
Sent: 1/08/2023 3:27:27 PM
Subject: RE Submission for DA2023/0782

Attention Kye Miles

I am writing on behalf of my husband and I in relation to opposing the proposed DA for renovations and extended hours of operation for the premises at 20 Albert Street known as St Almas restaurant. We again object to these proposed changes.

They seem very determined in re-submitting plans to get the outside area going and the extended hours against the past refusal by council due to the strong rejection by us neighbouring adjoining property residents and owners. How many times are they likely to keep re-submitting their application and is this allowable?

We understand there is mixed use of residents and businesses in Freshwater CBD, however the nature of the local restaurant opposite "Stowaway is often very loud with drinking revellers and thank goodness they close their outside area at a reasonable hour to keep the noise to a minimum as this is very disturbing for us residents opposite and situated around the CBD. If St Almas has an outside area, this would be catastrophic, the level of noise rising into our units especially with extended hours would be unbearable. As we all know noise rises and when mixed with patrons consuming hard liquor in an outdoor courtyard, the noise would make our unit untenable.

Other points:

It would also affect our property prices.

They would not have a loading area for deliveries

They would not have their large bin area if given over to outside seating

Regards Alicia & Sonny Ryan
20/22-26 Albert St Freshwater.