPZ/SRZ will take place. No canopy encroachment has been calculated to occur.	Excavations for the proposed driveway extension & concrete stairs may result in loss of roots greater than 50mm \varnothing . This type of activity could result in tree decline & /or compromised stability.
5	Other than existing encroachments, an additional minor $\leq 10\%$ encroachment into the TPZ will take place. No canopy encroachment has been calculated to occur.	Excavations for footings for the proposed concrete stairs may result in loss of roots greater than 50mm \varnothing . This type of activity could result in tree decline & /or compromised stability.

Table 5: Construction Impacts

8. DOCUMENTS USED IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS REPORT

8.1 Listed in Table 6 below are documents used in the preparation of this report.

Document type	Source/ Author	Title	Date	Summary
Plan	LKS Design & Drafting	Site Elevations Plan	19/02/2020	Site elevation plan shown over the proposed development.
Plan	LKS Design & Drafting	Ground Floor & Carport Plan	19/02/2020	Ground floor & carport plan shown over the proposed development.
Plan	Complete Arborcare	TPZ/SRZ Plan	01/03/2020	TPZ/SRZ plan shown over the proposed development.

Table 6: Documents used in the preparation of this report

9. RECOMMENDATIONS/CONCLUSIONS

- 9.1 It has been concluded that trees **T1 & T3** will not be impacted from the proposed development due to both displaying only minor $\leq 10\%$ TPZ encroachments. Allowances for future root growth can be compensated elsewhere. No specific tree protection measures have been assessed to be installed due to the presence of an existing boundary fence.
- 9.2 It is recommended that tree sensitive construction measures, such as pier and beam are undertaken to ensure root conflicts are reduced upon tree **T2**. The location of piers should be established before works commence and non-destructive root investigation methods, which include hand digging, pneumatic or hydraulic means are to be employed. If roots greater than 50mm \varnothing are encountered, footing locations will need to be adjusted. Stem protection in accordance with clause 4.5.2 (trunk & branch protection) of AS 4970-2009 and Appendix C of this report must be installed before any works commence.
- 9.3 To ensure tree **T4 & T5** are not impacted from the proposed internal driveway/carport extension, construction should be undertaken at existing grade with minimal cut (hand excavation only) for the installation of the proposed paving. The final level must be altered locally to allow for 50mm separation between any roots >50mm in diameter and the paving subgrade. Any subgrade used as a bed for the proposed paving must be installed with minimum compaction. Tree protection fencing will be required to be installed before any works commence. The distance of fencing setback must allow for construction to take place. This protection must stay in place until the completion of all works & must be compliant with Section 4.3 (Protective Fencing) and Section 4.4 (Signs) of AS 4970 and Appendix D (Tree Protection Specifications) of this report.
- 9.4 To ensure tree **T4 & T5** are not impacted by footings from the proposed concrete entry stairs, it is recommended that tree sensitive construction measures, such as pier and beam are undertaken. The location of piers should be established before works commence and non-destructive root investigation methods, which include hand digging, pneumatic or hydraulic means are to be employed. If roots greater than 50mm \varnothing are encountered, footing locations will need to be adjusted. Tree protection fencing will be required to be installed before any works commence. The distance of fencing setback must allow for construction to take place. This protection must stay in place until the completion of all works & must be compliant with Section 4.3 (Protective Fencing) and Section 4.4 (Signs) of AS 4970 and Appendix D (Tree Protection Specifications) of this report.
- 9.5 Any root pruning that may be required (upon roots less than 50mm \varnothing), must be undertaken in accordance with Section 9 (Root Pruning) of AS 4373-2007.
- 9.6 It is recommended that an AQF Level 5 Arborist is engaged to oversee/meet any arboricultural matters that may arise if the proposed works are approved.

10. LIMITATIONS ON THE USE OF THIS REPORT

This report is to be utilised in its entirety only. Any written or verbal submission, report or presentation that includes statements taken from the findings, discussions, conclusions or recommendations made in this report, may only be used where the whole of the original report (or a copy) is referenced in, & directly attached to that submission, report or presentation.

11. ASSUMPTIONS

Care has been taken to obtain information from reliable resources. All data has been verified insofar as possible; however, the author of this report can neither guarantee nor be responsible for the accuracy of information provided by others.

Unless stated otherwise:

Information contained in this report covers only the trees that were examined & reflects the condition of the trees at the time of inspection.

The inspection was limited to visual examination of the subject trees without dissection, excavation, probing or coring. There is no warranty or guarantee, expressed or implied, that problems or deficiencies of the subject trees may not arise in the future.

This report does not represent or contain a tree risk assessment.

12. REFERENCES

IACA, 2010. *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia. [Online] Available at: www.iaca.org.au [Accessed 19th June 2015].

Nearmap, 2016. [Online] Available at: <http://maps.au.nearmap.com/> [Accessed 7th November 2019].

NSW Government, 2017. *State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in Non-Rural Areas)*. [Online] Available at: <https://www.legislation.nsw.gov.au/#/view/EPI/2017/454/part1/cl3> [Accessed 26th October 2017].

Council, W., 2015. *Trees & Developments*. [Online] Available at: <http://www.warringah.nsw.gov.au/planning-and-development/trees-and-development> [Accessed 24th of June 2017].

NSW Government, 2019. *NSW Planning Portal*. [Online] Available at: <https://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/find-a-property>

Standards Australia, 2009. AS 4970-2009, Protection of trees on development sites. Sydney: Standards Australia.

Standards Australia, 2007. AS 4373-2007, Pruning of Amenity Trees. Sydney: Standards Australia.

RELEVANT APPENDICES - APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Photographs – all images have been taken from near maps.

Common Name/Genus species - the common name and genus/ species of the tree.

Age Class- assessment of the trees current age.

Immature (IM) - refers to a tree at growth stages between immaturity and full size.

Semi-mature (SM) - refers to a full-sized tree with some capacity for further growth.

Mature (M)-refers to a full-sized tree with some capacity for further growth.

Over-mature (OM) - a mature tree has reached a near stable size (biomass) above and below the ground. Trees can have a Mature Age Class for > 90% of their life span. Over-mature (**OM**) trees show symptoms of irreversible decline and decreasing biomass.

Live Stag (LS) - refers to a tree in a significant state of decline. This is the last stage of a tree prior to death.

Height -estimated overall height of the tree.

Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) - the trunk diameter at breast height (in metres) of the tree, 1.4 meters above ground level.

Diameter above the Buttress (DAB) - refers to the tree trunk diameter measured above the root buttress and is used to calculate the radius of the SRZ.

Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) - is a “No Go Zone” surrounding a tree to aid in its ability to cope with disturbances associated with construction works. Tree protection involves minimising root damage that is caused by activities such as construction. Tree protection also reduces the chance of a tree’s decline in health or death & the possibly damage to structural stability of the tree from root damage.

Structural Root Zone (SRZ) – the structural root zone is the area required for the tree’s stability. A larger area is required to maintain a viable tree. The SRZ is only needed to be calculated when a major encroachment into the TPZ is proposed. There are many factors that affect the size of the SRZ (e.g. tree height, crown area, soil type, soil moisture). The SRZ may also be influenced by natural or built structures, such as rock and footings.

Vigour - Good (G), Fair (F) or Poor (P) – this refers to the trees vigour as exhibited by the crown density, leaf colour, presence of epicormic shoots, ability to withstand disease invasion and the degree of dieback.

Condition – Excellent (E), Very Good (VG), Good (G), Fair (F), Declining (D), Poor (P),Very Poor (VP).this refers to the tree’s form & growth habit, as modified by its environment (aspect suppression by other tree/s, soils,) & the state of the scaffold (i.e. trunk & major branches),including structural defects such as cavities, crooked trunks or weak trunk/branch junctions. These are not directly connected with health & it is possible for a tree to be healthy but in poor condition/vigour.

Deadwood – this refers to any whole limb that no longer contains living issues (i.e. living leaves & /or bark).Some dead wood is common in a number of species.

Crown Spread - the greatest width from drip line to drip line of a branch across the trees crown.

Crown Form -the density of foliage (expressed as a percentage), that would be expected to be displayed in a tree of its genus/species. Many factors such as the presence of pests and/or diseases, drought and other associated environmental conditions contribute to crown form.

Live Crown Size (LCS) - the area of the crown as viewed from one aspect.

APPENDIX B: SIGNIFICANCE OF A TREE, ASSESSMENT RATING SYSTEM* (IACA 2010) – S.T.A.R.S. ©

Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System* (IACA 2010) – S.T.A.R.S. ©

The landscape significance of a tree is an essential criterion to establish the importance that a particular tree may have on a site. However, rating the significance of a tree becomes subjective and difficult to ascertain in a consistent and repetitive fashion due to assessor bias. It is therefore necessary to have a rating system utilising structured qualitative criteria to assist in determining the retention value for a tree. To assist this process all definitions for terms used in the *Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria* and *Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix*, are taken from the IACA Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments 2009.

This rating system will assist in the planning processes for proposed works, above and below ground where trees are to be retained on or adjacent a development site. The system uses a scale of *High*, *Medium* and *Low* significance in the landscape. Once the landscape significance of an individual tree has been defined, the retention value can be determined. An example of its use in an Arboricultural report is shown as Appendix A.



Tree Significance - Assessment Criteria

High Significance in landscape

- The tree is in Good condition and Good vigour;
- The tree has a form typical for the species;
- The tree is a remnant or is a planted locally indigenous specimen and/or is rare or uncommon in the local area or of botanical interest or of substantial age;
- The tree is listed as a Heritage Item, Threatened Species or part of an endangered ecological community or listed on Councils Significant Tree Register;
- The tree is visually prominent and visible from a considerable distance when viewed from most directions within the landscape due to its size and scale and makes a positive contribution to the local amenity;
- The tree supports social and cultural sentiments or spiritual associations, reflected by the broader population or community group or has commemorative values;
- The tree's growth is unrestricted by above and below ground influences, supporting its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is appropriate to the site conditions.

Medium Significance in landscape

- The tree is in Fair-Good condition and Good or Low vigour;
- The tree has form typical or atypical of the species;
- The tree is a planted locally indigenous or a common species with its taxa commonly planted in the local area
- The tree is visible from surrounding properties, although not visually prominent as partially obstructed by other vegetation or buildings when viewed from the street,
- The tree provides a fair contribution to the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree's growth is moderately restricted by above or below ground influences, reducing its ability to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ*.

Low Significance in landscape

- The tree is in fair-poor condition and good or low vigour;
- The tree has form atypical of the species;
- The tree is not visible or is partly visible from surrounding properties as obstructed by other vegetation or buildings,
- The tree provides a minor contribution or has a negative impact on the visual character and amenity of the local area,
- The tree is a young specimen which may or may not have reached dimension to be protected by local Tree Preservation orders or similar protection mechanisms and can easily be replaced with a suitable specimen,
- The tree's growth is severely restricted by above or below ground influences, unlikely to reach dimensions typical for the taxa *in situ* - tree is inappropriate to the site conditions,
- The tree is listed as exempt under the provisions of the local Council Tree Preservation Order or similar protection mechanisms,
- The tree has a wound or defect that has potential to become structurally unsound.

Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species

- The tree is an Environmental Pest Species due to its invasiveness or poisonous/ allergenic properties,
- The tree is a declared noxious weed by legislation.

Hazardous/Irreversible Decline

- The tree is structurally unsound and/or unstable and is considered potentially dangerous,
- The tree is dead, or is in irreversible decline, or has the potential to fail or collapse in full or part in the immediate to short term.

The tree is to have a minimum of three (3) criteria in a category to be classified in that group.

Note: The assessment criteria are for individual trees only, however, can be applied to a monoculture stand in its entirety e.g. hedge.

Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists (IACA 2010), *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, www.iaca.org.au

Table 1.0 Tree Retention Value - Priority Matrix.


		Significance				
		1. High	2. Medium	3. Low		
		Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Significance in Landscape	Environmental Pest / Noxious Weed Species	Hazardous / Irreversible Decline
Estimated Life Expectancy	1. Long >40 years					
	2. Medium 15-40 Years					
	3. Short <1-15 Years					
	Dead					
Legend for Matrix Assessment						
		Priority for Retention (High) - These trees are considered important for retention and should be retained and protected. Design modification or re-location of building/s should be considered to accommodate the setbacks as prescribed by the Australian Standard AS4970 <i>Protection of trees on development sites</i> . Tree sensitive construction measures must be implemented e.g. pier and beam etc if works are to proceed within the Tree Protection Zone.				
		Consider for Retention (Medium) - These trees may be retained and protected. These are considered less critical; however their retention should remain priority with removal considered only if adversely affecting the proposed building/works and all other alternatives have been considered and exhausted.				
		Consider for Removal (Low) - These trees are not considered important for retention, nor require special works or design modification to be implemented for their retention.				
		Priority for Removal - These trees are considered hazardous, or in irreversible decline, or weeds and should be removed irrespective of development.				

USE OF THIS DOCUMENT AND REFERENCING The IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS) is free to use, but only in its entirety and must be cited as follows', 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, Australia, www.iaca.org.au

REFERENCES Australia ICOMOS Inc. 1999, *The Burra Charter –The Australian ICOMOS Charter for Places of Cultural Significance*, International Council of Monuments and Sites, www.icomos.org/australia Draper BD and Richards PA 2009, *Dictionary for Managing Trees in Urban Environments*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists(IACA), CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Victoria, Australia. Footprint Green Pty Ltd 2001, *Footprint Green Tree Significance & Retention Value Matrix*, Avalon, NSW Australia, www.footprintgreen.com.au IACA 2010, *IACA Significance of a Tree, Assessment Rating System (STARS)*, Institute of Australian Consulting Arboriculturists, www.iaca.org.au


APPENDIX C- STEM PROTECTION SPECIFICATIONS

Wherever stems and branches are to be protected. Timber boards are to be used with padding underneath that will protect damage to the trees bark. All stem and branch protection timbers are to be strapped to the subject tree. Examples of stem protection are shown below.



Boards held in Place by
steel strapping.

Hessian is used as
padding to prevent
damage to the trees
bark.



Example of stem

APPENDIX D - TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATIONS

Tree protection Fencing must be a minimum of 1.8 metres in height and be held in place with locking clamps and concrete feet between each panel (an example of protective fencing is shown below).



Tree Protection Zones (TPZ), will be set out before the commencement of construction works.

According to AS 4970-2009, activities excluded from the TPZ include, but are not limited to:

- (a) machine excavation including trenching
- (b) excavation for silt fencing
- (c) cultivation
- (d) storage
- (e) preparation of chemicals, including preparation of cement products
- (f) parking of vehicles and plant
- (g) refuelling
- (h) dumping of waste
- (i) wash down and cleaning of equipment
- (j) placement of fill
- (k) lighting of fires
- (l) soil level changes
- (m) temporary or permanent installation of utilities and signs
- (n) physical damage to the tree.



APPENDIX E-TREE PROTECTION THROUGH DEVELOPMENT

Tree Protection will be undertaken in the three stages listed below. Certification from the project arborist is required at/during each stage.

PRE – DEVELOPMENT STAGE

- Prior to any tree removal an AQF level 5 arborist must be engaged as site arborist to oversee all arboricultural aspects of the project including tagging all trees and identifying trees for removal.
- Tree protection should be installed by a minimum AQF level 3 arborist and be supervised by an AQF level 5 arborist in accordance with the guidelines from AS4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites (Standards Australia, 2009), and the information provided in this report.
- All trees to be retained must be visually assessed and their current health and condition recorded. Tree protection measures must be inspected. The minimum assessment categories are provided below.

Visual assessment benchmark

Tree No	Botanical Name	Vitality	Leaf Damage	Pests / Diseases	Deadwood (%)	Dieback (%)	Mechanical Damage	Recent Pruning
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Certifying of Pre-Construction Tree Protection by the site arborist will conclude the pre-construction phase of development. Construction must not commence until Pre-Construction tree protection has been certified by the site arborist. • The project manager is to be made aware of Tree Protection requirements for the duration of the project. 								

Pre-development Arboricultural Certification

	Pre-Development requirement met. (Y/N)	Project Arborist Signature	Date
All trees tagged. Trees for removal identified by project arborist.			
All tree protection measures have been correctly installed.			
A pre-development visual inspection of all trees to be retained has been undertaken by the project arborist			
The project manager has been made aware of all tree protection measures required for the duration of the project.			

DEVELOPMENT STAGE

- Tree protection measures must remain in place during this stage. They cannot be removed intermittently for access and any modifications to Tree Protection Fencing Locations as shown in the tree protection plan, must be authorised, recorded and carried out by the site arborist.
- The project arborist is to be present for all arboricultural supervision within TPZ's of retained trees, as recommended by the arboricultural impact assessment (AIA) and tree protection plan.
- The site arborist will conduct regular visits in accordance with AS4970-2009 to visually assess and record the health and condition of the trees being retained.
- Tree protection measures will also be assessed regularly to ensure they are functioning correctly. Any maintenance required for Tree Protection measures will be performed.
- A stop work notice will be issued to the project manager if any Tree Protection Measures are not found to be complying with the Tree Protection Plan.
- Any incidents relating to retained trees must be reported immediately to the site arborist to be documented and a plan for remediation put in place.

Development Stage Arboricultural Certification.


	Development requirement met. (Y/N)	Project Arborist Signature	Date
Tree protection measures have remained in place for the duration of the development.			
Tree Health and vitality has not deteriorated during the development.			
Arboricultural supervision has been undertaken as required by the AIA and Tree Protection Plan			
Incidents relating to retained trees have been reported to the project arborist.			
Remediation has been implemented as necessary for the successful retention of retained trees.			

CONCLUSION OF DEVELOPMENT

- Final visit from the site arborist to report on the health and condition of the trees that have been retained and the removal of tree protection. Incidents documented during the development stage will be included in this report.
- Any remedial work necessary upon the completion of development will be recommended in the final report.
- Replacement trees are to be planted before the project arborists final inspection.

Conclusion of Development Arboricultural Certification.

	Development requirement met. (Y/N)	Project Arborist Signature	Date
Tree protection measures have remained in place for the duration of the development.			
Tree Health and vitality has not deteriorated during the development.			
All documentation from site inspections/supervision has been compiled.			
Remediation has been implemented as necessary for the successful retention of retained trees. Any remediation to be continued has been recommended in the final report.			
Replacement tree planting has been undertaken and all replacement trees have been planted correctly.			



NOTES REFERRING TO THE GROUND FLOOR LEVEL

- NOTE (A): DEMOLISH AND REMOVE EXISTING REAR DECK AND ROOF OVER SHOWN DASHED.
NOTE (B): DEMOLISH AND REMOVE EXISTING PLANTER BOXES SHOWN DASHED.
NOTE (C): REMOVE EXISTING SLIDING DOOR & WINDOW UNITS. OPEN WALL TO NEW ADDITION AND MAKE GOOD.
NOTE (D): DEMOLISH 1100mm PART EXISTING WALL. SUPPORT WALL OVER AS REQUIRED AND MAKE GOOD.
NOTE (E): REMOVE EXISTING WINDOW UNIT. BUILD ON SERVRY AS DIRECTED AND MAKE GOOD.
NOTE (F): DEMOLISH AND REMOVE EXISTING KITCHEN AND BUILD IN NEW CABINETRY AS SELECTED BY THE OWNERS.
NOTE (G): BUILD ON AWNING OVER EXISTING LAUNDRY DOOR FOR WEATHER PROTECTION.
NOTE (H): BUILD ON NEW FRONT CONCRETE STAIRS AND ROOF OVER.
NOTE (J): CUT IN NEW WINDOW UNIT AND MAKE GOOD.
NOTE (K): DEMOLISH AND REMOVE EXISTING ENTRY STAIRS.
NOTE (L): ADDITIONAL PAVING TO MATCH THE EXISTING DRIVEWAY.
NOTE (M): REFER ARBORIST REPORT BY 'COMPLETE ARBORCARE' FOR TREE PROTECTION MEASURES.
NOTE (N): REFER ARBORIST REPORT BY 'COMPLETE ARBORCARE' FOR DRIVEWAY RECOMMENDATIONS & TREE PROTECTION.
NOTE (P): INSTALL 10,000 Litre 'PIONEER' ABOVE GROUND WATER TANK, (UV STABILISED, 100% FOOD GRADE POLYETHYLENE) COMPLYING WITH A.S.4766.2006, FOR GENERAL SITE USAGE & SITE IRRIGATION.

GROUND FLOOR LEVEL 1:100

THE BUILDER SHALL CHECK ALL DIMENSIONS AND LEVELS ON SITE PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. NOTIFY ANY ERRORS, DISCREPANCIES OR OMISSIONS TO THE BUILDING DESIGNER. DRAWINGS SHALL NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES UNTIL ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION. DO NOT SCALE OFF THE DRAWINGS. ALL BOUNDARIES AND CONTOURS SUBJECT TO SURVEY.

COPYRIGHT L.K.S. DESIGN AND DRAFTING
THESE DESIGNS REMAIN THE PROPERTY OF L.K.S. DESIGN & DRAFTING AND ARE SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE COPYRIGHT ACT AND MAY NOT BE COPIED, RETAINED OR USED IN ANY MANNER WITHOUT THE WRITTEN CONSENT OF L.K.S. DESIGN AND DRAFTING

bdad
BUILDING DESIGNERS
ASSOCIATION OF AUSTRALIA
CHARTERED ACCREDITED MEMBER
ACCREDITATION No.6120



DATE	REV.	AMENDMENT
19-02-2020	A	DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ISSUE

LKS DESIGN & DRAFTING
ABN 62343830351
ACCREDITED BUILDING DESIGNER
P.O. Box 4101, North Curl Curl, N.S.W. 2099
Mobile: 0418 662 771
Email: lks1@bigpond.net.au

**Complete
Arborcare**

TPZ & SRZ PLAN WITH TREE PROTECTION ZONES AND STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONES SHOWN TO SCALE.

PLAN HAS BEEN OVERLAYED ON THE GROUND FLOOR LEVEL PLAN BY LKS DESIGN & DRAFTING (19/02/2020).

PLAN SHOWS LOCATION OF TREES WITH TRUNK CENTERS MARKED AND TREE PROTECTION ZONES AND STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONES SHOWN TO SCALE.

THIS PLAN SHOULD BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR 27 ALLAWAH AVENUE, ELANORA HEIGHTS NSW 2101

PREPARED BY COMPLETE ARBORCARE
01/03/2020

LEGEND:
TREE PROTECTION ZONE STRUCTURAL ROOT ZONE

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ISSUE

CLIENT:
Mr. and Mrs. G. & S. PRESTON
DRAWING TITLE:
GROUND FLOOR & CARPORT PLAN

DATE: 19th February, 2020

SCALE: As Shown

DRAWN: L.K.S.

PROJECT REF: 1906 DA06 Rev. A